

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2026

Phillip D. Newberry
State of South Carolina

(Appellant)
(Respondent)

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Indictment No.

2024-GS-12-00560

2024-GS-12-00561

Rule 201 SCACR
Notice of Appeal

Pursuant to Rule 203(a) SCACR, Rule 203(b)(2) SCACR, Rule 203(d)(1)(A)(ii), and Rule 203(d)(1)(B) SCACR, This notice of appeal has been served on all appropriate respondents, along with all documents required by Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(i), and Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(ii) SCACR.

3-16-2026

Phillip Newberry

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2026

Phillip D. Newberry
State of South Carolina

(Appellant) S.C. SUPREME COURT
(Respondent)

Indictment No.

2024-GS-12-00560

2024-GS-12-00561

Statement of Issues on Appeal

1. Did the trial court err by not granting the defendants motion(s) to suppress illegally obtained evidence and its fruits in violation of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968? (There were 3)
2. Did the trial court err in denying defendants motion(s) for a directed verdict?
3. Did the trial court err by allowing into evidence what was objected to, that contained no contact information for Mrs. Cofty?
4. Did the trial court err by denying to certify the defendants constitutional challenges filed pursuant to Rule 5.1(a)(1)(B) and (a)(2) Fed. R. Civ. P. (see case brief in clerks file)

5. Did the trial court err by denying defendants request for disclosure Pursuant to Rule 26. Gov. R. Civ. P ?
6. Was the defendant Prejudiced by the States decision on Competency? See: United States v. Coleman, 871 F.3d 470 (6th cir. 2017) at: [871 F.3d 477] Paragraph #2
7. Was the defendant Prejudiced by the Allen Charge?
8. Did the trial court err by not considering defendants Constitutional Challenges Prior to trial on the grounds argued? See: Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973) Pg. 126 Paragraph 3
9. Was the defendant Prejudiced by the narrow scope of granting the motion to Compel the May 2023 body cam footage? See: Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89 (2007) at: [551 U.S. 94] Paragraph 3.
10. Was the defendant Prejudiced by the witnesses who did not comply with subpoena, and the lack of accountability?
11. Did the Trial Court err in denying any other of the defendants motions or objections?

3-16-2026

Phillip Newberry

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2026

Phillip D Newberry
State of South Carolina

(Appellant) S.C. SUPREME COURT
(Respondent)

Indictment NO.

2024-GS-12-00560

2024-GS-12-00561

Supplemental Issues on Appeal

Appellant appeals his sentence on the grounds that he was given the maximum sentence, run consecutively, no part of which was suspended, so the subsequent probation should not have been imposed on the grounds that the debt to society will have been paid.

3-16-2026

Phillip Newberry

Phillip D. Newberry
Chester County Jail
2740 Dawson Dr.
Chester S.C. 29706



Come to Kirkland

S.C. Supreme Court
Clerk of the Court
1231 Gervais St
Columbia S.C. 29201

CHARLOTTE NC LPC 281

17 MAR 2026 PM 5 L



FOREVER / USA

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

29201-323699



Legal Mail