

CASE NO. 2023CP2300011

Dewitt Jones et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Innovative Scientific Solutions LLC et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Please see attached page 2.

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 05/23/2025 .

Probate Court

**RECEIVED**  
**Mar 04 2026**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---

After careful consideration of the able argument and filings of counsel and review of the record, the Court finds defense counsel's affidavit of attorney's fees properly considered and applied the Jackson v. Speed, 326 S.C. 289, 486 S.E. 2d 750 (1997) factors when awarding attorney's fees to the defendant. Based on the nature and complexity of defendant's motion, the Court has reconsidered the amount of attorney's fees ordered to be paid to the defendant. The total amount of attorney's fees to the defendant is reduced to \$3,000.00 plus \$31.74 in costs. The Court also notes in defense counsel's affidavit of attorney's fees, paragraph four's reference to "tasks on behalf of the plaintiff" is a scrivener's error. The Court recognizes the referenced tasks in the affidavit were for the benefit of the defendant. Additionally, the Court instructs both parties that all future proposed orders should comply with Chief Administrative Judge Perry H. Gravely's Memorandum for Common Pleas Cases dated April 8, 2025.

Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider is Granted only as to the amount of attorney's fees ordered to the defendant. The remainder of the Court's formal order and defense counsel's affidavit of attorney's fees shall remain in full effect and Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider is Denied in regards to all other remaining issues.

It Is So Ordered.



Greenville Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Dewitt Jones , plaintiff, et al vs. Innovative Scientific Solutions LLC ,  
defendant, et al  
**Case Number:** 2023CP2300011  
**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

William C. McMaster, III