

Gloria Minnick
PLAINTIFF(S)

Forest Lake Place Horizontal Property Regime et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:


This case proceeded to trial on August 25, 2025. As to the claim by Plaintiff Gloria Minnick against Defendant S&S Services Group d/b/a Community Association Management Services (CAMS), the jury found Defendant CAMS was not negligent. As to the claim by Plaintiff Gloria Minnick against Defendant Forest Lake Place Horizontal Property Regime (FLP), the jury found Defendant FLP was negligent. The jury found Plaintiff's damages to be \$5,000,000, found Plaintiff 49% at fault for her injuries, and found Defendant FLP 51% at fault for Plaintiff's injuries. The damages awarded to plaintiff are thus \$2,550,000.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 09/18/2025 .



Feb 23 2026

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Gloria Minnick vs Forest Lake Place Horizontal Property Regime ,
defendant, et al
Case Number: 2024CP4003073
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/Christopher D. Taylor (#2795)