



ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 SEP 25 3:47 PM - EDGEFIELD - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2021CP1900005

Barry Lanham et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Wumag Texroll Gmbh & Co. Kg fka Kelzenberg + Co. GmbH & Co K et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):** Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

RECEIVED
Feb 23 2026
SC Court of Appeals

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

The Court denies Plaintiff's Motion to Amend filed on September 24, 2025. Having considered the arguments for some time, additional filings or hearing is not necessary. Plaintiff's request encourages the court to adopt the theory of continuity of enterprise. This court does not believe that is appropriate and continues to deny this request. The Order Granting Summary Judgment is a final judgment as to Defendant Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. KG f/k/a Kelzenberg + Co: GmbH & Co. KG. The judgment does not release Defendant Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co. Kg., the predecessor company that manufactured the product complained of in this litigation. IT IS SO ORDERED.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 09/25/2025 .

Alicia K. Clawson
Wumag Texroll GmbH & Co KG fka Kelzenberu +Co GmbH & Co KG

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Edgefield Common Pleas

Case Caption: Barry Lanham , plaintiff, et al VS Wumag Texroll Gmbh & Co. Kg
fka Kelzenberg + Co. GmbH & Co K , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2021CP1900005
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Hon. Martha M. Rivers (2788)