



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

March 13, 2026

The Honorable Sheri Coleman  
100 Church Street, Suite 6  
Saluda Courthouse  
Saluda SC 29138

## REMITTITUR

Re: Hugh Parks Price v. Sara Filler  
Lower Court Case No. 2023CP4100015  
Appellate Case No. 2023-001032

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

*Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy*

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Rolf Mouin Baghdady, Esquire  
William E. Booth, III, Esquire



**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

Hugh Parks Price, Appellant,

v.

Sara Filler, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2023-001032

---

Appeal From Saluda County  
Debra R. McCaslin, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2026-UP-085  
Submitted January 2, 2026 – Filed February 25, 2026

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Rolf Mouin Baghdady, of Rolf M. Baghdady, P.A., of  
Chapin, for Appellant.

William E. Booth, III, of Booth Law Firm, LLC, of West  
Columbia, for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Hugh Parks Price appeals the circuit court's order affirming the magistrate court's judgment in favor of Sara Filler in a claim and delivery action concerning livestock. On appeal, Price argues (1) the circuit court erred in affirming the magistrate court because the due process clause required that a recording of the magistrate's proceedings be made available to him, and (2) he did

not receive the recording prior to the hearing. We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR.

We hold these issues are not preserved for appellate review because they were not raised to or ruled upon by the circuit court. Specifically, Price did not object to participating in the hearing without the transcript, nor did he assert his due process rights were violated by proceeding without the transcript. *See Wilder Corp. v. Wilke*, 330 S.C. 71, 76, 497 S.E.2d 731, 733 (1998) ("It is axiomatic that an issue cannot be raised for the first time on appeal, but must have been raised to and ruled upon by the [circuit court] to be preserved for appellate review."); Rule 75, SCRCPP ("Appeals to the circuit court shall be made upon the original record in the lower court or administrative agency or tribunal.").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**WILLIAMS, C.J., and THOMAS and CURTIS, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.