

Mar 13 2026**SC Court of Appeals**

From: [Tony Williams](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Michelle Gaston](#); [Melling, Andrew](#); compliance@lowes.com
Subject: APPELLANT'S MOTION PURSUANT TO RULE 240, SCACR, TO PROCEED WITHOUT A TRANSCRIPT DUE TO PROCEDURAL IMPOSSIBILITY
Date: Friday, March 13, 2026 2:09:39 PM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. *******

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

Tony Williams, Appellant,

v.

Lowe's Home Centers, LLC, Angie Berry (Aka Mills) Respondent.

Appellate Case No.: 2026-000317

(Lower Court Case No. 2025-CP-07-01325)

**APPELLANT'S MOTION PURSUANT TO RULE 240,
SCACR, TO PROCEED WITHOUT A TRANSCRIPT
DUE TO PROCEDURAL IMPOSSIBILITY**

Appellant Tony Williams, appearing *Pro Se*, respectfully moves this Court pursuant to **Rule 240, SCACR**, for an Order permitting this appeal to proceed without the requirement of a transcript under Rule 207, SCACR. This motion is filed in direct response to the Court's correspondence dated March 11, 2026.

1. IMPOSSIBILITY OF PERFORMANCE

Appellant cannot comply with the requirement to "order a transcript" or "make written arrangements with a court reporter" because no stenographic or audio record of the proceedings exists.

2. THE "GHOST ORDER" BASIS

The orders being appealed (dated December 17, 2025, and January 6, 2026) were issued by the lower court as administrative actions without a hearing. Although a WebEx hearing was scheduled for December 12, 2025, the session was never convened, no arguments were heard, and no court reporter was present. The Court cannot mandate the production of a record that does not exist.

3. GOOD CAUSE FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Appellant previously advised the Court of the non-existence of a transcript on February 23, 2026. To the extent that the deadline for ordering a transcript has expired, Appellant moves for an extension of time to the date of this filing to allow the Court to address the factual impossibility of producing a transcript for a non-existent hearing.

4. RELIANCE ON "FACE OF THE RECORD" ERRORS

The issues on appeal are "Face of the Record" errors, including the lack of mandatory **Judicial Identification Codes (JIC)** and documented **Identity Fraud** regarding the use of **Bar License #107320**. These jurisdictional defects are fully reviewable via the Clerk's Record without a transcript under *Chewning v. Ford Motor Co.*, 354 S.C. 72 (2003).

WHEREFORE, Appellant requests that this Court grant permission to proceed without a transcript and set a briefing schedule based on the existing record.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Tony Williams

Plaintiff Pro Se

Dated: March 11, 2026