

FORM 13
BRIEF OF APPELLANT*

RECEIVED

Jul 16 2025

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals
[In The Supreme Court]

APPEAL FROM CALHOUN COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas
The Honorable Heath P. Taylor, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2023-CP-09-00171
Appellate Case No. 2024-000195

Shaneeeka Stroman,.....Appellant

vs.

Carol B. Fischer, Emily Marie Fischer-Bunker, Attorney for Carol B. Fischer (Banks Neumeister Attys at Law), Town of Saint Matthews (Chief Executive Officer) Helen Carson-Peterson, and St. Matthews Police Department (Chief) Michael Smalls, Defendants,

Of Which

Carol B. Fischer, Emily Marie Fischer-Bunker, St. Matthews Police Department, and Town of Saint Matthews are the Respondents.

[FINAL BRIEF]
BRIEF OF APPELLANT

Shaneeeka Stroman
2117 Commander Rd
North Charleston SC
29405
(803)596-5784

Shaneeekastroman8@gmail.com

* Under Rule 267(e), SCACR, the cover of the final briefs should be the following colors: brief of appellant - blue; brief of respondent - red; reply brief - gray; and amicus curiae or intervenor - green.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities
ii

Statement of Issues on Appeal
1

Statement of the Case 1

Standard of Review
1

Facts 1

Arguments

1. BECAUSE APPELLANT AGREED TO BEING A TRESSPASSER INSTEAD OF A
LEGITIMATE TRESSPASSER BECAUSE OF POSSESSION OF REAL
PROPERTY.....2

2. BECAUSE RESPONDENTS IS NOT THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY
.....2

Conclusion2
i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES*

CASES

, *State v. Bates*, 87 S.C. 527, 70 S.E. 170 (S.C. 1911)
.....2

STATUTES

S.C. Code Ann. § 15-67-210
.....2

S.C. Code Ann. § 15-67-202

S.C. Code Ann. § 15-67-2202

S.C. Code Ann. SECTION 15-67-10.....2

OTHER AUTHORITIES

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF CONTRACTS Section 100 (1981)2

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF PROPERTY Section 200 (1981)
.....2

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS Section 300 (1981)2

*The authorities cited are fictitious and intended to show the form of citation only.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERROR IN FAILING TO ALLOW AMMENDMENT BY PLAINTIFF
2. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERROR ON THE DECISION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On September 29,2023, Shaneeka Stroman brought this action alleging Forceful Entry and detainer. Defendants answered with a motion to dismiss claims. The case was tried on November 15, 2007, and judgment was entered on January 30,2024.

Carol Fisher the owner died. Currently she doesn't have records in probate court. Emily Fischer Bunker was substituted as plaintiff as her daughter.

On 2/9/2023, the case was transferred to magistrate court for criminal charges of trespassing and harassment.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

FACTS

ARGUMENTS

I. BECAUSE RESPONDENT IS NOT THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY, SHE HAS NO RIGHT TO ENFORCE TRESSPASSING OR HARASSMENT AGAINST STROMAN.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

II. BECAUSE POSSESSION IS 9/10THS OF THE LAW, AND FORCED ENTRY AND DETAINER EXISTED AND IS THE LAW, THE DEFENDANTS SHOULD HAVE BEEN HELD LIABLE.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should reverse the judgment of the circuit court.

Respectfully submitted, Shaneeka Stroman
335 Horses Neck Rd, Swansea SC, 29160
SHANEEKASTROMAN8@GMAIL.COM
803-596-5784 Appellant

July 16, 2025