



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

February 25, 2026

The Honorable Winnifa Brown-Clark
PO Box 9000
Orangeburg SC 29115-9000

REMITTITUR

Re: Jeffrey Wideman v. Cemetery Equity Solutions, Inc.
Lower Court Case No. 2019CP3800416
Appellate Case No. 2023-000513

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jay A. Kitching".

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: I.S. Leevy Johnson, Esquire
Mark Campbell McLawhorn, Esquire
Carl David Hiller, Esquire
Christina Marie Brown, Esquire
Randall Scott Hiller, Esquire
Chelsea A. Glover, Esquire





The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

February 4, 2026

Mr. I.S. Leevy Johnson, Esquire
PO Box 1431
Columbia SC 29202

Mr. Mark Campbell McLawhorn, Esquire
1901 Assembly Street, Suite 200
Columbia SC 29201

Mr. Carl David Hiller, Esquire
2020 Assembly Street
Columbia SC 29201

Mrs. Christina Marie Brown, Esquire
2020 Assembly Street
Columbia SC 29201

Mr. Randall Scott Hiller, Esquire
850 B Wade Hampton Boulevard
Greenville SC 29609

Ms. Chelsea A. Glover, Esquire
130 Centre Street
Orangeburg SC 29115

Re: Jeffrey Wideman v. Cemetery Equity Solutions, Inc.
Appellate Case No. 2023-000513

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "J".

CLERK

cc: The Honorable James B. Jackson, Jr.

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

Jeffrey Wideman, Respondent,

v.

Cemetery Equity Solutions, Inc., Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000513

Appeal From Orangeburg County
James B. Jackson, Jr., Master-in-Equity

Unpublished Opinion No. 2026-UP-049
Submitted January 2, 2026 – Filed February 4, 2026

AFFIRMED

Carl David Hiller and Christina Marie Brown, both of
HHP Law Group, LLC, of Columbia; and Randall Scott
Hiller, of Greenville, all for Appellant.

Chelsea A. Glover, of Johnson Toal & Battiste, PA, of
Orangeburg; and I.S. Leevy Johnson, of Johnson Toal &
Battiste, PA, and Mark Campbell McLawhorn, both of
Columbia, all for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Cemetery Equity Solutions, Inc. (CES) appeals the
master-in-equity's order finding it breached the terms of two contracts with Jeffrey

Wideman when it failed to provide him with full compensation for services rendered and entering judgment in favor of Wideman in the amount of \$65,750.00. On appeal, CES argues the master erred in failing to consider all of its payments to Wideman for the entirety of the contract. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR.

We hold there is evidence in the record to support the master's finding that the dispute over payments was limited to the dates of November 3, 2017, to September 7, 2018, for maintenance services and October 7, 2017, to September 23, 2018, for additional burial services. *See McCall v. IKON*, 380 S.C. 649, 658, 670 S.E.2d 695, 700 (Ct. App. 2008) ("An action for breach of contract seeking money damages is an action at law."); *56 Leinbach Invs., LLC v. Magnolia Paradigm, Inc.*, 411 S.C. 466, 471, 769 S.E.2d 242, 245 (Ct. App. 2014) ("On appeal of an action at law, this court will affirm the master's factual findings if there is any evidence in the record which reasonably supports them."). Wideman did not contest that the payments made before the above dates were made properly and CES does not allege it overpaid Wideman during that period. Accordingly, evidence supports the master's finding that CES is not entitled to credit for payments made prior to the disputed timeframe.

AFFIRMED.¹

MCDONALD, HEWITT, and TURNER, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.