

G02352 - G023354 #



State of South Carolina
Circuit Court Judge, At-Large, Seat 5

J. MARK HAYES, II
JUDGE

180 MAGNOLIA STREET, 2ND FLOOR
SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA 29306
TELEPHONE: (864) 562-4144
FAX: (864) 562-4142
E-MAIL: mhayes@sccourts.org

FILED
2025 JUN 12 PM 4:13

CLERK OF COURT
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
SOUTH CAROLINA

June 6, 2025

Gary Lamont Petty, #264235
Perry Correctional Institute
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer, South Carolina 29669

Re: 1999-GS-42-02357 to 59

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Dear Mr. Petty:

The motions you filed with the Spartanburg County Clerk of Court's Office over the past year were forwarded to me to review. They were sent to me in my capacity as the Seventh Circuit Chief Administrative Judge for General Sessions matters.

After reviewing the numerous motions and filings, please be advised that, by copy of this letter to the Spartanburg Clerk of Court, I am instructing the Clerk to not schedule any of the motions for a hearing.

I write to you to advise you of my instructions in the event you desire to seek additional judicial review before other courts. If you wish to appeal my decision, please do so in a timely manner. I also wished you to have this letter so that you can also share it with the lawyer of your choice. My belief is that any lay person benefits from receiving independent legal advice—especially matters that are important as the ones you raise in these motions. I realize in the past you were represented by Joseph McCulloch of the Palmetto Innocence Project and William Yarborough of the Greenville Bar when you raised issues related to DNA. Mr. McCulloch and Mr. Yarborough should be considered by you as appropriate legal resources to share the content of this letter and advise you of your rights. These two lawyers are just my suggestions, please consult with whichever lawyer you feel best serves you.

As reflected in the materials, you received a life sentence to a Burglary First Degree and a 30-year sentence on a Criminal Sexual Conduct charge (the 5-year sentence for grand larceny has expired because of the time you have served). Since the time you were sentenced, you and your lawyers have filed numerous actions in circuit court and other courts challenging various aspects of your case. A review of the records indicates that you have been unsuccessful with your challenges. It does appear that additional DNA testing was accomplished in 2017. This DNA report indicated the following; "the major component DNA profile matches the DNA profile obtained from sample CCC 1666-0364-R03 (Gary Petty)".

PAGE TWO
GARY LAMONT PETTY, #264235
JUNE 6, 2025

FILED
2025 JUN 12 PM 4:13


The new motions, in my opinion, are not properly filed and/or do not contain the required substantive claims to necessitate the scheduling of addition hearings by the circuit court. Expressed in more legal terms, a prima facie showing has not been made to justify conducting a hearing. Having reviewed the materials, these new motions, on their face, do not meet the standard for conducting a SCCrimR 29(b) review. Viewing the issues you raised in a manner most favorably to you, these issues, if true, should have been raised in your previous filings. Statute of limitations is a problem for you. Additionally, even though you assert you did not know of this information (jury information) until recently, the nature and existence of the information was available at the time of your trial, or at least within 12 months afterwards. Additionally, the affidavit filed is your own affidavit and contains inadmissible hearsay statements from your cousin. Additionally, your assertion through a Rule 29(b) motion of third party guilty of another man convicted of similar crimes that occurred during the same time as the ones you were convicted is, again, untimely, based upon inadmissible information—newspaper accounts—and is, at best, speculative—especially given the DNA information previously obtained. Again, no prima facie showing. Also, the issue of needing an actual “injury” to support a burglary conviction is not support in law.

The above is a brief summary of my reasons for instructing the Clerk of Court that no hearing will be needed on the motions you have filed.

Even though my decisions are not favorable and no hearing will be conducted, I understand these issues are important to you. Thus, I, again, encourage you to seek legal advice with an attorney. Please share this letter with them and your prior filings.

I wish you only the best.

Respectfully,



J. Mark Hayes, II

CC: **The Honorable Amy Cox**
Spartanburg County Clerk of Court
Spartanburg County Courthouse
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29306

CC: **The Honorable Barry Barnette**
Solicitor, Seventh Judicial Circuit
Spartanburg County Courthouse
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29306

JMH/smw

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Gary Lamont Petty, Petitioner,

v.

The State of South Carolina

Appellate Case No. 2025-001324


RECEIVED

FEB 26 2026

SC Court of Appeals

ORDER

This appeal arises out of a letter from a circuit judge declining to rule on motions that were improperly filed with the circuit court. From our review of the public index, there is not a final order reviewable on appeal. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 14-3-330 (2007). The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Jul 29 2025

cc:

Barry Joe Barnette, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Wanda H. Carter, Esquire
Gary L. Petty, 264235

(7 of 9)

J. MARK HAYES, I III, JUDGE
Circuit Court Judge, At - Large, Seat 5
180 MAGNOLIA STREET, 2ND FLOOR
SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA 29306

RETURN SERVICE
REQUESTED

US POSTAGE
XX06/10/25XX

38224


RECEIVED
FEB 26 2026
SC Court of Appeals

Gary Lamont Petty, #264235
Perry Correctional Institute
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer, South Carolina 29669

RECEIVED
JUN 13 2025
PCI MAILROOM

(5 of 5)

13 DRDUMMP 29669





RECEIVED

FEB 26 2026

SC Court of Appeals

State of South Carolina
Circuit Court Judge, At-Large, Seat 5

J. MARK HAYES, II
JUDGE

180 MAGNOLIA STREET, 2ND FLOOR
SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA 29306
TELEPHONE: (864) 562-4144
FAX: (864) 562-4142
E-MAIL: mhayesj@sccourts.org

June 6, 2025

Gary Lamont Petty, #264235
Perry Correctional Institute
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer, South Carolina 29669

Re: 1999-GS-42-02357 to 59

Dear Mr. Petty:

The motions you filed with the Spartanburg County Clerk of Court's Office over the past year were forwarded to me to review. They were sent to me in my capacity as the Seventh Circuit Chief Administrative Judge for General Sessions matters.

After reviewing the numerous motions and filings, please be advised that, by copy of this letter to the Spartanburg Clerk of Court, I am instructing the Clerk to not schedule any of the motions for a hearing.

I write to you to advise you of my instructions in the event you desire to seek additional judicial review before other courts. If you wish to appeal my decision, please do so in a timely manner. I also wished you to have this letter so that you can also share it with the lawyer of your choice. My belief is that any lay person benefits from receiving independent legal advice—especially matters that are important as the ones you raise in these motions. I realize in the past you were represented by Joseph McCulloch of the Palmetto Innocence Project and William Yarborough of the Greenville Bar when you raised issues related to DNA. Mr. McCulloch and Mr. Yarborough should be considered by you as appropriate legal resources to share the content of this letter and advise you of your rights. These two lawyers are just my suggestions, please consult with whichever lawyer you feel best serves you.

As reflected in the materials, you received a life sentence to a Burglary First Degree and a 30-year sentence on a Criminal Sexual Conduct charge (the 5-year sentence for grand larceny has expired because of the time you have served). Since the time you were sentenced, you and your lawyers have filed numerous actions in circuit court and other courts challenging various aspects of your case. A review of the records indicates that you have been unsuccessful with your challenges. It does appear that additional DNA testing was accomplished in 2017. This DNA report indicated the following; "the major component DNA profile matches the DNA profile obtained from sample CCC 1666-0364-R03 (Gary Petty)".

PAGE TWO
GARY LAMONT PETTY, #264235
JUNE 6, 2025


The new motions, in my opinion, are not properly filed and/or do not contain the required substantive claims to necessitate the scheduling of additional hearings by the circuit court. Expressed in more legal terms, a prima facie showing has not been made to justify conducting a hearing. Having reviewed the materials, these new motions, on their face, do not meet the standard for conducting a SCCrimR 29(b) review. Viewing the issues you raised in a manner most favorable to you, these issues, if true, should have been raised in your previous filings. Statute of limitations is a problem for you. Additionally, even though you assert you did not know of this information (jury information) until recently, the nature and existence of the information was available at the time of your trial, or at least within 12 months afterwards. Additionally, the affidavit filed is your own affidavit and contains inadmissible hearsay statements from your cousin. Additionally, your assertion through a Rule 29(b) motion of third party guilty of another man convicted of similar crimes that occurred during the same time as the ones you were convicted is, again, untimely, based upon inadmissible information—newspaper accounts—and is, at best, speculative—especially given the DNA information previously obtained. Again, no prima facie showing. Also, the issue of needing an actual “injury” to support a burglary conviction is not support in law.

The above is a brief summary of my reasons for instructing the Clerk of Court that no hearing will be needed on the motions you have filed.

Even though my decisions are not favorable and no hearing will be conducted, I understand these issues are important to you. Thus, I, again, encourage you to seek legal advice with an attorney. Please share this letter with them and your prior filings.

I wish you only the best.

Respectfully,



J. Mark Hayes, II

CC: The Honorable Amy Cox
Spartanburg County Clerk of Court
Spartanburg County Courthouse
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29306

CC: The Honorable Barry Barnette
Solicitor, Seventh Judicial Circuit
Spartanburg County Courthouse
180 Magnolia Street
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29306

JMH/smw

AMY W. COX
Clerk of Court, Spartanburg County
Post Office Box 3483
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29304-3483

RETURN SERVICE
REQUESTED

Presort
First Class Mail
CombAsPrice



US POSTAGE
ZIP 29303 \$ 000.68⁶
02 40
00003735 FEB 15 2026

3B224

Gary Lamont Petty #264235
Perry Corr. Inst.
430 Oaklawn Rd.
Pelzer, SC 29669

RECEIVED
FEB 19 2026
MAILROOM

13 BRDUNMP 29669

