

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent, v.

Akim Jammal Jean-Charles, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2025-002428

ORDER

For good cause having been shown, this matter is reinstated. Because this is an appeal from a guilty plea, nolo contendere plea, or plea pursuant to *Alford*, Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(iv), SCACR requires Appellant to provide a written explanation showing that there is an issue which can be reviewed on appeal. This explanation should identify the issues to be raised on appeal and the factual basis for the issues including how the issues were raised below and the ruling of the lower court on those issues. If an issue was not raised to and ruled on by the lower court, the explanation shall include argument and citation to legal authority showing how this issue can be reviewed on appeal. Failure to make a sufficient showing may result in dismissal of this appeal.

Within ten (10) days of the date of this order, Appellant's counsel must send his explanation to his client, Appellant, along with a statement that his client has twenty (20) days from the date of counsel's transmittal letter to inform this Court in writing of any arguable basis that there are issues preserved for appeal.


CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Akim Jammam Jean-Charles

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

Joshua Thomas Mangan, Esquire

Wanda H. Carter, Esquire

Jonathan Brooks Newlon, Esquire

FILED
Mar 11 2026