

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Mar 23 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Linda Kennedy and Marsha Fink

Appellants Motion: “Subject Matter Jurisdiction

Appellants

For Fraud on the Court Challenge”

v.

Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, LLC, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Campers and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Management, a/k/a Chris Vellanti, a/k/a Christopher Vellanti; Christopher Vellanti, as a Member and Personally; Yvonne Goldman, as a

General Manager and Personally; Frank Pellegrini; Fritzie Maroto; Jennifer Burdette; Marsha Stamm; Allen Riha; Ray Grenier; Grant Ferrendelli; and Charles Carpenter

Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000859

Trial Court Case No., 2022 CP 0400592

Dr. Linda Kennedy and Dr. Marsha Fink Object to the erroneous style of the case that is not the Record filing for the legally related back motion.

954-279-3785.

Disclaimers: ***Not proofread*** due to ***ADA Qualifying Disabilities intentionally and maliciously caused and weaponized by the SC Judges with financial and other interests in their case***, making it hard for litigants to see and (along with other physical disabilities handicapping P-Appellant’s without Reasonable Accommodations granted). These ADA Qualified Disabilities cause P-Appellants great disadvantages and lack Equal Protection and violate the ADA Laws that ***MANDATE*** Courts to comply, and cause P-Appellant’s great pain and lesser functions without reasonable accommodations granted by law, due to these same judges. P-Appellants briefs and Motions since 2024 have mainly been cut/paste from other documents written or submitted with few corrections due to these Disabilities caused and weaponized by these Judges and their refusal to honor ADA Reasonable Accommodations by law for their own advantages in spite of ADA Advocates submitting letters to guide them in the Mandatory compliance laws they ignore. P-Appellant’s are more than capable when they have the reasonable accommodations which are least restrictive and granted to the Murdaugh lawyers when no disabilities are even claimed through Selective Prosecution of these cases as seen herein. P-Appellant’s case tells on what really happened in the Murdaugh and the Prosecutor/Defense/Murdaugh/Supremes all want to free or greatly reduce Murdaugh’s punishment as a fellow Aristocrat in this Aristocratic/Groomed Elite, Entrenched Oligarchy, where the law is a mere suggestion, while they are running a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE) as seen herein through the Murdaugh/Laffitte cases, P-Appellants case, and many others (***See Asbestos Docket as one example***, where this is the other reason why the Courts want to bury P-Appellants case, because they describe and show Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud in P-Appellant’s case, Murdaugh/Laffitte’s cases, and in the Asbestos Docket, and how this Entrench Aristocratic/Groomed Elite are able to run a Legal Machine Legal Enterprise (LMCE) out of the State, under color of law). This Appeals Court is taking cases handed off to them from Trial Courts, to continue the same bad faith tactics to refuse and obstruct their appeals, and the court can because they purposely do not analyze SMJ Adjudication as a Condition Precedent to receiving Constitutinal power to hear a case, so they can cover for each-others crimes, and instead treat Constitutional guarantees as mere suggestions, and because the Supremes oversee all secret discipline of lawyers and judges to make sure there is no punishment for ignoring the Constitution, they have crated quite a tax-free, for profit LMCE for themselves and their Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Colleagues).

Anyone who wants to complaint of length, repetition, lack of organization, spelling and so forth, get the courts to follow the law so P-Appellants can get mandatory (not discretionary) Reasonable Accommodations that are least restrictive and require less than the Court gave Murdaugh without disabilities, especially when the 10th Cir Judges caused and weaponized these disabilities against two elderly cancer fighting survivors with physical health issues.

2

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

These Judges need to try to write/type when these Judges can't see screens or type when they cannot use their hands thumbs, wrists arms and elbows because their fellow judges physically abused them. .. where both need surgery to fix due to the abuse P-Appellants have endured by these Judges to try to save their meritorious case. Then complain. This is P-Appellants best when one of the two is having seizures also for lack of sleep, again, caused by the same Judges and weaponized also by these court protecting the LMCE who are trying to win on taking advantage of the Elderly and Disabled in Abuse and Exploitation bad acts..

Subject matter jurisdiction motion to Challenge SMJ in this SC appeals as Void *ab initio*, as to the wrongdoers, listed as D-Appellees, their lawyers, their Judges, their other state Actors, Allianz, this Court, the Supreme Court and all of these Judges and more. See FN listing many but not all.

As SC and Federal Courts state, they must do this voiding as to the wrongdoers and protect the innocent, with all filings, hearings, opinions, non-opinions and actions by this Appeals court moot that go against P-Appellants, keeping P-Appellants motions filed as a Challenge to SMJ and Frauds on the Court by the Court are heard by a Neutral Tribunal, even if outside of SC and the 4th Cir.

The Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Fraud on the Court by the Court, is because of the collusion and RACKETERING from Trial Court to Supremes, and the Appeals in between. This case is not genuinely a Case in Controversy, and is a Feigned/Colluded case by all but P-Appellants. It is not justiciable by the State/Federal Constitutions and the matter has to be immediately stopped, with independent review by a NEUTRAL TRIBUNAL/Judges BEFORE PROCEEDING IF

PERMITTED TO DO SO, while protecting Movant's case and entering Judgment on the Pleadings in the Trial Court, which an entry for Judgment was filed, that P-Appellants will supply the new Judges for entry and jury trial on some damages that could not be calculated, like uncapped Punitives, and to Order Allianz to pay in Full, while preserving P-Appellants further ability to sue all those involved with the statue of limitations barred/stayed until such time those cases can be filed.

Any actions other than those legal actions taken when a Court that is in issue regarding the SMJ and Fraud on the Court by the Court, does anything other than submit to recusal and P-Appellants are notified of a neutrally selected Judiciary who complies with the SMJ Analysis and upholds his oath to defend the Constitution is assigned. These are structural constitutional violations, lack of transparency, lack of open court and unconstitutional court filings, rulings, using a Laffitte Lawyer to try to intimidate, trick and force us to file when a letter from a lawyer is no order at all. Then the Laffitte lawyer tried to trick us again, with the blessing of this court again, to fake a posting on the Court of Appeals website claiming she was a Deputy Clerk when P-Appellants kept the meta codes to show that at the time Jasmin was writing correspondence Obstructing our case, she was working with Robinson Gray Stepp and LAFFITTE. . . . even more fraud. Then when Judges Curtis finally sent an actual Order it was filled with the same wrong law, fake claims that do not comply with ADA Reasonable Accommodations in any way, as the individual needs have to be

addressed, with P-Appellants did. . .and the Court did not. . .but further lied and gave vague rulings further Exploiting and Abusing the Elderly Disabled while paying \$50 ever time they had to demand for a Constitutional Clarification about what Order ruled on the ADA. . .the answer was none after several hundred dollars and further weakened P-Appellants continually had to address and readdress. And SCACR 221(c) has nothing to do with any of this as the Judges know but keep quoting it to claim it is some interlocutory motions that goes back to trial court when there is not such process of going back to Trial Court in these clarification motions.. .just another trick to try to make P-Appellants run out of appeals as if that will stop P-Appellants from seeking loud justice by all legal and nonviolent ways inside and outside the Court. and There is a clear lack of neutrality, lack of SMJ Adjudication Analysis that are conditions precedent and prerequisites to protect the people’s guaranteed Constitutional Rights not to be abridged or infringed upon by the Government in further due process and equal protections violations that an independent, and neutral review must strike, vacate and void these proceedings, and not change the Murdaugh convictions or punishments, and include non-threatening, meaningful notice and meaningful right to be heard on the matters by P-Appellant’s if the court continues to violate its absolute duties and not do as demanded in this Motion, under such a challenge.

This Challenge also compares Murdaugh and the Asbestos cases before the Supreme Court also. Movants case tells on the LMCE, and the INSurance fraud and the Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRS that Murdaugh used and the 10th Cir used on P-Appellants by written and recorded admissions of some of their proxy murder for hire selections, to create catastrophic injury or wrongful death in P-Appellants to start the IF/IRF scam with Allinaz Corporate & Specialty (Allinaz), and both are a part of the LMCE also. The asbestos cases have a structural form of the IF/IRF scam but the State is mainly using Delaware to launder their scammed profit. Interestinly, the State needs the carrier for IF/IRF, but the are as aggressively going after the carriers in the Asbestos cases as they sent the proxies to destroy P-Appellants . . . all for money under the color of law.

So P-Appellants understand that this isn't just about Judgs and their RACKETEERNG accomplices trying to steal P-Appellants award and money launder that and much more ill gotten gain through the Allianz Reserve Account. The illegal LMCE for profit, tax-free operation under an Oligarchy is bring in billions, not millions, and that is another reason why P-Appellants case must be destroyed with limited pages permitted to keep P-Appellants from talking and showing others what is happening in SC. But that won't work. P-Appellants have long arms also that reach into many places without all the normal publicity. That was something very valuable learned a long time ago. . .keep your circles confidential and make sure they are ready

6

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

to act in all legal, nonviolent ways possible, mostly outside of court control to get this word out. Until then, P-Appellants are trickling this out to those with power and authority to be concerned about what is happening in SC.

So P-Appellant will continue to DEMAND.. . not request. . .CCONSTITUTIONAL QUARENTEED RIGHTS. . .NOT GIFTS OR FAVORS. THE COURTS ONLY HAVE THE POWER THE CONSTITUTION GRANTS THEM but that only works if the Courts/Judges are honest. When they get to the point of being defiant against the law, and doing "what thou whilst. . .as that is the whole of the law, that is when P-Appellants realize there is a bigger cause here, that must be addressed, with the courts of SC proving that to be so. The Courts P-Appellants are dealing with are actually getting worse and worse in criminal actions taken to end P-Appellants case by any means like Judge McIntosh did with the totally illegal Evidentiary Hearing right after they could not dismiss P-Appellants and with no discovery, depositions, subpoenas, witnesses and so forth, on just 20 pages and 10 days. . .including exhibits. . .on approximately 162 documents never contested by the Defense or if so, they never won, this and this fake and feigned hearing to end a 3 year old case where about 9 attorneys were on the case but kept running away, the more P-Appellants recorded and exposed them. This fake evidentiary hearing was approved by the Supremes to end the case. while not wanting one real fact to ever see the light of day. This is self-evident that this Appeals Court is fully Captured by what is herein written and Must Recuse and a Neutral Court/Judges

7

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

take over and enter our judgments and so forth and get this over with so P-Appellants can be on their way. They have NO desire to stay in this spiritually very dark state whose history is in profiting off slaves and the poor. . .very similar to now., for reasons stated herein. If anything here does not make sense, it was used in a different writing as Movant’s cannot see the screens nor type, and Dr. Fink has to fight off seizures and has lost 7 inches of height now in this last year due to the crushed vertebrae the Trial Court causes this cancer survivor of 76 years. Ruthless.

COMES NOW, Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A. and Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A., P-Appellants (hereinafter “P-Appellants”), Jointly and Pro Se, and move a NEUTRAL Court/Judges to **Strike, and Vacate the Murdaugh Supreme Court Appeal, as void *ab initio***, with all filings, hearings, opinions and actions, of the supreme court, and innocent parties rights be preserved and sanctions against the fraudsters and judgment entered as to the victims to make them whole and not punish them any further than the abuse of process/prosecution against the innocent already has. The lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction (SMJ) and Fraud on the Court by the court (and other agents of the state/Bar), and that this supreme court and clerk are refusing to file timely and properly the Challenge to SMJ, and the court refusing to get neutral judges to rule upon that (SMJ) CHALLENGE, and the FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT (and others who are pro-Murdaugh), through more deceit and vagueness, and ignoring

of the serious nature of the challenge and their illegal conduct in response, clearly shows there is No Judiciable Appeal (case in controversy in federal court), there is indeed much fraud on the court by the court and others involved, there was no SMJ Adjudication analysis by this court as a CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE to hearing this case in good faith and fraud-free, to evaluate that the guarantees of the Constitution are defended by this and other Courts/Judges, PRIOR to the hearing of the case, based on Inherent and Presupposed understanding of the writing, the clear intent, and oaths taken, regarding the Constitutions of the U.S., and SC, causing Structural Constitutional Violations by the Supreme Court, its lack of transparency in Feigned/Colluding the case, hiding this Challenge, lying about open court and unconstitutional court filings and FRAUDS ON THE COURT BY THE COURT/CLERK, Lack of neutrality, lack of CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE due process and equal protections by an independent, and neutral review.

Further, going forward without Neutral Judges determining the SMJ challenge, and then Neutral Judges taking over this matter either way, is a further obvious Fraud on the court by the Court and others. Since this court determined to be Judge and prosecutor/investigator in the same appeal, they bring forth the law/rule/canon that demands mandatory recusal Sua sponte. And since the Attorneys for the state nor Murdaugh answered the Challenge as a Judge cannot act as the prosecutor of the

issues, the Murdaugh Appeal is defaulted and must be dismissed/voided on many Constitutional Grounds.

There is still no Order on the Challenge of SMJ Jurisdiction and Fraud on the Court by the Court made by a Neutral Judge(s) or even the Supreme Court itself, and now the Appeals Court is further retaliating against P-Appellant's for filing such a good faith and very important Challenge and Fraud issues herein, and also to protect P-Appellant's own case where no law is being followed there either.

This challenge is being expanded to the Asbestos Docket¹ with this Motion being to also strike, vacate and void any of the State's position and award the victory

¹South Carolina has been designated as a "judicial hellhole" primarily due to its controversial asbestos litigation practices, which have raised concerns about judicial bias and overreach. Overview of the Judicial Hellhole Designation. (Internet summary)

The term "judicial hellhole" refers to jurisdictions where the legal environment is perceived as unfairly biased against defendants, often leading to excessive verdicts and a lack of accountability in the judicial process. South Carolina has been highlighted in this context, particularly regarding its handling of asbestos-related cases. (Internet Summary).

Key Issues in South Carolina's Asbestos Litigation

1. Increase in Asbestos Cases: South Carolina has seen a 300% increase in asbestos case filings from 2024 to 2025, making it the state with the largest percentage increase in the nation. This surge has raised alarms about the fairness of the legal process in the state.
2. Judicial Practices: The state's asbestos court, overseen by former Chief Justice Jean Toal, has been criticized for its bias against corporate defendants. Rulings have often favored plaintiffs, leading to what many describe as unfair trials and excessive verdicts.
3. Controversial Receivership Schemes: The court has been involved in appointing a single local trial lawyer as a receiver for various companies, including active foreign corporations. This practice has drawn international scrutiny and has been described as a form of judicial overreach, undermining the legal rights of companies outside the U.S..

10

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

to the Appellants/P-Appellants/victims, who are being victimized as a Court cannot hear cases without SMJ just as the Murdaugh case challenge and this Motion to Strike, Vacate, and Void is claiming with protections for the victims.

Both of these cases, Murdaugh/Laffitte, and the Asbestos Docket scam, are why P-Appellant's case is being buried by the courts, as P-Appellant's have caught Courts in the act of creating IF/IRF claims at the Trial Court level which both cases are based on this Long Con by the State, and P-Appellant's learned of this first through proxy testimony/admissions/recordings of the State trying to create catastrophic injury or wrongful death against P-Appellants for State profit with Allianz. P-Appellants continued to follow up and exposed this scheme in P-Appellants and Murdaugh/Laffitte, and see it in the basic framework of the Asbestos Docket as well, with Toal being the aggressor rather than P-Appellant's 10th Cir., Judges and other State

-
4. Impact on Businesses: The aggressive tactics employed in South Carolina's asbestos litigation have not only affected local businesses but have also led to international legal challenges. Courts in Canada and the U.K. have rebuked South Carolina's practices, indicating a broader legal crisis stemming from these judicial actions.
 5. Economic Consequences: The environment has resulted in significant economic repercussions, including job losses and increased costs for businesses operating in the state. The annual "tort tax" for South Carolinians is estimated at \$738, reflecting the financial burden of excessive litigation.
All from Internet summaries.

Conclusion

South Carolina's designation as a "judicial hellhole" highlights serious concerns about the fairness and integrity of its legal system, particularly in asbestos litigation. The combination of rising case numbers, perceived judicial bias, and controversial practices has led to significant scrutiny both nationally and internationally. As the situation evolves, it remains a critical area of concern for legal reform advocates and businesses alike.

11

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

actors, Lawyers, Allianz, and Proxies,² and follow up by P-Appellants. P-Appellants have also explained the Entrenchment and other aspects of SC Government that

²² RACKETEERS in P-Appellants case including, but are not limited to: **Allianz**, including with Allianz SIU agent, **Patricia Deamer**, and Claims Adjuster **Barbara Robedee** (hereinafter, "Allianz" or SIU, Claims Adjuster, Deamer and/or Robedee when appropriate), and **Dodd, Defense Attorneys Alan G. Jones**, and **Elizabeth Ann "Liz" Moore, Elizabeth McDaniels McMillian**, and later on April 5, 2023, **Richard Mebane, Michael Neubauer** all of McAngus, Goudelock, & Courie, LLC., (hereinafter "Jones, Moore, McMillian Mebane, Neubauer, Defense " and "MGC"), and **James "Trey" Cox, III, G. Richard Deloach, III, and Trevor Hughey** all of **Grier Cox, & Cranshaw, LLC**, (hereinafter, Cox, Deloach, Hughey and/or "GCC", and collectively ALL Defendant alleged representatives and their firms, "Defense"), and the **Judges J. Cordell Maddox, Jr., R. Lawton McIntosh, R. Scott Sprouse**, (hereinafter, Maddox, McIntosh, Sprouse), **Solicitor General David R. Wagner**, (hereinafter, "Wagner"), Former Detective and Private Eye with and for Wagner, **Jody McCurley** (hereinafter, "McCurley", father of **D-Appellee Jennifer Burdette**, hereinafter, Burdette), **all Defendants**, and **Court Mediator** put on notice of illegality and RACKETEERING if he proceeded in unlawful settlement of co-Plaintiffs which he did, a "mediator". Later, **Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamber**, (hereinafter, "Bamberg"), and **Judge Heath P. Taylor** (hereinafter, "Taylor"), became a part of the RACKETEERING by secretly manipulating the law/rules even more, and inserted themselves into P-Appellant's case to further fix it, with no standing or process/procedure that is legal, but is actually criminal.

² These are later additional RACKETEERS: **Attorney General Alan Wilson** (hereinafter, "AG or Wilson or State Actor"), **Governor Henry D. McMaster** (Governor or McMaster or State Actor), **Anderson County Sheriff's Department** ("Sheriff(s) or State Actor"), **William Blitch** (hereinafter, "Blitch" or "State Actor"), **SC Bar** (hereinafter, "SCBar" or "State Actor"), **Virginia State Bar/Virginia** (hereinafter, "VSB" or "State Actor"), **SC Commission on Judicial Conduct** (hereinafter, "CJC" or "State Actor"), **Office of Disciplinary Counsel** (hereinafter, "ODC" or "State Actor"), **Judicial Merit Selection Committee**, (hereinafter, "JMSC" or "State Actor") **SC Legislature** ("State Actor"), **Lisa M. Scott and the SC Court Reporters Association**, (hereinafter "Ct Reporter" or "State Actor"), and **SC Office of Court Administration and Cathy Loureena (Sosebee, Cooley, Campbell) Thomas**, ("Loureena" and "Admin" or "State Actor"), and **Scheduling Clerk Elizabeth Beasley** (hereinafter, "Scheduling Clerk, Clerk, Beasley" or "State Actor").

SOME IN THE NEWER GROUP OF KNOWN RACKETEERS: Chief Judge H. Bruce Williams, Judge Paula H. Thomas, Judge Aphrodite K. Konduros, Judge John D. Geathers, Judge Stephanie P. McDonald, Judge Blake A. Hewitt, Judge Jerry D. Vinson,

12

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.

§§§§

makes such LMCE crimes possible and clearly being perpetrated for their own tax-free profits under color of law.

Further, P-Appellants ignored SMJ CHALLENGE was improperly filed and hidden by the Court and then misnamed two days later when it was filed, and the Supreme court is refusing to rule on the SMJ CHALLENGE (Ex 5), but instead, to deceive the public more, it reviewed a long ago, withdrawn motion due to the courts own not filing it properly making it moot. Ex. 2. P-Appellant’s then received a Court letter saying the Parties didn’t respond, which should be a default. Ex 3. Ruling on withdrawn motions are also not a part of the Supreme Court’s SMJ Adjudicative power, but the Supremes then ruled on the withdrawn motions (Ex. 4), not the real motions before the court that must stop them from gong forward and make it known to the public that there is a SMJ challenge. They also could not hear it as the SMJ challenge and fraud on the court was about them as Judges, the Supremes, and the State, and Defense attorneys and Murdaugh. P-Appellants need a non-threatening, meaningful notice and meaningful

Jr. (joined 2022), Judge Matthew P. Turner (joined 2024), Judge Kristi F. Curtis (joined 2025), and Laffitte Partner, Jasmin D. Smith, and Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC.

Chief Justice Donald W. Beatty (served until 2024), Justice John W. Kittredge (Associate Justice in 2021; became Chief Justice in 2024), Justice Kaye G. Hearn (served until 2022), Justice John Cannon Few (continuing), Justice George C. James, Jr. (continuing), Justices Serving After 2021 (2022–Present), Justice D. Garrison Hill (joined 2023), Justice Letitia H. Verdin (joined 2024), Current Supreme Court (as of 2026): Chief Justice John W. Kittredge, Justice John Cannon Few, Justice George C. James, Jr., Justice D. Garrison Hill, Justice Letitia H. Verdin.

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

right to be heard on these and related matters before a neutral court, which calls for
recusal of all Supreme (And Appeal Court Judges) and all LMCE courts in SC from
hearing this matter, if there be any others.

Further, the Feigned/Colluded case at the trial court level affected all other
parts of the case other than the jury finding. That includes, but is not limited to the
Feigned/Colluded and conflicted out Becky Hill appealable issues with the
Feigned/Colluded Judge Toal hearing, the questionable and conflicted out Receivers
chosen, SLED, the Carriers, PMPED/Parker Law Firm, Palmetto State Bank, and others
positioned as alleged “victims” who received real victim money, the role of Lawyer-
Legislator Justin Bamber, Judge Heath P. Taylor, Dr. Donna Maddox, Attorney Emily
Limehouse, The AG/Defense Attorneys, Other Attorneys involved, among many others
who have shown huge conflicts of interest that are needing to be thoroughly
investigated by neutral outside investigators who are not beholden to the SC LMCE for
their part, if any in this Feigned/Colluded case that is not justiciable. Further, the
behavior of those involved in the Murdaugh 2.0 case (P-Appellants case), the
Murdaugh case, the Murdaugh appeal to this Supreme Court, and all Judges in the 14th
Cir., 10th Cir., and the Appeal and Supreme Court need to be investigated as well as
many in the Legislature for being a part of this LMCE that is evident in the Murdaugh
and P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case.

The state of SC is not permitted to act UTRA VIRES, the appeal must be stuck down in whole ab initio for lack of SMJ and other claims that go under the SMJ Analysis, and the State and Federal Court collusion in Laffitte (and maybe Murdaugh) must also be investigated for collusion and all advantages given to Murdaugh and/or Laffitte from these Feigned/Colluded hearings need to be stricken with the clean hands doctrine protecting the real victims, and pursuant to *Rule 240 SCACR, U.S.*

*Constitution, Article IV, § 4, Article III, § 2, and **SC Constitution**, Article 1, § 1; Article 1, § 8, Article 1, § 3, Article VI, § 5, Article III, § 2, Article V, Curtis v. State, 345 S.C. 557, 549 S.E.2d 591 (2001), Arbaugh v. Y & H Corp., 546 U.S. 500, 514 (2006), United States v. Cotton, 535 U.S. 625, 630 (2002), Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. V. Mottley, 211 U.S. 149 (1909), among many other Constitutional Law cases and cites herein.*

INTRODUCTION TO THIS CHALLENGE OF SMJ AND THE MOTION TO STRIKE, VACATE, FIND VOID AB INITIO AND PROTECT THE EQUITABLE RIGHTS OF THE INNOCENT WHO'S INTEREST MUST NOT BE VOIDED ALONG WITH THE DIRTY HANDS OF MURDAUGH, THE STATE, THE COURTS, AND ALL WHO FEIGNED/COLLUDED THIS FAKED APPEAL BY THE MURUDAGH TEAM. **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985):** Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

See more in the argument, however, **South Carolina Appellate Court: No Adjudication Without an Actual Controversy.** The South Carolina Court of Appeals explained the same concept:

“This Court will not pass on moot and academic questions or make an adjudication where there remains no actual controversy.” Ex. 1, *Sloan v. Greenville County*, Op. No. 20004-UP-277 (Ct. App. Oct. 22, 2008). Also see herein P-Appellant’s case, Murdaugh’s case and the Asbestos cases.

This reinforces that a suit must present a **real, live dispute** and not just a theoretical or manufactured issue. And certainly implies that the Court cannot also pretend along with the Feigned case from the Trial Court involving Judges, State Actors, Allianz, Defense Lawyers, Appellants own lawyer, Defendants/proxies of the Court, the Appeals Court and the Supreme Court and other State Actors.

All of these and more **Support the “Feigned/Colluded Case” Concept and how there is no SMJ Adjudication Power of the Court, and it must void the perpetrators and protect the victims of such Feigned/Colluded case, by Entering their Entries for Judgment and call a neutral jury to determine all aspects of their damages not listed, and Allianz must pay for all damages, while leaving P-Appellant’s open to suing all for additional damages they have gone through by not giving up, and having to be the pawn destroyed to save Murdaugh, and to not make aware the Asbestos victims of this LMCE scam.**

While South Carolina may not always used the exact wording “Feigned/Colluded case is void ab initio,” they have consistently applied **actual controversy/justiciability requirements** that accomplish the *same substantive rule*: **No court will decide issues that are contingent, hypothetical, or abstract. Courts reject controversies that are moot, academic, or not genuinely disputed.**

Therefore, a proceeding that is manufactured, purely hypothetical, or without true adverse parties *fails the justiciability requirement* and may not be decided. This is the South Carolina analogue to the federal **Article III prohibition on collusive or sham suits** — even if language like “void ab initio” may not always be used explicitly.

In summary, in SC, **“A justiciable controversy is a real and substantial controversy ... not a contingent, hypothetical or abstract dispute.”** *Byrd v. Irmo High School*, 321 S.C. 426, 430, 468 S.E.2d 861, 864 (1996).

“This Court will not pass on moot and academic questions or make an adjudication where there remains no actual controversy.” *Sloan v. Greenville County*, Ct. App. (2008).

SC Courts will **not decide a case unless a real, substantial, present controversy exists.**

These doctrines form the core **state-law analogue of the federal rule that “Feigned/Colluded , collusive, or sham suits are not cases or controversies.”**

SC state law language shows that judgments entered without a justiciable controversy are treated as void or subject to dismissal (in a mirror to the federal “void ab initio” doctrine), with a Southern Bank & Trust co., equitable or inherent power related finding for the innocent parties as the innocent cannot be punished for the wrongdoing of the fraudsters.

These dismissal must be held against the offending parties/Courts involved and not against the innocent party(s) who must win due to the fraud and trickery of the offending party/Court...so if a “Plaintiff” is being colluded against by both sides of the attorneys and the judges also ...like in P-Appellants case, and in the Asbestos cases, dismissing the case rewards the colluding parties/racketeers, and harms the victim Plaintiff. South Carolina (and federal) law recognizes as **dangerous when courts treat sham, collusive, or Feigned/Colluded cases mechanically by dismissal**, because dismissal without scrutiny can **reward the wrongdoer and harm the innocent party**. Courts have repeatedly held that when **fraud, collusion, or judicial participation in wrongdoing** occurs, the remedy is **not a simple dismissal**, but rather measures that preserve the rights of the victimized party must be taken, including sanctions against the fraudsters, and judgement for the innocent, i.e., P-Appellant’s and Asbestos victims.

SMJ Violations/Fraud on the Court / Collusion Against a Party or the Affected Person/Equitable Relief and :

In the Murdaugh case, all “parties and the Judges were colluding together to save Murdaugh. And Void finding must go against them. The REAL victims are those who had their awards stolen through various money laundering scheme by Murdaugh and others, as a part of the LMCE with the basic structure and some of the cases being IF/IRF scams used in the LMCE.

The Courts, including the Supreme Court, were the processing plant that had to protect their money they pilfered through these criminals and others, and had to save their LMCE Aristocratic predators on the front line, like Murdaugh and Laffitte, through state and federal collusion involving the attorneys and judges/Courts, Lawyer-Legislators like Justin Bamberg, alleged representing some REAL victims. . .not very well. . . responsible for the show trial/appeal, the State, the SC Bar, the JMSC, the CJC, Prosecutor/Defense, Murdaugh/Laffitte, other perjured witnesses, and so forth. **A voiding of all proceedings, which Is not what P-Appellants are claiming in any way, would be to harm the offended parties, and reward the wrongdoers, including the courts and their ACTORS.**

Here the REAL victims are not represented and a void judgment hurts them, if P-Appellants were challenging SMJ at the trial court level, which they are not, and instead are calling Fraud on the Court by the Court for the Supremes and specific Trial court practices, like narrowing the investigation to limit State sponsored Criminals/Crimes, like picking the Receivers, insisting the Receivership stay with the State rather than the Feds who could do it very cheaply, although still bias as seen in

the Gerbel/Limehouse/McMaster/Laffitte connections and actions, like not holding Mullen, Odom, Manning, PMPED/Parker, Palmetto State Bank, Carriers Becky Hill, Gergel, extremely liable and perhaps guilty of being part of the fraud, rather than have show trials/appeals for Murdaugh and Laffitte’s sake.

In Asbestos Cases: The victims are some of the Plaintiffs and some Corporations/Carriers. A voiding of the Appeal would be to harm the offended parties, and reward the wrongdoers, including the State/Courts and their LMCE. That is obvious not the intent of Justice.

Federal and SC Law agree with small changes in verbiage: Note in SC, the idea is the same and sometime the phrase “Case in Controversy” is used, but more accurate language is “not justiciable.” South Carolina law requires **adjudication on actual controversies** (see *Byrd v. Irmo High School*, 321 S.C. 426, 468 S.E.2d 861 (1996)) but also recognizes that: **Dismissal cannot be used to reward colluding parties** if one party is innocent and has been victimized. Courts may **vacate, set aside, restore rights, sanction and enter judgement against perpetrators**, when collusion, fraud, or judicial misconduct is evident **AND IT IS MORE THAN EVIDENT**, and P-Appellants did not even give most of the details they have researched yet but have already given more than enough to prove its points that do not have to be proven but just appearance of unfairness, and appearance of bias is enough. P-Appellant’s have gone much further than that herein and with attachments and other filings. **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C.**

50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Therefore, if attorneys or Judges are complicit in collusion against a Plaintiff, **the court must craft a remedy that protects the plaintiff**, not reward the perpetrators with dismissal.

Therefore: **Feigned or collusive cases, under the SC names that describe these descriptions of wrongdoing, are void as to the fraudsters but cannot harm the victim. Courts have equitable authority to unwind judgments or dismissals tainted by fraud/judicial misconduct and restore rights to the innocent party and enter judgment and preserve their additional rights to sue, or sanction the offenders and enter judgment for the innocent and other relief outlined herein.**

On the feigning/collusion/RACKETERING and so forth; Procedural dismissals must distinguish between colluding parties and victim parties; blanket dismissal would violate due process and fairness principles.

Federal Principle as an Equitable Clean Hands Doctrine strategy and Analogs to SC law: In *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238, 245–46 (1944):
“The judgment in this case was obtained by the use of a deliberately planned and carefully executed scheme to defraud not only the opposing party but the Court

itself... Tampering with the administration of justice is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public.”

The Equitable Clean Hands Relief for the Offended key point here is **when a court is duped by fraud and/or collusion, or a part of the fraud and/or collusion, the victim MUST NOT BE *penalized by automatic dismissal***. The Court vacated the judgment and restored the rights of the innocent party. This principle is cited to protect victims of collusion between opposing counsel and even the judge, however it still does not truly protect victims of such fraud/collusion etc.

However, in cases like the three herein an issue, especially, P-Appellant’s case, the case was greatly weakened by the RACKETEERING, there are deaths, witnesses that moved away, some Defendants no longer cooperative, old moot evidence, the opposing side destroyed evidence and made up other evidence, the Defense was running P-Appellant’s case through their stooge, Michael Dodd, and the strength of the case is not what it was, or anywhere near what it was. Plus, P-Appellants have lost 7 years of their lives and are older with physical health issues, including those caused by the Judges that are not going away without necessary surgery. Starting over is a major penalty for them doing all they could to stop the RACKETEERING and move their case forward with those frustrating the purpose. . .not just lawyers. . .but the state judges all the way up to and including the Supreme Court itself.

Federal Concept: Feigned/Collusive Proceedings: In *United States v. Johnson*, 319 U.S. 302, 305 (1943): **“Where one party dominates the conduct of the suit... the suit is collusive and lacks the honest and actual antagonistic assertion of rights indispensable to the integrity of the judicial process.”**

So, if the collusion involves **judicial participation**, courts cannot treat the “dismissal” of the suit as neutral where all sides have to start over. The remedy should **unwind the collusive scheme, where P-Appellant’s have provided more than enough information in spite the Appeals Court still not providing reasonable accommodations that are mandated, to further try to weaponize the disabilities the Court created and exacerbated, while limiting block time and pages in selective, Equal Protection violations/rights, to force a victory for them and their underlings, and the LMCE.**

A NEUTRAL COURT MUST BE ASSIGNED BY NEUTRAL STATE ACTORS IF ANY, and protect the party whose rights were violated. **That is the Murdaugh REAL victims, the Asbestos REAL victims, and P-Appellants.**

The P-Appellant’s have unwound more than enough conflicts, frauds, steering and State protections to show **ACTUAL BIAS** purposely incorporated by the STATE to force a victory for the LMCE perpetrators involved in these show trial/Appeals. The collusive scheme and evidence provided already, that the Supremes are hiding from the public. . .self-evident Fraud on the Court and Collusion. . .is enough to have all the

innocent parties and persons involved and affected in this protected from there LMCE predators.

P-Appellant’s need their entry of Judgement they filed in the Trial Court after showing many defaults by Defendants and crimes by the lawyers, and Judges being perpetrated, along with their SMJ Adjudication forcing entry of Judgement in full against Allianz no matter how much was stolen or given to others as a part of this scheme. P-Appellant’s also need a jury trial to determine additional damages that must be paid by Allianz in full, and Allianz can go collect indemnity from others unless. This is not P-Appellant’s problem. Further, P-Appellant’s bar on any statute of limitations while being stonewalled herein must be stayed so P-Appellant’s have additional time to sue all parties for all this collusive/RACKETTERING/RICO, AND Civil Right violations and so forth that are still not even presented and/or heard yet.

In P-Appellant’s case, **Murdaugh 2.0**, P-Appellant’s provided much evidence and many examples regarding two main Unconstitutional secrets of SC Government, that clearly show it is not a Constitutional Government, in spite of SC government claims to Defend the Constitutions of SC and the U.S. for the People.

P-Appellants’ case addresses and illustrates the lack of self-determination evident in all freedom that are protected by fair and unbiased laws in the Constitutions, that are lost or that never really existed in SC government in spite of its claims; a government that gives the perception of liberty with no real laws that the SC government actually follows

that would uphold and defend these Constitutions, but for what the Kings of the SC Oligarchy grant.

Specifically, P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case:

1) Shows that the SC Government has been totally captured by an Aristocratic/Groomed Elite with similar Unconstitutional goals, and no accountability, that provide it profits at the expense of the exploited People, using the lure of a free State, i.e. its controlled Courts, as a ruse that baits people into its trap for perpetrators’ tax-free profit, all called the **Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, (LMCE)**. This LMCE is run by one merged branch of government, not three as the People are told. . .this one branch is the Aristocratic/Groomed Elites branch, that has a relational, profit mindset for all of them under the guise of three branches of government with no real checks and balances nor Separation of Powers. SC is one Oligarchy wearing three different wardrobes to create a perception of Constitutionality that is only illusory.

2) Show that this LMCE in SC, under color of law, perpetrates specific types of crimes it creates by victimizing People or comes upon victimized People naturally, and either way, the state directs both into a single processing plant called the SC Courts/Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, that allows the state to steal the awards or inheritances or other such funds, from any and all of those victimized People they process. The State LMCE then needs money laundering operations of the dark money proceeds these state thieves produce, to remain hidden from the People, under such schemes as the **Insurance**

25

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud Long Cons (IF/IRF), a multi-layered series of crimes, where some crimes and cover ups are more complex and layered than others, but all are attempts to hide the vast scope of the state crimes and the large numbers of state criminals involved using IF/IRF structure to attain its goals.

P-Appellants and Murdaugh are typical, fairly straight forward IF/IRF schemes, but are still very difficult for the Public to see it without the education of knowing it exists in advance of these “show trials” and “show appeals,” due to the public thinking in terms of a criminal and a crime or if more criminals, all working in a see through manner once caught.

In IF/IRF, many criminals are involved around the state, and the actual scope of the crimes and ruthlessness of the crimes are beyond understanding for those not exposed to the LMCE. So when the State prosecutes these few criminals and few crimes on TV, the audience may ask why didn’t they investigate this person, or that situation, or call this person to the stand or ask that question, it appears like the Prosecutor is choosing his plan based on some legalese of the law that permits him to have to do things a certain way, when in fact the audience is right, but defers to those “who know better.” Thus, the made for TV Murdaugh saga has everyone talking about Becky Hill wanting to sell books rather than Becky Hiers Hill being a State ACTOR and Aristocratic KIN to Murdaugh Dynasty giving him obvious Appealable issues to have another bite at the apple with a friendly State Court ready to overturn the conviction due to Becky Hiers Hill’s “mistake,” or “greed”, rather than the State is working to free Murdaugh, one of their LMCE perpetrators, who got caught.

They are giving Murdaugh him a fresh bite at the apple, by using other State ACTORS to get it for him, where the Supreme Court can overturn the conviction and so forth.

P-Appellant’s motion to Challenge SMJ and Murdaugh’s appeal based on this FEIGNED/COLLUDED case, which was staged for the public, and all proof/illustrations of the above, are purposely ignored by the Court and the Clerk working together to keep those filings from the public, while going forward with the appeal of Murdaugh, which are extremely illegal and criminal actions, that show how much the LMCE Courts need Aristocrat Murdaugh freed. They are using Murdaugh, the AG’s office (Waters), and the Defense ex-Senator (Harpootlian) to make it look like the Courts are so fair that they would even allow such an unlikeable person as Murdaugh to have a fair trial using State ACTOR kin Hill as the gift-giver for fellow Aristocrats to applaud behind the scenes. This is all FEIGNED/COLLUDED . . .it is Theatre.

Harpootlian actually lost his Senate Race during this time allegedly “defending” Murdaugh, because this process of freeing the Aristocrats and keeping the LMCE and its IF/IRF schemes (and others) a secret from the public is bigger than one LMCE man’s “election” when they have many Oligarchical candidates to replace him. But if the LMCE/IF/IRF is understood by the People, the entire Oligarchy collapses as it is built on lies and illusions.

This is why the Murdaugh-P-Appellant Murdaugh 2.0 cases are so important where P-Appellant’s case must be hidden and buried to save Aristocrat Murdaugh and the Oligarchy he works for.

In the Asbestos Docket cases, run by Phi Beta Kappa member, ex-Chief Judge of the Supremes, Jean Toal, for Phi Beta Kappa member, present Chief of the Supremes Judge John Kittredge, the scheme is the same as Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0, but it is an extremely complex IF/IRF scheme that is harder to follow due to the many more layers of funneling and washing the dark money and distribution on a trip through Delaware. . .a state that is any corporation’s friend. The problem is the STATE of SC is not supposed to be a corporation, i.e. it is not supposed to be Courtroom, Inc., but a Constitutional government with transparency in all it does.

This complexity of the Asbestos Docket scams are described by some victims of Mesothelioma, but mostly by Corporations that are revived or are healthy but still put into receiverships by Judge Toal, and a receiver is appointed, usually new Judicial Merit Selection Committee member Attorney Peter Protopapas, to control the corporation without justification, with he and several other lawyer legislators controlling the Asbestos laws and/or the Judges who decide where this money is distributed and the process it goes through for washing. The goal is in part to get to the Insurance Carrier who insured the Corporation, even if the Corporation is extinguished or whether it is not and may even be in

good standing without any judgments for such actions, receiving no notice or right to be heard in a meaningful way and meaningful time.

SC Government has been so aggressive in collecting billions of unaccountable dollars from secret Plaintiffs, if any, that they are actually grabbing businesses from overseas and performing these Toxic Strategies on them also where there is no Subject Matter Jurisdiction in Adjudication, nor Personal Jurisdiction many times. And the Supremes are the adjudicator to decide if they get to keep plundering for profit or whether they should alter how they do this, that will still be against the law.

What is known to many is highly suspect, even if giving the Supremes the benefits of exponential doubts. Obviously, the case gives at least an appearance of impropriety and financial interests by the State ACTORS and cannot be permitted, nor can they hear their own case under the LMCE/IF/IRF schemes P-Appellant’s case is exposing. This is why P-Appellants and their case are being hidden and destroyed to save the LMCE and its IF/IRF structure for its money making schemes using the public as its cash cow by baiting them into the Litigation Vortex Courts for processing, where they never appear again. . . .with money. (See, Dr. Kennedy’s “Holodeck Law” on the Litigation Vortex and Triangle and Two Defense, CITE, FN____).

IN REGARD TO SMJ ADJUDIATION: Under the **ALLEGED** Guaranteed Rights of the People BY THE CONSTITUTIONS OF SC AND THE U.S., Courts have a mandate they must follow to first, as a **CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITES** of hearing a case, they must

29

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

determine if they have Subject Matter Jurisdiction. Most adjudicators decided just to look at whether the topic can be heard by the Court/Judge, rather than going through the entire process of determining the many ways one can be limited and not granted Subject Matter Jurisdiction. As the Court state in *Steele*, there are many, many different jurisdictions that must be determined before a Court/Judge is granted Constitutional Power and Authority to hear a case.

According to the Framers of the U.S. and SC Constitutions, and early case law, Judges are not to be trusted any more than any other man/woman, and are capable to grab power when they have the opportunity to do so, according to the Framers and common sense, this check and balance that is protected by the SMJ Adjudicative Analysis is so important for Judges to first take the Oath and have a sincerely held belief in the Oaths to uphold and defend the Constitutions of the U.S. and SC. They must take those Oaths before they ever have an opportunity to serve the people and to determine SMJ in a case through analysis, not just because they wear a black robe. If no oath to defend the Constitutions. . . then there is no hearing the case.

The Oath is not an exercise, but is a structural part of a Constitutional hearing, otherwise anyone could walk into court and sit up on the bench and make decisions on other people's cases and even steal their awards, like Murdaugh/Laffitte and MANY OTHERS not disclosed by the State, and also like in P-Appellant's case where Judges, lawyers, Allianz, State Actors, Proxies and many more RACKETEERED to steal P-Appellant's

award and money launder it with many other dark state money from illicit other crimes through the LMCE, in P-Appellants Insurance Reserves with Allianz. Admissions from Defendant-Proxies and others along with recorded interviews with many admit to being proxies for these Judges which set up the claims with Allianz, so they could run this Murdaugh-style racket on P-Appellants. (this involved the proxies creating catastrophic injury or wrongful death targeting P-Appellant’s, long time whistle blowers against the LMCE in Virginia as attorneys. And the proxies also identified the Virginia State Bar being a part of this scheme in their own admissions in writing, where the VSB had nothing to do with this suit on its face and P-Appellants had not spoken with them for about 20 plus years).

There are very Constitutional structural reasons for Judges to have to sincerely believe in and take the Oath before they ever evaluate their power to adjudicate any case, and Defending the Constitution is in the oath the Judges swore to uphold and defend.

Further, the burden is on the Judge and person brining the case, if that person knows facts at the time of the assignment of the Judge that might violate the Oath, and must bring those facts forward and not take advantage of insider knowledge that would Unconstitutionally help one side win because they share financial or other interests with the Judge that would help their side win. But the onus is on the Judges who is most likely to know of the Unconstitutional grounds where they must recuse before the case ever starts, sua sponte (see argument). It is not up to the victim to prove the Court is bias, and an

appearance of bias is more than enough. But the judges must honor his oath or he violates his SMJ Analysis to have any power to hear the case at all and the case is void ab initio.

In the U.S. and in SC, SMJ analysis there are many various ways for a judge to not be able to hear a case even if the Court/Judge can hear the topic. The oath is the foundation for constitutional guarantees to be protected and defended by the Judge. These are not mere suggestions and cannot be treated as such. They are guarantees.

All of these and other reasons for why SMJ challenged, but not yet heard, in spite of the law, and fraud on the court by the court, which continues, even though the Supreme Court is supposed to have supervisory power over the others courts, but takes part in all that they are supposed to police, Under SC Constitution Article V §5.

This is proof positive that all the alleged “improvements” by the Court and legislators, and bills being passed that pass the power from the Lawyer-Legislator, to the Governor are lipstick on a pig, where they look better but it is just skin deep and the same Aristocratic power is in force and effect. And the quarterly reports by the Supreme Court, who cannot be honest in this matter, and cannot hold their own accountable but instead protect them, using the same Unconstitutional tactics as their underlings, to try to create a perception of fairness, by relabeling, refusing to file, filing late to avoid the actions intended by the motion, rule on items that are moot and are not issues that SMJ permits to be heard, using the wrong rules and laws to stop valid motions, using elderly abuse and exploitation and denial of ADA qualified disabilities **Ex, 6, ADA**, while weaponizing and even physically

injuring the litigants, while stealing their awards, inheritances and so forth, and forcing elderly to spend retirement on refiling the same motions, because they court won't rule, but will say they did and won't clarify, and will write letters of alleged Orders which is not an Order and not appealable, and so forth. . .and the corruption is very deep and not one so far has been an honest participant. . .NOT ONE.

So, McMaster's, who is related to the Laffitte's also, and who is involved in this RACKETEERING, is more of that lipstick and nothing will change until the People themselves oversee government. These are Constitutional Structural Violations by the actual Judges against ones life, liberty and property interests, and must be examined in the SMJ Adjudication analysis as the most severe of all Fraud on the Court by the Court, that go to the very heart of justice and injustice with fixed courts willing to take ones life in an underlying case they are involved in to file claims and steal awards of the dead or dying. This is Epstein-like ruthlessness being discussed here.

NEUTRAL COURTS MANDATORY WHEN AND RACKETEERING COURTS are
IGNORING P-APPELLANTS MOTION TO CHALLENGE SMJ AND FRAUD ON THE COURT
BY THE COURT: P-Appellants move a Neutral COURT and JUDGES not in the LMCE, to hear the Challenge on SMJ and Fraud on the Court by the Court Motion filed February 11, 2026, but misfiled late to hide the Motion and never rule on it while the Supremes continue to go forward with the Murdaugh matter, and to do so and **without interests**, and to **STRIKE the Pro/Con Murdaugh arguments and any findings, opinions, Orders, Rules regarding and**

33

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

all matters before February 11, 2026, all matters during the February 11, 2026 hearings in the Murdaugh hearing, and all matters after the Murdaugh hearing of February 11, 2026, including but not limited to the hearing itself, the Courts purposeful delay in filing P-Appellants SMJ Challenge, even though it was reviewed before the Murdaugh case was heard, a refusal to properly label a SMJ Jurisdiction Challenge to deceive the Public, a purposeful vague entries on non-motions to further deceive the public instead of ruling on the SMJ Challenge/Fraud on the Court by the Court motions, and to vacate Murdaugh’s attempt to have any of his convictions or punishments changed or reduced, and all as void *ab initio*, in the above-referenced appeal, and any Orders or opinions or other directions, briefs by State or Defense, are also void *ab initio*, regarding Murdaugh. The two vague entries by the clerk that were written to not deal with anything P-Appellant’s filed are: “02/24/2026 Motion – No Return” and No Icon “02/18/2026 Correspondence – Incoming (Other)” with Icon.

EQUITABLE PROTECTION FOR THE INNOCENT: Because VOIDING EVERYTHING can hurt innocent people, AND ASSIST UNCLEAN HANDS, P-Appellants also demand that the victims in this matter are not hurt in any way and that these Feigned/Colluded proceedings do not punish innocent or assist the guilty in any further attempts to avoid justice BY BLANKET VOIDING.

SUPREMES USE DECEPTION TO HIDE THE CHALLENGE AND RULE ON MOOT MOTIONS THEY ALSO HAVE NO SMJ TO RULE UPON AS A COVER-UP: When the Court

finally decided to address the Four motions P-Appellant's filed: #1) SMJ Challenge; #2) Fraud on the Court by the Court; #3) ADA Reasonable Accommodations to perform. . #4) in the ALTERNATIVE ONLY...FILE A LATE AMICUS BRIEF TO DUE ADA DISABILITY LIMITATIONS.

When the Court reviewed the 4 motions AGAIN DAYS LATER, and in particular #1 on SMJ, the Supremes ignored it, and refused to file them, which is illegal, then failed to file it for 2 days, which is illegal. When the Clerk finally filed the Motion , they called it #4 about Alternative filing of an Amicus brief which was the least and conditional filing, to make sure the public was not made aware of the SMJ Challenge.

P-Appellant's withdrew in writing, #3-4 LONG BEFORE THEY WERE RULED UPON, because they were moot once the Court went forward anyway in spite of the SMJ Challenge/Fraud that required the Court to stop and have neutral judges review and if they chose to, go forward with the new judges as the Supremes are the subject of the Challenge and cannot preside over their own case.

Instead, the Supremes went forward right away, and later gave an Order on #3 and #4, which had long since been withdrawn, making the Supremes also not have SMJ over #3 and #4. NOBODY IS THIS IGNORANT AND SHOWS HOW THE COURTS OPENLY FRUSTRATE THE REAL PURPOSE OF MATTERS BEFORE THEM TO GET THEIR INTERESTS BULLDOZED THROUGH THEIR OWN FOR-PROFIT SYSTEM TO SAVE THEIR LMCE AND SCHEMES LIKE IF/IRF AND THEIR LMCE PERPETRATORS.

35

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

The goal of the Supreme Court TO DIVERT ATTENTION FROM THE REAL ISSUES RAISED, is to focus on any motions other than SMJ/FRAUD, because they are hiding this challenge from the public, AND THEY MUST STOP ALL PROCEEDINGS UNTIL THESE ARE DECIDED BY NEUTRAL ADJUDIATORS SINCE THEY ARE NOT. All of this was recorded by P-Appellants to keep accuracy in the face of such great deception.

Any Court that acts like this, with such manipulation and deception to twist and hide the law from the public, has interest in the case at hand, as it is much easier to just follow the law and would keep the Courts from suspicion if they just followed the law including the Constitution. When they don't follow the law, is self-evident that they have financial and other interests in freeing or reversing Murdaugh for their bigger purposes (see Laffitte strategies).

Why SMJ Adjudication is so important to a free country and state: This is a great illustration of why SMJ in Adjudication must be analyzed before any judge receives the power to preside over any case, and this analysis must be completed BEFORE, as a CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE to guarantee the People's GUARANTEED RIGHTS IN THE CONSTITUTION THAT THE JUDGES TAKE OATHS TO FOLLOW. . . TO DEFEND THE CONSTITUTIONS. When the Oaths are not sincerely held belief's and the casere being Feigned/Colluded and fixed from the onset, and any after the fact Constitutional reviews of violations of same, are then viewed as "mere suggestions", then the Constitution has effectively been rewritten by Judges who are then under no law.

36

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

WHAT MURDAUGH, ASBESTOS DOCKET CASES AND P-APPELLANT’S CASE HAVE IN COMMON THAT P-APPELLANT’S HAVE EXPOSED AND ARE PROVING IS TRUE, THAT THE SC STATE MUST KEEP HIDDEN FROM THE PUBLIC: This situation then leads to the Courts able to run crimes through the Courts, under color of law, since these writings (See **Ex. 1** also), prove that there is no law, but what the King of the Courts grants, and because the King also has final say over whether the Courts/judges are acting unconstitutionally or deserve punishment, then the entire system becomes an Oligarchical form of Government, where a risk/reward analysis replaced the Constitution. There is not risk but all reward for those who control this Oligarchy.

And who particularly then runs this Oligarchy, that controls their own secret policing, with no punishments for their fellow like minds? In SC, it is easy to trace who is in charge, and their heritage, of those who were in charge before them. It is there Aristocrats, like the Murdaugh, and Laffitte Dynasties, and their Groomed Elite, which also includes many other “leaders” of SC, which is shown in examples herein.

Under this Oligarchy, where they have logically created their for-profit, tax-free, Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE), under color of law, because they have made themselves the law, they have instituted Long Cons like Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRF) schemes that involved Murdaugh/Laffitte frauds, the Asbestos Docket, and P-Appellant’s case, among many others as this is a state wide criminal syndicate in SC.

37

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

What both Murdaugh/Laffitte and the Asbestos Docket have in common with P-Appellants, is that P-Appellants case, Dr. Kennedy and Dr. Fink identified this IF/IRF scheme, as Dr. Kennedy worked in the Insurance Industry, training claims adjusters and warning them of this very serious crime and how to spot it, and they also had Judges and other LMCE perpetrator Proxies, give over their Defendant Group Texts, admitting to working with these Judges and others long before P-Appellant’s ever had a case, to create catastrophic injuries, or wrongful death in P-Appellant’s for reasons described herein, but for IF/IRF purposes, this would create a claim that the Judges and others could then conspire with Allianz Corporate & Specialty to steal the award meant for P-Appellants, and then to use Allianz Reserve Accounts to launder this Dark Money along with millions or more other Dark Money from other criminal activity by the state and place it into the Allianz Reserves to fully wash and disburse to parties unknown to P-Appellant’s case, which is all hidden from the public.

P-Appellant’s case has exposed this state-wide scheme and has shown that these Courts are used as perpetrators and as cleaners, where in Aristocratic Murdaugh/Laffitte situation, the Courts and their State Actors, create Feigned/Colluded investigations, trials/appeals and even provide appealable issues to assist those Aristocrats that will be convicted by a jury for purposes of placating the public. . .and still reduce punishment or overturn punishment on appeal, which is what happened to Laffitte and the Courts are trying now to do with Murdaugh, after the Federal Court did likewise with Laffitte. When the

actions behind the scene are summarized by P-Appellant's, the public will be shocked at how much ex parte orchestration, waste of energy and tax-payer funds it takes the State/Feds to carry out such legal theatre.

The Asbestos case is an even more complex IF/IRF case, that creates Plaintiffs, that are secret, that creates litigation out of thin air, like proxies trying to create catastrophic injury or wrongful death of P-Appellants' and then controls the claim, like the Judges/Allianz and other State Actors, lawyers, firms and Proxies did with P-Appellant's case (see the full list of Defendants...that continue to grow in **FN_____**).

For all these reasons, the State needs to silence and destroy P-Appellant's and bury their meritorious case in the Appeals Court right at the same time that Murdaugh and the Asbestos cases are going through their Feigned/Colluded hearings, using the same, well-worn tricks and deceit as the other Courts use. . .as it is a LMCE operating as one. They will continue to do so, if not stopped, and they will continue to make sure that their exposure of IF/IRF, the LMCE, and the Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Entrenchment of the SC Government stays a secret and a for-profit, tax-free Oligarchy actually running SC. . .it is not a Constitutional Republic and it is not held to any laws or rules, but what it decides for its own profit or whim, while posing as a Constitutional Republic.

P-Appellants are not arguing for Murdaugh nor are they arguing for the state, but arguing that Murdaugh and his Defense, the State, and the Supreme Court are all on the same side Feigned/Colluding this case like so many others and therefore the Supreme

Court cannot hear this case, as they have no SMJ Adjudicative Power and the matter is not justiciable (does not have a Case in Controversy in Fed law), as well as for many other reasons herein stated.

P-Appellants also are for real Plaintiffs and Corporations/businesses involved in the Asbestos Docket in spirit, who are being played by the SC Courts/State, when in fact the State/Insurance Industry is again, working together to create this much more complex IF/IRF scheme and even incorporating Delaware to further hide the Long Con's financial flow and names of Plaintiffs and settlement amounts, that are being disbursed to Lawyer-Legislators and other State ACTORS, like Judge Jean Toal, and if traced, it will mostly likely being going to the very Judges presiding over this and other cases and who oversee and protect the entire Legal Industry and its predators.

However, P-Appellant's intent is to show that the Courts hearing of Murdaugh and Asbestos Docket appeals are causing P-Appellant's case to be destroyed by the Courts to hide it from the public as it brings the cases together and exposes the LMCE and IF/IRF schemes it uses.

Therefore, **for all reasons in this Motion and Motion #1, #2 in the Actual Challenge, the Supremes have no SMJ to hear any of the three case** (nor does the appeals court, as they cannot judge their own wrong doing and the cases are Feigned/Colluded and known to be Unconstitutional at the very start where no SMJ analysis has occurred granting any authority for the Supremes to hear their own case and determine

40

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

whether they are guilty or liable or not, and the victims (Real plaintiffs, and victimized Corporations) of such must be granted the victory through the clean hands doctrine. “Jurisdiction is power to declare the law, and when it ceases to exist the only function remaining to the court is that of announcing the fact and dismissing the cause.” *Ex parte McCardle*, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514 (1869), and to allow unclean hands to benefit in any way goes against all principles of American Jurisprudence written into the Constitution by presupposition, framer intent, and common sense, which equitable relief must be granted to these victims to protect innocent persons (like P-Appellants, victims of Murdaugh, and victims of the Asbestos Docket) from the SC LMCE who is insisting in presiding over their own guilt/liability.

Prosecutor/Defense counsel not answering the SMJ Challenge and Fraud on the court by the Court (Ex 1.), further have defaulted as the Judges cannot act as prosecutors, once they stated the Defense/State declined to answer. That is a DEFAULT! No judge can save the default by realizing they cannot answer and just refuse to act thereafter. That is a default also. Refusing to act is an act. Refusing to answer is an answer. Default!

PROTECTING THE INNOCENT IN VOIDING CASES: Feigned or collusive cases are void as to the fraudsters but do not automatically harm the victim. Courts have equitable authority to unwind judgments or dismissals tainted by fraud/judicial misconduct/oppositions to the challenger, and restore rights to the innocent party. Procedural dismissals must distinguish between colluding parties and victim parties;

blanket dismissal would violate due process and fairness principles. **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985):** Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Therefore, if attorneys and/or Judges are complicit in collusion against a Plaintiff, **the court must craft a remedy that protects the Plaintiff/victim**, not reward the perpetrators with dismissal. Sanctions can be used to enter Judgment for the innocent.

This proper remedy approach when a Court and some or all of its lawyers have committed great frauds on the court, including by the Court, the **Remedy Approach** is to **Void ab initio against wrongdoers**: The judgments or actions orchestrated by collusion/judges are treated as **null and void**. And at the same time, the Neutral Court must **preserve rights of victims**: Innocent parties may proceed as if the collusion never occurred. Courts can, for example: **a.** Reopen proceedings, **b.** Vacate fraudulent dismissals, **c.** Protect the plaintiff’s claims, and **d.** Enter Judgement for the victims as a sanction and call a jury to hear other damages in the case. e. preserved the statute of limitations so the injured party can further sue the colluders/RACKETEERS on RIC O, Civil Rights Violations, Sherman Act, Immunity, and other such claims and Causes of Action.

These principle are summarized in *Hazel-Atlas*: the law must **protect the integrity of judicial process and the rights of those wronged by collusion or fraud**, not shield perpetrators. **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even** “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Therefore, if attorneys and/or Judges are complicit in collusion against a Plaintiff, **the court must craft a remedy that protects the Plaintiff/victim**, not reward the perpetrators with dismissal.

In summary then if a Plaintiff, P-Appellant or Victim is victimized by **collusion between opposing attorneys and the judge**, one opposing side and the Judges, or the Judges themselves that favor one side, the remedy **cannot be a dismissal that rewards the conspirators**. Instead, courts must treat the proceedings **as void against the colluders**, restore the plaintiff’s standing, and adjudicate the claims on the merits if possible, if the case was ruined by such actions, enter judgment for the victim(s). This principle **protects both fairness and actual justice**.

In all matters, through NETURAL investigation or reinvestigation of Murdaugh (independent review of the Laffitte/Westendorf, Mullen, Manning, Odom, Price, Receivership, CARRIERS, PALMETTO STATE BANK, PMPED/PARKER LAW FIRM, CORY FLEMING LAW FIRM AND SO FORTH,); Of the Asbestos Docket and cases (the entire

process of the IF/IRF scheme being used in these cases, the money made, the redirection of these funds through Delaware and disbursements, the names and settlement amounts of actual Plaintiffs to verify them, the tax records of all recipients of money that were not real victims, the carriers role and records, etc.), and in P-Appellant’s meritorious IF/IRF case, and all records and files with all powers of Neutral non-LMCE agents investigating frauds and crimes against the people by the Courts and their agents in the LMCE.

“A judgment rendered in the absence of jurisdiction is void.” *United States v. Corrick*, 298 U.S. 435, 440 (1936). “Where there is no jurisdiction there can be no judgment.” *Valley v. N. Fire & Marine Ins. Co.*, 254 U.S. 348, 353 (1920). See also, *Marbury v. Madison*, and the *Unclean Hands doctrine in protecting victims of these Feigned/Colluded cases*.

Also P-Appellants demand a neutral Court/Judges without interests including LMCE interests, STRIKE AND Order VOID ab initio, the fake Order by the Supreme Court on an alleged Amicus Brief that was long ago moot by P-Appellant notice to the Court, because it was misfiled and delayed filing by the Court and Clerk and was no longer ripe due to their malfeasance, in the record. P-Appellants in good faith filed as a 4th alternative to their filing of a SMJ and Fraud on the Court by the Court claim, which they did file (the others were timely withdrawn and all were misfiled by the court on purpose). The Court fraudulently naming the SMJ Motion, and the Fraud on the Court Motion a “Amicus Curie” Brief, when they know it is wrong, and is moot, but is hiding the other very important motions that must be acted upon immediately, the Court actually loses jurisdiction over

that Amicus Motion that was only made in the alternative, and was timely withdrawn long before the Court Feigned/Colluded a ruling to pretend that that is what was before the court in outlandish acts of complete corruption and deceit. *Defunis v. Odegaard*, 416 U.S. 312 (1974), see argument. See, *Steele Co. v. Citizens for A Better*, 523 U.S. at 94, quoting *Ex parte McCardle*, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506 (1869), in argument.

When Jurisdiction, which is “the power to declare the law,” . . .”when it [power to declare the law] ceases to exist, the only function remaining to the court is that of announcing the fact and dismissing the cause, See, *Steele Co. v. Citizens for A Better*, 523 U.S. at 94, quoting *Ex parte McCardle*, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506 (1869), which was supposed to be done in the Murdaugh February 11, 2026 hearing, with the Judges announcing the fact that P-Appellants filed a SMJ Adjudication motion, which the Judges were only to **“announce that fact and dismiss the cause.”** See Supra, and the Court has not ruled on SMJ/Fraud on the Court by the Court, , which they still have not done, using even more deception and hard core bait and switch tactics that the Trial and Appeals Court are using, so there is no Constitutional review whatsoever in SC LMCE Courts. **See *Steele Co.* quoting *Ex parte McCardle*. And “for a Court to pronounce upon the meaning or the constitutionality of a state or federal law when it has no jurisdiction to do so is . . . ultra vires.” *Steele Co.*, @ 101-102.** The last two entries by the Court Clerk are: “02/24/2026 Motion – No Return” with No Icon of filing anything. The second last one, “02/18/2026 Correspondence – Incoming (Other)” with an Icon that shows, the withdrawal

of the ADA/Amicus Motions because they are moot due to Court malfeasance. The lawyers have defaulted on their answer and the case must be dismissed/vacated/voided for all the reasons given herein.

So, the last entry on “no return” means there is no Orders, on unnamed Motions, which is so Unconstitutional and so criminal, that it is self-evident that the **Supremes are running an Oligarchy, and a LMCE**, and they will not be following any law but use the Courts to feigned and protect themselves, their LMCE, and their Aristocratic Perpetrators, like Murdaugh with no concern for the Constitution.

This also proves why SMJ Adjudication is intended to be a part of the analysis, as to whether a court/judge can even hear the case, and protects from guaranteed Constitutional Rights being trampled upon by these Unconstitutional Judges and Courts. Finally, it also proves that Fraud on the Court by the Court (and its agents), will never allow Constitutional rights to be respected, if they do not want to, because reviews of Constitutional Guarantees are treated as “suggestions” not as guarantees under the Oaths because tyranny is operating and overseeing the Courts, and government as seen herein.

Due to the Court refusing to cease or appoint Neutral Judges to determine same after notice and right to be heard by P-Appellant’s, all judges and State and Defense ignored the SMJ Challenge, and went forward with the Murdaugh hearing anyway, and now are continuing to hide the motions filed, all of these and more are reasons that the Murdaugh Appeal is not only void ab initio, but must be struck from the record, and a vacate must be

entered making the appeal as to the Murdaugh, and also the Asbestos case void, against the Supreme Court Appeal, by a neutral set of Judges and the Victims of these frauds and feigns, be made whole through the Southern Bank & Trust Co., findings to protect the innocent involved. Same with P-Appellant’s case at the Appeals Court level. They need their Entries of Judgement entered and all other benefits of a full victory against the same Feigning and Fraud on the Court findings as they describe herein.

The Court must **file the SMJ Challenge of Defendants timely and by its real name, must review it AFTER filing by Neutral judges and not Judges that are the subject of the challenge**, because it reviewed it before the Murdaugh case started on February 11, 2026, the court **MUST STOP THE MURDAUGH PROCEEDINGS IMMEDIATELY** and have a **NEUTRAL, NON-LMCE COURT HEAR THE CHALLENGE**, at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner with P-Appellants. Ruling on a moot matter that was misfiled and mislabeled does not cure the SMJ violations, nor does being silent and totally hiding then ignoring the first two motions, making this case VOID AB INITIO with equity for victims.

The fact that the scienter is clear from the Courts hiding and then mislabeling the Motions, and the Supremes later ruling on withdrawn motions and not the SMJ/Fraud on the court by the court motions, taken by the Court and Clerk under the Supreme Courts direction, is very strong proof of a Rule 60(b) Fraud on the court claim as the actions are self-evident of the frauds claimed, which leads back to the SMJ Challenge

47

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

in that the Courts were Feigned/Colluded from the start, based on evidence herein presented and more.

Supreme and Appeal Court Judges Acting as Prosecutors caused Defaults by Murdaugh in his Appeal: State and Defense must answer and be present for the hearings or default, as the Court cannot be judge and prosecutor/accuser at the same time, **See In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133 (1955) in argument, which means besides the case being void ab initio, MURDAUGH DEFAULTED ON APPEAL by not answering his own side of the challenge and the Appeal is OVER, and also the Neutral Judges must rule on the SMJ Challenge Motion before any other actions are taken.**

Further, because the Judges cannot decide a case in which they have an interest, which is all of the Supreme and Appeals Court plus many other SC Judges/Courts in the LMCE, as illustrated by the 14th And 10th Cir., in this Challenge and motion to strike. *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510 (1927), see argument. **Neutrality is structural and mandatory and a part of SMJ Adjudication.**

No SMJ Adjudication analysis was attempted by a Supreme Court that is already conflicted out of hearing any of these matters to begin with, for reasons stated herein, including Aristocratic insiders helping each other to help Murdaugh, the Supremes appointed the Receiver knowing Laffitte Attorneys would be assisting, they allowed a State Kin of Murdaugh to create appealable issues for Murdaugh and are hearing the Feigned/Colluded case and writing the opinion now as described, and an experienced

retired Supreme Court Judges made sure her ruling on the Clerk was nonsensical to make sure there was an appeal given to Murdaugh (Toal).

Further, the actions of the Trial (10th), Appeals and Supreme Courts to assist burying P-Appellant's case on any illegal ground they could come up with just to silence this Murdaugh 2.0 case that tells more about Murdaugh schemes, criminal make up, scope and actual potential criminals that need further neutral investigation. Example of the Courts using any grounds, even illegal grounds to get rid of P-Appellant's case, is the Defense lawyers actually filed a motion that P-Appellant's must be dismissed with prejudice because they didn't comply with Virgin Island Custom. . .not even a law! And there were no Virgin Island persons involved, nor was the **case in the Virgin Islands, Ex. 7**. . . it was in SC, and the people were all at least part time residents of Townville, SC. The sanction demand was ignored by the Courts and this attack stood until the very end when P-Appellant's were dismissed on an entirely illegal Sua Sponte evidentiary hearing when they lawyers could not dismiss P-Appellants on procedure and continually defaulted, where the Courts would not enter the judgements for P-Appellants, in spite of the defaults. The lawyers finally all ran away once P-Appellants were identifying them as Murdaugh-Schemers in the LMCE and Aristocrats within the State LMCE/Murdaugh/Laffitte group, including the 10th Cir Judges.

P-Appellant's case also tells the public and victims where the Murdaugh money really is/went. . .into whose pockets it entered, unlike what Judge Maddox's wife who, as the

Doctor/alleged expert witness was paid to try to convince the public that Murdaugh’s drug habit used all the money, where he would have been dead a long time ago if that were the case. This Dr. Maddox who is getting paid to divert attention from Murdaugh and his money, is the wife of Judge Maddox in the 10th Cir., accused of Murdaugh-style RACKETEERING by P-Appellants where he was involved in stealing P-Appellant’s award with Allianz. Conflicts? Similarities?

The money went through the Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRF) scheme or a similar scheme that can be found fairly easily if one knows what to look for and where. **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.** A neutral investigation would find it because it would have subpoena and other powers to do so, . . .just like the Receivers if they were not infiltrated with Laffitte’s all appointed with the oversight of this Supreme Court/Kittredge who’s own clerk works for them. That is a big secret the state is keeping from the People. They know where the money is and they know how it got there. . .it is their SC LMCE, exploiting the people using or creating the catastrophic injuries and wrongful deaths needed for them to separate you or your family from the claim and they money launder all of these crime monies through the carrier, attorney trusts, churches, state insurance, secret societies, and all other places where there will be no oversight but themselves and other brethren/Aristocrats/Groomed Elite. It is SC history to use People as useless eaters, as resources for their wealth.

This is the Oligarchy from long ago, still in charge through this entrenchment (see Asbestos cases and Judge Toal if you have any doubt. . .the same IF/IRF scheme is being played with ex Chief Judge of the Supremes, Phi Betta Kappa, Jean Toal, playing the role of the aggressor creating claims where they do not legally exists, which also makes P-Appellant’s case one that must be destroyed.). **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes and another reason the Courts must bury P-Appellant’s case that explains the structure of IF/IRF (Ex. 1), and shows how the SC LMCE are running these Long Cons through the State’s Oligarchy and under the authority of Kittredge who polices all the Judiciary in secret. . .these are reasons why. This is also why SMJ Adjudication is Constitutional and those Judges and Courts who do not evaluate SMJ Adjudication as a Condition Precedent/Prerequisite as sincerely held beliefs with the Oath, have no power, to hear the case. And this is why punishments need to be outside the Courts control and severe for offenders.**

Further, the Courts get away with this with their clerks filings malfeasance at the Supreme Court or other Judges instruction, and the Supreme Court and other Courts ignoring the rules of SMJ challenge law, or whatever other motions filed, hiding the filing, going forward with Murdaugh anyway in this matter, and having even more conflicts in hearing and reviewing the challenge beforehand and then writing an unrelated order on a moot and withdrawn filing of any other type, when they have interests and conflicts in the case, are all self-evident of Courts behaving criminally to hide interests that causes for a

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

SMJ Adjudication analysis and recusal that they never did in the first place. It has happened in every SC Court P-Appellants have been a part of. . .it is not coincidence. And they are not afraid because they know they are protected by the higher courts and some Federal Courts as there is not checks/balances/Separations of power in SC and surrounding jurisdictions. It is a big back-scratching Oligarchy, and all are making tax-free money at the People’s expense and maybe their very lives.

The amount of Feigned/Colluded process and the Courts/Judges and agents on both fake sides of the proceeding, with their appointments (see Receivers and Laffitte agents) that are all automatically conflicted out of any participation in these cases, in the Murdaugh or Murdaugh **2.0** case and are too many fixed events and occurrences to be mere coincidences. This is Orchestrated. Names such as in Murdaugh, Dr Maddox; **Gallivan White Boyd, P.A., (GWB)**, and their **Laffitte agents and staff**, with one of **Phi Betta Kappa’s Kittredge’s own clerk, Phi Betta Kappa’s own, Jessica Laffitte, and Lucius Laffitte, both working at GWB, and another former partner at Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC., . . .with a Laffitte Amy L.B. Hill.**

Further, another Partner of Robinson Gray Stepp Laffitte, LLC., Attorney Jasmin Smith, a former clerk and attorney for the Appeals Court from about 10 years ago or more, **who suddenly appeared in P-Appellants case** as an **ALLEGED DEPUTY CLERK** to sign a warning of dismissal letter to P-Appellant’s that she called an “order” within the letter and that she **SIGNED HERSELF**, while not really being a deputy clerk of the Appeals

Court, and **making judicial decisions not permitted by a real Deputy Clerk anyway.**

Jasmin Smith Ex. 6, who is a **Laffitte partner**, with **Rebecca Laffitte**, (where **Rebecca Laffitte was and is extremely involved in the Palmetto State Bank and testified at the Murdaugh/Laffitte frauds**), suddenly became the lawyer the Court of Appeals hired/or received favors from, to write a letter regarding a real Order that the Judges would not sign, caught in their lies, who were not permitted the legal actions they were taken in this unappealable letter (not Order). The ADA denial of Reasonable Accommodations, the Appeal Court had to deny, or it would give P-Appellants, block time and pages needed to raise these Constitutional issues and other issues, and the Court still not addressing their lies, is the SC Appeals way to deny while not stating so, as the ADA Reasonable Accommodations are not discretionary but Mandated by the Feds, and raise Constitutional issues when a part of Due process/Constitutional violations. And it must be reviewed De Novo like the underlying case, if P-Appellants are giving the time/page limits required by law for Reasonable Accommodations and independently because the case is extremely voluminous and complex. . .which Murdaugh's sides both received, without having ADA Qualifying Disabilities, violating Equal Protection, and committing Selective Prosecution because they needed Murdaugh's case to win, and P-Appellant's case to be buried for **both Murdaugh/Laffitte, and for the Asbestos frauds involving the same Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRF)**. See **Asbestos Docket** for more reasons why P-Appellant's case is being destroyed.

Note that **GWB and RGS Laffitte** are very active in **Asbestos Docket for the Defense** which is extremely negatively linked to the Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud shams going on in the Murdaugh/Laffitte and P-Appellants cases also, with the latter involving Allianz. These are all reasons the Supreme Court and Appeals Court would want to shut down P-Appellants case, along with P-Appellants exposing this scheme in their own trial court case involving SC Judges, State Actors, their Attorneys represented by the Bar, and other State Actors, and even Defendant proxies hired to create catastrophic injuries/wrong death of P-Appellant's so the Court can make the claims they caused with Allinaz, to commit IF/IRF crimes by the State/LMCE for tax-free profit. See **Asbestos Docket**. This is just like how Judge Toal is aggressively making claims on questionable Defendants using some cooperative carriers like Allianz and sending it through Delaware for additional washing, to create billions of dollars in these illegal profits for the LMCE. This is all without without SMJ or in some cases, PJ jurisdiction or any justiciable issues in some case, especially if the Plaintiffs are Feigned/Colluded . . . along with the cases. And no accountability to boot!

Having Jasmin Smith send a non-order, Ex. 6, claiming it was an Order, was the Appeals Court's way of tricking P-Appellants' into Unconstitutional compliance with their tricks, if P-Appellants' bought the lie that it was enforceable Order, and a way to not allow for an appeal as they are withholding the Order of denial and staying vague in spite of P-

Appellant's many Motions for clarification of a vague Order that in reality they never wrote, but tried to claim they ruled on the matter which they didn't.

Because P-Appellant's caught Jasmin Smith in the act of illegal conduct by direction of the higher courts, to try to steal another victory from P-Appellant's, showing how important it is to destroy P-Appellant's case and never have it heard, due to the efforts of the State to save Murdaugh (and Laffitte, see Laffitte herein), and the LMCE. Note for now, P-Appellants have kept documented evidence that what Smith and others have tried to backdate, and **Jasmin Smith** was not a Deputy Clerk in 2025, including, but not limited to, December 3, 2025 and the beginning of 2026. (Please see Meta Word Sourcing made at the time, because P-Appellant's have seen all these tricks in SC and in other locations to know that is just what happens in Courts refusing to act Constitutionally).³ **(Ex.6)**. Still the Appeal

³ . . . CODE for APPEALS COURT WEBSITE WITH THE DATE AFTER P-APPELLANTS RECEIVED Jasmin smith "correspondence" claiming she was the Deputy Clerk of the Appeals Court:

`<h2 class="small-title"><strong>Responsibilities</strong></h2>`

`<p>Within the Court, the Clerk of Court is responsible for the custody and keeping of the record of the Court, as prescribed by the judges of the Court of Appeals. The Clerk's Office serves as the sole point of contact on behalf of the Court, receives all filings, processes filings, forwards filings to others within the Court for action in accordance with the South Carolina Appellate "Court Rules (SCACR), established court policies and procedures, and handles in-person, telephonic, electronic, and written communications.</p>`

`</div>`

`</section><section class="subpage-text mt-4 mb-5">`

`<div class="container">`

`<hr />`

`<h2 class="small-title"><strong>CLERK OF COURT</strong></h2>`

`<p><strong>Jenny Abbott Kitchings</strong>, Clerk of Court<br/><strong>Catherine S. Harrison</strong>, Chief Deputy Clerk of Court</p>` At the end is date including 2025

55

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

Court refuses to give a clear Order on the ADA Demand, as it would actually give P-Appellant's a chance at a meaningful due process and right to a full appeal presentation. These Trial Court, Appeal Court and Supreme acts of extreme biased and fraud on the court by the court, and refusal to follow the law when there is no law in doubt, raising many obvious Constitutional issues and committing crimes, but trying to hide them under

and also another in January 2026 showing Jasmin Smith was not listed as anything in the Court of Appeals or Supreme Court. Only later was she listed so she was not a Deputy Clerk for the Appeals Court on December 3 or anything in December 2025 when she was illegal obstructing justice and posing as a Judge for deceitful reasons.. . this is a FELONY BY JASMIN SMITH AND HER FIRM.

THERE IS NO JASMIN SMITH LISTED AS A DEPUTY CLERK UNTIL LATER IN 2026. Digital prints can rarely be totally hidden if someone wants to find them.

With the date of P-Appellant's looking at the Court of Appeals site, being in **December 10, 2025, after receiving Smith's letter claiming to be an Order, on Judicial/non-ministerial matters, labeled "Correspondence-Outgoing (No Action)" at the Appeals Court Clerk's office when filed, who knew it was not an Order when they called it correspondence. In this Smith "correspondence,"** Smith was claiming it to be an Order with many of the same tricks used by Clerk of Courts C. Reena Thomason at the 10th Cir., and **Judge Rivers Lawton McIntosh at the 10th Cir., and now the Clerk of Courts here at the Supreme Court who stated that the Supremes were reviewing the SMJ Challenge before the Murdaugh case started and then it was not filed for 2 days and then it was wrongly filed on purpose to keep the public from knowing what is being written** herein and in Ex.

1. P-Appellants understand the Clerks are somewhat stuck if they are being told to do things they know not to do, but this is their choice to tell the Judges they are not comfortable doing actions that are no proper and Constitutional, which is their duty. But mislabeling, wrongfully filing, late filing, vague filings and so forth to keep the Public from knowing what was filed, shows this Court is NOT NEUTRAL AND NEEDS TO RECUSE BEFORE ANY DECISIONS ARE MADE AND A NEW COURT THAT IS NOT A PART OF THE LMCE IF THERE IS ONE, NEEDS TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES BEFORE ANY ACTION IS TAKEN ON MURDAUGH EXCEPT TO STRIKE THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTIONS THAT OCCURRED IN FEBRUARY 11, 2026 AND ALL OTHER ACTIONS WITH THE SUPREME COURT IN FILINGS AND SO FORTH IN MURDAUGH UNTIL THE CHALLENGE CAN BE HEARD AND DECIDED BY INDEPENDENT JUDGES.

56

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

inappropriate delay in filing, hiding of filing, mislabeling filing, refusal to file and so forth are not isolated events in P-Appellant's case, but the rule. The willingness of people to sell their souls to be a part of this LMCE, shows how entrenched the SC LMCE is and how many perpetrators there are waiting in line to harms litigants for a slice of the pie and power. Entrenched!

P-Appellant's persistence to nail down more Appeals Court obvious malfeasance to protect Murdaugh/Laffitte/**Asbestos Docket and ruin P-Appellant's for connecting all these apparent different crimes into one big LMCE scheme for State Perpetrator profit, under color of law, is the example of Appeals Socialite Judge Kristi Fisher Curtis**, a very pro-government and pro-state status quo Judge and Lawyer, and even on the secretive Judicial Discipline Committee in its closed loop of accountability (as are others sitting on the Appeals/Supremes which is a total conflict of interest in this matter). She clerked for an Appeal Judge who became a Supreme Judges, who also sat on the Commission of Judicial Conduct. Curtis became an Appeals Court Judge in February of 2025, and was most likely the one who drew the short straw in **Murdaugh 2.0**, P-Appellants case, where she and the rest of the Appeals Court has been caught up in so much corruption in this matter, copying the Trial Court and Supreme schemes, and she finally had to sign an actual “Order” of sorts **Ex.6**), in the Appeals Court, that **no Judges were willing to sign to say they denied P-Appellant's ADA REASONABLE ACCOMODATIONS WHICH IS NOT DISCRETIONARY, BUT MANDATED!** (see **Laffitte, Jasmin Smith lawyer, hired by the**

Appeals Court to write a letter to P-Appellant’s and call it an **“Order”**, **Ex. 6**, while **impersonating Judges** in her actions making Smith and the firm of **Robinson, Gray, Stepp & Laffitte, LLC** fellow RACKETEERS in P-Appellant’s case), BUT the Order still does not even state what it must state, and refuses to say it actually admitted or denied ADA Disability Reasonable Accommodations **(Ex 6)**, even though it did deny by constructive behavior, and refusing to file or act on the Motions filed costing P-Appellants \$50 each time they have to readdress the Courts for its continued, intentional malfeasance and **Elderly abuse and Disabled abuse and exploitation to the nth degree.**⁴

⁴ **Reasonable Accommodations under the ADA**, the motions was/were misquoted to avoid ADA language AGAIN, and one/some Motions were refused to be filed by Jasmin Smith’s independent correspondence from a law firm, which further was intended to interfere with Appealable issue they are creating by using an independent letter from a firm, by refusing to provide an enforceable Order, while **Jasmin Smith** was still using the **wrong rule** about reconsideration regarding Trial Court matters (221(c)) instead of addressing a Constitutional requirement for a Judge to clearly state the Order and not create a vague Order and refuse to clarify, if any Order even exists, that was allegedly made. There was in fact, NO ORDER ever written to P-Appellants granting or denying mandatory Reasonable Accommodations that supplied ample proof of Reasonable Accommodations, that this Supreme Court directs the Judges to comply with on their own site, but the Supreme Court won’t even honor such mandatory demands.

Using **Jasmin Smith**, a Clerk for two judges before 2016, and is not on the payroll as a Deputy Clerk at the Court, to write letters and refuse to clarify an ADA alleged Order that does not exist in a letter that no judge will sign, is the typical antics of these SC Courts and Judges when they are cornered and trying very hard to procedurally dismiss with no cause. Further, they are creating after the fact evidence to try to prove otherwise, which they should know P-Appellant’s are well documented and prepared in advance for such lies and deceit as they are not new to these deceptions.

Refusing ADA Reasonable Accommodations, also causes SC Courts to lose government funding, so they must disguise, deceive, and defy the law and P-Appellant’s by not addressing the Reasonable Request demand without saying so, which is Unconstitutional to not rule, to not grant Reasonable Accommodations, and to lie and say

58

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Not stating all known about the Appeals and Supremes, but the following for now:

Judges Blake A Hewitt, of the Appeals Court is one of the candidates ready to take Judge Jonh CANNON Few's job. He began his career clerking for Judge Toal of the Supremes who represents the Asbestos Scams in SC and their LMCE. When asked what was his position on Judicial Activism in 2018, **Hewitt** stated that ***"A judges role is to enforce the law as it has been written by the legislature not to make laws of the judges own design the role of a judge is a limited 1 judges must have the modest and humility to understand and embrace this principle."*** Chanel 10 News, <https://www.wistv.com>. Is Hewitt doing this in P-Appellant's case? In Murdaugh? In Asbestos scams all by the LMCE? Further, the Judge has to follow the State and Federal Constitutions first. . .that is their Oath. . . allegiance to the LMCE Legislature who picks them for appointments is not where their loyalty must lie.

The point for these RACKETEERS is to deny 90 day block-time and 200 pages needed to appeal, which is the least restrictive demand that can be made under ADA Mandates, to

they did but not clarify, and of course to bring in a Laffitte Ringer that is an Aristocrat/Groomed Elite Trainee willing to do what it takes to move up this corrupted ladder.

Note La'Jessica Stringfellow, of Laffitte firm, also Clerked for Judge John Cannon Few of the Appeals and Supreme Court (August 2015-February 2017), and is a fellow member with Jasmin Smith with the Laffitte's Firm. The fraudulent misrepresentations of the Appeals Court and Jasmin Smtih, Rebecca Laffitte, and the Laffitte Firm, makes all of them liable as a part of this cover-up, and RACKETEERING, which further links Murdaugh to Murdaugh 2.0, and shows continual Court and Murdaugh attempts to intimidate or scare P-Appellants as a part of an illegal strategy to chill or stop P-Appellants. It is disgusting how far the Courts will go to not allow Constitutional Rights for P-Appellants due to what these claims and statements/research mean to the state of SC, their LMCE/Oligarchy, and their Aristocrats including, but not limited to Murdaugh/Laffitte.

59

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

protect all of the RACKETEERING and Fraud on the Court by the Court, in

Murdaugh/Laffitte Feigned/Colluded case/Asbestos cases, and P-Appellant’s

Feigned/Colluded case where LMCE Perpetrators in the 10th Cir., Trial Court, Agents,

Allianz, LMCE and so forth, the Appeals court, and the Supreme Court are acting

criminally? As the reader can see this is just the summary in just this SMJ Motion to

STRIKE, VACATE, VOID AB INITIO against the Murdaugh Supreme Appeal .

**FRANKLY, P-APPELLANTS AGAIN STATE THAT THEY WILL NOT BE INTIMIDATED
BY THIS BULLYING, TRYING TO SCARE ELDERLY AND DISABLED LITIGANTS WITH
COURT DIRECTED LAFFITTE INVOLVMENT. It is Holodeck Law and these are Orwellian
tactics in the SC Oligarchical, “Ministry of Truth.”**

P-Appellants are giving examples and proofs as to why SMJ Adjudication analysis and formal granting of power in the case, is Mandatory, and that the Courts must ignore it in order to act as perpetrators against the People. It shows why there must be severe penalties and punishments, including long incarcerations, as a public official violating Public Trust must know in advance the consequences are fierce, and they will be reviewed by independent, public authority, by the People, who must be a part of any SMJ Adjudicative, prerequisite/condition precedent analysis as challenged.

Herein, the three courts are mentioned (Trial, Appeals, Supremes as overseers) using Constitutional Guarantees as suggestions they have chosen not to abide by, while

abridging and infringing upon the rights of the People for their own financial, personal and vengeful interests.

P-Appellants are not NEW: P-Appellants having to protect against judicial deceit in their Appeal and the Supremes also is Orwellian, and why Dr. Kennedy wrote **“Holodeck Law” where nothing is as it appears,”** while still practicing law, to warn the public. It was first published in 1997 before the Matrix Movie ever came out. The

Holodeck Law Summary by others, as describe by Attorney Linda Kennedy, a Virginia Attorney, and Legal Reform

leader, is a metaphorical representation of the American legal system, where the reality of justice is often obscured by the facade of appearances. The law is likened to a holodeck, a computerized program that creates a virtual reality experience, where the truth is not always apparent.

Attorney Kennedy argues that the American legal system, much like a holodeck, is controlled by a legal, Aristocratic-elite who prioritize power over justice. The public is often unaware of the systemic flaws and the truth behind the legal proceedings is hidden.

Kennedy calls for the public to become the 4th Branch of government actively, but peacefully, seeking to create structural Constitutional justice and ensure the checks and balances by the People’s oversight of the Constitution and Bill of Rights are upheld and guaranteed.” See some of Dr. Kennedy’s cases she represented, that protected the Public

at FN _____, in a larger-than-life story of Bravery and Integrity, hated by the government

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

LMCE in America. These are just a sample of cases proving the LMCE exists, and the courts are **Holodecks engaging in Orwellian-type Holodeck Law.**

The Laffitte/Murdaugh's and their team, including the Appeals and Supreme Court Judges, were again caught openly obstructing justice in P-Appellant's Case, P-Appellant's call Murdaugh **2.0**, because Murdaugh **2.0 tells on the Murdaugh case by being its mirror image. . .it shows what really happened in Murdaugh/Laffitte, and it also tells on the Asbestos Docket. . .both dealing with Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud. . .a huge income stream for the state run, Unconstitutional Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, all the 14th/10th Cir., who are related or worked for each other learning the Murdaugh way, Judge Maddox, Dr Maddox, Judge Mullen, where Judge Maddox is in P-Appellant's case and accused of RACKETEERING the same way Murdaugh has operated in the LMCE, McMaster, Limehouse, Laffitte; Becky Hier Hill, Murdaugh and McIntosh; LMCE members in one or both cases, listed herein and just some of their conflicts, Bamber/Judge Heath Taylor in P-Appellants and Murdaugh's case and so forth. See herein.**

The Aristocrats and their Groomed Elite are entrenched into SC Legal System, helping make SC an Oligarchy and a tax-free, LMCE for profit, under color of law. It is self-evident from all the Constitutional Crisis' going on in these two cases, and the Asbestos, state run debacle, with the State Courts at all levels, and the Collusion between State/Federal Government, that the Entrenchment has created an

62

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Oligarchical LMCE, and is not operating under the Constitution. Note, highly entrenched and constantly implicated in crimes, Teflon Judge Carmen Mullen, is one of the Asbestos Judge Toal uses in these Feigned/Colluded and fraudulent proceedings by Order of the Supreme Court.

In any case, as part of SMJ Adjudication, Judges/Courts and now in this case, new, NEUTRAL, Non-LMCE JUDGES involved, who will be reviewing this SMJ Challenge, against the Supreme Court and its Judges. This Neutral Court/Judges MUST definitely NOT be a part of the APPEALS OR THE SUPREME COURT Judges who are conflicted out and are committing Feigned/Colluded case adjudication with Aristocrat Murdaugh to help their fellow Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise member (LMCE), and at the same Feigned/Colluding P-Appellants Murdaugh 2.0 case for a predetermined loss not on the merits or with any due process whatsoever, to hurt P-Appellant’s case by silencing P-Appellants, that also helps Murdaugh as P-Appellants case tells the truth about Murdaugh full and additional crimes committed, and shows the SC LMCE is active in the entire state.

These Feigned/Colluding court cases, that are protecting Aristocratic Murdaugh, while destroying P-Appellant’s case, lack SMJ Jurisdiction and were obviously not analyzed by the Courts under their oaths to defend the Constitutions, and taken in violation of Structural Constitutional process, with intent to commit fraud on the court by the Court with the assistance of State/Defense/Cooperating State Agents like Becky Hill.

The NEUTRAL JUDGES must be held to Constitutional Law as those who Feigned/Colluded the case that are part of this challenge, as a part of determining if the Supreme Court has SMJ Adjudicative Power and as a part of that, that they analyzed Constitutional Guarantees as a part of their Oath and otherwise, as CONDITIONS PRECEDENT/AND PREREQUISITE analysis before accepting a Murdaugh case. Feigned/Colluded and highly conflicted cases that were steered through the Courts themselves, including the Supreme Court, and other kin and criminal family members taking part in the adjudication process, are not playing neutral roles in the Court proceedings, proving in Murdaugh, Laffitte, and P-Appellant's own case where these same and related Aristocrats were running the same scams in P-Appellant's case and needed it destroyed in order to help get Muraugh/Laffitte out of their punishments in whole or in part, and helped other criminals and crimes not be discovered in the Murdaugh/Laffitte case, buy total suppression of P-Appellants case. This is why the SMJ Adjudication complete analysis must occur BEFORE a Judge/Court is granted power to adjudicate, to help stop nonjusticiable, Feigned/Colluded and other case that are shows, charades, and so forth with the State's LMCE and all its members taking part as necessary. See Ex 1,⁵ , Ex. 2-5,⁶ and⁷ with all three incorporated by reference herein. And P-Appellants state that the

⁵ 4 Separate Motions Filed, but only #4 Recorded in the Clerks office and two days late.

⁶ Notice to Court that P-Appellant's are withdrawing #3 and #4 Motions because of the Court's extreme malfeasance in not filing all four motions separately, and by filing only #4 two days late making \$3, and #4 moot. Ex. 2-5.

⁷ Fake Order by Kittredge, on the improperly filed and labeled Motion for SMJ Challenge, calling it a denial of an Amicus Brief, signed only by Kittredge (and not the entire defiant Supremes Court like they did in the Writ

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

grounds thereto against the SC SUPREME COURT's, Murdaugh, and the state's LACK of SMJ ADJUDICATIVE POWER. This Motion still has not been properly nor timely filed, with a fake Order of denial on an unrelated, moot issue the court knows is moot, **Ex. 2-5**, as a part of a charade to make any ruling, no matter what, to appear that they have complied, when they are ignoring the SMJ Adjudication Challenge and the Fraud on the Court by the Court Motions (2 motions), which have NOT been ruled upon, nor will they be, as this is all one big Feigned/Colluded Murdaugh charade to assist Murdaugh/Laffitte, their LMCE associates, and bury P-Appellants case where LMCE members in the 10th Cir., (Judges, lawyers, State Actors, Allianz, Proxies etc.), stole P-Appellant's award with the help of Allianz, nor properly filed, and also now its Motion to Strike and hold the Murdaugh Matter void ab initio, where the Supreme Court cannot be involved in any way in the MURDAUGH APPEAL ON FEBRUARY 11, 2026 or give any review or ORDERS on the topic of Murdaugh or P-

of Mandamus, where they were all proclaiming an extremely Unconstitutional Opinion together, that amounted to bullying and Exploitation of the Elderly and ADA Disabled that they would not grant ADA Reasonable Accommodations to in spite of overwhelming evidence to the contrary, but instead further weaponized it against P-Appellant's. The Supremes in this write, then held that an extremely Unconstitutional Sua Sponte Trial Court Evidentiary Hearing was proper to dismiss P-Appellant's trial court case, right after the Defense/Judges lawyers could not win on a 12b6, while the Supremes and Trial Courts were denying Discovery, Depositions, Subpoenas, Subpoenas Duces Tecums/witnesses for 3 years in spite of P-Appellant's filing Discovery three years earlier, and all other options listed to Discovery information, plus the Supremes allowing an Evidentiary Hearing, **Ex. 8**, on approximately 162 Documents P-Appellant's filed over the Course of 3 years, after discovery the collusion of the Courts/Lawyers, to try to preserve and bring forth their case with entire stonewalling by the Lawyers and Judges, McIntosh, Maddox, and Sprouse, who had financial and vindictive interests, in this case, with other Colluders and RACKETEERS Unconstitutionally involved in the case. **See FN. _____**. The Trial Court gave 20 pages, including attachments and 10 days to prepare after quashing subpoenas and so forth, with the Supremes blessing **Ex. 9**, to present 3 years of evidence they collected on their own including recordings of the collusion, about 2,000 pages of Defendant Group Text Admissions of the Cause of Action, the Motions and their attachments, and many other recorded interviews and other key evidence, including that of the Judges being involved in the underlying case with Allianz Corporate & Specialty in a Murdaugh-scheme in the 14th Cir., but this was in the 10th Cir., but both Cir. are filled with the same or related Kin of the Aristocrats of SC and their groomed elite in positions of power.

65

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Appellant’s Motion due to Structural Constitutional Neutrality, which is a part of the SMJ Adjudicative analysis, where the Supreme Court is actually the targeted wrong doer, of the SMJ challenge on its own fraud on the court by the court actions documented in the original challenge, the Exhibits and herein described in further summary. No man can be judge in his own case. That includes Judges and the entire Supreme Court is being challenged on the entire Supreme Court’s SMJ Adjudication Power in Murdaugh.

Additionally, the Court cannot further retaliate against P-Appellant Whistleblowers, bringing forth good faith claims, nor hide or disguise their filings from the Public and make nonsensical rulings on matters not before the court instead of the SMJ challenge that they will not list in the Court records to further deceive the public and give more Feigned/Colluded findings for the benefit of Murdaugh. These continued extreme malfeasance by the Supreme Court itself, to save Murdaugh, are becoming **self-evident of the facts P-Appellant’s are providing of this case not in controversy which is not judicial and must be voided ab initio** and all matters regarding Murdaugh and his appeal, vacated as the Supreme Court has no SMJ to hear the case, and P-Appellants motions entered properly showing #3, #4, were withdrawn due to malfeasance of the court making them moot. **Ex. 2-5.**

The Supreme Court could not move forward **without such SMJ power being granted by the Constitution(s) in this matter, and they violated their duty to the People, their oath and their Canons by doing so. The Court could also not review the SMJ filings as**

Judges cannot review filings where they are involved in the very frauds/crimes in the case being challenged as they are a big part of the Fraud on the Court by the Court, even though they are attempting to rule for themselves whether with their own signature of that of a Laffitte attorney not on staff which is totally inappropriate and unlawful, and is trickery used by these Judges to block appeals by extreme malfeasance. (See Jasmin Smith and the Appeals Court), to try to trick and intimidate P-Appellant's and block their right to a fair and impartial case in their Murdaugh 2.0 case, a mirror images of this case before the Court now.

Further, this matter and the extreme fraud on the court by the court , that led the case to not be judiciable or controversy/Feigned/Colluded case that cannot be adjudicated at all, while the Supremes continued to force it forward, hearing it in spite of structural constitutional violations, lack of transparency, lack of open court, lack of neutrality, lack of a prerequisite/condition precedent due process and equal protections and other SMJ Adjudications as prerequisite/condition precedents to hearing the case at all, when an independent, neutral reviewer would have been able to exercise such power to hear after giving non-threatening, meaningful notice and meaningful right to be heard to P-Appellants on the matter with genuine review.

Add to this the many Structural Violations that had to be Analyzed before any such Court could ever consider hearing this case, and all of the Conflicts of Interest, including the Laffitte's being employees and friends of the Court, and assisting them

67

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

in their “Problem fixing,” and there is absolutely no grounds where the Supreme Court actually could hear the case, or make any rulings from the time of the first Notice of hearing until the last Order contemplated on Murdaugh.

The Goals of P-Appellants original Motion to challenge SMJ not yet heard by a Neutral court nor has it stopped the Murdaugh proceedings, and this Motion to Strike, was/is for P-Appellants to Intervene for the Limited and Special Purposes to: **1.** Challenging Subject-Matter Jurisdiction, **2.** Fraud on the Court, (whether by those Motions or by **3.** ADA Reasonable Accommodations, which the Amicus Brief would be late through no fault of their own and would not affect Murdaugh who SHOULD be in jail for a very long time; **4.** Filing a late Amicus Brief. **#3, #4, were withdrawn timely, and long before an Order by the Court,** who had no jurisdiction to make an order as the two were withdrawn due to court malfeasance. And the court had no jurisdiction to order because they are the Subject of the SMJ Challenge and cannot rule in their own matters, and they have no Jurisdiction because they are a part of a Feigned/Colluded case that is not even justiciable.

If Laffitte Strategies⁸ were/are also going to be used on Murdaugh’s case by the Supreme Court, State and Defense of Murdaugh also, these actions would be further proof

⁸ **“The Laffitte Strategy”** is the scheme in the SC and Federal District Court dealing with Aristocratic **Laffitte**, and is most likely the same scheme the Courts are using herein in **Murdaugh’s Feigned/Colluded case that takes real Orchestration of colluders and RACKETEERS.** **1) The Laffitte Strategy** Scheme requires the same **Feigned/Colluded** Federal agents working together and then with the State to lighten Laffitte’s punishment, along with forward dating the math to further decrease the time Laffitte will serve. **2) The State’s equivalent version of the Laffitte Strategy for Murdaugh is: The Attorney General’s Office of SC Government/Creighton Waters, etc., the SC Government Courts, now including the SC Supreme Court and all their Judges, led by Kittredge, and the Defense/Aristocrat Murdaugh Team** (connected to SC

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

government through their work with the State, including in the **State Senate, Groomed Elite, Dick Harpootlian**, and they are considered **Groomed Elite** in SC). It is unknown how far the Murdaugh scheme will go but **thus far the Murdaugh Scheme to reduce punishment time or get a reversal or plea, is working in the same way as the Laffitte Strategy Scheme**, through **Kin Aristocrat Becky Hiers Hill** and **Groomed Elite Judge Jean Toal**.

In **Federal Court**, that begins **the scheme of the Laffitte Strategy** for the readers purposes, the **Feigned/Colluded** government agents are mainly, **Federal Government Judge Gergel** and **Government Aristocrat Assistant U.S. Attorney Emily Limehouse** (connected to the **Aristocrat Laffitte's** through **Laffitte Kin, Aristocrat Governor McMaster** and her own Aristocratic family connections to McMaster, described herein). In the **Laffitte Strategy for Laffitte**, Judge **Gergel** and **Aristocratic U.S. Attorney Limehouse**, have a trial and the **jury easily finds Laffitte guilty to please the public**. He is sentenced to **7 years in prison**. Then Federal Judge Gergel and Aristocratic attorney **Limehouse work in unison to step by step, dismantle Laffitte's punishment the Court has to exact** (7 years). **First, Gergel makes a “mistake”** that gives Laffitte an appealable issue on a matter that Judges and lawyers know better than to do (**tampering with a jury whatever that is called in Federal Court**). Laffitte wants to appeal, and on Appeal, this **give Laffitte a new trial**. Instead of a new trial, Limehouse Unconstitutionally makes a deal with her colleague Laffitte in this **Feigned/Colluded case**, to reduce Laffitte's prison time for a plea deal. Laffitte plea bargains for less than the 7 years, **down to 5 years** time, rather than getting convicted again in a jury trial which was assured. . . instead of 7 years he now gets 5 years, **with 13 months already served in prison allegedly**. . . **that means 3 years and 11 months are still due to be served**). After all types of before the sentencing and also delays after the sentencing, through Limehouse's consents for continuances requested by her colleague Laffitte, even after the new sentencing was made, **where there should be no more delays, much of Laffitte's time to serve has again been reduced, this time as time served at home while on consented to continuances for no reason, and with additional reduced sentence and good behavior to follow**. This is not permitted by law.

The **Laffitte Strategy** is accomplished by Judge Gergel first making the same type of alleged “error” as **Murdaugh's Kin** and **State Clerk of Court Becky Hill** (described herein through the **Aristocratic Hiers connection**. . .see **Felder Hiers** herein). In both cases, the **precipitating appealable event is purposeful and obvious tampering no matter what it is called in Fed/State Court**). The Judge in Federal Court **Gergel**, and the Clerk of Court **Becky Hill**, both gave their **Aristocratic Defendants, Laffitte and Murdaugh**, respectfully, **appealable issues to an obvious jury finding of guilty for both Defendants**, initially.

Both State/Federal Actors **know better than to create the specific jury malfeasance by the Judge and Clerk**. For those who have worked in the legal field, these are “no brainers.” In Laffitte he pleas rather than having a new trial, and goes from **7 years to 5 years**, with allegedly **13 months already served**. Gergel and Limehouse are giving **continuances** just so Laffitte doesn't have to report to prison. . . **there are no other legal issues to justify continuances**, and then Judge Gergel's grants Laffitte time served for his time at home on continuances and other unnecessary delays.

The best part is when the SC State steps in for Laffitte and although he was to serve 8 years in SC Courts (Concurrent), which sentence would not be affected by the Federal reduction in sentence, the State decided anyway to reduce their sentence against Laffitte to whatever the Federal court gave (5 years on a plea deal from 7 years) , which should leave 4 years and 11 months assuming Laffitte actually was in prison for 13 months initially. However with all the illegal “time-served” while Laffitte was home, or on vacation, the rulings by Gergel is a **FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT** not permitted by law with Gergel/Limehouse and with the State's collusion with the Federal Court helping Laffitte get out of jail free if possible, showing absolutely no separations of powers or checks and balances between state

69

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.

§§§§

and federal court in this orchestration to save Aristocratic LMCE members like Laffitte. And then the Feds again come into the collusion and list the wrong date of release twice and only changed because P-Appellants are pushing them to change it. The third time was at least more accurate. It is not an art, the calculation is a science. . .but only pressure from P-Appellant's call was correcting the calculation. Ex. .

Tampering with or Ex parte communications with a jury is a "Structural, Constitutional violation" that calls for Felony time unless a RACKETEERING Judge in P-Appellant's case, like Judge Heath P. Taylor is selected by Kittridge to help Becky Hill with her hand slap plea penalty with no time to serve for helping her Aristocratic Kin Murdaugh.

Interestingly, this same Judge Heath P. Taylor also handled part of the Laffitte case regarding his reduction in sentence, when no such reduction was required in SC. He was selected by Kittridge again. The Taylor examples, after he obstructed P-Appellant's case to try to save the 10th Circ RACKETEERS, with Bamberg steering him to P-Appellant's case behind the scenes, is the Aristocratic Entrenchment with the assistance of those selling their integrity to get ahead as Groomed Elites, in the SC Oligarchy/LMCE.

This jury tampering trick allowed both Laffitte and Murdaugh. . .(predicted before the Murdaugh ruling happens), allowed Laffitte a new trial, and most likely will give Murdaugh a new trial as the Supremes are hiding the SMJ Challenge and Fraud on the Court by the Court. Then the Wilson/Waters, and Limehouse collusion used pleas to reduce Laffitte, and/or or will do likewise with Murdaugh or claim it will be too expensive or traumatic for the public etc. to retry, and so forth will be the reasons the State does not pursue whomever is "Aristocratic batter up" for the criminals in this shell game. This is a "who's on first" strategy it appears, and Laffitte and Murdaugh are taking turns working the same Laffitte Strategy.

If the State does say Murdaugh gets a new Feigned/Colluded trial, there will be new witnesses to come forward with a different story and more paid "experts" to claim whatever money can buy. The state will find Murdaugh not guilty or not as guilty (like involuntary manslaughter on a plea if even that, etc with others involved who do the time. . .whatever that may be using the same tricks. Or the state will accept a lesser plea deal and then the State can work to reduce the Fraud punishments and work with the Federal court to get rid of these punishments where now Murdaugh is playing the role of Laffitte and the new plea deal, that the Feds will agree to reduce Murdaugh also just for the heck of it, reducing his punishment further, with alleged time served. . .oh and good behavior, and then the state decides whatever other reductions they will give law or no law, and miscalculate the release date again. It's the same strategy, down to the state/fed Actor giving the jury tampering appealable issue in both.

When one looks at the **Federal Bureau of Prisons**, P-Appellant's took pictures and have digital dates showing the more they questioned the Prison on the release dates and wrote their brief **Challenging State SMJ in Murdaugh while pointing out the Laffitte Strategy in Ex. 1**, where the Federal and State handling of Laffitte showed **Orchestration to help Laffitte** reduce punishment through their Aristocratic network and that the **calculations for release dates did not fit any legal model, the more the date for the Laffitte sentence changed also**. This **Orwellian LMCE** is busy covering up their orchestration of events that are confusing to the public but not people trained in the law and also the LMCE. From **January 23, 2026 until March 12, 2026**, while Laffitte was confirmed by the Fed Bureau of Prisons that Laffitte was actual in Prison in January 2026 although they could not confirm when at that time, the Feds changed the release date of Laffitte from **8/23/27 on January 23, 2026, to 2/4/28, on January 25, 2026, and then to 1/14/29 on March 12, 2026**, coinciding with P-Appellant's push for the truth including in this **SMJ Challenge being totally ignored and covered up by the Supremes, proving the Feigned/Colluded process P-Appellants are exposing. Ex. 10**. The Fed/State Racketeering LMCE will most likely further fudge these

70

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

of why P-Appellant’s believe they need to file as there would be no law followed at all in manipulating the law using all three agent groups working together to save their Aristocrat Murdaugh and the SC LMCE. . .and no Justiciable Case is able to be resolved (called a lack of Case in Controversy in Federal Court, but meaning the same thing and herein used interchangeably).

This describes the P-Appellant’s own Feigned/Colluded case where P-Appellants are fighting Judges with financial and other interest to protect the LMCE/Defense protecting the Judges and their own financial interests and the LMCE/and Allianz Corporate & Specialty and their financial interests, and the SC LMCE/State Actors and their financial interests and LMCE/Defendant Proxies and their financial interests, and others where the predetermined outcome was long ago decided **LONG BEFORE** P-APPELLANTS EVER FILED A CASE. This is an obvious example of why SMJ ADJUDICATION must be determined BEFORE a case is ever heard as a **prerequisite/condition precedent** with all guarantees of rights and other Structural Constitutional matters already in place.

There must be acknowledgement that there is a huge problem when an otherwise **JUSTICIBLE CASE** (called a lack of Case in Controversy in Federal Court, but meaning the same thing), IS NOT INTENDED TO BE HEARD CONSTITUTIONALLY, WHETHER IT IS THE

dates with alleged “good behavior claims” and Laffitte will still get out with very little time served, if he is ever actually in prison and not relaxing on a beach somewhere. The LMCE demands the People to just believe them, in this **SC Orwellian “Ministry of Truth,” in its Oligarchical LMCE. Same with Murdaugh in the LMCE.**

71

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

JUDGES HABIT TO TAKE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS MERE SUGGESTIONS AGAINST THEIR OATH, OR WHETHER THERE IS A **FEIGNED/COLLUDED** CASE THAT IS GOING TO BE HEARD, AND WAS **ALREADY SET UP TO FAIL BY THE ACTUAL GOVERNMENT AGENTS PRESIDING OVER THE CASE AND ITS PROXIES FOR FINANCIAL AND VENGEFUL PURPOSES, OR TO SAVE FAMILY/FRIENDS/POWER ASSOCIATES OR OTHERWISE.**

THESE ARE NOT JUSTICIABLE CASES (called a lack of Case in Controversy in Federal Court, but meaning the same thing). With the descriptions of just some reasons why SC is ignoring SMJ Analysis to save SC Aristocratic/Groomed elite from punishment for their crimes, is part of this analysis that is being skipped continuing to save those who need to be imprisoned, and those in government need likewise for assisting under color of law. See, herein, and **Ex. 1**, incorporated by reference.

The Murdaugh case improper and Unconstitutional handling from extremely Feigned/Colluded /biased investigation all the way until now, highlighted by Kin of Murdaugh, Becky Hiers Hill, giving Murdaugh obvious appealable issues, that Retired Judge Toal also assisted with, bringing the case to this Supreme Court to wipe clean much of Murdaugh's guilt found by the jury, through such obvious STATE violations, also is affecting P-Appellants own case, in Appeals Court, which the Supreme Court well knows, as it Unconstitutionally handled P-Appellant's Writ of Mandamus at the trial court level where **major fraud on the Court by the Court** was occurring and **ADA Reasonable Accommodations were Unconstitutionally ignored**, and **continue to be ignored at the**

72

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

appeal level through **very deceitful practices** that display the Feigned/Colluded process. . .where the Courts KNOW they are not giving P-Appellants their Constitutional Guarantees. . .ignoring the SMJ Analysis that would force them to recuse, and actually to report themselves and others to law enforcement for indictment and a jury trial for themselves.

Purposely ignoring the Constitution as a plan, in Murdaugh and in P-Appellant’s case in mirror image opposite ways, and P-Appellants ADA/Constitutional Rights to even present as they have herein also, allows Unconstitutional law to be practiced by the SC Court and their inferior Courts who they give the green light to do likewise, that have and continue to affect P-Appellant’s **Murdaugh 2.0 style case**, and this Unconstitutional defiance of the Constitutional because the Court believes nobody can do anything about it under their present closed loop of accountability, are going to continue to affect P-Appellants and their case, if this is not stopped.

P-Appellant’s are also experiencing the very Unconstitutional handling of a similarly situated Murdaugh-style fraud/crime case, but, mirrored, or in the reverse, where these Unconstitutional actions are helping Murdaugh and to do so, P-Appellant’s case must be handled extremely Unconstitutionally and destroyed and never heard by the Supreme Court and Appeals Court (and the Supreme Intervention in the past), and their Judges, and especially the public, which must not, by law happen.

P-Appellants state that the is the law: **a)** SMJ Adjudication is analyzed **BEFORE** a case is accepted to be heard by the Judge; **b)** abiding by the Constitutional Guarantees are part of that analysis; **c)** a sincerely held belief in Judges Oath to uphold and defend the Constitutions are present; and what must be added by law is in a good faith expansion of the law; **d)** the accountability of Government, including Judges, would be an INDEPENDENT REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE PEOPLE, WHICH HEARINGS ARE HELD IN PUBLIC WITH NO SECRETS IN HEARING OR DISCIPLINE, WHICH IS a part of the Open Court/Transparency laws that are being ignored in SC.

Under this Constitutional model said in the Constitution and its Framers as a common sense directive, there would be no need for government immunity because everyone would understand their duties to the People that are held in the Guarantees of the Constitution, instead of giving Government a way to close down all avenues of Accountability, Integrity and Transparency by placing Aristocrats/Groomed Elite to cover for them so the State can operate under Legal Machine Criminal Enterprises that P-Appellant’s have shown strong, but not all their evidence, that show it to be true; **e)** there must be extremely severe punishments and penalties for those judges who are not upholding the Constitution when it can be reasonably shown that the situation was not mere mistake, (where it would be resolved on post-trial motions to revisit the Constitutional Guarantees and so forth); but the ignoring of the Constitution was repeated and ridiculously applied when clear law/facts were available, where a reasonable Judge would not think in such a

way because the issue(s) was/were not significantly complex to confuse the Judges, and the/laws rules were not followed by the Judge anyway.

Further, P-Appellants were discussing the recusal of these Judges of the Supreme Court and any other Court/Judges that have an interest in Murdaugh, which means these same judges of the Supreme and Appeals Court also have a very strong and visible motive to destroy P-Appellants case also, as it tells the truth of Murdaugh, which has not yet been told to the public by the Courts/Government. Just some of the proof was stated in **Ex. 1**, and is incorporated by reference in this Motion to Strike/Void all actions of the Supreme Court/Appeals Court, and their Judges and agents, that have anything to do with Murdaugh (and P-Appellants, “**Murdaugh 2.0**” case).

P-Appellants were also stating the proper analysis for any judge/court to preside over the case as a part of SMJ Adjudication power, and what that specific Power analysis is supposed to be **BEFORE** hearing the case as ***PREREQUISITE/CONDITION PRECEDENT***, rather than after the case, where hearing the Guarantees, **AFTER** the original hearing on review, allows for **Structural violations/ Guaranteed Rights**, accusations with proof of a fixed-case and so forth are made by the State into **mere suggestions that they court might follow if they choose to**, which was never a part of the Constitutional Guarantees or original intent that government would not abridge or infringe on the People’s rights as they are guaranteed and cannot be taken. . . which they are taken by the Courts under this erroneous method of ignoring Constitutional Analysis as a prerequisite/condition

75

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

precedent to taking power under their Oaths and only then if honest mistake is made by the court would there be a need for post-trial motions and perhaps a review, which again would only be taken under SJM Analysis and strong punishment for violating this process. **Where there is no remedy, there is no right! The FRAMERS didn't intend to leave the Constitution to power grabbing government (as they considered it to be so), on just a pinky swear. The Framers built in protections, and one is common sense, that unless these rights were guaranteed and the oath is a part of that guarantee, the greedy government would make these guaranteed rights mere suggestions, which is what they are in today's rewrite of the Constitution, where they have no power to do so. The Constitution is changed by specific steps, not by a black robe with a pen.**

P-Appellants are also preserving Structural Due Process Objections herein and for Appeal, if necessary, by filing in good faith, and also moving to Strike/Void the proceedings in this matter as it has and will continue to affect directly P-Appellant's case which cannot be heard if the Courts want to help Murdaugh, and hide the true nature of these State Sponsored Crimes in the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise under color of law.

Additionally, the P-Appellants are Challenging the Court's practice to not force the opposition(s) to answer, in any of these appeals, which means that the Court acts as the Prosecutor instead of as a Neutral Hearer. It affectively takes P-Appellant's chance to clarify any misstatements the other side might raise, and allows the Court, when they are not neutral to have the Answer with no chance for P-Appellant's to respond under the same

standard as they Motioned. This is an **OBVIOUS** Unconstitutional Structural Violation of Due Process that must be considered in the initial assignment of power to hear, and not after the fact.

These Motions filed by P-Appellants are not to relitigate guilt of Murdaugh, but to show how two similarly situated cases are handled completely different by Courts who have interests in one, where the P-Appellant’s case destroys that interest if heard and treated as Equal under the Equal Protections of the 5th/14th Amendments. This violation by the Courts is destroying P-Appellant’s Meritorious case, that was never heard by the Trial Court for the same reasons, and is now passed to the Appeals court for the same lack of SMJ Analysis that they would fail if they had the standards stated above in a-e, with significant punishments to not try that because the risk would outweigh to the reward. The same would go for the Writ of Mandamus entirely Unconstitutional opinion meant to demean, ignore the law and facts, protect “employees” over the Constitution, and bully P-Appellant’s into giving up if they were concerned over their health rather than pronounce ADA rights and protect those being thoroughly abused by their “employees.”

This would include having a Trial Court Sua Sponte Evidentiary hearing by RACKETERING Judge Rivers Lawton McIntosh, right after the biased court could not get a motion to dismiss from the lawyers, and there were no lawyers left to bring forth another action because they were all exposed as being Aristocrats/Groomed Elite having committed Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud in P-Appellant’s case (Explained in

77

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

EX. 1), which is closer to what Murdaugh and many others were doing that the State does not want the public to understand or know about.

This Evidentiary hearing involved almost all filings of P-Appellants for 3 years, that were not contested or won by Defense, to end P-Appellant’s meritorious case, without allowing 3 years worth of Discovery Requests answered, and the Supremes also allowed no Subpoenas or Subpoenas duces Tecums, saying if they were concerned with their health, they should have not subpoenaed anyone and just quit. **Ex. 9.**

The Supreme Court, who really needed P-Appellant’s Murdaugh-style case to go away, allowed the 20 pages including exhibits to defend their whole case of about 20,000 pages with video/audio and 2,000 pages of Defendant Group Texts admissions, and about 10 *active* days, to provide a full trial to the Judge (Rivers Lawton McIntosh of the 10th Cir), while he even took the jury away when there was no discovery, depositions, Subpoenas permitted right after the 12b6 motions could not dismiss P-Appellants as hard as the Defense/Judges/RACKETEERS tried. . .to save them from the IF/IRF scheme they were caught in, and where they were involved in P-Appellant’s case LONG BEFORE P-Appellant’s ever knew there would be a case, as a part of the IF/IRF scheme described in **Ex. 1.** **See Asbestos Docket and another reason why P-Appellant’s case must be buried as it describes the basis structure of the Asbestos crimes against Plaintiffs and Corporations who are both being used for the SC LMCE to make billions of unaccountable dollars and layer its secrecy further through Delaware.**

78

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

P-Appellants, Murdaugh 2.0 case, would be a simple and great example of the SMJ Analysis that must occur, otherwise there is no justiciable case (called a lack of Case in Controversy in Federal Court, but meaning the same thing), being heard, that is now before Appeals Courts who have busy trying to save Murdaugh by hearing his lack of a Justiciable case to help him, (called a lack of Case in Controversy in Federal Court, but meaning the same thing), and by further ignoring **PREREQUISITE/CONDITION PRECEDENT** Guaranteed Rights of P-Appellants, so the Court can get rid of P-Appellants case, and unless P-Appellant's pursue, then this will continue to be the Standard for injustice in SC, when all the underlying state actors had to do is settle the case to make P-Appellant's whole and they would have never seen any of this, and would have been on their way a long time ago.

To clarify further, P-Appellant's are not to representing Murdaugh, nor to assert victims rights, although they have a strong opinion that the victims have been extremely abused by the Courts/State and greatly hope that victims get justice at some point through learning more about the SC Aristocratic system/LMCE that is violating many rights of many people. SC Law does not permit P-Appellant's to do any more than this, although the Bars/Lawyer system is VERY Unconstitutional and allows for Oligarchy to set up its counter-Constitutional government using the bar/lawyers in ways that go beyond this writing.

P-Appellant’s are challenging the court’s authority to act in Murdaugh and in P-Appellant’s cases, and those related issues and enormous evidence, only barely summarized herein, that when taken together, make a conclusion in favor of P-Appellant’s a very simple one.

SC recognizes that courts must have: Jurisdiction, Impartial tribunals, and Lawful Authority before hearing a case in SC and many more requirements (see arg). In this matter, procedural and other manipulations has prevented jurisdictional adjudication, that is denial of adjudicatory authority by the Constitution and Structural constitutional defects that must be prerequisite/condition precedent and not after thoughts in Constitutional Cases in order to uphold guaranteed rights of the People and they are sworn to be upheld and defended by the Judges Oaths that are incorporated by reference into this argument as taking sincerely held Oaths are a part of gaining SMJ by Adjudication.

This limited jurisdictional challenge with its motion to Strike and find the Murdaugh matter before the Supremes is Void ab initio, that also affects P-Appellant’s case and outcome. . .that the Courts must act according to the Constitutions, allow for P-Appellant’s to challenge jurisdiction and void jurisdiction.

Because there were also docketing irregularities that further showed the lack of Constitutional respect by the Courts, where they delayed the filing but reviewed the SMJ and related challenges, ignored them, did not file for two days, and still went on with the case.

80

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Further, because the SMJ challenge was strictly about them as contributors in the Fraud on the Court by the Court, they could not hear the challenge and a Neutral Judge(s) had to hear this in open court giving P-Appellants an opportunity to be heard after sufficient notice. None of this happened. (See later for the entire unlawful refusal to file, file wrong when finally filed, and two days late). This was all for the purposes of closing the process so the public would not know of the challenges and what was in the challenges.

In this matter, where the **LACK OF NEUTRALITY IN THE Courts/Judges** are showing, with the Supremes **great interests** in the outcome of Murdaugh with more listed in **Ex. 1** and herein, the **Court HAD TO RECUSE**. See, structural recusal doctrine: *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, *In re Murchison*, in Argument. Where adjudication of jurisdiction would expose potential judicial misconduct or procedural irregularities, judges who participated in those irregularities cannot neutrally decide whether they occurred. That’s known as structural due process.

Since review occurred before filing appeared publicly, (two days before filing and before hearing Murdaugh), that implicates: The Public access doctrine; Cause great Procedural irregularity and Due Process, Equal Protection violations, Public Access violations; *Canon 3* judicial ethics concerns.

The Court heard argument in a matter affecting intervenors’ asserted jurisdictional objections before those objections were docketed and publicly visible, raising due process, Equal Protection, and public-access concerns, which is an example of the

Unconstitutional actions taken by the SC Courts in P-Appellant’s case and to help Murdaugh, which is why Fraud on the Court by the Court must be a part of any PREREQUISITE/ CONDITION PRECEDENT SMJ Adjudication Analysis, and calls for the Clerk of Courts to also be publicly disciplines as she does not work for the Judges but for the People and has a Constitutional Duty to act in kind. Otherwise, these are all signs of a capture court with no accountability, Integrity or Transparency.

P-Appellant’s are not fans of government’s rendition of history however, according to that, the Constitutions gave the People the ultimate right to challenge the Courts, when other legal and political channels are blocked or corrupted, the founding principle holds that the people retain the right to bring into proper alignment or restructure government itself, historically recognized, as the framers intent, in revolutionary doctrine, and codified in the Declaration of Independence, where it is recognized that government exists at the consent of the governed.

P-Appellant’s do not consent to their case receiving absolutely no Constitutional Rights or receiving a fair and impartial judge, and where it is positioned to lose no matter the facts and law, because it tells on Murdaugh and Government Feigned/Colluding of that case and government’s Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, which this Unconstitutional Government is loyal toward its fellow Aristocrat/Groomed Elite/Kin and where it can never allow the LMCE to be known by the People, which would cause the Oligarchical collapse and its LMCE to close its business.

P-Appellants then are obviously challenging as such and for other reasons herein and in **Ex 1**, and moving to Strike and Rule all actions of the Supreme Court against Murdaugh Void ab initio, and dismissed without overturning or vacating Murdaugh, and forcing him to serve his sentence as the jury found him guilty and without the Feigned/Colluding that would stand.

P-Appellant’s mechanisms for Oversight by the People include using Courts, public records, and transparency laws, to expose this corruption by Government/courts, but also to protect P-Appellant’s own case filed in the Appeals Court, where P-Appellants must be forced to lose by predetermination, if Murdaugh is to be Feigned/Colluded to a win, through Court and lawyer cooperation, because:

a. The court’s adjudication of subject matter jurisdiction in Murdaugh is being used to undermine parallel jurisdictional challenges in P-Appellant’s case. **b.** The selective docket handling demonstrates unequal procedural treatment, which other tricks are being played too in P-Appellant’s case described herein. **c.** P-Appellant’s have a legally protectable interest in preventing precedential validation of an allegedly void jurisdictional structure; **d.** The irregular filing delay directly impaired P-Appellant’s ability to be heard contemporaneously.

Importantly, P-Appellant’s are not asserting injury because Murdaugh exists although these need to be addressed by the Government overseeing these Courts in another forum the People may raise, but P-Appellant’s are asserting injury because the court’s handling of

their docket directly impaired P-Appellant’s procedural rights in a parallel jurisdictional dispute that also falls under the State/Supremes to guarantee Constitutional Courts are hearing and deciding P-Appellant’s case under the proper process that assures the Constitution be followed to the best of their abilities, including at the Trial Court where the Supreme Court needs to stop them from stealing litigants awards and trying to harm litigants for claim raiding with the carriers. See herein for the incredible evidence showing otherwise, which is a mere summary to the many records, digital recordings and other evidence P-Appellant’s have.

As this Court knows from P-Appellants continuing statements and history, P-Appellant’s are not violent and have no crimes against them and demand their members to only act legally and nonviolent no matter what these Framers said. .. but for the purpose of studying their intent and why they wrote what they wrote in the Constitution or thereafter followed due to the Constitution:

Framers intent: Having said that, the intent of the Framers and other scholars of that day involved in the framing is clear: **“When injustice becomes law, resistance becomes duty.”** Thomas Jefferson, Letter to William Stephens Smith 1787. **” The people have a right to reform or abolish any government that fails to protect their life, liberty and property.”** Second Treatise of Government, Ch. XIX. **“The purpose of government is to secure liberty, and the people are the ultimate guardians of liberty.”** Federalist No. 46.

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

In brief, the **people's right to oversee or change government is inherent and extrinsic to the government itself it does not depend on asking the government to be just but rather on the people exercising their constitutional legal and political power to correct reform or replace officials and institutions that fail in their duties a part of that is the subject matter jurisdiction adjudication challenge, which requires PREREQUISITE/CONDITION PRECEDENT guaranteed rights to be included in that analysis with strict punishments for ANY JUDGE THAT DETERMINES OTHERWISE AND TREATS GUARANTEED RIGHTS AS MERE SUGGESTIONS. This is what happens when an Oath and all it means is taken as a mere exercise. . .a PINKY SWEAR. . .That is NOT what the Framers had in mind as they didn't respect unfettered Power, and SC is that prime example of what happens when Pinkies are locking together, rather than sincerely held beliefs are actually upholding and defending the Constitutions which is an INHERENT ACT. . .it is common sense and didn't need to be said, as if these are guaranteed rights, and the Court swears to uphold them, then the Courts acceptance of power is based on those rights to be held proper at that very moment and thereafter, and not as an after-thought later, fi the Court desires to hear those appeals fairly. There is NO WAY THE FRAMERS WERE TRUSTING GOVERNMENT TO BE OF THEIR WORD OR OATH. The fact that rights are suggestions if proof of it. . .self-evident of why they didn't trust power to not abridge or infringe upon these guarantees.**

These and the other reasons to challenge mentioned in **Ex. 1** and herein, are colorable "legally protectable interest that must be honored, especially when SC government has been captured as **Ex. 1** and this writing explain as the number of Aristocratic obstructions are becoming even more evident in P-Appellant's own case, with the Trial and Appeals Court playing the dirtiest of tricks under the supervision of this Court, which is now doing the very same thing they are supposed to be overseeing so it doesn't happen. This was the vision of the Framers if Guarantees become suggestions, because they are not being analyzed as they should under the SMJ Adjudication analysis as intended. No PINKY SWEARING WITH THE FRAMERS!

Refusing to provide an order or clarify and Order because the Trial Court and the Appeals Court does not want to grant Reasonable Accommodations to P-Appellant's and having a Laffitte partner in their firm (who clerked for the appeals courts along with another attorney who also clerked for Judge John CANNON Few, write letters to P-Appellant's calling it an Order, which is impersonating a Judge and causing P-Appellants to not get their Constitutional Right and appeal, which is mandated and not a part of discretion for a Judge to determine, and also blocking the appeal through this letter. . .the tricks never cease and the tricks are extremely obvious. And of course now the Supreme Court is doing likewise... same tricks from Trial to Supremes and all in between. This is the Oligarchy not operating under constitutional law in any shape of fashion, violating their oaths and running a LMCE under color of law.

P-Appellants jurisdictional and related matters must be **examined publicly** by a Neutral Judge(s) as part of Open Access and Transparency. If denied, with the Courts working against the law, P-Appellants demand it in written form with explanation and not in a letter by **Jasmin Smith or other lawyer** who has ties to Laffitte and whose entire firm and herself are servants of the upper Courts according to records, but are NOT Deputy Clerks and cannot write or sign an Order even if they were under these conditions, where denial creates constitutional appellate issue and Federal Questions.

P-Appellants demand their SMJ Challenge to be given an Order by a Neutral Judge(s) as this is also the law, which of course in an oligarchy there is no law but what the King grants.

If ignored, among other things, the docket irregularity becomes more evidence of procedural suppression in P-Appellants case, whether in Trial, Appeal or now in the Supreme Court of SC, is involved, which are not all coincidences.

Attorney Jasmin Smith, Partner of Laffitte family firm, further attempted to unlawfully intervene and intimidate P-Appellants and trick or force them into waiving their meritorious case on such trickery, as accomplices of the SC Ct of Appeals to protect Murdaugh case, see supra/infra.

P-Appellant's are having to deal with **Orwellian antics** by the SC Courts including the Appeals Court trying to hide the alleged date of **Attorney Jasmin Smith's** alleged new claim as being hired as an alleged Deputy Clerk over P-Appellant's case in their latest caper

of the Courts, as an intimidating attempt and to cover for Judges acting ultra vires, by law, ignoring their SMJ Adjudication mandates to even hear P-Appellant’s case.

The Framers and earlier leaders in alleged U.S. Government approved history, were way more intelligent than to trust someone’s oath as the sole protection of the People, when dishonest would take oaths while taking the awards and inheritances with their other hand. People wanting more power and control could **easily lie** and take over the state or country in doing so and bribes to claim as Kittredge does, that he is a strict Constitutionalist and other such false mantras when they are running an oligarchy for profit. The earlier framers/leaders, for all their faults, knew to assume human fallibility like their own fallibility, and therefore were writing and speaking about how citizens must have the power to intervene if government fails its duties. Most of their quotes were directed at the Federal Government, but the issue was how they could and should give government limited power and the basis of how they would need to protect the people from arbitrary and usurpation of power and even stopping the government from creating an oligarchy if the writing was not limited enough with enough checks and balances, which finally check and balance was ultimately given to the people themselves. Government power grabbing is not specific to the Federals. It is equally applicable to the States. The issues in SC are power grabbing government using arbitrary law and usurpation of power, and how to stop it or give the People the ability to do so. The Framers did so, beyond their violent options, which P-Appellants only move by legal, nonviolent means.

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

INTENT OF THE FRAMERS MATTERS MORE THAN JUDGES REWRITING THE

CONSTITUTION: There are ways to change the Constitutions and rewriting it by Judges is not one of them. Judges cannot give Judges more power simply by writing it down in an opinion. Although *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137 (1803), acted outside its SMJ to even make itself exists, it also said that ignoring the Constitution is REPUGNANT AND MAKES THE MATTER VOID. Just some of the Framers intent over government power (which relates to all government power not just federal is as follows:

1. Thomas Jefferson: “The germ of dissolution of our federal government is in the constitution of the federal judiciary; [and state, our state Constitution and State judiciary] an irresponsible body... working like gravity by night and by day, gaining a little today and a little tomorrow... until all shall be usurped.” Letter from Thomas Jefferson to Charles Hammond (Aug. 18, 1821). Jefferson DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON’T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **“no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson*, @ 136, in argument.

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

2. Thomas Jefferson: “To consider the judges as the ultimate arbiters of all constitutional questions is a very dangerous doctrine indeed... and would place us under the despotism of an oligarchy.” Case in point, SC. **Letter from Thomas Jefferson to William C. Jarvis (Sept. 28, 1820).** Jefferson DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **“no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

3. Thomas Jefferson: “Our judges are as honest as other men, and not more so... their power the more dangerous as they are in office for life.” . . .or for 10 years, with renewals by the Aristocratic/Groom Elite Lawyer-Legislators and/or the Governor Aristocrat related to the Laffite's also. **Letter from Thomas Jefferson to William C. Jarvis (Sept. 28, 1820).** Jefferson DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN

SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **"no man can be a judge in his own case."** See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

4. James Madison: "There are more instances of the abridgment of the freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachments of those in power than by violent and sudden usurpations." James Madison, Speech to the Virginia Ratifying Convention

(June 6, 1788). Madison DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT.

THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **"no man can be a judge in his own case."** See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

5. James Madison: "If men were angels, no government would be necessary... you must first enable the government to control the governed; and in the next place oblige it to control itself." The Federalist No. 51 (1788). Madison DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS

THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES

WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that *"no man can be a judge in his own case."* See *In re Murchinson*, @ 136, in argument.

6. James Madison: "The accumulation of all powers, legislative, executive, and judiciary, in the same hands... may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny." The Federalist No. 47 (1788). See P-Appellant's genealogy and entrenchment for Oligarchical Power and LMCE creation section of **Ex. 1** and herein. Madison DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that *"no man can be a judge in his own case."* See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

7. Alexander Hamilton: "The courts must declare the sense of the law; and if they should be disposed to exercise WILL instead of JUDGMENT, the consequence would equally be the substitution of their pleasure to that of the legislative body." The Federalist No. 78 (1788). Hamilton DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE

JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **“no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

8. Alexander Hamilton: “There is no liberty, if the power of judging be not separated from the legislative and executive powers.” The Federalist No. 78 (quoting Montesquieu). This includes unlawful and extremely entrenched Aristocratic/Groomed Elite closing off the government from Accountability, Integrity and Transparency. Hamilton DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says **that “no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

9. Patrick Henry: “The Constitution is said to have beautiful features; but when I come to examine these features... they appear to me horribly frightful.” Patrick Henry, Virginia Ratifying Convention (June 5, 1788). Patrick Henry was one of the few who believed any such writing as is, could allow the exact tyranny and Oligarchy development if

not carefully followed. Henry DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **“no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

10. Patrick Henry: “The judiciary is the most dangerous instrument to sap the foundations of the republic.” And in SC it has done so and is not operating under Constitutional Law. HENRY DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **“no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson*, 136, in argument.

Debates of the Virginia Convention (1788). And in SC here the People have just that! And in SC it has done so and is not operating under Constitutional Law.

11. Abraham Lincoln: “If the policy of the government upon vital questions... is to be irrevocably fixed by decisions of the Supreme Court... the people will have ceased to be their own rulers. **First Inaugural Address (1861).** And here the People are, under Arbitrary Law and a LMCE Oligarchy. Lincoln DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **“no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson* @ 136, in argument.

12. Thomas Jefferson: “The judiciary of the United States is the subtle corps of sappers and miners constantly working underground to undermine the foundations of our confederated fabric.” **Letter from Jefferson to Thomas Ritchie (Dec. 25, 1820).** Jefferson DID NOT GIVE THE COURTS THE RIGHT TO REVIEW VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AS A MERE SUGGESTION AFTER THE FACT IF THEY FELT LIKE IT. THE OATH WAS NOT A PINKY SWEAR BUT A WAY TO UPFRONT, GET THE JUDGES TO SWEAR TO DEFEND THE GUARENTEED RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE OR DON'T TAKE THE CASE AS A PART OF SMJ ADJUDICATION. THE PENALTIES WERE NOT TO BE JUDGES JUDGING JUDGES IN SECRET

After the Fact so they could take guarantees as suggestions. . .The law itself says that **“no man can be a judge in his own case.”** See *In re Murchinson*, @ 136, in argument.

Even the U.S. Supreme Court Warned About Judicial Overreach

13. Felix Frankfurter: “The Court is not saved from being oligarchic because it professes to act in the service of humane ends.” **Emphasis Added.** **Trop v. Dulles, 356 U.S. 86 (1958) (Frankfurter, J., dissenting).**

14. Antonin Scalia: “The Constitution is not a living organism... It is a legal document... and it means what it meant when it was adopted.” **A Matter of Interpretation (1997).** The words of a strict Constitutionalist Judge Kittredge. Otherwise, there is no law but what the King grants, which is how SC is practice and judging law. . .it is a suggestion only.

15. Antonin Scalia: “If the courts are free to revise the Constitution according to their own views, then the people are no longer the masters of their government.” **Remarks on constitutional interpretation (various speeches and writings).** And thus the SC Oligarchy/LMCE exploiting the People as human resources for the King’s pleasure.

16. Robert H. Jackson: “We are not final because we are infallible, but we are infallible only because we are final.” **Brown v. Allen, 344 U.S. 443 (1953).** This clearly shows the game SC is playing where the Trial Court uses any and ever Unconstitutional reason to get rid of a troubling case where the State and its agents stole P-Appellant’s award, and needs to hand off the case asap to their Appeals LMCE to end the case with no appeals, and the

Guaranteed rights of the litigant are stolen, when they are not to be abridged or infringed upon. . .but those have become suggestions by Court rulings.

SMJ Adjudication including its prerequisite/condition precedent guarantees being included as structural violations with severe punishments, that happen before a case can be heard, should and will make the appeals process minimal and helpful on a few genuine mistakes. Otherwise, the Appeals Court can become final if the Courts want to string along the litigant and use up their appeals with nonsense, delays, refusing to file Motions, refusing to rule or clarify the rulings, hire a Laffitte Lawyer to write authoritative and extremely fraudulent letters and then lie about that too, just to ignore Constitutional issues that will not help the LMCE and so forth. This is UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND MUST THE COURT/JUDGES MUST RECUSE AND TURN THEMSELVES AND EACH OTHER IN FOR INVESTIGATION AND PUNISHMENT FROM A NEUTRAL ARIBITOR.

17. Clarence Thomas: “When judges depart from the Constitution... they undermine the legitimacy of the courts and the rule of law.” **Various concurring opinions including**

Gamble v. United States, 587 U.S. (2019). The SC has erased the U.S. and SC Constitutions and are working as an Oligarchy for profit using their LMCE to fleece the People oftentimes through tragic circumstances which lure the public into the courts.

18. William O. Douglas: “As nightfall does not come all at once, neither does oppression... In such twilight we must all be most aware of change in the air.”

United States v. United States District Court (1972). The nightfall came a long time ago, but packaged under different wrappings as it had to adapt to being called out. Today it is being undressed and being called what it is and proclaiming its tyranny and Unconstitutionality. This Murdaugh Feigned/Colluded /collusion operation (called a lack of Case in Controversy in Federal Court, but meaning the same thing), must be stuck down as a violation of any legitimate justiciability and violation of SMJ Adjudication, along with many other prerequisite/condition precedents in the Adjudication of SMJ giving the court power to hear, which this Supreme Court has not done with immediate proof being intentional display by the Court/Clerk hiding the filing for two days and then mislabeling the SMJ Challenge.

Constitutional law is not a suggestion. Your oaths were not an exercise but need to be a sincerely held belief to uphold and defend the Constitution, which this Court, the Appeals Court and the Trial Courts of SC often ignore for their power and money grab through their LMCE interests.

19. Hugo Black: “Power corrupts... and absolute power corrupts absolutely.”

Black often cited **Lord Acton**’s famous warning in opinions and speeches.

20. Neil Gorsuch: “The Constitution’s separation of powers protects liberty by preventing the accumulation of excessive authority in any single branch.” **Gundy v. United States, 588 U.S. (2019) (Gorsuch, J., dissenting).** In SC there is one branch called the **Oligarchy.**

In a similar statement made to William Jarvis, Jefferson stated: (Thomas Jefferson), “The Constitution... meant that its co-ordinate branches should be checks on each other. But the opinion which gives to the judges the right to decide what laws are constitutional... would make the judiciary a despotic branch.”

Letter to Abigail Adams (1804). This is not P-Appellants or other human resources stating this, these are the authors of the Constitution calling this and other SC Courts a “despotic branch.”

SC Framers: Although SC history is full of Aristocrats that were the perpetrators of Arbitrary Power and usurpation, when those Aristocrats in SC were not in charge, like during the days of the Lord Proprietors, or had other stiff competition before and after the Civil War, Aristocrats like **John Rutledge** as President (Governor) of SC under the 1776 Constitution and later as Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court (1789), repeatedly warned against centralized executive authority and judicial activism. Stating “An officer clothed with indefinite power is more to be dreaded than any external foe.” SC *Constitutional Convention (1776)*. **Aristocrat Charles Cotesworth Pinckney**, Delegate to the 1776 SC Constitutional Convention (1776). and later to the U.S. Constitutional Convention, had similar statements as did others. See Thomas Bee Convention Minutes, 1776 on limiting judicial power; Chirstopher Gadsden, William Henry Drayton, William Moultrie, who were very concerned with structural fear of Judicial overreach and Aristocratic rule.

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

The key takeaways regarding SC actions in Constitutional writings **1.** To protect from their fears that Court/Judge would structurally overreach in South Carolina causing the framers to intentionally limit judicial power, See John Rutledge, Debates in the SC Constitutional convention, (1776); **2.** Requiring judges to be bound by law and oath. Considered the oath as a source of authority in acting contrary to the constitution or public trust, that removes lawful authority, echoing the virus principle. See Charles Cotesworth Pinckney, Pinckney Papers, SC Historical Society (1776); **3.** That the Separation of Powers safeguards against consolidation of power applied directly to courts; a biased or constitutionally hostile judge lacks legitimate Subject Matter Jurisdiction. See, Edward Rutledge, Debates in the SC Constitutional Convention (1776). And for the Bill of Rights and trial protections, the **explicit guarantees in South Carolina Constitutions protect against judges ignoring constitutional limitations**. See Thomas Bee, Minutes of the SC Constitutional Convention. The SMJ Adjudication Analysis was a part of these very concerns of early and later SC Framers, as it is clear the Constitution which they helped write in SC is the power giving authority to a judge to hear the case, or not hear the case based on the Framers concerns that if they were not the aristocrats in power, then they were not protected if other forces become entrenched and started their own Oligarchy.

Framers around the country also had similar concerns over Arbitrary and usurpation of power when they were not in office, thus creating limitations on government, including judicial power, through oaths, term limits, and procedural safeguards.

100

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Along with hundreds of other appropriate quotes that could be given, the bottom line is that the Framers expected the People to enforce the rights of the people when/not if the Judges overtake and centralize their power to where these Oligarchies would form and Rule as a Devine Right of Kings. This is why they knew to make sure they wrote “guaranteed” as a part of “rights” so that the People who were having their awards stolen and watching Feigned/Colluded /Collusion Murdaugh/Laffitte cases get pushed through Unconstitutional Courts while they destroy P-Appellant’s meritorious case because they will not roll over and given in to this tyrannical and criminal behavior by Courts/Government who have close the loop of accountability in SC, where the people are just victims to bullies and they have to give their lunch money away to these bullies or get beaten up and abuse and have their money taken anyway. P-Appellants are not new to this. They are not shocked. They understand that this is the way of accountability Aristocrats and those who would sell their Integrity to be a part of this.

Dr. Kennedy refused to go along to get along. Dr. Fink refused also. The People ultimately enforce Constitutional limits, and P-Appellants do it nonviolently inside and outside the Courts. They are doing their best to convince the Courts it is best to do this inside the Courts. . .even tough outside will remain legal and nonviolent (which P-Appellant’s always make clear). **“A law repugnant to the Constitution is VOID.” Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803).**

If a judge intends to act in violation of the constitution courts and legal scholars argue that the judge is acting without lawful authority of a court derives entirely from the constitution and law acting contrary to the oath means the judge has no lawful power to adjudicate. See *Ex Parte McCordle*, 74 U.S. 506 (1868). See Argument.

So it is clear from history that a judge could gain or lose power over cases by merely not following or intending to follow his oath to Defend the Constitution and that this power was presupposed and inherent in the writings that this was so and common sense. So back to Murdaugh and P-Appellant's cases were they were Feigned/Colluded but both to help Murdaugh/Laffitte and the LMCE.

LMCE Coordination: In Murdaugh and P-Appellant's case, the events in question cannot be accomplished without extreme underground coordination between the alleged opposition, the Judges, the higher court judges and so forth.

The Tort Law alleged reform, and the **Asbestos** cases exclusion from same, are perfect examples of how Judge Kittredge and the Supremes have worked with Retire Judge Toal, and the Legislators and Lawyer-Legislators, like Luke Rankin, G. Murrell Smith, Jr. Peter Protopapas (ringer for Judge Toal and the Supremes LMCE appointed to the JMSC by Aristocrat McMasters, a family member of the Laffitte Dynasty), John Lay (Receiver in Murdaugh from **GWB**, and now appointed as a thank you to the JMSC), and MANY others. The **Asbestos Docket** is working as a sophisticated IF/IRF case and is another reason why P-Appellants case must be destroyed because it has connected

these cases and has exposed the SC LMCE and how it works by making Constitutional Guarantees mere suggestions and keeping the Discipline of Judges and lawyers secret and under the Supreme Court’s discretion.

Conveniently, **Asbestos containment was ignored in these Tort bills** so it could continue being an extremely corrupt LMCE money maker, in another closed loop of political, judicial, and executive financial interests with receivers and attorneys on the docket. SC was willing to be **embarrassed internationally and as a H*llhole recipient**, because the LMCE **mind is on its resources**, and **as they breathe they hope**.

And as this Court knows, there are many framer and past leadership intent clearly stated in quotes, cases and so forth that do not permit this court to act as it is nor the Appeals Court in P-Appellants own Murdaugh 2.0 case, but for the **“Guaranteed Constitutional Suggestion Doctrine,”** totally made up by the SC Courts.

There is strong authorities dealing with **(1) Constitutional mandatory limits on judicial power and jurisdiction, (2) courts Unconstitutionally acting as prosecutors or advocates, and (3) the Constitutional mandatory duty to refuse to take SMJ when one cannot adjudicate subject-matter jurisdiction (SMJ), via Constitutional law per your oaths.** All three have been violated by the Trial, Appeals and Supreme Court in both Murdaugh and P-Appellants **Murdaugh 2.0** cases, but only one for the benefit of Murdaugh’s Feigned/Colluded /Collusion case that cannot be heard, and those three violated in P-Appellants case to hide and bury it to save Murdaugh, save their LMCE, keep

the money they stole, and to further retaliate against P-Appellant's for their past good work for the People and now for daring to question and begin to expose the tyrannical Oligarchy of SC in place for centuries by the same Dynasty families and their groomed elite.

Entrenched government Aristocratic Dynasties are not operating or protected under the law. The Public has seen this with numerous politicians, Murdaugh getting a fake appeal after a fake trial, Laffitte who got a love-pat of a sentence through Fed friends Limehouse/Gergel and McMaster, Becky Heir Hill who got a hand-slap for helping her kin Murdaugh get these Feigned/Colluded /Colluded appealable issues in question, and even more nationally, the disgusting unspeakable crimes like Epstein and Maxwell and all their "clients" in the U.S. and so forth, are not properly prosecuted if at all. Aristocrats have a free pass and are above the law in what America calls the two-tier legal system where only regular people are "under the law" which means the Aristocrats can do whatever they want to the others because the Aristocrats are not under the law. This is not sour grapes, and this motion and the others filed prove it, as a well known and accepted fact in this country. The people are very sick of this and want to make a change.

These heinous crimes discussed are what Aristocrats do because they are accountable to nobody, and the People are supposed to accept it and deal with their abuses in SC. P-Appellant's have investigated some of this, even found in SC, but their findings would never be exposed except in isolated cases where a criminal has been discovered by the public and so the system has to do something while isolated him. P-

Appellants are working to do that but need permission from victims first and for many reasons that is a very big deal for them or the statute of limitations has run, and they don't believe anything would be done to even remove the culprit from office in SC for lack of confidence in the SC Government/Judiciary.

There are many more victims in SC regarding the Look-the-other-way-government that let Epstein operate here. This is not isolated and the Aristocratic Charleston culprits, where Attorney hero David Flowers lost his license over protecting the children from Aristocratic governance is a very clear case of the SC Aristocrats doing as they wish even against their own children's interest where Flowers was trying to assist the victims, as Judge Kittredge and ex Judge Toal well know. Instead of supporting him, they protected Judge Goldstein and ex judge Richter against Flowers, for Goldstein and Richter performing an Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud strategy (IF/IRF) on the victims also. (That does not mean it was IF/IRF, but the strategy was that of IF/IRF). See **Asbestos Docket for the same scheme in the SC LMCE and why P-Appellant's case must be destroyed.**

Former Judge James Benjamin Gosnell, Jr. 68, was involved in far more Epstein-like behavior with infants and toddlers and other adult involvement, than having child sexual abuse materials in his possession as this Court well knows. Nobody knew for 68 years?

Epstein also had victims in SC and spent time himself in SC including Charleston, and Hilton Head, where child trafficking rings already existed or perhaps were created with his assistance, according to investigation, and where this alleged victim of Trump is in the

Epstein files from Hilton Head, SC. . . These are/were Predators walking free for many years in SC because SC Oligarchy will not pursue its fellow Aristocrats/Elite no matter what they are doing.

These investigations that P-Appellant’s are looking into that the authorities will not touch or report on, are far worse than the tales of the Hilltop Motel in Anderson County although that is a type of Letcher County scene in itself. Where is the SC Mind of accountability by Constitutional government, who are or are protecting Aristocratic “resources”, i.e. the People, instead of disbaring hero David Flowers who fought all this type of disgusting and foul behavior by those Aristocrats/Elites in authority, including the parents of victims and other school attendees?

This all reflects on the Court more than any other branch of government, and the others are very bad as shown in brief herein. If the Courts were known to follow the law, than these predators would pick another state. It is the Courts that determines freedom in SC and the number victimized here also, like all those victims of Murdaugh when he was free to do as he wished, and is being saved by the Aristocrats/Groomed Elite now at P-Appellant’s expense. It is the courts that determine right and wrong in SC. When the Courts ignore or join in on these and other crimes, then all of the state’s people are in serious danger. Allowing their Judges to steal Awards and inheritance is part of that equation because SC is not performing SMJ Adjudication using the prerequisite/condition precedents of guaranteed rights with sufficient punishment for those who act outside of

SMJ Adjudication or don't even consider SMJ Adjudication before wresting power from the Constitution.

It is clear what the framers intended and what they did not intend. The Court is not permitted to ignore or rewrite or consider Constitutional Rights as mere **“suggestions”** when they are guaranteed rights and a part of SMJ Adjudication analysis.

The Court/Judge instead, **MUST** do a complete **SMJ Adjudication Analysis** that includes treating all Constitutional Rights as prerequisite/condition precedents, with a Judges true intention to uphold and defend Guaranteed Rights being a big part of that prerequisite/condition precedent evaluation based on ones sincerely held belief as sworn by the Oath, under severe penalty if not done so. Then the Court/Judge must do all to protect those rights throughout the case to show they did sincerely receive authority to Constitutionally preside.

If one or two of the issues are genuinely difficult issues, and legitimately appear unintentionally ruled upon during or after the case, with someone who understand the Constitution as a part of their being on the bench, then that is where a review would be necessary on post-trial motion, on a writ where both judges must also be evaluating their SMJ Adjudication analysis in the same way, before a judge hears that motion, , and so forth. No harmless error should ever involved constitutional issues, big or small.

Only then, when the Constitution still seems to be ignored, a litigant can then appeal, where these judges do the same analysis before review and Order, after a notice and right

to be heard are extended to the litigant, where the opposition MUST argue his/her position and not leave any defense up to the Judge who is presiding, which is totally Unconstitutional, where the mistake can and will/must be corrected.

Discipline for Bad and Corrupt and Criminal Judges: Any review for discipline, MUST be made to the People’s arbiter review board of the Judiciary, for potential Disciplinary action, (not to other Judges and lawyers mainly, and in secret), for any legitimate failure in this process or in obvious mistakes. The penalties would be from a three strike rule where three obvious mistakes would require the Judge to resign and rerun at the next public, People’s election if so chosen, all the way up to corruption crimes and the Judges being prosecuted before a jury, and imprisoned if the People find they were not making mistakes, but deliberate acts against Public Trust and all other crimes that could be brought depending on the circumstances.

The punishments are the guarantee the Court/Judges will properly analyze their SMJ Adjudication power to protect all litigants Guaranteed Rights as a prerequisite/condition precedent under their Oaths, without any schemes afoot and properly recusing/disqualifying when they know they should not hear the case and disclose any reasons to all parties who may accept or reject the Judge based on full disclosure. This way Judges/courts who use Guaranteed Rights to abridge or infringe upon and see them as mere **“suggestions”** the court might follow if convenient, will be quickly weeded out as honesty prevails and a proper analysis occurs, or the Judges is weeded out by going to

prison. Because the People are guaranteed Rights, no abridging or infringing upon them SHALL be tolerated.

And in any review, the standard can never be a harmless error when there are Guarantees being infringed upon. This must be a reversal as to that part of the case that may need a new trial or other remedies depending on the matter and the litigant's ability to work to resolve that difference. The standard must be De Novo, and if not found in the contesting parties favor, then a jury must be called on the issues surrounding that issue.

Jury Check and Balances: All law and facts must be determined by the Jury and all civil and criminal cases must be heard by a jury. This is the final **check and balance**, where they can hear what the law is and determine if that law fits the Constitution. . .not judges, as a right to jury nullify to protect the People. Punishments must be heard by a jury so Courts do not use Laffitte Strategies even when a jury has spoken. No Remittiturs/Additurs, no interfering with mandatory Jury findings that are the **Right of all People in all cases**, since a jury is the final word of the People overseeing government and not the other way around. **No Summary Judgments/Directed Verdict/alleged Evidentiary Hearings**, etc., can dismiss a case, because the Courts cannot be given the power of a jury. for the same reasons. That would include Sua Sponte Evidentiary hearings right after Defennses12b6 failure. . .where the 12b6 cannot be used as a gatekeeper for judges, but only used on the most most extreme cases where not one bit of a scintilla of evidence is shown, and where there is no hope that these would raise to a Cause of Action,

109

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

that can be amended as needed as of right. . .not just once, leaving it up to the Courts discretion, which cannot be trusted. When the lawyers all ran away once exposed, without notice or a right for P-Appellants to be heard, and to have the alleged evidentiary hearing with no discovery, no depositions, no subpoenas supported by the Supreme Court itself, **Ex. 9**, to rid itself of P-Appellant’s troubling case that shows the Feigned/Colluding/Colluding of Murdaugh, shows the State involved in the LMCE for profit-tax-free thefts of awards, inheritances, having fake Plaintiffs, and cooked predetermined findings raising serious Structural constitutional violations that are never addressed or respected by the courts because they are being handled as mere suggestions rather than the Intent of the Framers to make sure guaranteed rights are guaranteed and discipline for Courts/Judges who do not perform a serious analysis of proper SMJ Adjudication are **severely punished**, under the direction of the People, including mandatory prison time and a complete change and reduction in their future opportunities for a good quality of life, having violated the Public Trust of the People and committed crimes in office further exploiting those most vulnerable, like what the Courts and LMCE are doing to the People they target for these thefts, including Whistleblowers who speak and lead movements against them for real change to create the Constitutional government they are guaranteed by State and Federal Constitutions.

Jury rights are constitutionally preserved “in violate,” and the oath swears to uphold these rights per SMJ Adjudication and severe punishment for not doing so, especially if

110

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

shown the structural violations are intentional, and part of that showing is how the Court continues to treat these reviews herein. What the jury finds, like in Murdaugh, cannot be overturned, especially through Feigned/Colluding appealable issues, that undermine the power of the people.

The Supreme and Appeals Court ridiculous acts mimicking the Trial Court trickery and deceit, under color of law, that they are supposed to supervise, PROVES their intentional acts to ignore the Constitution and preserve their LMCE and its Murdaugh/Laffitte type members in their Unconstitutional Oligarchy, by destroying P-Appellant’s meritorious case, and hiding the LMCE Court theft of P-Appellant’s Award in RACKETEERING with the others mentioned, including the Carrier itself, and much more.

SMJ/Penalties: These and more statements of the law, but actually properly used by Courts, like demanding mandatory SMJ Analysis be properly completed **BEFORE** a Judge touches a case, with great penalties for these Courts and Judges violating their oaths, is the ONLY way, that Courts can and will come under the Constitution as presupposed and assumed by the Framers who wrote the Constitutions with this intent, and were OBVIOUSLY not giving the Government and especially the Courts any benefit of the doubt. .
.there were no doubts about what government would do had they given it a long leash.
Instead, they made sure that SMJ Adjudication/penalties were adhered to and greatly punished if violated (P-Appellant’s do not support violence in any fashion, although the

framers did). At that point, exercising the Constitution as intended, Government will begin to act as Constitutional Governments, with similar actions, discipline and other operations overseen by the People. The cost/benefit and risk/reward ratios need to be harsh enough to force compliance. . .Self-policing and secretive results are Unconstitutional on their face.

Infringement/Abridgement: Alleged balancing Tests to protect Judges at the expense of the People’s rights to oversee them and protect themselves and other people, where the Judges use this to take advantage of such tests for their benefit. . .like giving **immunity**. . . does nothing but help and encourage the Oligarchy and LMCE to commit crimes against the People under color of law. These are unconstitutional and lead to Murdaugh’s many victims not protected by their government, and cases like Murdaugh 2.0 where P-Appellant’s were targeted for catastrophic injury or wrongful death for the LMCE to grease its gears with claims they stole from Allianz Reserves with Allianz involvement. See **Asbestos cases** and probate cases for many more examples of same. The Constitutions are not about Judges having rights. . .it is about Judges having limits and People having rights.

P-Appellants are challenging all of these inappropriate entrenchments, and inappropriate links with other powers, as Unconstitutional and a part of how P-Appellant’s case has been potentially ruined unless something changes fast, and it has caused criminals like Murdaugh/Laffitte and others to survive and thrive in the Unconstitutional SC LMCE that has captured the SC Govt. See argument.

112

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Juries Determine the facts AND the law to protect the Guaranteed Rights of the

People: Further, the Court approved saying the Judges determine the law and juries determine the facts, that they regularly violate anyway. . .see herein. . .is also not accurate and has stolen another part of the power of the people to protect the people from government which the framers supported protecting the people against the government also.

“It is not only [the juror’s right], but his duty . . .to find the verdict according to his own best understanding, judgment, and conscience, though in direct opposition to the direction of the court.” John Adams, Diary and Autobiography of John Adams (L.H. Butterfield ed., 1961). (Emphasis Added). There are **no better examples of why the Framers intended juries finding both the facts and the law**, than what is coming out of SC Courts summarized in P-Appellant’s Trial, Appeal and Supreme Court filings, as juries, when not tampered with or affected by tampering, (like State/Fed Actors intentionally tampering with them to provide appealable issues to these criminals because they knew **Murdaugh/Laffitte** would never win before an honest jury and the Aristocrats needed to save their fellow Aristocrats in the LMCE by using state/fed **ACTORS** Becky Hill/Richard Gergel), are **independent bodies capable of judging the law and the facts**. See, *Georgia v. Brailsford*, 2 U.S. (3 Dall.) 1,4 (1794). *The Jury is Palladium of Liberty*: Thomas Jefferson, Letter from Thomas Jefferson to Thomas Paine (1789). The Jury is the protection of liberty: See *Duncan v. Louisiana*, 291 U.S. 145, 156 (1968). Jury is the voice of the Community:

113

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Taylor v. Louisiana, 419 U.S. 522, 530 (1975). The Civil Jury as a Constitutional Protection:
Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover, *Beacon Theatres, Inc. v. Westover*, 259 U.S. 500, 501
(1959). Jury as protection inviolate, in SC Constitutional Protection: *S.C. Const. art. I, §14*.

Another example of why jury’s must determine the facts and the law, is the LMCE’s way to rescue Murdaugh/Laffitte, by destroying P-Appellant’s meritorious case that tells on the Judges/Lawyers/State Actors/**Allianz**/Defendant Proxies, and so forth that exposes the Murdaugh/Laffitte IF/IRF scheme and the **Asbestos Docket**, which is an even more complex IF/IRF scheme, along with the actual theft of P-Appellant’s award as the courts refused to enter the defaults of Defense and give P-Appellant’s their victories because P-Appellant’s had to lose for the LMCE to keep the money, to save the LMCE from exposure, to save the public understanding Murdaugh/Laffitte/Mullen and so forth and all the other events of the sham Murdaugh proceedings, including Receivership/expanded crimes and criminals/the key involvement of the carrier who holds the check and the unaccountable reserve accounts, and state wide (at least) RACKETEERING through the Courts themselves.

The 10th Cir. Judges, the lawyers no both sides, the SC Bar, the Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Appeals and the Supremes, along with Bamber and Judge Heath P. Taylor had to work together to get rid of P-Appellant’s case. . .still in progress. . .without Discovery, Depositions, Subpoenas, Witnesses speaking, and try to rig the SCRPC Rule 12(b)(6), hiding defaults, making up fake claims that were outside of the entire case/procedure to dismiss on other grounds, that involved Allianz actually being a part of

114

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

the fake conspiracy, and when all that failed, they had very dangerous and unstable Aristocratic Judge Rivers Lawton McIntosh, do a Sua sponte evidentiary hearing when there could not be one, to dismiss the case by limiting pages and time to impossible low limits, so there would be no way to do so, on unidentified issues of about 162 documents that the Defense never contested **Ex. 8**. And with this the Supremes ignored the physical abuse and impossibilities of the demand of McIntosh with further abuses and weaponizing of their own, to assure P-Appellants case was gone, **Ex. 9**.

They also had many of their Aristocratic RACKETEERS retire early (Judge Maddox of the Dr. Maddox family), decline running for office again (Wagner), and attorneys run away because they were exposed as a part of the IF/IRF scheme of Murdaugh/LMCE, without Court/Due Process for P-Appellant's to contest, in obvious ex parte orchestrated schemes to hid their schemes. See Supremes, **Ex. 9**, which includes P-Appellants filing to the Trial Court with copy to the Supremes, and McIntosh's ridiculous Evidentiary Hearing/list that was produced behind the schemes by the lawyers who were left, but were in hiding because P-Appellants exposed them. (See Taylor in the Laffitte case also, and see **Asbestos Docket, and why P-Appellants case also explains the basis structure of how the State LMCE is making billions off of illegal and unconstitutional litigation because they have no SMJ Adjudicative Power and financial interests in the outcome of these creations of the state like they tried to create claims by catastrophic injury or wrongful death of P-Appellants according to Judges own proxies.**)

115

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

In the Supremes denial of P-Appellants Writ of Mandamus, (Ex. 9), they claimed there was no evidence provided. . .when there is something called Prima Facie Evidence, that they know was submitted because one can't call an Evidentiary Hearing at that point in the proceedings, and the Evidentiary Hearing cannot be the reason for a dismissal. It is all fake. . .any reason to dismiss, and the lawyers/judges ran out of reasons, even made-up reasons, and the **Virgin Island Customs excuse (Ex. 7)** was already tried and didn't work for other reasons.

A fake Evidentiary Hearing was what they came up with after taking about 6 months off to let Judge Maddox retire early at the end of December 2024, because he was nailed and was also caught in an ex parte hearing with the Defense without P-Appellants being notice or present, **Ex. 8** and was called out by P-Appellant's.

This extremely bad faith and **sanctionable Virgin Island Customs** claim by Defense attorneys, is more Feigned/Colluding of a case, with absurd Motions being permitted by these 10th Cir., Judges to get a judgment against P-Appellant's on any grounds no matter how ridiculous to hide their exposure of IF/IRF in the SC LMCE. See Murdaugh/Laffitte and **Asbestos Docket crimes, where P-Appellant's case is exposing the fundamental structure of these crimes through IF/IRF schemes and exposing the SC LMCE who are running these illegal Long Cons, and then sitting on these cases, presiding over their own guilt or liability once again.**

Supreme Court Writ of Mandamus to the Supremes Response to Defend and Protect the LMCE was Clear. **Ex 9** . They needed to get rid of P-Appellant's case, refused to allow any type of Discovery, Depositions, Subpoenas, Witnesses, and even allowed Judge McIntosh to personally review anything written by P-Appellant's before it could go into the record, which were the response to the Evidentiary Hearing writings, the claims against Government Racketeering in P-Appellants case, permitted those a part of the case to continue to preside and represent in the case, ignored the fact that no Evidentiary Hearing was permitted at that time in the case, and so forth.

The Supremes also claimed that P-Appellant's should just give up if they were worried about their health and safety instead of protecting them and providing ADA Reasonable Accommodations. (**Ex. 9**). This is something bullies were saying to P-Appellant's from the beginning of this case and forward. Finally, the Supremes claimed that their duty was to protect their Employees, which is the problem. They think that it is more important than protecting the guaranteed rights of the People from their employees, where there is a laundry list of tampering with records, hiding orders and so much more than what is written herein. **Ex. 9**.

Of course P-Appellants lost, but responded to the evidentiary hearing demand in a way that Judge McIntosh could not **a)** continue to fish for the specific evidence and witnesses regarding P-Appellant's evidence against the Judges, which should not even been a part of why there was an evidentiary hearing or dismissal, and **b)** they used common

sense which is a part of the fair and impartial hearing to show that the Judges’ own behavior in the case, purposely ignoring laws and even rewiring the constitution, the law, the Rules, and the Canons to serve its purpose, would not be something an honest judge/court would do, as it would be much easier to just follow the laws/rules etc. in place and have no drama. (Ex. 8). And used other reasonable law and questions that any reasonable person would see that these Judges from Trial through the Supremes were hiding the facts of Murdaugh/Laffitte, their LMCE, and therefore needed to destroy and keep hidden, P-Appellant’s case.

The challenge has expanded after learning that the Asbestos scams by the state were being heard at the same time by the same Supreme Court that has no legal excuse for aggressively creating asbestos claims out of thin air with their Discovery/Sanction strategy they used on healthy corporations to give them fake standing to have a receiver, Protopapas take over. They did the same strategy with P-Appellant’s with the Evidentiary/Sanction strategy. . .both are the same structure, and now Murdaugh, Asbestos scams and P-Appellant’s case are all being heard in Columbia at the same time.

P-Appellant’s case was being buried because of what P-Appellant’s know about this very corrupt SC Government and Courts, through having to go through the horrors of the 10th Cir., including the 10th Cir Judges attempting to create the claims against P-Appellants, through their proxies initiating a catastrophic injury or wrongful death to bring their IF/IFR claim with the cooperation of Allianz. . .but the State ACTORS proxies failed to finish the

118

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

job, and some later told P-Appellant’s in their written admissions and their recorded statements (and others), that the 10th Cir. Judges were behind the violence these Proxies were taking to P-Appellant’s long before P-Appellant’s ever filed a suit in the 10th Cir against the proxies. . .and P-Appellant’s still didn’t know about State Actor involvement at the time of filing their suit yet.

In the Supremes, denial of P-Appellant’s writ of Mandamus regarding this totally Unconstitutional **Evidentiary Hearing** by the RACKETEER Judge, McIntosh took it upon himself to hide and not file any documents that showed the government involved in their case, and also refused to file P-Appellant’s suit against all the RACKETEERS **among other documents.** Ex. 11.

The Unconstitutional evidentiary hearing was manipulated to be used in place of a summary judgment that the Defense could not use without Discovery/Depositions taking place. That could not happen because the RACKETEERS could not allow any of the Defendant/Proxies to talk any further than they did after P-Appellants filed their suit on 3/17/22, but before defense lawyers were involved on April 15, 2022. During this down time is when P-Appellant’s got 2,000 pages of Defendant Group Texts from some Defendants admitting to all and more than P-Appellants even knew including admitting that the Judges and others were leading the attack of P-Appellant’s in the underlying case long before P-Appellant’s even knew there was a case. And that Defendant Proxies were meeting with the Judges and others to scheme a way to catastrophically injure or create wrongful death of P-

119

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Appellant’s so the LMCE could start the IF/IRF claims process to steal P-Appellant’s awards and money launder that and other Dark Money of the State, through Allianz Reserve Accounts all with Allianz cooperation. . .all Darke Money cleaned and Tax-Free when disbursed to anyone other than P-Appellant’s that were involved in the bigger SC LMCE that runs across the state at least. See **Asbestos Docket**.

In summary, the jury is the community of peers, that protects the people from tyrannical government/LMCE/Oligarchies/etc., and determine the LAW AND the FACTS. The **juries duties to determine the law and the facts** were not separated into the Judge determining the law and the Jury determining the facts, as they are today due to the Unconstitutional rewrites of the Constitution, where Judges of limited power are rewriting the Constitution to give them more power. See, *In re Murchinson*, @ 136.

The jury finding both the facts and the law is classic constitutional position that has deep roots in Anglo American law **that juries exist not only to find facts, but also to protect the people from unjust government or unjust laws while modern courts often say juries decide facts there is substantial history and Supreme Court authority recognizing the jury as a protector of liberty and a check on government power**. The court cannot take away the jury nor limit their decision based on laws they may deem unfair to the people. There is no doubt from even the quotes provided, what the framers intended. They did not trust the Courts based on pinky swears, but oaths and protections written into the constitution that are guarantees not suggestions.

120

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Immunity Unconstitutional: Although Immunity has been waived by the government agents in the Trial, Appeal and Supreme Courts, the Legislature, Judges, AG, Governor, SC Bar, SC Commission on Judicial Conduct, and many other State Actors like the Attorney General, the 10th Cir. Solicitor’s, the Anderson Sheriff’s Department Sheriff and Deputies and Allianz as an Insurance Corporate Pay to Play entity of SC, immunity is not Constitutional under any circumstances and is in the line of the Courts rewriting the Constitution to give it the very power the Constitution denies it. There is no Guaranteed Rights of the People that need to compete with Court claimed Constitutional Balancing Tests that protect their LMCE. See, Harvard Law Review _____, and Argument.

Judges rewriting its Constitutional limited powers to give it more power, ignoring SMJ Adjudication analysis and oaths, creating Frauds on the Court by the Court for predetermined outcomes with interests, considering Constitutionally guaranteed rights as mere suggestions, ignoring jury power, using Summary Judgment/Directed Verdicts/Evidentiary Hearings and even 12b6 to gate keep meritorious cases that attack or expose the Oligarchy and their LMCE for profit tax-free, is all obviously Unconstitutional. And manipulating the rights of the People, like having a jury trial, but the state’s weaponizing that right of the people to help criminals get out of jury findings, like purposely having state/federal actors provide Aristocratic Defendants stealing the People’s awards, with Feigned/Colluding/Colluding Appealable issues giving by the state/fed ACTORS themselves, once it is clear that the Defendant Aristocrats will lose their Jury trial, are

121

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

typical Feigned/Colluded /colluded cases the state/feds use to keep justice far from fellow criminals in the LMCE and none of this can be tolerated by a Constitutional Republic.⁹ See FN _____, and argument. **“Due Process protects individuals against arbitrary governmental action. A judicial ruling that is irrational, unsupported by the record, or contrary to common sense cannot stand”** *County of Sacramento v. Lewis*, 523 U.S. 833, 845 (1998), see also, *Ornelas v. U.S.*, 517 U.S. 690, 695 (1996).

Attorneys, not their biased Judges, must:

a. **Argue their own positions or Default, and Judges cannot be preside over cases they have interest in:** The Courts making sure the opposition doesn’t have to argue their case in appeal further, Unconstitutionally allows the Appeals/Supremes to argue for the favored lawyers/positions, and steals a chance for the P-Appellants to write a reply to any misstatement or false argument the opposing counsels may make, clarifying the matters the Defense/Court twists purposely, like the Court using the wrong laws, filing incorrectly or not at all, and so forth as described herein.

b. **Judges cannot be Prosecutors at the same time as they are Judges as they lose their Neutrality:** Further, a Judge cannot be both a Judge and a Prosecutor in the same

⁹ P-Appellants are challenging all of these inappropriate entrenchments, and inappropriate links with other powers, as Unconstitutional and a part of how P-Appellant’s case has been potentially ruined unless something changes fast in the way that the State is seeing things and behaving, and it has caused criminals like Murdaugh/Laffitte and others to survive and thrive in the Unconstitutional SC LMCE that has captured the SC Govt., and is manipulating these cases now to save these Aristocrats and the Oligarchical SC Govt., and LMCE.

matter, nor judge himself in a case where he has interests, as described many times herein already (see arguments). “Due Process is violated when a judicial officer assumes the role of accuser or prosecutor and then adjudicates the matter. The Constitution requires a neutral and detached judge, not one who participates in the his own case or prosecutes in his own case. **“Due process is violated where the same individual acts as investigator, accuser, and adjudicator in a single proceeding.”** *In re Murchinson*, 349 U.S. 133, 136-37 (1955). In this SMJ Challenge, the case is the SMJ Challenge and one of the P-Appellants are the targeted party being violated by the court.

Thus, the attorneys passed on an answer to the SMJ Challenge, missing his deadline to answer, in spite of the Courts making the entire Murdaugh matter void ab initio for reasons already stated anyway. Murdaugh has therefore Defaulted its chance to be heard or decided in their favor, as their Attorneys have defaulted on answering the SMJ challenge, among other reasons.

The Biased Supremes forcing their own arguments (or lack thereof, rather than let a Neutral Court, insist their attorneys make their own arguments as a delay tactic for other strategies to try to defeat P-Appellants in coordination with the Appeals Court Unconstitutionally presiding over P-Appellants **Murdaugh 2.0** case, defaulted Murdaugh’s appeal in that even Neutral Judges cannot be Judge and Prosecutor or they are no longer Neutral.

The fact that the Supremes are involved in a Feigned/Colluded /colluded case anyway, and have not stopped all proceedings because of the SMJ Challenge that they are hiding from the public, while ruling on moot matters, **Ex. 2-5**, that were timely withdrawn (motion #3, #4 of the motions, **Ex. 2-5**), due to Court malfeasance, where the Supremes had no further SMJ to rule, makes this entire event even more ridiculous, irrational, unsupported and lacks common sense. See, *County of Sacramento @ 845*, and *Ornelas @ 695* above.

c. **“No one should be a judge in his own cause”; Nemo judex in causa sua:** *In re Murchinson*, 349 U.S. 133, 136-37 (1955). And even further, the facts have shown that Murdaugh is a Feigned/Colluded case, or enough information has been provided to show bias, which does not have to be proven. The Judges are definitely not Neutral and their bizarre Unconstitutional behavior in Murdaugh/Laffitte and P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 are self-evident of those truths.

The fact that the SC Supremes (and SC Appeals/Trial Courts) are involved in Feigned/Colluded cases anyway, and have not stopped all proceedings because of the SMJ Challenge that they are hiding from the public, while ruling on a moot matters that were timely withdrawn (motion #3, #4), due to Court malfeasance, where the Supremes had no further SMJ to rule, even if they were not already disqualified to rule by the Canons, makes this entire event even more ridiculous, irrational, unsupported and lacks common

sense. **Ex. 2-5.** Where is the required common sense? Is that another mere suggestion?

See, *County of Sacramento @ 845*, and *Ornelas @ 695* above.

P-Appellants are challenging all of these defaults, malfeasance of Government/Judges/Clerks, cover ups, inappropriate entrenchments, and inappropriate links with other powers, as Unconstitutional and a part of how P-Appellant’s case has been potentially ruined unless something changes fast, and it has caused criminals like Murdaugh/Laffitte and others to survive and thrive in the Unconstitutional SC LMCE that has captured the SC Govt. See argument.

Separation of Powers Do not Exist in SC Unconstitutional Oligarchical LMCE.

A. Separation of Powers Do not Exist in SC Unconstitutional Oligarchical LMCE

within the State itself: (Separation of Powers within the State Branches and the Judiciary, Murdaugh/Laffitte/P-Appellant’s Murdaugh **2.0**);

B. Separation of Powers Do not Exist in SC Unconstitutional Oligarchical LMCE

within each branch itself: (Example: the SC Judiciary, Murdaugh/Laffitte/P-Appellant’s Murdaugh **2.0**);

C. Separation of Powers Do not Exist in SC Unconstitutional Oligarchical LMCE

within the State/Federal Collusive Systems: (Example: the Judiciary, Murdaugh/Laffitte/P-Appellant’s Murdaugh **2.0** Case).

Within the State Branches, Separation of Powers Do not Exist in SC’s

Unconstitutional Oligarchical LMCE within the State itself. Separation of Powers within

125

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

the State Aristocratically entrenched Branches like the Legislative, Governor, and Judicial Branches, work allegedly like so: As of early 2026 South Carolina is one of only two states where the legislature retains the primary authority to elect judges (election by selection of more Aristocrat/Groomed Elite), however, **in a drastic effort to keep the Judges from being voted in and out by the People themselves as a true check and balance**, the system was modified by a **new lipstick on a pig** law effective July 2025 to, not give the People the vote, but instead give the Aristocratic Entrenched Governor a “seat at the table” for the first time in the initial ALLEGED screening process, which is not only **another lipstick event**, but does not overhaul the General Assembly either from serious corruption and structural Constitutional violations. And because of these slight of hand changes and the fact the Aristocratic Entrenched **legislators still hold the final vote to elect Supreme Court of Appeals Court, Circuit Court, and Family Court Judges** in a joint public session, cases like Murdaugh/Laffitte/P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case will continue without accountability.

These alleged **“improvements” are as Feigned/Colluded as the cases** that support this SMJ Challenge herein.

These Lipstick changes went into effect in July 2025 that altered the Judicial Merit Selection Committee (JMSC), which allegedly vets candidates (Please see Hellh*les who claim that Alex Murdaugh was responsible for the Luke Rankin appointment in the past). The JMSC was expanded from 10-12 members giving the Governor the authority to appoint

126

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

four of those 12 members (1/3). Previously all members were appointed by the legislative leadership. Groomed Elite, Carmen T. Mullen’s husband and attorney, Aristocrat, George Mullen, is called a “Political Animal” and he is the one funding Ranking the most, which explains Judge Mullen’s usefulness to the LMCE, and her Teflon status.

So the **Executive Branch Aristocrat Governor McMaster**, an **Aristocratic family member of Aristocrat Laffitte’s** working with **Aristocrat Limehouse** form the **Federal Judicial Branch**, and the **Federal Government Judiciary**, (where McMaster’s worked) to **reduce Aristocrat Laffitte’s sentence in Federal Court**, through familiar and network relationships, that also reduced Aristocrat Laffitte’s punishment in the Aristocratic SC state Courts for no legal reason is deemed a separate power? This is yet another **Feigned/Colluded** process to fool the public, because these **Aristocrats will not voluntarily lose Power and Entrenchment perks and rule over the profane/vulgar Public**, including P-Appellant’s, which keeps SC as an Oligarchy with a tax-free, for-profit Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, to the perpetrators.

In February 2026 a **new lipstick bill 4516** advanced through the house that would **further give the Governor all the JMSC voting for Judges from the people**, provided **more lipstick** and **giving the Entrenched Aristocrat Governor control** over appointing all 12 members of the JMSC and removing legislators from the panel entirely. However, the entire General Assembly still votes for the Judges, so it is a lipstick event. Therefore, now the lawyer-legislators that go before these judges will know that the Aristocratic Lawyer-

127

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Legislators will tell the 12 Aristocratic JMSC members that Judges [name] isn't going along to get along, for the same end result.

This is the latest ruse of LMCE taking turns selecting the Aristocratic JMSC members who still selects the Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Judges. It is all slight of hand in their **Long Con** to fool the people and keep control of the Power/LMCE.

It is like the Supremes all of a sudden releasing quarterly generalizations about disciplining Judges. They already lie, but what good does it do if the people can't oversee it and verify who and what happened and why? **It is all ruse. Lipstick. They fear the people and are doing everything they can to keep the oversight from the people, which would take care of all these problems written in this challenge and motion to strike.**

No accountability, and no punishment gives complete corruption in the hands of the controllers. In SC, it is an Entrenched Aristocracy with their Groomed Elite.

The magistrate judges are appointed by the Governor also, but they must be confirmed by the Blackmailed Senate (see **State Lawmakers Get 'Blackmailed' for Misconduct, Former Lexington Senator says**, By Joseph Bustos, **August 8,2025**), and recommendations are often made by local Senatorial Delegates. Probate judges remain elected directly by the public in partisan elections, however NONE of these JUDGES are Accountable to the People's own oversight and their Discipline . . .as seen in the incredibly Corrupted **Alan Wilson/SLED ruse in the Probate theft by Tammy Bullock**, being dismissed from criminal prosecution in spite of her being audio and video taped in

128

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

the act. The People voted out her superior covering for her, but she did not get punished under the SC Oligarchy/LMCE. In the LMCE, the perpetrators are protected, as Laffitte/Murdaugh are showing, while P-Appellants case is being destroyed to help protect these Aristocrats.

Note one of the alleged suggestions for improvement in accountability of Magistrates should ever involve them answering the Courts. . .this is a joke. . . more than a ruse. This is why Probate is so corrupt. See Queen Pierce and Jason Boyle cases, Oconee County. But these are the same illusory steps to keep delaying the People over seeing their government.

These alleged “improvement” are just another way for the Entrenched to retain Power and keep the elections from the People to oust the “corps of sappers and miners constantly working underground to undermine the foundations of our confederated fabric.” See, Jefferson, *infra*. **It is more lipstick on the pig. The process is entirely corrupt and the Entrenched Aristocrats/Groomed Elite, are trying anything to not have to allow the people to pick their own judges and for the People themselves to be over the Judges, and the Secret Disciplinary system of Judges and lawyers, and doing it without secrets and with the disbanding of the Bar all together as a monopoly. As with juries in all trials, the people are the ultimate check and balance needed that will break up these Entrenchments/Oligarchy/ LMCE, an entirely corrupt process.**

Just this last year, **Attorneys John Lay and Peter Protopapas have been new additions to the JMSC (more herein, but Aristocratic McMaster/(Laffitte family) was**

involved). **John Lay** was also the **Receiver in Murdaugh**, and in question in this Motion in the Murdaugh case, who was approved for **Receivership by the Supreme Court**, over all State Courts/Legal Processes. This came out of left field as Lay was no in any government position before, and appears to be a thank you for helping the government appointee, as SC is filled with various awards and positions given to those who play ball.¹⁰ Palmetto winners including **Randolph Murdaugh, III Judge Jean Toal, Judge Casey Manning** (who stopped the deposition of Judge Carmen Mullen in the Murdaugh case), several **Laffittes**, and the list goes on . . .

Legislators should never pick judges, and write the law they expect the judges to follow and then practice before these judges while they hold the keys to the judges future. The judges selected are gladly becoming LMCE members, but in structure this is still not a proper one. They also cannot pass the job off in part or in full to another branch of government who is also Aristocratically entrenched with them as a part of the SC

¹⁰ Palmetto winners including **Randolph Murdaugh,III, Judge Jean Toal, Judge Casey Manning** (who stopped the deposition of **Judge Carmen Mullen** in the Murdaugh case), several **Laffittes, Governor Henry McMasters** (after he allegedly caused the key witness killed in the Aristocratic Boulware/Murdaugh drug trafficking connected to the Moselle Property, in the Operation Jackpot saga), and the list goes on . . .

Aristocratic entrenchment of Aristocratic blood, Kin and groomed Elite in government that controls SC Politics, Courts, Laws, and Discipline.

“Fixing the problem” by adding Aristocratic Governor McMaster or another, like candidate Alan Wilson (AG) into the mix is lipstick on a pig as the Governor himself has family ties to the entrench Laffitte’s and close ties to U.S. Attorney Emily Limehouse who colluding with the Federal Court to continue to decrease sentencing for Laffitte after the Federal Judge gave Laffitte the appealable issue that any first year law student would know that was not legal for the court to tamper with the jury. Only an Aristocrat or his groomed Elite will fill these positions as SC operates this way in its Oligarchy/LMCE.

The Oligarchy in SC is extremely Unconstitutional, and the People MUST oversee their government and choosing Judges including having the people having their own judges as candidates is the only way to break up the Oligarchy minus the Federal Government coming in to permanently take its power away (details are not included here for time/space). See, James Barton case [Link](#), where Judges McIntosh and the LMCE took out a genuine candidate for Sheriff on Feigned/Colluded criminal claims to stop an outsider from being sheriff in Oconee County. A legitimate candidate will never survive the SC, fixed process. This challenge and motion to strike, vacate, pronounce void ab initio against the wrongdoers while protecting the innocent (Southern Bank & Trust, Co., infra), have caused all these matters to be relevant as the causes of P-Appellant’s very Meritorious case being destroyed.

131

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Further, **the Supreme Court is responsible for the underlying courts existence and behavior.** They **also oversee the secret Disciplining of the Bar**, the secret Disciplining of Judges and lawyers, both by Disciplinary Counsel, **Aristocratic Attorney William M. Blich** (formerly of the AG’s grooming office if grooming was needed), who is hiding his own Aristocratic background for good reason. So when the Supreme Court, Appeals Court, and Trial Court Judges are reported to the Commission on Judicial Conduct, that is heavily favored by Judges (14), lawyers (4) and lay people (8), meaning a 28;8 ratio of the LMCE to the hand selected 8 alleged lay people, while the Judges/Lawyers/William Blich are also performing these RACKETEERING and criminal acts to destroy P-Appellant’s **Murdaugh 2.0** case, while Feigned/Colluding/colluding Murdaugh’s show trial/appeal, with the **state and state connected lawyers involved to protect fellow Aristocrats and groomed Elites**, some documented here. This again violates the separation of Powers within the judicial branch.

Reviewing the Judicial branch AND THE SUPREMES OUTLANDISH ACTIONS, EVEN BREAKING THE CRIMINAL CODE OPEN, which is a big part of this Challenge, and Fraud on the Court by the Court, shows that the Judicial Branch WILL NOT BE FOLLOWING ANY LAW THEY DO NOT WANT TO FOLLOW. . .EVEN THE GRAND DADDY OF THEM ALL. . .SMJ. THE FACT THAT THE COURTS ARE ALL REVIEWED BY THIS SUPREME COURT SHOWS WHY THE CONDITION OF THE SC COURTS IS SO CRIMINAL IN ITS BEHAVIOR. IT SHOWS WHY THE LAWERS ARE SO CRIMINAL IN THEIR BEHAVIOR. IT SHOWS THAT SC IS AN OLIGARCHY,

132

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

AND NOT A CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC WITH ITS OWN DEVINE RIGHT OF KINGS RULES/IMMUNITY. THERE IS NO LAW WHEN THERE IS NO REMEDY. THE CLOSED SYSTEM OF THE COURT AND GOVERNMENT HAVE ALLOWED THE SC ARISTOCRATS/GROOMED ELITE A SILENT COUP OF SC, AND THEY ARE NOT THE ONLY ONES INVOLVED IN THE LMCE. THIS IS WHAT THIS COUNTRY IS FACING AND WHY THE PUBLIC IS MERELY THE CASE COW OR USELESS EATHERS, DEPENDING ON THE SITUATION WITH NO REAL POWER UNTIL THEY MAKE CHAGES. FORTUNATELY, THE PEOPLE ARE SEEING IT because the courts have to hide their criminality, and create fake improvements because they still fear the people knowing what they are doing.

This lack of Accountability, Integrity and Transparency of Judges/Lawyers in a secret discipline process, all run by a fellow Aristocrat through the AG's office, in a disguised Oligarchy with LMCE for profit businesses, tax-free-RACKETEERING, is **obviously not close to anything that passes for Constitutional behavior regarding Separation of Powers Mandates, Open courts and Transparency.**

The SC LMCE is entrenched with Aristocratic kin and Groomed Elite colleagues **all of one mindset** where the legal system is **NOT** about the Constitution as it must, by law, where only suggestions are given to the State in spite of the Constitutional writings and framer intent, as herein illustrated, including the handling of the SMJ Challenge alone. Excellent examples illustrating the Oligarchy is Murdaugh/P-Appellant Murdaugh **2.0** cases, the IF/IRF related **Asbestos Docket**, where Lawyer-Legislators directly benefit by writing

the laws and being appointed by Kittredge proxy, retired Judges Toal, and so many more IF/IRF schemes, and other state crimes they hide. **(A close look at the alleged Plaintiffs in these personal injury type cases, where catastrophic injury/wrongful death/asbestos cases are involved, will tell a bigger part of the story in these matters).**

Judges judging lawyers and Judges judging Judges are **not a part of a Separation of Power** model and having **Aristocrat Racketeers** in P-Appellants **Murdaugh 2.0** case, **Aristocratic Attorney William M. Blitch CANNOT be in charge** of Disciplining both Judges and Lawyers TOGETHER, nor in SC any type of Disciplining due to the bigger issue with Aristocratic entrenchment, as they all are working together in the Oligarchy/LMCE, and this is Unconstitutional and ridiculous to say the least.

P-Appellants reported all lawyers and Judges (numerous times), and even warned the Commission on Judicial Conduct, more than once that Judge Rivers Lawton McIntosh was a very dangerous person and not mentally stable. **All was ignored, not surprisingly.** And then the Lawyer-Legislators, on notice of all of this in writing, called “**Murdaugh 2.0**, in **Anderson County**,” re-“elected” by selection, both McIntosh and Sprouse of the 10th Cir., while the Murdaugh and Laffitte was still in the news, with no contact, no investigation, and with only **Governor McMaster office, related to Laffitte**, and very tight with **Aristocrat U.S. Attorney Limehouse**, writing that there was nothing he could do, when all government and lawyers, are under mandatory reporting of wrong-doing, including crimes, under various Rules of Pro Conduct by lawyers (*RPC Rules 8.3*), judicial ethics rules (*S.C. App. Ct.*

R. 502), government employment obligations (S.C. Code Ann. § 8-1-80 (2023), and 8-27-10 (2023)) and so forth.

The Aristocratic and Groomed Elite on these panels are another illustration of the Oligarchy/LMCE in SC. Judge Mullen and Judge Maddox both answered on their Judicial applications, that they would report wrongdoing within the Judiciary if they suspected it, which shows how serious these questions are asked and answered (sarcasm). . .and how important the judicial review applications are really taken and vetted by their Oligarchy.

Several Supremes and Appeals Court judges have been on the Commission for Judicial Conduct, and in spite of its many harsh critics, with clear Unconstitutional structure of these Courts, Judge Kittredge still makes the most unreasonable argument for why this secret system that stops at the Supreme court’s door for final review of the Bar/Commission process and if someone gets disciplined or not. [LINK](#).¹¹

¹¹ Kittredge claims that the lack of judges being disciplined is a sign that Justice is being served properly in SC, when they are the ones keeping Discipline secret and deciding over their own. This is not reasonable, rational, nor is it common sense. Judges must use solid reasoning in their communications, and cannot be **irrational, unsupported by the record, or contrary to common sense** [which] **cannot stand.**” *County of Sacramento v. Lewis*, 523 U.S. 833, 845 (1998), see also, *Ornelas v. U.S.*, 517 U.S. 690, 695 (1996).

This unreasonableness by Kittridge to try to twist a very negative stat about the lack of Accountability, Integrity and Transparency in the Legal System, into a positive, cannot be explained by cognitive dissonance which is an innocent way of improperly dealing with a matter to cope with findings the person does not like. This is an intentional, irrational argument to hide the Oligarchy and its LMCE and to try to continue to keep oversight and Discipline within the LMCE, which is needed for it to survive. This argument is being made by the Chief of the final review of the entire legal system, who is purposely ignoring Constitutional Law, and his own U.S. Supreme Court cases that allegedly govern him. See, *County of Sacramento @ 845*, and *Ornelas @ 695* above.

To be fair to Kittredge, he is not the initiator of such absurdities, to cover up Oligarchy/LMCE, but he clearly intends to continue the Unconstitutional heritage of SC Aristocratic Entrenchment/Groomed Elite, which he benefits from personally and professionally at the expense of the People, while claiming to be a strict constructionist. This illustrates the deception SC is using in all areas. . .using deceptive words and claims to hide Unconstitutional realities, without accountability because that loop is closed by the LMCE. There shall be no Nobility in title nor in action in the U.S. nor SC according to several Constitutional and Case law proclamations. See Argument that makes it clear that the U.S. and its states were not to be Aristocratic/Elite Entrenchments, that Courts, as part of the government are accountable to the people, and that branches and within branches, there are Separation of Powers/Checks and Balances, that protect against SC-type governments.

136

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

There is no separation of powers within even the SC Courts themselves, and who is watching the Supremes when they act as in this writing when they review themselves, which his Unconstitutional? *In re Murchinson*, @ 136-137. The Answer cannot be the other Separate powers when they are not, and the Disciplinary loop ends with the Supreme Court. This is the Oligarchical LMCE P-Appellant's are dealing with.

There are Structural Constitutional violations in this SC Judiciary set-up within its own branch that should appear obvious and P-Appellant's challenge this as part of the fraud on the court and SMJ Challenge. Due Process is violated in many ways, including a Judge cannot be judge in his own cause, and that a fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process, among other valuable quotes of law, that the SC Judiciary and Legal Industry is ignoring to run their LMC Entrench as Aristocrats/Groomed Elite without accountability and *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, (1927). This Oligarchy is also violating the illegality of running anything other than a Constitutional Republic, Equal Protection, Due Process and of court several Federal Statutes and the U.S. Constitution and almost all of the Framers **intent in the U.S. and SC.**

The intent of framers is again clear against Entrenched Judicial Aristocratic rule:

Thomas Jesserson said, “. . .there is also an artificial aristocracy founded on wealth and birth . . .and the artificial aristocracy is a mischievous ingredient in government.”

Letter from Thomas Jefferson to John Adams (Oct. 28, 1813). Thomas Jefferson in a separate letter, feared the Judiciary becoming an Aristocratic/groomed elite power center

137

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

by stating, ***“The judiciary is the subtle court of sappers and miners constantly working underground to undermine the foundations of our confederate fabric.”*** Letter from Thomas Jefferson to Thomas Ritchie (December 25th 1820). See, James Madison warnings, *Federalist No. 51*, warning that small Aristocratic/Groomed Elite could dominate a government.

Further, **Bars are monopolies**, that keep the knowledge of the law hidden from the People, are acting as a **government sanctioned, Secret Society**, because in SC the law is whatever the Entrenched say it is, as illustrated by the Supremes own handling of this challenge, the Murdaugh/Murdaugh 2.0 cases and the Appeals/Trial Courts following their lead with no accountability, integrity or transparency as a part of the process, as they protect themselves and each other. These secrets among their SC Bar and other Bars, who benefit from the public, local, state, national and intentionally created ignorance of law and procedure by a system that will not disclose and make simply and equally accessed REAL LAW OF THE LAND, since the Constitution is a mere suggestion.

The **Sherman Act** covers this Monopoly of the Bar, and the collusion between the Bar, Courts, and Lawyer-Legislator with the Governor and the Courts, the Legislature and the Executive Branches and the State and Federal Branches in Laffitte’s matter through these imbedded improper and Unconstitutional relationships, networks, goals and schemes, who share bloodlines, illegal interests, and even frequent the same clubs, groups, Debutante Balls, Cotillion, Yacht Clubs, Fox Hunts and so forth that are **private and rarely**

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

ever even advertised. These groups of “coming out” balls for the Aristocratic youth to meet and marry other Aristocratic youths (SC allows marriage of 1st cousins), and other exclusive clubs where they all can talk without being on the record with each other, with each other, Insurance carriers, big Corporation heads, Lobbyists, fixers, cleaners, and others who are considered immune to the law, mostly because of these entrenchments, illegal court cases like **Murdaugh/Murdaugh 2.0**, pay to play schemes and so forth, like the immunity the carrier gets from the law, prohibiting any 3rd party victims like P-Appellant’s and Murdaugh/Laffitte’s victims, from suing carriers for bad faith as 3rd parties and is clearly Unconstitutionally violating the Rights of the People and their right to redress and discipline government for its crimes and wrongs.

Further, The Department of Insurance, SC (.gov), requires Allianz to comply with statutory Accounting, where the carrier must follow **NAIC (National Association of Insurance Commissioners)** guidelines, which require them to disclose "**Loss Reserves**" for pending litigation.

However, the SC LMCE has blocked that protection from fraud by the carriers by creating a The "Post-Loss" Exception. The **SC Supremes** recently adopted a "post-loss" exception (in *PCS Nitrogen v. Continental Cas. Co.*, 436, S.C. 254, 871 S.E.2d 590 (S.C. 2022)), that involves Murdaugh’s Lloyds of London (listed as a group of underwriters), and Defense attorneys including John Lay, GWB, allowing for the assignment of insurance rights *after* a loss has occurred but before a judgment is final. This is likely a legal loophole used by the **LMCE** to transfer the Allianz and other carrier "awards" to culprits before the victims could secure the funds, and this could very

139

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

well describe P-Appellant’s case, Murdaugh and the Asbestos Docket, and why P-Appellant’s case needs to be destroyed now more than ever.

The people must be restored over government in SC to oversee their government and protect their life, liberty and property from these deadly schemes and LMCE perpetrators.

For example, see Appeals Socialite Judge Kristi Fisher Curtis infra.

The point for these RACKETEERS in P-Appellants case at the moment, is to deny block-time and pages needed to appeal all of the RACKETEERING and Fraud on the Court by the Court, of the 10th Cir., Trial Court, Agents, Allianz, LMCE and so forth, the Appeals court, and the Supreme Court. **Ex. 9.** As the reader can see this is just the summary in just this SMJ Motion to STRIKE, VACATE, VOID AB INITIO against the Murdaugh Supreme Appeal, the Asbestos Appeal, and the P-Appellant’s appeal, where the Court must treat this as Void against the perpetrators, and enter judgments for the victims, P-Appellants, Real Victims of Murdaugh and Real Victims of the Asbestos Racket, the real Plaintiffs and the real Corporations being financially assaulted by the SC Oligarchy.

As usual, this Entrenched Appeals Judge (as are many others), married or is/was a well off attorney/Judge Aristocrat/Groomed Elite, and the two have a background of crossing paths with serious power players and Aristocrats entrenched in SC politics and so forth, which is too much to list herein, but the same scheme of Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Entrenchment.

The Judge, **Curtis**, proudly lists her signaling of her exclusive social clubs, among MANY OTHERS as. . . Sumter Assembly (**Debutante Club**), The Epicureans (**Debutante Club**), Bon Pied **Dance Club** as just three of her Social Clubs which are **highly exclusive, “see and be seen” clubs** for fellow Aristocrats and Groomed Elite. **Judge Rivers Lawton McIntosh**, was actually the **President of the Debutantes**. These are Aristocratic/Groomed Elite and Power-Guests Networking and Private deal making events along with some other lesser reasons.

How many public readers even heard of these? It is because the public is not invited, and the public are the vulgar and profane to the Aristocrats. So, reasoning required from a normal man perspective is foreign to these Entrenched who have to use such standards to Judge/Legislate/Govern. And using the constitution of course is mere suggestion.

Normal People do not even relate to these things nor are they aware of these often unadvertised gatherings where often, young Aristocrats are being “presented” to their potential Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Mates as bloodlines are still very important in SC as seen from the Unconstitutional Entrenchment in Government and favored LMCE predatory arrangements and unofficial immunities.

There is much more, regarding the Trial, Appeals, Supreme Courts, and their coordination together to fight those who fight back, or are victims who do not know how to fight back, that is too much for here as P-Appellant’s investigations go far deeper than the cursory understandings given here that show even more extremely Unconstitutional

entrenchments with P-Appellants case and Murdaugh/Laffitte’s cover up case. Rest assured the **Appeals striking out, on the Jasmin Smith trick**, and then **Feigned/Colluding another Fraudulent Order, at the same time the Supreme Court ruled on a moot filing withdrawn long ago, rather than address the SMJ Challenge, which is not a choice but mandate if the constitution is followed, is not a coincidence.**

Ex.5, Ex.6. They need to end P-Appellants case by Fraud by the Court (and its agents) on the Court, for Feigned/ Colluded Murdaugh’s scheme to continue as it was planned (most likely with a type of Laffitte Strategy). They also need to stop P-Appellant’s from discussing the LMCE, IF/IRF due to the **Asbestos Docket controversies**, and Oligarchy/unconstitutional structure of SC government with its Entrenched, closed system for tax-free profit and control.

These Entrenched Aristocratic networks and groomed Elites working as one, are so different than the Constitutional public and regular humanity, where the latter who knows something is very wrong, often have to be shown the real LMCE operations right before their eyes because they have been taught that somehow government schools taught their students the truth. The Public knows something is wrong, but they don’t know the depths and details. They are seeing Epstein government clients full of unspeakable filth and crimes, but they do not know that Epstein was a power broker, who linked powerful people and blackmailed the powerful to do as he wished, as he helped them also. More of the Entrenched government workings (See Ex-Senator warning supra). The Public does not

142

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

think of layered crimes, like IF/IRF and even more so the more complex **Asbestos Docket LMCE crimes**. But they are learning.

The Public is also busy feeding their families and trying to give their families a good quality of life, without the privilege, while learning they will never “be happy” and own nothing (World Economic Forum-Klaus Schwab). With their little free time they relax for “Bread and Circus” provided from the screens they serve, and do not have time or energy to keep up with such matters until they are caught in the LMCE Litigation Vortex themselves and are forced to learn quickly or are just plain fed-up. The public is there. (See Dr. Kennedy’s Book writing while practicing law in Virginia, Holodeck Law at, https://www.tulanelink.com/tulanelink/holodeck_02a.htm)¹². Many legal reformers are those who had to wake up quickly to what is being described herein, and this is the tip of the iceberg for what P-Appellants can describe, first-hand as witnesses with proof sources.

Tricks by Judges to not file, properly file or Answer Motions to obstruct Appeals:

Neither the Trial, Appeals, nor Supremes can avoid answering Motions in Order to try to avoid Appeals and P-Appellant’s have duly noted their attempts to get a real Answer/Order.

Whether a suit attempting to file all the RACKETEERS involved in P-Appellant’s case was denied filing by the Trial Court, Motions in P-Appellants case showing the RACKETEERING

¹² Holodeck Law: A Book Review focused on Three Chapters: Holodeck Law Into, Litigation Vortex, Triangle and Two Defense. Published originally in 1997, WBF Publishing.
<https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=a8c1ed71c94d268cd5cbd6ce7da99ae099e7268ab4e11a60df923a13c0709a89JmltdHM9MTc3MzYxOTlwMA&ptn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=079b9ba5-43c2-6407-395a-8dd3425d6579&u=a1aHR0cDovL3d3dy50dWxhbmVsaW5rLmNvbS90dWxhbmVsaW5rL2hvbG9kZWNRXzAyYS5odG0&ntb=1>

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

of government, lawyers, Allianz and others was denied filing by the Trial Courts, etc., All the ADA Reasonable Accommodations sidestepped, not filed, mislabeled, taken out of context, use of a Laffitte attorney faking orders and impersonating judges, refusing to clarify alleged vague orders that really never existed, and finally a denial without say anything about ADA, nor giving reasons why nor taking individual needs submitted by P-Appellants considered, and so forth by the Appeals Court, and whether the Supreme Court writ of mandamus as a prosecutor rather than as neutral judges, making sure they defended their “employees over the Constitutional mandates given to the P-Appellant’s, even while they marginalize and use cliché’s to downplay obvious evidence of Unconstitutional Actions by the Trial Judges, and then ignore the grand daddy of all Motions. . . the SMJ Challenge by late filing, then misfiling, then ruling on anything but the real motions making it look like they ruled, and now coordinating all these actions ex parte, with the trial/appeal participants, including lawyers, firms, and so forth to force an Unconstitutional ending to P-Appellants case.

Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud Scheme (Ex. 1). This **bad faith state prohibition regarding suing Carriers for bad faith, given by the Courts and Legislators** (pay to play), carried by, of course, **Judge Jean Toal**, *Kleckley v. Northwestern Nat’l Cas. Co.*, 330 S.C. 277, 498 S.E.2d 669 (Ct. App. 1998). **Judge Toal, a Phi Beta Kapp**, further protects carriers and the IF/IRF schemes they run in these **Long Cons** with the State. . .like those in the **Asbestos Docket, Murdaugh/Murdaugh 2.0**, from the **3rd-party victims**

144

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

injured so they cannot interfere with the **claims/carrier relationship the IF/IRF schemes would be manipulating**. . . which **Toal runs and the Lawyer-Legislators, including those on the JMSC, are heavily involved in and overseen by Phi Beta Kappa Kittredge.**

Asbestos Dockets are a very complex method of IF/IRF scheme that runs through **Delaware as an extra layer** of masking the financial flow of money including Dark Money, and encourages Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud schemes just like 3rd Party prohibitions in other types of IF/IRF also do. See **Asbestos Docket Crimes in the LMCE.**

P-Appellants are challenging all of these inappropriate entrenchments, and inappropriate links with other powers, as Unconstitutional and a part of how P-Appellant’s case has been potentially ruined unless something changes fast, and it has caused criminals like Murdaugh/Laffitte and others to survive and thrive in the Unconstitutional SC LMCE that has captured the SC Govt. See argument.

Separation of Powers by the State’s Unconstitutional Connection with the Federal Courts Exists in SC’s Oligarchy and surrounding Jurisdictions: The lack of Separation of Powers between state/federal court is **no better illustrated than the McMaster/Limehouse/ Gergel/SC AG’s office/Supreme Court/former Senator Defense Lawer/ and Aristocrats Murdaugh/Laffitte example.** This is clearly an orchestrated series of steps coordinated between the state and federal courts, to limit punishment of Laffitte and Murdaugh, using P-Appellant’s case that must be destroyed to pull of the scheme without exposure.

145

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Not discussed previously but also, those parties and entities that claimed innocence but were involved on Murdaugh/Laffitte side of the coin, PMPED and agents, Parker Law Firm and agents, Nautilus (W.R. Berkley Corp.) and agents, Lloyds of London Umbrella policy and market arm and agents, Palmetto State Bank and agents, etc., were at least grossly negligent, if they were not involved. And SLED did all it could to make sure it didn't investigate thoroughly to find anyone to charge, as these are the Aristocrats being protected.

For example, there is no way a law firm doesn't catch the accounts being fudge or a CFO not understanding that to pay to the Order of a named Corporation is different than making checks out to "Forge" suddenly. The SC Bar unfortunately oversees these Trust Accounts and did not find anything wrong even though mandatory rectifying of these accounts by the firm would have found such irregularities if they were performing these every month. And the same can be said, and was said in the deposition of the carriers, for the nonsensical story of the attorneys for the carriers/claims adjusters, the Bank and so forth. Business is just not done that way in insurance and the legal industry as claimed by these companies, as industry staff know to well.

However, after they were not investigated with any Neutral investigations if any, they **all or almost all sued Murdaugh, and all or almost all did so** in Federal Court to memorialize their alleged innocence and victimhood. . .which also got them to be able to cut in line of the real victims for the last \$1.8 Million after the attorneys and receivers ate at

the front of the line. And that very small \$1.8 Million was the amount that Dr. Maddox could create with her fake addiction story as an “expert” and wife of RACKETEER Judge Maddox involved in the same types of crimes as Murdaugh. And of course Murdaugh/Laffitte would have said Murdaugh did everything and Murdaugh claimed that he was solely responsible to save the LMCE, and allow these non-victim “Victims” to further rape the real victims by stealing more money from them in the awards line through the Receivership determinations when the Receivers were connected to Judge Kittredge and the Laffitte’s. This cannot be made up. . .it is too spectacular for words, where truth is stranger than fiction. And this is the SC Oligarchy crating made for TV Feigned/Colluded trials/Appeals for their fellow Aristocrats to be freed. And the best part is that Judge **Gergel** oversaw some or all of these cases. . .but even if only one, it is too much and the entire link between state/federal court is an additional protection for the LMCE against the People trying to hold their government accountable and get justice.

Feigned/Colluded show trials and appeals are not permitted. P-Appellants have yet exposed other cases and more on these cases, covering the entrenchment but it is much worse then herein. P-Appellants provide the key basics, that the court is trying to hide, as they are aware, as P-Appellant’s would like to give every opportunity to the extremely defiant SC Courts/Appeals/Trial Courts/other entrenched branches to come to their senses first, as there will be no going back from other legal, nonviolent actions of the Legal Reformers no matter what happens to P-Appellants to get justice in or out of court soon.

147

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

The goal now is to give that opportunity to SC government and all involved to do right by the People, including P-Appellants and the Murdaugh victims (which P-Appellants do not represent), while continuing to set the record once the collusion and Racketeering were proven by P-Appellants in recordings, written admissions, the record itself, the incredibly unconscionable behavior or the SC Courts up to and including the Supremes, all being mirrored for online preservation to help the public hold their government accountable and where the public can protect their life, liberty and property in a Constitutional Republic. See Laffitte Fed/State Courts colluding to reduce Laffitte punishment, which is now the model for the Murdaugh reduction of punishment also. *Supra*.

P-Appellants are challenging these Separation of Power Constitutional Violations as a part of why the Supremes have no SMJ over the Murdaugh case, that all findings and filings of theirs must be struck and vacated and found void ab initio, and how these bad acts of Murdaugh/LMCE perpetrators have negatively affected P-Appellant’s meritorious case beginning at the trial court where IF/IRF is permitted and encouraged by the LMCE, which has potentially ruined P-Appellant’s well documented case, unless something changes fast, and it has caused criminals like Murdaugh/Laffitte and others to survive and thrive in the Unconstitutional SC Oligarchy/LMCE that has captured the SC Govt., erasing the Constitution itself. See Arguments. P-Appellants must be restored and made whole by Neutral judges and neutral investigations to prosecute the LMCE perpetrators. **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.**

P-Appellants Motion to Challenge SMJ not yet properly addressed by a Neutral Tribunal, or any Tribunal, nor properly filed by the Supreme Court, ALONG WITH THEIR FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT MOTION, ALL NOT FILED ON PURPOSE AND NOR ADDRESSED, and NOW P-APPELLANTS MOTION TO STRIKE AND VOID AB INITIO

THE ACTIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT ON MURDAUGH: P-Appellants have challenged SMJ/Fraud on the Court/Neutrality, other structural Constitutional violations, and so forth, and have moved to strike, vacate and void the opinions/judgments of the Supreme Court by a Neutral Hearing body if their be on in SC’s Unconstitutional Oligarchical system of government judging its own Oligarchy which is also Unconstitutional. The ridiculousness of this entire experience, not new to P-Appellants unfortunately, is self-evident of the corruption of SC Governments and lack of any kind of Constitutional protections for the People, including using the injured as cash cows for profit for the Government’s private body of LMCE members, and tax-free at that.

This is in line with what the Framers and early leaders intended to control Arbitrary Power and Usurpation of and by Government in power grabs they would take if not checked. This was to keep the Courts from becoming what they are in SC today. . .part of an Oligarchy, running a LMCE business for profit, using the People as currency and resources for them to steal awards, inheritances and so forth, under color of law. When the Constitution was made a mere suggestion by waiving SMJ Adjudication analysis and punishment for violations, that is exactly what happened, and why there is a SMJ Challenge

149

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

and now Motion to Strike, Vacate, and Void ab initio all Supreme Court fingerprints on this matter and force Murdaugh to serve his full time. All of this has greatly affected P-Appellant's case so that the Courts can bury its truths to save Murdaugh/LMCE lies and cover up.

In P-Appellant's case, the actions P-Appellant's are contesting and the Constitutional protections P-Appellants are demanding the Courts/Government to commit to in order to become a Constitutional Government would stop rogue LMCE Judges and others from actually having proxies create catastrophic injury or wrongful death in the Targets (P-Appellants), so the Courts and State Agents working with Corporate Insurance that is protected in SC, under the Judge Toal Kleckley case, which needs to be OVERTURNED as a very dangerous finding against the People and for the Oligarchy/LMCE exploiting the people. The LMCE perpetrators can create claims to steal and not be held accountable through the exact method being used against P-Appellants from Trial Court to Appeals, to Supremes, and victims of Murdaugh/Laffitte, back to Appeals and so forth, where Guaranteed rights are never protected, thus abridging and infringing upon P-Appellants alleged Guarantees, by causing P-Appellant's to spend money on useless motions that won't matter, and run out P-Appellant's appeals through such Feigned/Colluded proceedings like the end of a back and forth tennis match, which is Unconstitutional.

Further, to keep facts from a jury demanded, and have no facts ever heard and no discovery that would tell on the Judges underlying involvement in P-Appellant's actual facts

150

... SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

of their case, even more so, if this gatekeeping process is not leading to **instant prison time** under the Framers intent, rather than these same Judges getting Aristocrat Murdaugh out of prison instead, **which is a mockery of the captured legal system and a mockery to the People being played.**

A judge, like McIntosh and so many others, who purposely misuse and even rewrites the Constitution, Rules of Civil Procedure/Evidence, Canons, and becomes the Sua Sponte Prosecutor, deserves no deference whatsoever, but a long prison sentence for he and his fellow Aristocrats/Groomed Elites and agents/proxies, and Allianz also. Judges who are Feigned/Colluding/colluding cases, like all involved in P-Appellant’s case and in Murdaugh’s case likewise.

Supreme Court Authorities and their Mandatory Duty to Adjudicate SMJ: See Argument but in brief, **courts who refuse to rule on jurisdictional motions and do so through neutral judges when they are the subject of the SMJ matter must not they are acting Unconstitutionally in the Murdaugh case at present and it already needs to be found void ab initio due to the Court’s handling of P-Appellants motion to challenge alone:**

1. SMJ must be decided first: “Jurisdiction is a threshold question that must be resolved before proceeding to the merits. *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 94 (1998).

2. Hypothetical jurisdiction forbidden: “For a court to pronounce upon the merits... when it has no jurisdiction is... ultra vires.” *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 101–02 (1998).

3. Courts must independently verify jurisdiction: “Courts... have an **INDEPENDENT OBLIGATION** to determine whether subject-matter jurisdiction exists.” (**EMPHASIS ADDED**). This is a Condition Precedent/Prerequisite to uphold and defend Constitutional Guaranteed rights of the People, as seen through the Framers intent and great concerns about Government Arbitrary Power and Usurpation as is happening in SC in P-Appellants/Murdaugh/Laffitte cases, all for the benefit of Aristocrats helping Aristocrats. *Arbaugh v. Y & H Corp.*, 546 U.S. 500, 514 (2006).

4. Parties cannot waive SMJ challenge. “Subject-matter jurisdiction may be raised at any stage of the litigation.” *Kontrick v. Ryan*, 540 U.S. 443, 455 (2004). And lawyers cannot . waive their arguments opposing this motion. Murdaugh has defaulted so by voidness ab initio Feigned/Colluded cases being heard to save Murdaugh, and default, Murdaugh loses his Supreme Court appeal. P-Appellants case must have entered their entries of Judgement to begin their being made whole before this all gets worse with more legal arguments here and elsewhere.

5. Jurisdiction defects invalidate judgments: “A judgment rendered in the absence of jurisdiction is void.” *United States v. Walker*, 109 U.S. 258, 265 (1883). And no judgment on P-Appellant’s challenge, by a neutral judiciary, voids the Murdaugh case challenge also.

152

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

6. Courts must dismiss sua sponte: “A court lacking jurisdiction cannot render judgment but must dismiss the cause.” *Louisville & Nashville Railroad v. Mottley*, 211 U.S. 149, 152 (1908). Thus this Motion to Strike and void ab initio the appeal of Murdaugh. If a neutral court would like to dismiss Murdaugh, that would also work if it is final, but the case at the Supreme Court must be over and final.

Antonin Scalia in, *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment* agreed with challenging judicial power grabs: **“For a court to pronounce upon the merits of a case when it has no jurisdiction is, by very definition, for a court to act ultra vires.”** 523 U.S. at 101–02. If Kittredge were a real strict constructionist of the constitution as he claims he would agree and nothing herein would be relevant. Politicians lie no matter their wardrobe.

Therefore, in summary, Courts must rule on all jurisdiction first, to include SMJ Adjudication SMJ with Conditions Precedent/Prerequisites being Constitutional Guarantees; Courts cannot avoid Jurisdictional challenges; Courts cannot invent arguments for Defendants; Judges cannot act as prosecutors or protect attorneys and/or parties; Any judgment entered without jurisdiction is void. Judges involved who are the subject of the SMJ Challenge cannot hearing their own matter. Thus this Motion to Strike, Vacate and void ab initio the Murdaugh Supreme Court Appeal by Neutral judges must be heard and stand, and Murdaugh must stay in prison and serve his full time, the Judges recuse for Neutral Judges if any, the underlying case must be fully investigated (not SLED)

153

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

for more Defendants and Crimes, including Judge Mullen and other Judges involved in the more encompassing investigation, and a reassessment of the Receivership and findings, and who should and should not receive the recovery. Charges need to be filed and convictions pursued of those involved, and the REAL victims paid without any assistance from their attorneys or other state actors trying to save face, although P-Appellant's do not represent them in any way.

Laffitte needs to be returned to its original time, taking away all time served and SC needs to reinstate its actual sentence, so P-Appellant's won't have to challenge SMJ in that case also.

P-Appellant's case, that has been irreparably harmed by the Courts trying to save Murdaugh and hide their LMCE, needs its Judgments entered for P-Appellant's against Defendants, with a neutral jury for matters that need a jury to determine damages, including, but not limited to, Uncapped Punitive Damages and all other matters for the jury that they see fit. P-Appellants need all RACKETEERS and the State to Set up a settlement conference to make P-Appellant's whole and investigations into the allegations of P-Appellants by Neutral Investigators (not SLED). Charges need to be filed and convictions pursued of those involved. The LMCEs need to leave P-Appellant's alone from this time forward with assurances that P-Appellant's be and stay safe.

The SC Oligarchy, in all branches, must finally be broken apart and those involved prosecuted and convicted and any future opportunities to re-establish this Oligarchy again,

154

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

also be destroyed, unlike what happened after Reconstruction that allowed them to reassemble.

The Constitution must be re-established in SC, and sincerely held beliefs in the oaths taken by new Government Agents, with the understanding that the Oath and the Guaranteed Rights are Conditions Precedent/ Prerequisites that must be analyzed by the Judge and accepted with a sincerely held belief in the Oath, and proceed with the limited powers given it to follow the Constitution. Any small issues that may still be at issue at the end of the trial, that were not resolved, needs serious, argument before the jury to determine law and facts to protect the people the Government, as rights guaranteed and not mere suggestions.

Separations of Powers must be established, by replacing all Government agents with new agents, not entrenched, taking the sincerely held belief oath with severe punishments for intentional violations of same and a timely correction or true errors that are never considered harmless error when Constitutional Rights are infringed or abridged.

The jury must hear facts and law, and Judges **ARE NOT** permitted to perform findings that would take the case from the jury or limit evidence or make any other decisions if the litigants want them to be examined by the jury before the trial. All Judges are picked by the People, all Discipline is open and overseen by the People, no Bars are permitted to oversee the law or practice of law, and cannot cater to one type of population at the exclusion of the public for a small fee at most. The Bar is not permitted to monopolize any part of

adjudication process and charges they may charge for their services, are to be limited, if a person wants to hire them, with no special privileges to the alleged attorneys. No licenses or other special control by the state are permitted to obstruct the People from access to the court and redress of grievances. Present Judges must be replaced through elections where regular people are to run for office and no financial barriers can cause a candidate to be excluded. The people are over all judicial oversight and Discipline, and other government oversight and Discipline. The People shall create the levels of Discipline and the punishments for severity of discipline. No immunity shall be permitted to protect public servants. Judges must remain neutral at all times and they are to act like public servants not Kings or masters. People reviewers will visit courts and other government offices, Departments and proceedings without announcement to oversee with access to all parts of the process and buildings. All Courts and other proceedings of Government can be recorded by individuals without announcement. Court reporters must be independent from the courts and under the same People’s oversight and Discipline as all others involved in the court process. The electronic filing system must be revamped to allow all litigants full access and the public able to search by names, judges, type of cases, and other such search engine criteria so they can easily watch the entire Judicial system and research it. The Clerks of Court need to be replaced and all replacements of all government toughly vetted by the People through tax dollars. Finally, review of any case, must go to the People, to determine the final appeals. Juries must be selected by the People, not the Courts.

There are many more items argued that must be corrected in order to rid SC of the Oligarchy and its tax-free LMCE.

These principles together form part of the **constitutional backbone of a structural due-process argument herein that must be heard as a condition precedent/prerequisite BEFORE a Judge/Court is granted Constitutional Power to decide a case**. These guarantees are not heard as mere suggestions after the fact, but heard and properly decided BEFORE the matter is accepted to be heard or filed. See Argument.

Further, if this Feigned/Colluded /Collusion Court on these Feigned/Colluded / colluded cases continues to rule without SMJ, **the judgment entered without jurisdiction is VOID and can be attacked at any time** (even years later). **This includes the Asbestos Docket Cases that are Feigned/Colluded and have NO OVERSIGHT BUT THE ARISTOCRATS/GROOMED ELITE WATCHING OVER EACH OTHER.**

P-Appellants facts and arguments using the Courts own authorities, the Constitutions and the framers clear intent along with the P-Appellants/Murdaugh mirror image cases, and the entrenchment of the Aristocrats/Groomed Elite across these branches of SC Oligarchical Government and the behavior of the Courts, which is self-evident of corruption in this and P-Appellants' case, are **devastating herein, with the Supreme court (and the appeals court) refusing to analyze the adjudication subject-matter jurisdiction power, which is self-evident**

of their own interests in these matters, which should have caused immediate recusal by the court who cannot Judge their own interests.

SMJ Adjudication as a Condition Precedent/Prerequisite: Although it is P-Appellant's argument that Courts have long ago gone way too far in rewiring the Constitution without expressed power to do so, these Courts have recognized that constitutional principles evolve through the presentation of new arguments addressing structural threats to the integrity of judicial institutions. These Unconstitutional liberties when rewiring the guarantees of the Constitution, these Courts have gone way too far to erase guaranteed rights and structural defects by ignoring SMJ in Adjudication and what that analysis entails to include **Prerequisite/condition precedent guaranteed rights** as the test as to whether a court or judge could exercise power, and what the punishment would be when they violate that very important analysis by placing their own capture government officials in the place of delving out discipline. Punishments for such violations must be extremely severe and final to all involved, including the disciplinary parties and solicitors/AG.

P-Appellants have raised many matters under the SMJ Adjudication, that if not heard and granted, by neutral arbiters will continue to allow an Unconstitutional government and Court to continue to use the public as exploited currency at its pleasure.

South Carolina has two state mottos: "Animis Opibusque Parati" (prepared in mind and resources) and "Dum Spiro Spero." (while I breathe, I hope). These two

158

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

mottos exemplify SC Aristocratic rule over human beings as chattel/**resources to use as currency**, and the latter refers to the **self-claimed victimization the SC Aristocrats feel anytime they are made to follow the law, as a part of their defiance**. This is not meant as name calling but has been written about in other motions by P-Appellants with the detailed history.

The bottom line is when chattel challenge this old guard with facts and law, the response is defiance and the groomed to maneuver the facts and law to support what they are doing after the fact, as in what Jasmin Smith, Laffitte, Firm are doing to try to after the fact prove they did not operate to intimidate P-Appellants and end their case. . .because the Laffitte’s are part of this sham Murdaugh case with the Court/State’s approval, as there is no justiciable case (case in controversy), and they need to shut down P-Appellant’s case, which is actually one way to given P-Appellant’s clear standing, is with direct obstruction. At least they are consistent in their criminal methods.

P-Appellants therefore present these arguments both under existing precedents and, only if a court objects to protecting Constitutional Rights of the People, and the State acting with the law, as a good-faith expansion of the law, moving to strike all Murdaugh proceedings at the Supreme Court level, that are necessary to revert back and then preserve the constitutional requirement of neutral tribunals and open courts in SC.

All of these unlawful actions by the Supreme Court, and refusing to perform a prerequisite/condition precedent SMJ Jurisdiction Analysis, with appropriate penalties, that would stop such obvious behaviors, with the harsh punishments due those in leadership that are using that position for LMCE/Oligarchical, Unconstitutional reasons, have left P-Appellant’s no choice but to challenge SMJ, Fraud on the Court by the Court, lack of a Justiciable Case (Case in Controversy)/ Feigned/Colluded case, the recusal issue, and irregularities in filings and docket sequence, mislabeling and delay issues where the court continued and heard the Murdaugh case anyway, leading to a “Void Judgment ad ab initio”; dealing with the “Structural Violations;” “Institutional exposure” in that P-Appellant’s case is being buried without an Constitutional protections whatsoever, but just a repeat of the Trial Court’s outrageous conduct and more; “Appearance of bias and impropriety” that with the evidence summary presented in **Ex. 1**, and herein and elsewhere, is clear that “Fraud on the Court by the Court; has been committed as a part of a predetermined plan and effect; “Selective procedural advancement, and so forth.”

These claims are not said in anger by P-Appellant’s but in resolve to finally correct very serious violations of the State that do not protect no award, nor inheritance, nor illness, nor property, nor assets, nor family nor life, nor liberty. Dismissing P-Appellants Document, by these Judges involved would also be void ab initio, and a Neutral set of Judges, if there be any, knows that none of these fraudulent acts of the court can be justified by any law, as P-

160

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Appellants provide just small portions of the overwhelming arguments in a restrained constitutional manner for serious correction and change in SC to keep litigants safe and receiving justice.

P-APPELLANTS HAVE BEEN IN GREAT DOCUMENTED DANGER FOR SOME TIME IN THIS MATTER THAT ORIGINATES WITH THE STATE/COURTS. This is very serious, and in doing this, **P-Appellant’s having already had witnesses and State Proxies admit that the Judges in the 10th Cir. and other state actors and more, were setting P-Appellant’s up for a catastrophic injury or wrongful death** so they could start the **Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud claims** against P-Appellant’s, **using the Defendants with the help of Allianz** was the plan for P-Appellant’s **at the underlying level of their case (admitted to P-Appellants in written admissions, recordings and other means).**

This clearly appears also to be a part of the Murdaugh cases with many criminals involved. .not just three, and on a much greater scale then the Murdaugh case proposes with state limited investigation and receivership, intended to continue to narrow the chance of any or many real facts being told to the Public and allowing many in the LMCE to escape prosecution in what became a Feigned/Colluded case of cover-up.

This is why P-Appellants could never get any Discovery, Subpoenas, witnesses, and so forth, for the entire time in the 3 years of trial court stonewalling, even when they asked the Supremes in a writ of mandamus for assistance as ADA Qualifying victims of Judges McIntosh, Maddox, Sprouse and others, with McIntosh being a very dangerous man. .

161

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

.beyond being a very bad judge. The Courts have heard this before and know full well about Judge McIntosh’s propensities and it is counted as an asset in this world of IF/IRF/LMCE business dealings under color of law. **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.**

The Supremes needed P-Appellant’s case gone. They still do, and by any means as P-Appellants are documenting in part herein. They even broke the law to ignore SMJ and go forward with Murdaugh anyway, when every first year law student knows SMJ is the granddaddy of all Motions and challenges and all must stop until this matter is heard by NEUTRAL JUDGES WITH P-APPELLANTS HAVING NOTICE AND A RIGHT TO BE HEARD.

Because of all the physical danger and severe and deadly threats P-Appellant’s have experienced in this case, much recorded and otherwise documented through official means, and because Dr. Kennedy has dealt with the extreme malfeasance in Virginia Courts also, as a practicing attorney who would not play ball there either, P-Appellant’s remind this Court, that under the **Whistleblower Protection/Retaliations**, and related laws and statutes, RICO, Civil Rights and Qui Tam Acts, various Retaliation Laws and Witness Protection/Tampering Laws, ethics/canons acts, 1st Amendment, Petition Clause, Due Process, Obstruction of Justice, and so forth, that further prove P-Appellants SMJ Adjudication Challenge is true, and that this further is an additionally act of RICO standing on its own facts, when P-Appellant’s are filings expose criminal racketeering and other Constitutional Crimes by state actors, where the court cannot chill and/or punish as it would be considered retaliatory on top of all the other Structural issues herein listed in

162

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

summary form (there is much more). Either with or without these protections it would be another clear violation of Constitutional law to retaliate against Whistleblowers showing racketeering and other Frauds on the Court that can be avoided when the Court follows the Constitution without personal, financial and retaliatory interests in the cases they are hearing, which is part of the SMJ Adjudication challenge, and prerequisite/condition precedent arguments.

Further, since P-Appellant's are very aware of how these matters can go off the rails when **defiance**, anger and self-defense by Judges are their motives because they know what is being said is true, **P-Appellant's have the original evidence stored with their trusted fellow reformers, and long ago created the "Dead Man's Switch"** instruction, as some of the State Actors already know. If the **10th Circuit, or the higher Courts** take intimidation or punitive action against P-Appellants for exercising their rights in all legal and nonviolent ways, P-Appellants and their reformers will act in legal and nonviolent ways to make sure P-Appellant's story is told with the evidence, of the bigger picture and all the crimes and frauds this and the U.S. and other state governments are forcing on the people through deception.

The reformers will use the LEGAL evidence that P-Appellant's have purposely withheld from all this, thus far because P-Appellants have supplied more than enough already to be victorious in their case, and all the subsequent damages done to them, and in this challenge. The more P-Appellants release, the more lies will be created. P-Appellants are

allowing the State to lie all they want, and only then will other evidence be released if not released by the Dead Man Switch itself.

As sad as it is that SC has reached such a point that the People need protections from it, P-Appellant's have gone through poisoning, beatings, dealing with violent and deadly proxies and others in this matter, and otherwise enough, just because they wanted to be made whole on a valid set of claims where the LMCE had other plans, long before P-Appellant's realized the tangled web this State is weaving across the state for profit using the people as chattel and currency.

P-APPELLANTS WILL NOT COWER TO BULLIES...NOT THEM OR THEIR PROXIES USED, NOT THE LAWYERS COLLUDING, NOT THE JUDGES REACKETEERING, NOT THE CLERKS, AND OTHER STATE ACTORS OBSTRUCTING NOR THE APPEALS AND SUPREME COURTS FRAUDS ON THE COURT BY THE COURT, NOT ALLIANZ, AND NOT A LAFFITTE ATTEMPT TO INTIMIDATE AND DECEIVE, NOT MURDAUGH VICTIM ALLEGED ATTORNEY AND LAWYER-LEGISLATOR JUSTIN BAMBERG NOR JUDGE HEATH P. TAYLOR AFTER BAMBERG STEERED TAYLOR (1ST Cir.) TO DESTROY P-APPELLANTS CASE (10th Cir.), NOR MUCH MORE AND MUCH MORE DANGEROUS ATTEMPTS BY THE STATE TO DESTROY P-APPELLANTS THEMSELVES. (See Judge Taylor in Becky Hill and Russell Laffitte after be willing to obstruct justice in P-Appellant's case also).

P-Appellants have paid a very serious price while going through cancer during this time, and receiving many physical injuries directly by the judges to weaken the target and then

164

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

weaponize the injuries to defeat two older ladies fighting Disabilities, some cause by the Judges. Theses ADA qualifying Disabilities are being weaponized by the Trial, Appeal and this Supreme Court, when ADA Disability Qualified Reasonable Accommodations were/are **demande**d as of right and must be granted by mandate, with no discretion considered. **Instead, they are ignored, Orders written vaguely that do not directly address ADA Reasonable Accommodations but** use deceitful Orders and non-orders in letters (not appealable), and actions and so forth to obstruct and deny justice as the Constitution is a mere suggestion and not law, in spite of their dishonest taking of Oaths.

It has been proven herein that all the SC Court levels use this same trickery to deny mandatory rights by Court Feigned/Colluded claims and rules that do not apply, or by Feigned/Colluding what is actually be said by the litigant, to try to keep the litigant from raising the issues to Constitutional levels where the matter must be heard DE NOVO, and not just by the Court checking the box in favor of the lower court, even if the Courts have to refuse to provide an Order all together (which is difficult to appeal), or refuse to file the motion/mislabel the motion and so forth, as seen at all levels, **including, but not limited to, the Appeal ADA Reasonable Accommodation demands**, and herein in this **SMJ Challenge hidden from the public and ignored, and not ruled upon by the Supremes while they go forward anyway**. The Trial, Appeal and Supremes make it their practice to rewrite and otherwise obstruct records, facts, law and arguments and so forth to sabotage real facts and law in a case that must be able to be presented with meaningful due process

165

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

and a full right to present a full Appeal, and which is guaranteed by Constitutional guarantees.

These types of examples, are examples of why SMJ Adjudication analysis is so important and must be evaluated as a Condition Precedent/Prerequisite before a judge ever has SMJ to Adjudicate at all. These courts bad and intentional, Unconstitutional actions are self-evident and they tell on themselves. (See **Laffitte Attorney Jasmin Smith** infra and in **Ex. 1**).

Underlying P-Appellants case: In the underlying case, through State Actor Proxies according to some of them giving written and recorded admissions and other evidence before and later, outside of the Court proceedings who had stonewalled P-Appellant's from going forward against the 10th Cir., Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE) perpetrators and agents/proxies for 3 years by Judges/lawyers alike, P-Appellants have had to fight off attempted poisonings through friends of Judge Maddox and McIntosh, have had to defend against direct physically violent attacks, including the use of fists, razors, steel pipes, and guns being pointed at P-Appellants by meth head friends of Judge McIntosh and Maddox with video, hired M-13 gang- international goons sicked upon P-Appellants, escaping the plan to burn P-Appellant's unit down with them in it more than once with video, and so forth. P-Appellants even had bullying from this Trial Court and Supreme Court's themselves, trying to force P-Appellants to quit if P-Appellants were worried about their health and safety. **Ex 9.** This bullying went on throughout the trial court case, and now on

166

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

appeal also, with the Appeals Court trying to use a Laffitte law partner, **Jasmin Smith**, to trick and intimidate P-Appellants to give up.

There is no protection from the LMCE when a person, or in this case, P-Appellants thwarted its easy, tax-free money through their Long Con thefts of litigants awards, inheritances, and so forth, that SC government has set up as part of a statewide illegal profit-ring, under color of law, using the public as their human resources and cash cows, very similar to their asbestos docket workings, especially when **P-Appellants are now exposing the scheme called INSURANCE FRAUD/INSURANCE RESERVE FRAUD (if/irf)**. **This is what P-Appellant’s case exposed, and it exposed the Murdaugh case as the same scheme, with many more defendants, and bigger sets of crimes in coordination under the LMCE umbrella run by the state, that makes all of these P-Appellant cases, Murdaugh/Laffitte cases, and ASBESTOS CASES FEIGNED/COLLUDED CASES and FIXED cases with all but the victims a part. All of this are reasons why P-Appellant’s case must be buried.** The SC LMCE is a for-profit, tax evasion/tax free Long Con with built in Dark Money Laundering media, through carriers, Delaware secret Corporate friendly mechanisms, as well as many other laying, including some donating to universities and other such places to assist in this process. Donating Dark Money to Law Schools is a two-birds with one stone strategy, where the receiver of such a scholarship is then groomed to be the next handled lawyers, Clerks, and eventually go up the pipeline to protect the aristocrats and perpetuate the Oligarchy. **It would not be the first time universities in SC**

167

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

were suspected of Dark Money dealing. See, Operation Lost Trust, and See Asbestos cases.

P-Appellant's will stop exposing this when they receive real justice by the Courts, State and private parties/Allianz, to make them whole, by following the law, and when the victims of Murdaugh get paid and made whole, who P-Appellants do not represent, but P-Appellants are responsible citizens and believe in people standing with people who are illegally hurt by greedy government. The Murdaugh victims need to receive their money stolen from them and damages, which money is not lost, but in government pockets through LMCE perpetrators being protected by the State through these **Feigned/Colluded cases** herein challenged by the SMJ Adjudication Arm of Constitutional Law, that does not give Adjudication power to anybody, but after a very careful analysis of their ability to Adjudicate by Defendant the constitutions which include the People's Guaranteed Rights being protected by sincerely held oaths. This is what the Constitution stands for and presupposes, as these are Conditions Precedent/Prerequisites of the Judges receiving the power to adjudicate that particular case, and as it should have been done in P-Appellant's and Murdaugh/Laffitte cases a long time ago. Otherwise, Judges/Courts who do not honor their Oaths, can hear the cases without good intent, and then when it comes time to review Constitutional Violations, nothing stops them from doing the same on review. Constitutional Guarantees are not mere suggestions. They are Guarantees in order for a Judge/Court to hear the case at all.

168

... SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Feigned/Colluded cases, fixed cases, any judges that things he/she can make up the law or ignored the law, judges that need to recuse initial that don't (financial and other interests), Aristocratic/Elite entrenched government and their judiciaries who have different goals that Constitutional Goals for the protection of the people and so forth, as argued within do not are Judges and courts who have no SMJ to adjudicate the cases in issue in this Challenge under the Murdaugh challenge of SMJ. There are plenty of examples mentioned, and P-Appellant's case itself is being affected negatively by Murdaugh, because it tells on Murdaugh and on the **Asbestos crimes of the state against the people that P-Appellant's case is blowing wide open!**

The Court needs to save its Aristocrats Murdaugh/Laffitte and limit the investigation of any of their crimes and scope of crimes, limit **Asbestos victims (not just Plaintiffs, but Corporations also), through their Toxicity Strategy that is clearly unconstitutional, and both of these goals means P-Appellants case must never see the light of day and it must be buried in violation of the most basic Constitutional rights of P-Appellants.**

Since P-Appellant's were victims of the Murdaugh schemes and identified it while the case was still "live" at the trial court, they were able to put on the record, and expose much of the Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud, the Judges, Lawyers, other State Actors, and the carrier, Allianz, were doing by hiring Proxies to create catastrophic injury or wrongful death in both P-Appellants, so they could set up fake claims and collect the award with Allianz cooperation, and then money launder this Dark Money along with many other

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

proceeds from the State’s other Dark money operations through the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, right though the Allianz Reserves (Insurance Reserves Fraud), for untraceable disbursements in mass.

As can be seen from this model, money laundering can go through attorney trust accounts since the bar oversees that and they are part of the LMCE. Churches, Foundations, government accounts where all play dumb when billions are found in accounts, Secret Societies insurance and other accounts, State insurance funded accounts, “mortgages” that are actually “payoffs”, “loans” that are actually payoffs, alleged drug abuse claims like Dr. Maddox claimed in Murdaugh’s case, Receiverships that do not work for the victims, State Actors who overcharge and those overcharges get approved by the state to be disbursed to many, and there are many other ways also.

The **Asbestos docket** is a key to the IF/IRF schemes run by the State, in that instead of Judges Maddox, McIntosh, Sprouse and others creating the catastrophic claim or wrongful death to start a fake claim with Allianz, they use real or fake Plaintiffs, and perform these operations through multiple Unconstitutional steps, and then funnel this money through additional reserves accounts and through Delaware, for many additional levels of secrecy, all run by the state for the state LMCE. The funds come out the other side to members of the Legislature, including newly appointed Judicial Merit Selection Committee members selected by Aristocrats of SC who are all entrenched in this LMCE together for exponential profits in the billions with no accountability, transparency, or integrity all

170

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

described herein, but P-Appellant’s case is in the middle of this, where it must be destroyed by the Courts, State Actors and other interested parties so they can keep their operation secret, under color of law, called the LMCE. **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes that P-Appellant’s case exposes the structure of these Feigned/Colluded cases, and the SC LMCE made of entrenched Aristocrats/Groomed Elite running Long Cons through their Oligarchy with no accountability because there is no SMJ Adjudication and appropriate punishments in the Court. All Guaranteed Rights are treated as mere suggestions so that the Courts can run these Long Cons right through the courts themselves .**

In Litigation Vortex, Attorney Kennedy described how Courts act as a self-perpetuating predatory system that consumes litigants especially those who enter court believing justice is straightforward or accessible this is the entry of the Litigation Vortex, where Courts can then set up the theft of Awards/Carrier cooperation and money laundering, steal inheritances, set up IF/IRF schemes even more complex to initiate Asbestos Docket crimes on a large scale with all their Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Attorneys lining up to get a piece of the pie.

Attorneys that defended against P-Appellants’, McAngus, Goudelock, & Courie, LLC. (MGC). Are one of the Asbestos Defense attorneys who work with the Carriers and against Corporations usually as they are victims just like the Plaintiffs, and they were involved in P-Appellants IF/IRF schemes with the Judges and P-Appellants own

171

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

colluding lawyer, Micael Dodd to help P-Appellants lose in spite of their meritorious case. Fortunately , P-Appellants heard all the nonsense coming from Dodd and recorded there conversations proving the collusion and RACKETEERING later with the Judges.

In taking on such a dangerous challenge with very dangerous people who have already displayed violence and “near misses” very serious, that have been perpetrated against P-Appellants, P-Appellant’s still continue to make further commitment that they will only act legally and nonviolently in all matters, whether inside or outside of Court proceedings into the public sector and oversight agencies in and outside this local.

And because the P-Appellant’s also know about others suffering greatly, although P-Appellants can no longer represent them, because they would not sell their souls to the LMCE and left/with the door slamming behind them, they will help in all legal ways, SC People to see the truth of what they are dealing with in these Courts in SC, and put this in the hands of those legal reformers in SC to take all legal and nonviolent action to get their Constitutional government they expect that is mandated by law and oust legally this Oligarchy/LMCE, that they have discovered because the opposition would not just make P-Appellants whole before they had to put their experience to work and prove what is actually going on in SC when they were just here to heal from cancer and then would have been gone. See Holodeck law, Litigation Vortex and Triangle and Two Defense that describe what

172

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

is going on here, and Dr. Kennedy, wrote this book, “Holodeck Law” before the matrix movie was presented to the public. It was happening then, and it is happening now.¹³

Necessity of Neutral Courts to hear the SMJ Challenge and Motion to Strike, calling for these present Judges in the Supremes and Appeals to mandatorily recuse and be disciplined for all they have done, some described herein. P-Appellant’s need a Neutral Court to give P-Appellants the intervention and findings to protect P-Appellant’s own parallel jurisdictional interests and so forth. Once that is completed and P-Appellant’s case has been sufficiently resolved as it should have been long ago, in P-Appellant’s favor, they will no longer need to fight this battle and will turn this state back over to the very capable Legal Reformers of SC to push for the changes they need to make SC an Constitutional Government that protects the people. P-Appellant’s incorporate by reference all of **Ex. 1** herein.

Supremes ultra Vires Action: In the P-Appellant and Murdaugh actions of the he Supreme Court, they **ACTED ULTRA VIRES** before, during and after this challenge was made, by insisting on hearing the Murdaugh case at all, even with the receiving of files for hearing, but also, even after the SMJ Challenge was filed, when they purposely hide the filings and heard the case anyway, claiming they had an open court, and in anyway and all

¹³ Holodeck Law, Litigation Vortex, Triangle and Two Defense
<https://www.bing.com/ck/a?!&&p=a8c1ed71c94d268cd5cbd6ce7da99ae099e7268ab4e11a60df923a13c0709a89JmltdHM9MTc3MzYxOTlwMA&pntn=3&ver=2&hsh=4&fclid=079b9ba5-43c2-6407-395a-8dd3425d6579&u=a1aHR0cDovL3d3dy50dWxhbmVsaW5rLmNvbS90dWxhbmVsaW5rL2hvbG9kZWNRXzAyYS5odG0&ntb=1>

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a guilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

other ways where they are attempting to given Orders/Opinions on P-Appellant or Murdaugh, as they have no SMJ to do so, and where Judges cannot rule over their own interests, in spite of P-Appellants good faith Challenge. This also goes for other Courts beyond the Murdaugh conviction which must stand, and P-Appellant’s Feigned/Colluded trial court case and Feigned/Colluded appeal. Motion to Strike and restore the Trial Court jury filing for Murdaugh and Motion to Strike P-Appellant’s trial court finding and enter judgment for P-Appellants on their own Murdaugh case as Entries of Judgment were filed, and provide for other remedies that correct the years of abuse by the Court, Lawyers, Allianz, State Actors, Proxies and so forth.

VIOLATIONS IN SUPREMES DUTY TO TIMELY AND ACCURATELY FILE P-

APPELLANTS SMJ, ACCESS TO THE COURT, OPEN COURT/TRANSPARENCY: This SMJ challenge was received by the Court and according to the clerk was being reviewed before the Murdaugh case was scheduled to be heard. But the P-Appellant’s good faith Motions filed with the Court BEFORE the Murdaugh case was heard, were purposefully not filed by the Clerk of Courts for two days, and then when filed late, were further mislabeled in filing in the record, thus P-Appellants withdrew two of the four motions due to Court malfeasance and denial of Open Court/Transparency laws and violating the procedure for addressing the SMJ Challenge when the Supreme Court themselves are part of why the Court could not hear the case and was part of the good faith SMJ challenge. This interaction with the Court are recorded as admissions and cannot be denied. Motion to

Strike P-Appellant’s trial court finding and enter judgment for P-Appellants on their own Murdaugh case as Entries of Judgment were filed, and provide for other remedies that correct the years of abuse by the Court, Lawyers, Allianz, State Actors, Proxies and so forth.

By the Court and Clerk further participating in some of the self-evident proof that the Supreme Court could not hear the case as neutral adjudicators required in SMJ Adjudication analysis, when the Court and Clerk and Judges of the Supremes then hid P-Appellant’s filing from the public record, and mislabeling the filing (when they did finally file it) so the public would be blind to what was actually happening, and by the Court/Clerk ignoring the good faith P-Appellants motion to Challenge Subject Matter Jurisdiction Adjudicative Power/and the accompanying structural constitutional violations also filed, based on lack of SMJ Adjudication power and Fraud on the Court by the Court itself, the court is providing more of the proof as to why it cannot hear the case or take part in its litigations due to lack of concern to act as a neutral, and Constitutional Court in violation of the Constitution and its oaths of office, and as a part of that, to provide open court and transparency to those observing in court and online. Motion to Strike P-Appellant’s trial court finding and enter judgment for P-Appellants on their own Murdaugh case as Entries of Judgment were filed, and provide for other remedies that correct the years of abuse by the Court, Lawyers, Allianz, State Actors, Proxies and so forth.

These Laws regarding Open/Transparent Courts and proper filing instructions for a Clerk are continuing to be violated by the court and Clerk, even on February 25, 2026, with

175

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

a generic motion filed “MOTION” with no motion attached for the public to review, and without even changing the prior wrongs of mislabeling the February 11, 2026 Motion that was improperly labeled and finally filed on February 13, 2026, that can’t be changed at this point to correct damage already done, It is clear that the Court and Clerk do not want to bring these to the attention of the public, and call the first filing that actually had 4 different motions. . .a SMJ Challenge which was #1 on the list, and **#2**, Fraud on the Court by the Court. See infra for full details on how ridiculously obvious the Supremes oversight really is. The SC Government after all these hundreds of years (250 is one starts at 1776. . .but it started before that, in Britian/Europe where a truly lawless and defiant group rebelled for permission to **do as they whilst and making that the whole of the law.** It is still happening today in SC Government.

The other two motions originally filed (#3, #4) became moot due to the Obstruction of Justice by the Court itself hiding information from the public, **Ex. 2-5**, . .why would a Court act criminally? Especially when what P-Appellant’s said and brought to the attention of the Public, is not true? Motion to Strike P-Appellant’s trial court finding and enter judgment for P-Appellants on their own Murdaugh case as Entries of Judgment were filed, and provide for other remedies that correct the years of abuse by the Court, Lawyers, Allianz, State Actors, Proxies and so forth.

Violating these very important perquisite Guarantees of the Constitutions is a part the exact problem illustrated and why the **Courts must be judged first by the SMJ**

176

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Adjudication powers, and the prerequisite/condition precedent guarantees that are incorporated by reference under this analysis by the Oath of Office that swears to uphold and defend the Constitutions.

All the Orwellian filing by the Clerk of Court happened before Murdaugh was heard. .
.there was obviously no power of the Court to hear Murdaugh when they had to hide,
mislabel and file two days later. **SCRCP Rule 5(d) provides the general filing requirements** for the clerk as a ministerial office. **SCRCP Rule 10(a) on Caption Requirements,** and further, **State ex rel. McLeod v. Crowe, 249 S.C. 519, 155 S.E. 369 (1967),** of an Open Government/Court and its transparency mandate. **SC Article I, § 9 (Meaningful Due Process). Article I, § 14 (Open Courts Clause), Equal Protection, Abuse of Discretion.** . . and in this case's facts, Fraud on the Court by the Court.

In spite of all these very serious, Willful violations of Due Process, Judge Kittredge's claims in opening statement on February 11, 2026, regarding his Court being an "Open Court," right after the filings were read behind closed doors and ignored, with no neutral reviews in public, Neutral Judges. ANOTHER LIE BY THE COURT TO MAKE SURE THE MURDAUGH CASE GOES FORWARD. . .WHICH SHOWS THE SUPREME COURT IS A PART OF THIS FEIGNED/COLLUDED PROCESS AND INTREFERING WITH P-APPELLANTS CASE. Motion to Strike P-Appellant's trial court finding and enter judgment for P-Appellants on their own Murdaugh case as Entries of Judgment were filed, and provide for other remedies

177

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

that correct the years of abuse by the Court, Lawyers, Allianz, State Actors, Proxies and so forth. **See infra and Ex 2-5.**

Extreme malfeasance by these incredibly fraudulent, intentional degrees described briefly herein and in **Ex. 1**, and other documents filed at the Appeals and Trial Court, further makes the Supreme Court ineligible to hear this (and perhaps others P-Appellant’s are reviewing), Constitutional Case under the Structural, Constitutional Arguments provided herein all based in SMJ Adjudication, but also based on their own separate grounds as well. Motion to Strike P-Appellant’s trial court finding and enter judgment for P-Appellants on their own Murdaugh case as Entries of Judgment were filed, and provide for other remedies that correct the years of abuse by the Court, Lawyers, Allianz, State Actors, Proxies and so forth. The Court must recuse and so must all courts that are a part of or behold to the LMCE. The LMCE is not a secret except to the public. If you know and you didn’t report it, you are recused and a part of it.

Retaliation is proof of lack of SMJ. Any punishments pondered against P-Appellants for exercising their Constitutional Rights to Challenge in Good faith the claims made, or if denied, to demand an expansion of same, as this is the only way the People can/will have a chance to be protected from corruption in the Courts with no recourse, will also show further that this Court cannot be neutral and is attempting to chill further challenged that further violate the Constitution of the U.S. and SC.

And further, with the Courts prior knowledge of what their LMCE is doing and have done, and what their underlying judges and others are doing or already did (See herein and **Ex. 1** for a brief summary of just part of the problem), and for the Supremes to still not recuse or report the wrong doing of themselves and others to neutral law enforcement for prosecution, has made this Murdaugh case, and P-Appellant's case that has been exposing this for years, from a long time ago until now and continuing, in line with Constitutional Law, Case Law, Canons and so forth, massive Fraud on the Court by the Court, Rule 501, and 502, SCACR.

Judge Maddox and Mullen both swore to report anyone, including judges to the authorities if they were doing anything in violation of the rules, codes, Constitution and so forth. . .it is right on their applications for the Judicial Merit Selection Committee (JMSC). How is that working out for the people?

SMJ: These Judges purposely failing to report fellow judges and lawyers to the authorities for wrong-doing is another reason why SMJ Adjudication analysis was intended to and must continue to include prerequisite/condition precedents to include upholding the guaranteed rights of people, with strong, neutral oversight of people giving very strong punishments and discipline for not doing so, which will keep a state Constitutional and keep out the free-for-all by the state acting as an Oligarchy and creating a LMCE, where people are chattel and resources.

P-Appellants presented in good faith a Constitutional Legitimacy¹⁴ test for the Courts to act, including, but not limited to a SMJ Challenge and ***prerequisite/condition precedent OF FOLLOWING ALL GUARANTEES OF THE CONSTITUTION, AND EXAMINING ANY*** Fraud on the Court by the Court or otherwise so this Motion would not be necessary. This Motion blocks the Court from hearing and/or deciding the Murdaugh case (and P-Appellant’s case as well), on that or any other date, until a Neutral body can hear the SMJ Adjudication arguments upon meaningful notice and meaningful right to be heard, and moves a Neutral Court has time to strike any opinions or order acts as void ab initio, and any action against P-Appellants in retaliation for exercising their Constitutional Rights to challenge.

Also being challenged within the Legitimacy of the Court in the sense that legitimacy assumes they are following the Constitution. This illegitimacy, including, but not limited to, the lack of SMJ Adjudication Power and Prerequisite/condition precedent Due Process/Fraud sometimes by the Court, on the Court and other prerequisite/condition precedent challenges therein, is the Constitutionality Structural violations of a Court, including, but not limited to, moving or removing **prerequisite/condition precedent guaranteed rights of the People, partially enumerated by the Constitution/Amendments as illustrative examples of same**, which is a part of

¹⁴ “When judges depart from the Constitution... they undermine the legitimacy of the courts and the rule of law.” **Various concurring opinions including Gamble v. United States, 587 U.S. (2019).**

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

evaluating SMJ Adduction power. Instead, what P-Appellant’s believe is a bad faith reducing these guaranteed rights of the people to only mere **“suggestions”** the Court *may* follow if the Court so chooses, creating an **“After-the-Fact Doctrine,”** which removes the “guaranteed rights” of the People given by the Constitution, that cannot be abridged and infringed upon, by the limited powers of the government and its Courts.

The Court exercising Unconstitutional Power, to accept a case for hearing, where they do not pass or even process through the SMJ Adjudication standards, as such analysis was not even considered, is an Unconstitutional infringement on the People, where the Court is Unconstitutionally taking away a check and balance within Structural Constitutional framing regarding how the Court’s operation must proceed that then abridges the People’s guaranteed rights. This Unconstitutional abridgement and infringement of the People’s rights, removes these guarantees, that the Court/Judge is about to enter a case, with the oath and agreement that they are not going to infringe or abridge the People’s Constitutional guarantees, there is no fraud on the court either by the Court or by the litigants to the best of their knowledge, that they are going to provide due process, and only a good faith mistake would then need to be challenged, and not the RACKETTERING or other Criminal or Civil perpetrations by the Court or the parties to create a Feigned/Colluded or less than honest case and/or result, and so forth. These are prerequisite/condition precedents with more argued in the argument.

A Court or parties planning to Feigned/Colluded a case, or create cases not in controversy, or other Structural violations of the Constitution, prior to the hearing ever starting, as a plan to cover-up for their Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise members of which much of SC is a part, is not grounds for the Court to accept Subject Matter Jurisdiction (See **Ex. 1**, for just a small sample with much more available).

A guaranteed right is not a right and not guaranteed, if there is no remedy to enforce it up front before any case is heard through the SJM Adjudication process, and the Court’s ignoring the SMJ Adjudication process, as if guarantees are mere “suggestion,” while the Court subtly “modernizes” the Constitution so the courts can treat these Structural violations and guarantees from the **prerequisite/condition precedent, guaranteed rights they are**, into a position of review after the fact, as error, with more captured judges reviewing the error, means that these rights are **not guaranteed** and may or may not be honored by a court expanding its power over the people without Constitutional authority, such as has happened in SC with a closed government filled with these Aristocrats or those groomed elite that are in the positions to check/balance such entrenchment but will NOT do so, easily creating this Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise as an Oligarchy of Aristocrats/Groomed Elite controlling the state, rather than a Constitutional Republic for which the People stand and oversee their government.

If State or Federal Government can just change the Constitution into something that favors more power and control for themselves, that gives them more power and destroys

182

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Constitutional Protections guaranteed for the People, at the stroke of a pen, then there is no law, but what the King grants, which is extremely unconstitutional.

Judges with financial and other interests in the outcome of Murdaugh (Ex. 1), cannot hear their own challenges against them based on SMJ Adjudication Power prohibitions proven by the above and the Frauds on the Court by the Court, summarized in only just a part, in this filing, and in Ex. 1, as there was too much to list in that motion but the Appeal Court and the Trial Court are well aware of their Frauds on the Court by the Court and lack of SMJ Adjudication power with the Judges being told on by their own proxy Defendants that they were actually involved in the underlying case itself, as a part of the Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud scheme like Murdaugh's, and that they hired proxies to create the catastrophic injury/wrongful death of P-Appellants themselves along with Allianz Corporate & Specialty.

Concealing filings, mislabeling a SMJ Challenge, Refusing to docket a paper, hiding the identity of the filer, delaying filings to rule first violates all of the above and calls for a Void Judgment doctrine, in ab initio in this matter under Constitutional Grounds and the other grounds stated. Further, due to so much fraud on the court by the court and P-Appellants judicially caused ADA qualifying Disabilities, it is not a good use of minimal energy and writing/sight ability to write a Writ of Mandamus also even though the standards are obviously violated. ADA qualified P-Appellants demand that this motion correct all defects included.

There were also other extreme malfeasances in filing and in also based on the other three motions that were all supposed to be filed on February 11, 2026, the last two withdrawn as moot due to the conduct of interested Judges who cannot hear the case as described in **Ex. 1, Ex. 2-5, and infra**, and herein all as Constitutional, Structural, and Jurisdictional reasons to strike and void the hearing and any other actions by the Supreme Court in Murdaugh and P-Appellants actions.

Because even the actions herein are obviously coordinated among State Actors, and they are following unlawful orders to Unconstitutionally act against P-Appellants good faith legitimacy arguments, this is more proof that a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise is in place.

Therefore, P-Appellants are also sending copies and summaries of their documents to various oversight agents/agencies, inside and outside SC to put them on trial as well, and let them prove they are going to be as accountable as those they alleged to oversee. P-Appellants welcome any change in past Unconstitutional position. Also the legal reform movement has taken it upon themselves to mirror all records by outside source and posts to assure the record stays as is or any change that is made is proper and corrective, and so others can review timely and be made aware of SC not operating as a Constitutional State and working against the People, for P-Appellant’s own interests also, and for documentation in other actions to be filed.

SC Court Unconstitutional Behavior regarding SMJ Analysis: Presently, in SC, although language of the Constitution is sometimes used, and allegedly “nobody is above the law,” is the quoted mantra in public by government servants, the Government has removed many prerequisite/condition precedent guaranteed rights of the People and made the after through, alleged fail-safes, mere suggestions, that the People have to fight for and most often lose because the guaranteed rights are not treated as guaranteed rights any longer by Courts who had no Constitutional power to erase such an important check and balance against them and for the People.

In doing so, the Courts have allowed the Murdaugh Dynasty to make up their own Murdaugh Country laws, without oversight where government silence becomes consent and participation. In turn, after many years of criminal and questionable practices, Murdaugh and many coordinated others were able to create a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, of which the Public witnessed only a very small part of the LMCE, controlled by the LMCE as to what they saw and didn’t see.

Reasons why Mullen was not punished, reasons for certain depositions to be taken but not questioned, reasons for certain persons of interest at the least, getting judgments in federal court to cut in line for limited money ahead of the real victims, selection of Receivers, selection of U.S. Prosecutors, Judges and Murdaugh’s Kin giving appealable issues that are not question as to real motive, but only using cover-up conspiracy theories, Having Dr. Maddox, Judge Jesse Cordell Maddox’s wife be the expert to testify to where the

185

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Murdaugh money went, suggesting there was no money to look for any more, when her husband is related to Mullen, and is accused of Murdaugh scams in the 10th Cir., and so forth. . .are all LMCE tactics used to “save” its Aristocratic/Groomed Elite members when they get caught in crimes, even after 100 years of Murdaugh having a get out of jail free pass before that, but got too greedy and sloppy to remain under cover even by the government.

This proof source, following the Murdaugh Dynasty operating in SC legal circles, with impunity for the last 100 years alone greatly aides in understanding the SC LMCE. Taking from other journalists claims and observations, **in the Buster Murdaugh (Jr) 1956**

Corruption case, that SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption.”¹⁵ And from the same sources unless listed:

- In 1956, it was reported that this Buster case, “. . .**left a visiting federal judge** [Hoffman hearing the Buster Murdaugh case, who has a Norfolk Federal Court named after him] **“stunned at what he saw.”**
- And this 1956 Buster corruption case, prompted the editorial board at The News and Courier in Charleston to scold the Courts of SC that: **“A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder. As this case demonstrated, “not all South Carolinians are clear on that point. . .Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, ... The conditions are a shame on the state.”**¹⁶

¹⁵ The Island Packet, by David Lauderdale,
<https://www.islandpacket.com/news/state/article261335987.html#storylink=cpy>

¹⁶ The Island Packet and Beaufort Gazette published a two-part series on the criminal conspiracy in South Carolina’s Lowcountry that led to the public corruption trial for 14th Judicial **Circuit where Clerk of Court Becky Hills grandfather, Aristocrat Felder Hiers**, and another 14th Cir. **attorney solicitor with Aristocrat Buster Murdaugh, Aristocrat George Robert Deloach, Jr.**, (the

- **South Carolina: The State Where Judges Rule Themselves in Secret**

"Ethics complaints against South Carolina's circuit judges are buried in an opaque system that shields the accused." Pro publica, Post-Courier, Picture: Judge Diane Goodstein at the Dorchester County Courthouse in St. George, South Carolina during a hearing involving the Catholic Dioceses of Charleston. Grace Beahm Alford/The Post and Courier, by Joseph Cranney, The Post and Courier, April 25, 2019. Note Goldstein is discussed in another document further discussing what Judge Kittridge and Judge Toal, did to this SC Hero and Attorney David Flowers who got criminal molesters at Aristocratic schools convicted, and their handlers sued and collected damages, then got removed from the SC Bar as his reward, while he reported Goldstein and ex-Judge Richter, to the SC Disciplinary Committees where Richter somehow kept his law license to practice under his sketchy actions, were performing what sounds like an **Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud** scam against these already molested young people. Also, the news paper sadly states that, **"Over the past two decades, more than 1,000 ethics complaints have been lodged against South Carolina judges who handle the state's major cases in circuit court. . . The number of judges punished publicly as a result: zero."** Hero Attorney David Flowers legal career was ended by Phi Betta Kappa Judges, Kittridge and Toal, when Flowers tried to protect children from Aristocratic school employers, and reported Judge Goldstein and a defrocked Judges still allowed to practice law amazingly, Richter, with **Flowers saying: "I made some serious allegations," Flowers said. "But my thought before filing was that nothing was going to happen to her, just because she was a circuit court judge. They absolutely are untouchable."** Entitled, **South Carolina: The State Where Judges Rule Themselves in Secret Ethics complaints against South Carolina's circuit judges are buried in an opaque system that shields the accused. See**

grandfather of P-Appellants **RACKETEERING Defense Attorney George Robert Deloach, III, in P-Appellants Murdaugh 2.0 case**), helped **Aristocrat Buster Murdaugh** make a shambles of the legal system escaping justice through bribes and threats that were not dealt with after speechless Judge Hoffman was finished. **Aristocrat Deloach Sr., Aristocrat Becky Hiers Hill, the Aristocrat Murdaugh's, P-Appellant's Aristocrat Judge McIntosh and others are all Aristocratic KIN to each other through the Varn Dynasty marriages/off-spings and others, even when Becky Hill denied ever knowing Alex Murdaugh until caught in that lie! Hill gave her KIN Alex Murdaugh, the appealable issues just like her Aristocratic grandfather Felder Hiers helped Aristocrat Buster Murdaugh. And the Laffitte's are even more entrenched.**

187

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

footnote for several but only a few compared to what is even online.¹⁷ The State knows. . .this is very bad and unacceptable and the changes they are making are like putting lipstick on a pig. . .mere disguise for the same lack of accountability, integrity and transparency as all the other changes to selection of judges and

¹⁷ ProPublica: **South Carolina: The State Where Judges Rule Themselves in Secret**
Judge Diane Goodstein at the Dorchester County Courthouse in St. George, South Carolina during a hearing involving the Catholic Dioceses of Charleston. Grace Beahm Alford/The Post ...

<https://www.propublica.org/article/what-happens-when-judges-police-themselves-in-secret-not-much>

The Nerve: **Summaries of judicial ethics complaints released, but secrecy remains**
Last fiscal year, of the 636 pending and new ethics complaints filed against S.C. judges, 490, or 77%, were dismissed, according to an annual Judicial Department report, though no ...

https://www.thenerve.org/summaries_of_judicial_ethics_complaints_released_but_secrecy_remains

The Voice of Blythewood & Fairfield County: **SC judges remain on bench for years despite alleged crimes, ethical ...**Editor's note: This story was produced in collaboration with The News & Reporter of Chester. A secretive system shields the accused, even judges who face allegations of criminal be...

<https://www.blythewoodonline.com/sc-judges-remain-on-bench-for-years-despite-alleged-crimes-ethical-lapses>.

100 Days in Appalachia: **What Happens When Judges Police Themselves in Secret? Not Much**

Ethics complaints against South Carolina's circuit judges are buried in an opaque system that shields the accused. This article was produced in partnership with The Post and Courie...

<https://www.100daysinappalachia.com/what-happens-when-judges-police-themselves-in-secret-not-much>

Studocu: **South Carolina The State Where Judges Rule Themselves in Secret — Pro ...**

This article was produced in partnership with The Post and Courier, which is a member of the ProPublica Local Reporting Network. Over the past two decades, more than 1,000 ethics c...

<https://www.studocu.com/in/document/op-jindal-global-univers>

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

disclosure of information on complaints and discipline. The people will have to legally and nonviolently take over these processes to make sure that guaranteed rights and structure constitutional violations are NOT optional and proper Judges, lawyers, legislators, and other agents of the People are truly the best person for the job and properly overseen. Because the Oligarchy has had plenty of time to make fundamental changes and they will not do so resulting in these cases herein described and many, many others over a very long period of time. The latest bills and implementations serve nothing but to kick the can down the road to avoid Accountability, Integrity and Transparency longer.

See op-ed piece written by someone who clerked for Goldstein and for former Senator and present Murdaugh Defense lawyer, **Dick Harpootlian**. Tucker also practices catastrophic injury and insurance defense infra. This will make much more sense the further the reader goes into all of this, which is too much for this document but covered elsewhere.

- **South Carolina Judicial Corruption Case Unfolds: Whistleblowers Allege Widespread Misconduct**, In a series of intriguing accusations, Linda and Marsha tell a destructive tale of Good Ol' Boys chasing dirty money. From crooked cops to bought and sold judges, Oconee County has what you need, 10 CIRCUIT COURT, by Jason M. Boyle, 2/2/2025, about Dr. Kennedy and Dr. Fink's case and how P-Appellants chased Solicitor General David R. Wagner out of office, and more.
- **Supreme Court Justice Defends Secretive Disciplinary System**, where company man Judge Kittridge lauds and brags about how good the SC Secretive System is because you don't hear of all these Judges in the news like in other states, alleging this is because the system works. This is the type of reasoning P-Appellants are fighting; Institutionalized Mantras that only those Institutionalized believe if they repeat it enough (The Illusory Truth and Joseph Goebbels).¹⁸ In such circular reasoning that Kittredge is becoming known for, there is too much to quote in this

¹⁸ **Illusory truth effect:** The phrase, "repeated a lie long enough and it is true," reflects the illusory truth effect, a psychological phenomenon where repeated falsehoods become accepted truth. Research shows that the more often a statement is repeated, the more likely people are to believe it, regardless of its original truthfulness. This is also attributed to Joseph Goebbels. Either way, many SC people do not believe this because they have experienced SC injustices for too long.

article, and also on his alleged strict Constitutional Stance in name only, and will be left for the readers to decide the truth AFTER FINISHING THIS and other documents filed by P-Appellants in this and their own Appeal and Trial Court case, that are being doctored, and even with the FEIGNED/COLLUDED changes the Courts have made to claim alleged Transparency steps that are allegedly being implemented which do not come close to Transparency or truth. The Nerve, By Rick Brundrett, November 30, 2023.

The overseeing of this entire Murdaugh alleged trial and all the above cases were the duties and responsibilities of the Supreme Court of SC, even before any crimes were discovered in the Murdaugh cases. The Courts and law enforcement and even the other branches who are related or fellow groomed Elite, purportedly took no action against Murdaugh Country for 100 years, allowing any kind of Murdaugh ideas of law be the law, even when a Federal Judge was flabbergasted by what he witnessed in SC justice, and even with Murdaugh, many are not satisfied with what they saw, but they do not know all the reasons why it happened this way.

Looking at P-Appellant's Murdaugh 2.0 case, this could never happen if the Courts were running Constitutionally with proper oversight by the Supreme Court, and even when P-Appellant's wrote a writ of Mandamus seeking assistance while very injured from the Judges themselves along with the continued railroading to get rid of their case on the most bizarre Unconstitutional Grounds as discussed herein and elsewhere, that any judge knows on its face are not Constitutional or even sensical, the Supreme Court saved the Trial Court RACKETTERS, and their lawyers, and Allianz and other State Actors and Defendant Proxies in very obvious matters that a first year lawyer would know could not be supported by any law or rule whatsoever.

190

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

In Murdaugh, the SC Supreme Court picked the Judges (Newman and Toal), they oversaw all legal maneuvering, by the Attorney General’s Office and by Defense, they oversaw civil cases playing into or surrounding the criminal case, they oversaw the selection of the Receivers, they were the ultimate directors of the alleged investigations and so forth (no matter what is claimed). And as much as they hate to admit it, and apparently hate the legitimate question P-Appellants asked the Trial Court Judges, they too know what Insurance Fraud is, and what Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRF) is and they would be able to spot high potential cases of it from miles away, in Columbia. (see their, “Judge Toal’s **“Asbestos Docket”** as a highly complex example of great potential to be an IF/IRF case).

The entrenchment of Aristocrats and their groomed Elite, **Ex. 1**, that have closed the SC Government system from any real oversight by the People, were running the Aristocratic Murdaugh Dynasty case, and the Laffitte Dynasty Fraud case, that the Supreme Court and Appeals Court have ignored such Dynasty crimes and frauds for many years.

These entrenched, Teflon, Aristocratic Dynasties involved in crimes and frauds with the Governments blessing, are not just a statements of fact supporting by about 100 years of just Murdaugh Dynasty, government acquiescence and worse, it has legal meaning in that, the crimes of these Aristocrats (and others, some not yet mentioned in P-Appellants documents), are part of a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise the government/courts have

created for themselves under color of law, with Murdaugh/Laffitte and others not just outliers, but members that need protection from their LMCE.

The P-Appellants claim that their unabridged and uninfringed guaranteed rights that assure their freedom from tyranny and a tyrannical government, must continue to be guaranteed and not moved by the court into a position of being an issue of review only, while erasing the SMJ Adjudicative analysis to make sure guarantees are guarantees and rights stay rights from the start.

In creating this Unconstitutional review and review standard, while Courts are captured and entrenched, the SC Government has created an oligarchy by definition, run by the insiders, often referred to the “Good ol’ Boy’s Club,” but this actually trivializes the severity of such a bloodless and silent coup in SC, that happened long ago and continues to happen, and was never corrected and SC history proves that. See **Ex. 1.**

P-Appellants and others who have been through this process of shredding-of-rights, are more recently calling this Government a **Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE)**, which better explains the severity of the situation and the legal/illegality of the matter for RICO ACT claims and other purposes, but again, these examples given are not being used as Causes of Action herein, but are only used after the fact of the SMJ Adjudication bypass and refusal to deal with Fraud on the Court by the Court as Prerequisite/condition precedent analysis that helps Constitutional guaranteed right stay Constitutional guaranteed rights, that the Courts swore to uphold and defend in their Oaths, and must

equate to guaranteed protections as prerequisite/condition precedents for SMJ **BEFORE** a Judge ever receives its limited Constitutional power to hear any case.

The **Murdaugh case, in the Supreme Court, the Laffitte case**, (where the punishment continued to be reduced by more illegal trickery and Unconstitutional entrenchment with **Aristocratic Kin/Groomed Elite assisting, U.S. Attorney Limehouse and Judge Gergel**, working together to reduce Laffitte’s punishment); and P-Appellant’s **Murdaugh 2.0** case (being heard and buried devoid of any Constitutional Process but using more Fraud on the Court most by the Court and weaponizing P-Appellants ADA Disabilities against them and refusing to rule on them by criminal acts), are all connected to tell the story of Murdaugh’s Frauds, and Laffitte’s as well although they go even deeper than just this along.

The Murdaugh case was held in spite of the SMJ Challenge and Fraud on the Court including a Feigned/Colluded case being presented with knowledge and participation of the Supreme Court through its LMCE. The appeal is mostly but not completely based on the appealable issues by Murdaugh’s Aristocratic Kin Becky Hiers Hill, just as her grandfather Felder Hiers helped Buster Murdaugh, Jr., get out of his public corruption case at the great disgust of Federal Judge Hoffman, making the Supremes look like Constitutionalist but on Feigned/Colluded facts and processes. It is NOT A CASE IN CONTROVESY. And Meanwhile, P-Appellant’s current case Murdaugh 2.0 case is in the SC Appeals Court with Supreme Court knowledge (referred to as **Murdaugh 2.0** due to its

193

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

mirror imaging of the Murdaugh scam), where the Appeals Court is using the same trickery as the Trial Court it is trying not to review, and now this Supreme court doing likewise on the SMJ Challenge, Fraud on the Court, mainly by the Court, to prevent P-Appellants from meaningful due process to present a full appeal, and their demand to do so before Judges, not a part of the LMCE. These are all examples of how the Courts Unconstitutionally ignoring of SMJ in Adjudication and then moving any SJM analysis that could have taken place into being moot because Structural Constitutional violations and all intentional violations that are listed and incorporated by reference into the SMJ Analysis by the Judicial Oath, which oath must be a sincerely held belief were moved by the Captured Court including guaranteed rights of the People, to after the fact suggestions, ad hock, making them mere suggestions to be followed if the Oligarchy/LMCE desires to do so. This is a takeover and erasure of the Constitution by a Capture State/Court and cannot be permitted by law. No review will change this because of the Capture.

These three cases are perfect examples of what has happened to SC Government to make going to court more of a WWE Wrestling scripted event where the winner is already known, than it appearing anything like a Constitutional Redress of Grievances through Access to the Courts, all guarantees. And part of the victim's experience may be the victim is terribly beat up physical, and attempts made at them emotionally and psychologically if they were not so prepared to meet this match as they have seen and written about this before, and perhaps a vindictive imprisonment and sanctions will also be on the menu to

further attempt to chill what are Guaranteed Rights and the People nonviolently overseeing their government in spite of all the blocks the Captured government has made over Accountability, Integrity and Transparency, which his not obviously just an opinion of P-Appellants. . .there are too many facts to support the ousting of those Captured and a complete overhaul into the Constitutional Republic, the only source for the State’s power.

Obviously, SC is not Constitutional Government, and these are not Constitutional Courts (see **Ex. 1**, for a very brief summary of the cases and what makes them great examples and completely Unconstitutional, under the SMJ process incorporated by reference through a sincerely held Oath taken that demands these guaranteed rights be guaranteed rights. What has been written herein and in Ex 1 and many other documents in Appeals Court and Trial Court in spite of being very limited in what they are able to do, with ADA Qualified Disabilities that will not be recognized through trickery, forcing P-Appellants to not be able to do much at any one time, and cut/paste with no proofing the documents so the Court will have to take this into account that any rough writing is not the fault of P-Appellants, but of the Courts weaponizing ADA Disabilities, where many were perpetrator onto P-Appellants by the Trial Court itself and weaponized by all the Courts involved. All of this writing is listing perfect examples of the Unconstitutionality of such a government in its actions in all of these cases and so many more. (See Asbestos Docket run through the Supreme Court with Judge Kittridge/Toal, fellow Phi Beta Kappa initiated members).

As this court knows, the **Murdaugh /Laffitte** scheme of the 14th Cir., was also being perpetrated at the same time, by 10th Cir., Anderson County Judges McIntosh, Sprouse, Maddox, Lawyers on both sides with their firms, Solicitor General David Wagner, and insurance carrier Allianz Corporate & Specialty, the Plaintiffs and Defense lawyers colluding to help the Judges, themselves, and Allianz, other State Actors, agents and proxies of the SC government, see a more detailed list in **FN_____**).

Later Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamberg, who steered 1st Cir. Judge Heath P. Taylor to P-Appellant’s case to fix it for the 10th Cir., so they could bury/end P-Appellant’s case by Taylor ruling against tall law and all facts in the case with no opinion, and then ran away once P-Appellant’s caught him working behind the scene with Bamberg who had no legal right to obstruct justice in an alleged unrelated case. Bamberg is alleged attorney for Murdaugh Victims, and Judge Heath Taylor paid his homage to the LMCE so he was appointed to hear Becky Hills slap of the write plea, and helped to reduce Laffitte’s punishment with no legal reason to do so.

Why would Bamberg try to bury P-Appellant’s case that further exposes Murdaugh/Laffitte and could help him get his clients much more money? Why would Kittredge appoint RACKETEERING Judge Heath P. Taylor who was illegally steered to fix P-Appellants case and then be over Murdaugh continued fixes to help Murdaugh/Laffitte? Could the Murdaugh Appeal be. . . FEIGNED/COLLUDED ? Yes. See infra where this is put together as a whole to where there is no doubt, even though P-Appellant’s do not have

to prove it here. . .just show enough bias and other conflicts to show that these Judges in this Supreme Court could not hear this appeal, and the appeal is void and for other reasons cannot get another appeal.

State involvement in the underlying case for financial and vengeful reasons, including, but not limited to, the Judges McIntosh, Maddox, Sprouse, with many ties to the 14th Cir., and some kin, are verified by some Defendant proxy’s written admissions and recorded statement admissions and other recorded statement admissions of Proxies and State Actors and others, and other paper evidence, much not yet discussed until a jury trial is set up to hear all facts, or P-Appellants are forced to prove this elsewhere by all legal and nonviolent methods whether they are able or whether Legal Reform Groups are to do it themselves.¹⁹

Later Lawyer-Legislator felt comfortable steering Judge Heath P. Taylor from the 1st Cir. to P-Appellants case to unlawfully hear Motions of P-Appellants, and attempted to fix the case without any Jurisdiction whatsoever, with both committing crimes and becoming RACKETEERS in P-Appellant’s case. See infra. Note Bamberg is a victim attorney for Murdaugh victims so why would he stop exposure of the Murdaugh crimes? What is his interest in breaking the law and obstructing justice with no authority to do so at all. Why

¹⁹ If P-Appellants do not say this every time it is necessary, this is their statement that they nor anyone who works for or with them will ever use illegal or violent tactics, as Legal reform requires legal actions in and out of court, and P-Appellants are not proponents of violence as their sincerely held beliefs. This is incorporated by reference into all of their writings or spoken word. P-Appellants are the ones who are being physically attacked by the Courts and their proxies through various methods described in P-Appellant’s documents.

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

was Taylor then selected by Judge Kittredge himself as Taylor made sure to announce, to accept the Aristocrat Becky Hill Plea as she proudly walked in to a predetermined hand-slap and hero of the Aristocrats for saving Aristocrats by giving her Aristocratic Kin appealable issues to Aristocrat Murdaugh with a Judge Toal assist. Note all three appealable issues, Laffitte in Federal Court, and Becky Hier Hill and Judge Toal, and all government “mistakes” that are very clearly wrong, and that these agents would know they are wrong. . .but intended for performance for the Public that would not know, gave both Defendants another bite at the apple with Laffitte only having 5 years with time served including a of time at home. Murdaugh’s case is going to go in the same direction unless this Court changes its strategy. (See Taylor in the Laffitte case also, Kin to McMaster).

P-Appellants Motions are showing that not only has the Courts eliminated part of SMJ to just a question of Topics heard with no SMJ Adjudication in order to exercise its power, but also whether the Court/Judge even has the power to act at all for **many other** Structure Reasons/Guarantees, and P-Appellants are showing what happens when SMJ is not only eliminated, but when SMJ is exercised as it must be, that all of the guarantees of the Constitution are incorporated by reference, are enumerated by the Amendments, through the Judges oath of office to uphold the Constitutions and defend them both.

Murdaugh, Laffitte and P-Appellant’s **Murdaugh 2.0** case, are all exposing this Unconstitutional rewrite of the Constitution that allows Courts to have a coup of government making it an entrenched Oligarchy running a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise

198

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

under color of law, while also removing Constitutional guaranteed rights of the People making those guaranteed rights, mere suggestions that the Oligarchical courts may follow if it prefers to, but mostly it will not because the LMCE is a profiting making venture and they will not rule for option rights of the People when they can steal awards, and money launder through the entrenched legal system making up this LMCE.

Further, Murdaugh, Laffitte and P-Appellant's appear to be very obvious Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud cases, that involved Government as seen herein and in **Ex. 1** and in the Appeals and Trial Court, and **some of P-Appellant's Defendants in Murdaugh 2.0 gave admissions in writing and recordings claiming they were proxies to greatly harm P-Appellant's with catastrophically injury or create wrongful death claims for the Judges in the 10th Cir and their ilk/Racketeers and Allianz Insurance to collect on P-Appellants award and money lauder through the Allianz insurance reserve account, for many various LMCE crimes needing dark money cleaned.**

They even called and included the Virginia State Bar, VSB, in the revenge and financial interests, who had their own rusty ax to grind from 25 years ago when Dr. Kennedy exposed their legal machine as a practicing attorney in Virginia who would not sell her soul in order to be a part of the LMCE. Dr. Kennedy told the VSB to disbar her if this is what she needed to do to be an attorney in that State. Its on tape.

This is why even the Supreme Court denied P-Appellants any discovery, depositions, subpoenas and witnesses in their then 3 year old case where discovery

was filed on April 12, 2022, which is due them by right, and still let an Unconstitutional Evidentiary hearing be called sua sponte by Racketeer Judge McIntosh to end the case without a jury and without Discovery, where proxies would talk if they were cross examined with the evidence before them. **See, Ex. 1.**

This is the problem with SMJ Adjudication being bypassed by the Captured Courts and not having prerequisite/condition precedent guaranteed rights as a part of that determination to express whether a judge gets power to hear a case or not. **P-Appellants are that example**, of why the SMJ Adjudication is so important, because they are not a part of the scheme like of many in SC.

Again, **this is the LMCE at work** and the more names that should not be in common in these cases, Murdaugh and P-Appellant's case and more and more now Laffitte's are also appearing in these "in common" actors who should not be involved, yet are, along with the signs in all three cases of IF/IRF and the obvious cover-ups when it would have been common sense by those who know what IF/IRF is, to have it investigated throughout, including the Supreme Court and All Courts, SLED, the Receivers allegedly looking for the money with way too much freedom and Laffitte's all over the Receivership, and so forth state/federal. The Captured government is much more concerned with keeping all matters limited and to not discover the bigger crimes and additional criminals and schemes involved. And they want P-Appellants and their case buried all together to not tell on them.

See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.

200

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

And because of SC government’s notorious lack of SC Accountability, Integrity, and Transparency in government/courts, through its intentional Structural Constitutional violations that ignore SMJ Adjudicative Power all together, even when SC enters England’s Jurisprudence, (See Toal Asbestos Docket) and also the **prerequisite/condition precedents of structural/Guaranteed Rights are inherently conditions for a Judge/Court to gain SMJ Adjudicative Power** before a Judge can hear the case at all. The Cour’s jurisdiction is gone if the judge refuses to follow the Constitution, because jurisdiction presupposes lawful authority. See *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137 (1803). The judgement is void ab initio. *Ableman v. Booth*, 62 U.S. 506 (1859). No court can act beyond the jurisdiction conferred upon it by law. Every act of a tribunal without jurisdiction is void. This is specifically referring to SMJ Adjudication. **Alexander Hamilton** stated a judges’ power depends on faithful adherence to the Constitution; otherwise the court lacks authority. This is common sense the Framers knew they did not have to spell out because the entire law is based on faithful adherence to it. . . i.e. the Constitution. *Federalist No. 78*. Finally, **Justice Story**, in his *Commentaries on the Constitution*, **“A judge acting contrary to constitutional duties acts ultra vires, and the judgment is void.”**

With the SC Government/Courts allowing secret-self policing, appointing each other to positions over Accountability, running candidates that will comply with this closed system and so forth, all illustrated very well by the difficulty there is to ever hold any of these Judges or government officials accountable to their duties under Constitutional Law,

201

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

get specific public records of acts by the SC Disciplinary Committees, or how hard it is to get a law enforcement agency to investigate, get a Solicitor General to even consider the outrageous conduct of these Courts and other government agents and then act, use the Attorney General’s office to enforce the people’s alleged Guaranteed Rights, when the government, especially the AG’s office considers “The People” anyone who the state doesn’t like or who is not going along with the State’s dictates, Constitutional or not as a part of the “program.”

Because of this closed government loop to block Accountability, Integrity or Transparency, and to also then protect each other against The People’s Guaranteed Rights, the perpetrating SC State government/Courts are very rarely disciplined by complaints from the People due to the insiders manning these disciplinary offices also, and the complaints are only ever heard and used as a bully pulpit against each other in government if someone might rarely decide it disagrees with the government and is not going along to get along, decides to run against a sitting judge, decides to run for public office when it is not their “turn”, and so forth. The cases bear this out when someone not playing along gets targeted.

Ex-Senator Katrina Shealy, dropped the bomb on SC Politics when she stated that one portion of these punishments/controls for the outliers of the system is “blackmailing” the noncompliant person, who thought this didn’t happen in America and has he had

rights.²⁰ Other SC politicians have accused others of same. Outside of SC also. It is not a secret that this is how the dirty game of government works. The Constitution is one document meant to protect the People from such debauchery and deplorable abuses of the State/Courts, when the People are willing to challenge in the face of all the illegal retaliations, these two older disabled ladies could face at the hands of an Unconstitutional Government working off of anger that they are getting in the way of their very profitable, Tax Free, LMCE which has been reported to many oversight agencies as a part of P-Appellants alleged rights to do so without retaliation.

Many politicians over the country and a few of those being Dr. Kennedy's past clients have stated and shown likewise, and it has been tried on Dr. Kennedy several times, but the "story" had to be made up to be used, and Dr. Kennedy is very well documented, knowing that is a part of this playing field. And also, perhaps the noncompliant one is set up for crimes, like very qualified **Sheriff Candidate James Bartee**, who of course was tried in the 10th Circ. because he was funding himself and running for Sheriff, as an outsider who could not be bought. He was then set up by a felon with a record of being a proxy for government for favors, working with a former judge, to create a narrative, and SLED came in to "investigate" like they did Murdaugh, and Bartee was tried for fake crimes by Judge

²⁰Former S.C. Senator: Lawmakers 'Blackmailed' Over Sexual Indiscretions. Katrina Shealy drops a massive truth bomb on the S.C. State House... but are more targeted detonations coming?
by **Will Folks**, August 7, 2025

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

Mcintosh, a RACKETEER in P-Appellants case. The entrenched names are often the same. Due process was definitely just a suggestion there.

And **Mcintosh** was also the leading judge and the one arresting a journalist, Jason Boyle, without paperwork and imprisoning him for 50 days on double jeopardy with no case number. He was let free finally with no paperwork. And McIntosh was covered by the **Appeals Court**, who somehow used **fake procedure** on a rule that didn't apply, to not make an obvious finding for the journalist, and not use their inherent power to right an obvious Due Process violation and then some, but instead, allowed McIntosh to continue his reign of terror in the 10th Cir., unchecked, and McIntosh left a gag order on the journalist while McIntosh and the others were still involved in a clear cut probate fraud case against Boyle's wife, because of LMCE protection and the lack of SMJ Adjudicative analysis that makes guaranteed rights merely a suggestion. This is how the “suggestion” of rights, after the fact, works in SC. And those suggestions of rights were not followed by the higher courts either in the journalist's case. Case closed according to the Appeals Court!

The Appeals Court determined that a journalist can be arrested by a Judge and thrown in jail with no paperwork, no case number, no determination of criminal or civil wrongdoing, no lawyer, no probable cause, and so forth, and then kept the journalist, a father of an infant, imprisoned longer just because the Judge is very mentally unstable and was not yet finished taking the journalists wife's inheritance with **Mcintosh, Maddox and Sprouse** involved and a probate judge without authority, which was reported to the Courts and the

Committee on Judicial Discipline with no finding regarding McIntosh’s competence or violations of the Canons, that involved “suggested” Due Process, because guaranteed rights are being manipulated away through these Oligarchical Courts/LMCE who want the litigants property/awards/inheritances and so forth, or what to keep a possible sheriff who would ruin everything if he were elected, away from the Sheriff’s Department. The LMCE needs to foot soldier sheriffs onboard.

The case of Boyles eventually got back to the SC Appeals Court, fighting against the AG’s office for his Constitutional Rights, so that all understand the AG is protecting the Oligarchy, not the People, no matter what the issue and who was the criminal in the matter. When Boyle asked for the Court to clarify the matter he was being charged with as the goal posts continued to move throughout his case, the Appeals Court denied a Constitutionally demanded clarification of the issues just like they would not clarify their alleged Order on P-Appellant’s ADA Qualifying Demands, because, in fact, they constantly avoided a direct answer, and never made an Order and were trying to deceive P-Appellant’s into giving up a Constitutional Appeal of a Federal Question so they do not have to address all the Frauds on the Court by the Court and just be able to cover for their Trial Court and Judge McIntosh again. This is what happens when Due Process becomes a suggestion after the fact. It is unconstitutional to do this.

The **Appeals Court in Boyle, also tried to do the same thing that the Supreme Court** is doing in P-Appellants case by misnaming a motion, not filing the motion, being

205

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

vague about the motion and calling it P-Appellants attempt at a rehearing using their catch-all for appeals to dismiss, the SCRAC 221(c) that doesn't even apply, to try not to rule on the ADA Reasonable Accommodation claims and P-Appellants legitimate demand, because it changes the entire posture of the case when real due process is given, and forces the Appeals Court to address the frauds on the Court by the Court some by the Court, collusion and RACKETEERING, and so forth, that requires de novo review, and they don't want to agree that P-Appellant's won their case several times at Trial but for the RACKETEERING and so many other bizarre acts at the trial court level that resemble what the U.S. claims about Rwanda's Kangaroo system.

The Appeals Court would have to act in a way that does not protect the Teflon Circuit Court Judges as LMCE foot-soldiers to act Constitutionally, and lose their LMCE profit and maybe inadvertently identify the LMCE to rule neutrally per SMJ Adjudication purposes. This is how the Due Process and other suggestions of guaranteed rights work in SC when it is an **afterthought**, and not an actual prerequisite/condition precedent of a SMJ Adjudication analysis where it should be found.

Instead, in P-Appellant's case, the Appeals court hired a **Laffitte law partner, Jasmin Smith to write a letter posing as a clerk**, but acting like an impersonation of a Judge, **to tell P-Appellants what the Judges might think and called it her “order” within her letter, and she signed it. . .see Jasmin Smith/Appeals Tricks**, Supra, which is not a lawful Order, she is posing as a Judge with Judicial power, the contents were not ministerial but

went right to the merits of P-Appellant’s demand for the Appeals Court JUDGES to clarify their Order, if there was one, specifically on ADA Reasonable Accommodation Mandates with which the Court **MUST** comply. In fact there is no such Order, which is why all the two-stepping by the Judges, and hiring a Laffitte law partner to intervene as the Court’s lawyer, an entirely unlawful process showing the financial and vengeful and other interests that violate Neutrality at its most basic level. **There is NO Jasmin Smith authority** or Deputy Clerk on payroll, nor Court justification for such findings within that letter, Jasmin Smith motions are purposely mislabeled to avoid talking about the issue. . .see **Jasmin Smith trickery by the SC Appeals Court**, Supra, and note **La’Jessica Stringfellow, of Laffitte firm, also Clerked for Judge John Cannon Few of the Appeals and Supreme Court** (August 2015-February 2017), **and is a fellow member with Jasmin Smith with the Laffitte’s Firm. The fraudulent misrepresentations of the Appeals Court and Jasmin Smtih, Rebecca Laffitte, and the Laffitte Firm, makes all of them liable as a part of this cover-up, and RACKETEERING, which further links Murdaugh to Murdaugh 2.0**, and shows continual Court and Murdaugh attempts to intimidate or scare P-Appellants as a part of an illegal strategy to chill or stop P-Appellants. It is disgusting how far the Courts will go to not allow Constitutional Rights for P-Appellants due to what these claims and statements/research mean to the state of SC, their LMCE/Oligarchy, and their Aristocrats including, but not limited to Murdaugh/Laffitte.

And this makes sense from the LMCE interests as the Murdaugh case involves double murder, many have died violent deaths in the Murdaugh/Laffitte/Fleming/ Others victimizations, matters and P-Appellant’s health and lives were/are also in issue in their underlying case and other threats of extreme violence or worse, directed by 10th Cir. Judges and other State Actors and agents/proxies **according to some of State Actors and from their proxies/Defendants in P-Appellant’s own underlying case.**

These **State Actor illegal and sometimes deadly strategies, under color of law**, are **not isolated** nuances or freak acts being described regarding these perpetrators. These illegal strategies implemented against P-Appellants are the **RACKETEERING strategies of an Oligarchy making money off the exploitation of the People, called the LMCE**, where innocent People are going into these LMCE Courts thinking they have **Constitutionally guaranteed rights** (which they Must have these rights, but for this extremely Unconstitutional manipulation by the Courts to create a **“Suggestion of Rights Doctrine”** instead.

The Appeals Court using vague Orders that don’t address the mandatory compliance laws of the ADA, that will allow P-Appellant’s to have a meaningful due process and full appeal presentation, which the ADA/Constitution, “force” Courts to comply, with the Court deceitfully using Rule 221(c) as a catchall to get rid of any contest to their alleged rulings or lack thereof, with them hiring a lawyer from the Murdaugh/Laffitte ilk to create non-appealable suggestions in a letter, as if they are Orders/Judges, all to steer and hide the real

Constitutional Violations of the underlying Kangaroo Court caught in and exposing the LMCE, and the Appeals/Supreme Court equally illegal Courts, trying to illegally cover-up the crimes. These are NOT Constitutional Courts. **This is clearly a corrupt and defiant Oligarchy running a LEGAL MACHINE CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE, under color of law, that goes the very legitimacy of the Courts all together, and not just its refusal to follow SMJ Adjudicative mandatory processes.**

If all these other methods of keeping control of the LMCE do not work, the victim of the “Suggestion of a Guaranteed Right Doctrine” by the Oligarchy, can try to report the state actors and lawyers involved in criminal activity to the SC Bar, but then the good faith reporter **suffers the David Flowers retaliation, and Judge Kittredge and Judge Toal can find a way to retaliate.**

Dr. Kennedy has experienced this often when trying to protect the public against Oligarchical and LMCE interests in her field at the time, the Virginia LMCE. Dr. Kennedy is a National/International Legal Reformer and Virginia Attorney during many of those early years, of practicing law (her work summary is outlines in more detail elsewhere with receipts/evidence but briefly summarized below).²¹ These actions by lawyers or others who

²¹ Just a few examples of Dr. Kennedy work for the Public Interests, with many more examples available, **1) U.S. Human Trafficking between China and the U.S. Government:** Dr. Kennedy represented Congressman Traficant in his attempt to expose the U.S. Government with Human Trafficking, working with Chinese Generals, where it appears that Jeffrey Epstein was also very involved in this operation during or after Dr. Kennedy was representing Traficant. Ex 14. **2) Insurance Reserve Fraud and Government:** Dr. Kennedy was involved in Terri’s Fight, an international case of a disabled woman being treated as if

she was in a vegetative state by the Judge George Greer, Governor Jeb Bush, and Micael Schiavo, the husband of Terri. Michael Schiavo who was already engaged to another woman and spent the insurance money already, needed the destruction of the body to hide what really happened in that case, with many medical personnel testifying for Terri and against Schiavo. Further Schiavo and Bush were involved in the insurance together as partners, and Greer had a very glaring and obvious extra interest in destroying the evidence. . . Terri. This was an identified possible Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud Case, and Dr. Kennedy, and her national/international Legal Reform Groups, single-handedly coordinated a legal, nonviolent protest and radio/TV onslaught of facts about Terri and goals of the Government in destroying her and cremating her, and once it was clear this was very much a potential Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud case, Dr. Kennedy's people nonviolently and legally forced the Florida Legislature to Order the Judge to reinsert Terri's feeding tube and give her water, as government was trying to kill her by dehydration and starvation in spite of her wishes otherwise and her family wanting to take her home with them. Part of the crime of IF/IRF, is to destroy the evidence that could otherwise help and prove this entire sham was an intentional scheme using Terri as the object of the ill-gotten gain through Carrier cooperation. A song was written about RACKETEER Judge George Greer, and a book on Terri's fight was dedicated to Dr. Kennedy for her work in that case. Ex. 14. **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes. 3) U.S. Used WMD not Bagdad:** Dr. Kennedy was also involved in helping a whistleblower against the U.S. show that the Weapons of Mass Destruction that George Bush was allegedly searching for were WMD that the U.S. left as behind unfortunately. This and much more evidence showed that the U.S. were the ones who used chemical and biological weapons on the U.S. and other troops and innocent people in Bagdad. The Nuclear, Biological and Chemical Reports (NBC), that General Schwarzkopf claimed were destroyed and that the U.S. never used nor received clearance to use germ warfare, were not destroyed, and Dr. Kennedy's client had the NBC Reports and provided them to Dr. Kennedy also, showing that the U.S. used WMD not Iraq (for many purposes). Dr. Kennedy was helping her client show that a very necessary chemical that was involved in delivery of the WMD through the Patriot Missile was actually made in Boca Raton, Florida, and the germ warfare in Texas and Oklahoma, using International Terrorist Ishan Bourboti, of Ishan Barbouti International, IBI, to rebuild his Rabta Plant in Libya, in Boca Raton, called the Products Ingredients Plant (PIT), near by where the Anthrax was released down the road after 911 occurred. This Robta Chemical Weapons Plant that the U.S. bombed, was sitting in the neighborhoods in Boca Raton's back yard and these WMD were used in the first Gulf War with International Terrorists in joint venture with the U.S. (the summary skips major parts of the true account with much evidence that Dr. Kennedy has in safe places as the case was sealed as national security to hide the evidence, and Dr. Kennedy received the evidence outside the Court's reach). Dr. Kennedy has all evidence preserved elsewhere so that trusted others can

210

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

are calling the government into account for unconstitutional acts, brings great retaliation upon themselves by Courts, and in this case, according to the Defendant proxies, and other State Actors, who helped the 10th Cir. judges get involved in P-Appellants underlying matters long before P-Appellant’s ever even thought of filing a claim or suing Defendants.

The Defendant proxies even had the Virginia State Bar (VSB) join the SC State Actors and lawyers, in the persecution of Dr. Kennedy, and that is admitted in writing admissions by Defendants and otherwise. So P-Appellant’s matter is not just a financial scheme here. It is personal for the Courts, to punish whistleblowers who were and now are trying to bring the government back in-line to follow the Constitution by making any changes the People deem as necessary to do so, including having a fair trial and appeal process, and not either a Feigned/Colluded process for the criminal Aristocrat, and a burying of anyone the Court doesn’t like or where they want specific outcomes from their LMCE, and yet another reason why the **“Suggestions of Rights Doctrine” does not protect and never would protect the People, which is why the Constitution wasn’t written as suggestions to the King.**

oversee it and use it as necessary when necessary because many people died trying to tell their stories to the public to warn the public and that should never be sealed as National Security. There are many more cases, and reasons why retaliation of Dr. Kennedy is common practice for government/Judges. This exhibit gives some of the names involved in this case, a Time article on Barbouti, and this or another also talks of Boca Raton. **Ex. 14 collectively.**

211

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

When an insider like the long-standing Murdaugh Dynasty’s Alex Murdaugh, or the Laffitte Dynasty, Russell Laffitte, are caught and cornered in excessive and extreme and numerous **Long-Con crimes** that accidentally garnered Public attention (**through the Beach tragedy**, (and may God rest her soul and her family be comforted), the LMCE-hiding of the criminal activity of its members will work, then the goal for the LMCE/Oligarchy, is to limit the crimes, and criminals involved as damage control, get them sentences usually through a lot of plea bargains so nobody slips up and says too much about the real crimes, and they isolated a couple of people out for trials who will then have their punishments greatly reduced (see Laffitte), because the process is Feigned/Colluded so the public believes there were real trials going on. The outcome is predetermined (see Laffitte).

These strategies are “well-worn” and they are not new to criminal rings especially in a **Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise** where the government, Defense and Prosecutors joint goal is to limit those criminals involved to the least number possible and limit the crimes as much as possible to the least number of Defendants and least number of crimes, while covering up or not investigating the others crimes and criminals. (Like crimes of Mullen, PMPED, Bank, and possible carriers and other money laundering options, etc.). Further in Murdaugh they were so bold to make potential criminals victims also who could cut in line at disbursement time.

The LMCE will then make their own slanted and conflicted appointments of Aristocrats/Kin or Groomed Elite to perform in the show trial and tasks allegedly for the

212

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

People or the Victims, which appointments are not so honorable once examined more closely, and the LMCE will keep the Public involved in made for drama TV-type storylines to keep them distracted from the fixing-damage control steps that need to be taken to begin to get LMCE Aristocrats out of trouble through legal morass that the Public will not fully understand because the system has made law so complex on purpose. Its about job security not about the Constitution.

These types of sham cases are referred to as **FEIGNED/COLLUDED cases**, where there is no real justiciable case (case in controversy), and no adversarial process occurring, but a staged event for the Public who is walked down a path until eventually, the punishments for the Defendants become minimal, no matter what the crimes (See Laffitte’s final disposition after appealable issues were given by the Judge himself, then the Judge allowed Laffitte a better deal plus agreements with Prosecutor Limehouse/Judge, that allowed Laffitte to fulfill much of his prison time at home, **Ex. 1**). See **Limehouse, conflicts of interest with Governor Henry McManus who is Aristocratic Kin with the Aristocratic Laffitte Dynasty, Ex. 1**.

Eventually, Murdaugh is sitting in a very controlled show trial court where the Supreme Court picked the Judge to hear the case (Newman) and post-trial motions (Toal). Judge Newman was required to do a SMJ evaluation on himself as to whether he could hear this case with the oath and all its prerequisite/condition precedent motions.

If the case is Feigned/Colluded , the answer is no. If the case did not meet other prerequisite/condition precedent Constitutional guarantees, the answer is no also, which is argued herein as the only Constitutional way to protect those who go into the Court without these agendas so the litigant or the Victims and the People get guaranteed due process. Because Murdaugh was a part of the Feigned/Colluding, he was not due anything but more prison time with those who were assisting him. But the Supreme Court is jumping through TV hoops for the public to believe this was all real and the Constitution matters when the public and the Constitution is being mocked by the State for hearing a knowingly Feigned/Colluded Appeal with them involved in the LMCE process to help pull this off and reduce or dismiss Murdaugh’s alleged Murders, or say they are too expensive to retry, and perhaps begin reducing his sentence for fraud like what was done in Laffitte also. Or they can assign him to home confinement like Laffitte received for about ½ of his sentence.

P-Appellants did not contest the trial court itself, because justice was still done in spite of the Feigned/Colluded proceedings. That is why a jury is so important on all cases, civil and criminal. These are all part of the Long-Con when the LMCE is potentially going to be exposed. This is not new.

Knowing that Murdaugh was going to lose at trial, Becky “Hiers” Hill gave her Aristocratic Kin Murdaugh appealable issues to make sure Murdaugh was able to reopen an obvious conviction coming against him on appeal with more Aristocrats/Groomed Elite in the LMCE controlling the predetermined outcome.

214

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

So it then ended up in the Supreme Court, where P-Appellants challenged SMJ Adjudicative Power that could not be given to this Court for many reasons, including hearing a Feigned/Colluded Appeal, with Members of the LMCE involved in the case as Defendants, attorneys, and Judges, including this Supreme Court.

When Due Process and other Guaranteed Rights are going to be heard, these must be prerequisite/condition precedents to any Judge gaining power to hear a case or they are not Constitutional guarantees. which is where the SMJ Adjudication and its prerequisite/condition precedent rights to be scrutinized regarding these specific Judges, **BEFORE** any case is heard. Ex 1.

When these Judges (and the Appeals Court Judges are reviewed as to their Constitutional intentions and history, and taking into account the P-Appellant’s case where both the Appeals and Supreme Court’s have failed miserably in the area of making sure the Courts are honest and acting Constitutionally as per these guarantees) comes into view, it is clear that these are not the Judges to hear an appeal of Murdaugh, even if there were no Feigned/Colluding of the case, which there is.

This entire process has been and continues to be extremely Unconstitutional and not even close to what any Framers would have ever intended as they were very concerned with these two very examples as Murdaugh/Laffitte and P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case.

P-Appellant’s have brought a few examples forward to show how Due Process, Fraud on the Court and other such guaranteed rights are treated as mere suggestions and SMJ

215

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Adjudication power is not analyzed, against such Unconstitutional acts are taken by the State guarantee the State with limited power wins every time it wants to.

There are many more examples P-Appellants can bring forward but for time, but this writing should bring great fear to the People who understand why the News Papers are regularly being quoted so harshly against the SC government in the Murdaugh and company cases where Aristocratic Dynasties were so protected in SC by the Government/Courts that Murdaugh had his own Legal Machine, named “Murdaugh Country” that ran its own form of law that was not Constitutional with all legal oversight behold to him and his very large operation that has finally come to roost in the 2020’s, as a part of the bigger LMCE of the State.

This is true, although very little of the entire operation is being disclosed purposely by the LMCE, which is part of P-Appellant’s public concern for the Public’s stolen freedoms beyond some insurance checks, which is bad enough and why P-Appellants’ **Murdaugh 2.0** case is so important to show the public the real Murdaugh crimes and falsehoods the state is supporting for Murdaugh’s benefit, and why their case is being destroyed by those trial, Appeals and Supreme Court judges who also have no SMJ of Adjudication to hear P-Appellants case other than making the entries of Judgment for them and calling for a neutral jury to be appointed by neutral judges so that P-Appellants can get the beginning portion of their damages and settle or sue the additional culprits as this is tiring but also

very important information for the public to protect themselves against Oligarchy’s posing as Constitutional Government and showing some of the tricks of the Oligarchy/LMCE trade.

P-Appellants and others have tried all it can to get justice in a system that has been secretly created for only the Aristocrats/Groomed Elite for profit at the litigants expense. For Dr. Kennedy as a long-time Legal Reformer who was an insider until she learned about these tricks and Feigned/Colluded hearings and so forth. Then she refused to be a part of it and took many cases (more than mentioned) and defended the Public against the LMCE in Virginia, a very similar state government to SC government. Dr. Fink supported the cause and a few years later she said good-bye to the entire practice not wanting to see it ever again due to what she was learning herself about the corruption and refusal to follow the Constitution, but pretended they were doing so.

The state only has the rights expressly given it by the Constitution and never has the right to take away the People’s guaranteed rights, thus making these rights a prerequisite/condition precedent to any judge receiving SMJ Adjudicative analysis, before a Court can be granted authority to hear the case. Only understandable errors of these fundamental rights can be excused but quickly remedied at the State’s costs since it is their error. Any finding by neutral judges that a Court entered into a litigation without taking his/her Oath seriously as a sincerely held belief is grounds for removal and prosecution. This is presently a case of Tyranny and cannot be tolerated in a Constitutional Republic.

The State is under, Constitutions that provide its power in limited expressed conditions, and the state has no other power. And the second ground for SMJ Adjudication challenge is that Fraud on the Court by the Court and other such fundamental rights of the litigants (guaranteed rights) must also be addressed as a Prerequisite/condition precedents, guaranteed to be free from any of these frauds and crimes, in having Neutral adjudicators, and not another guarantee that is made a suggestion, by the courts choosing this SMJ Adjudication as just an afterthought.

Where the Courts are permitting Judges to sit in cases because they have a black robe and the topic is right, allows for only paper tiger appeals, that will have no teeth no matter how meritorious, with very limited chance of success, if the Court wants to further manipulates the procedural process as described in some of the examples given. Guaranteed Rights of the People are Prerequisite/condition precedent Rights, not afterthoughts.

All these arguments make the Murdaugh hearing of February 11, 2026, with the courts Aristocratic **defiance** to their duties to analyze SMJ of Adjudication with all the prerequisite/condition precedents needed to make such analysis incorporated through their Oaths to Uphold and Defend the Constitution worth and required of a Strike from the record of any proceedings and potential Orders and memorandums.

Further the Court’s actions and the Clerk’s **ministerial duties** that occurred on February 11, 2026, and following, are also grounds to Strike the hearing and Order/Opinion

218

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

on Murdaugh, and in all cases, to render the Murdaugh hearing and any decisions that might be made as VOID AB INITIO, and call for **NEUTRAL JUDGES WITHOUT INTEREST, AND WITH NEUTRAL INVESTIGATION INTO ALL MATTERS MENTIONED HEREIN BETWEEN MURDAUGH AND P-APPELLANTS “MURDAUGH 2.0 CASES,”** that occurred in the underlying case, appointments made by the Supreme Court that are extremely conflicting and biased, along with further issue of the Supreme Court not **properly filing** P-Appellants SMJ Challenge/Fraud on the Court by the Court Challenge, and so forth, See infra and Ex 2-5, where Dr. Linda Kennedy and Dr. Marsha Fink, are not mentioned nor the name of the Appeals in the late and inaccurate filings, who have what they claim is a very similar case to Murdaugh and the Asbestos Case, in the SC Appeals Court that is telling a different story then what was claimed on TV. If the Courts have no interest, but the Constitutional protections of all people, then they should have nothing to hide, including filing properly and letter other Judges and Investigators review the matters before the case goes further since Alex Murdaugh is allegedly supposed to stay in prison either way, unless the state has other plans, like the Laffitte plan to get Murdaugh out or on house arrest. Se infra, and **Ex 2-5.**

Purposely not filing the SMJ Challenge properly and mislabeling it after a two-day delay and then doing likewise to subsequent P-Appellant filings to hide P-Appellants legal sufficient claims, Infra, Ex 2-5, **and is clearly evidence that the Supreme court has “skin**

in the game” just as P-Appellants described with the LMCE, or they would not be making such sneaky maneuvers that are not even legal.

P-Appellants come with good faith challenges as the Murdaugh case and litigation has greatly and unconstitutionally, directly and negatively affected the litigation of P-Appellants case cause P-Appellant’s to deal with all the tricks of a predetermined outcome. P-Appellants have received no Constitutional Rights, including Due Process at the trial court, appeals court and at the Supremes per a writ, **Ex.9,** and to a full Appeal Presentation with Neutral Judges, even though P-Appellants case is considered the Mirror Image of the Murdaugh case, and P-Appellants Murdaugh 2.0 case, further explains what really happened in Murdaugh, which is not what the Court is hearing or the lawyers/Defendants are presenting, as part of a Feigned/Colluded case. Guaranteed rights must be a part of A SMJ ANALYSIS AS DESCRIBE THAT BEST ASSURES LITIGANTS WILL NOT HAVE TO FIGHT THE OPPOSITION AND THE JUDGES WHO ARE ALL ON THE SAME SIDE, WHICH THE CONSTITUTION NEVER AUTHORIZES, AND FOR ANY GOVERNMENT TO SUGGEST SUCH IS LEGAL, IS GASLIGHTING THE LITIGANT.

Additionally, P-Appellants come forth with great Public Concern also, as the Court is aware, in that P-Appellants present, as long time leaders of the National and International Legal Reform Movement that includes addressing and moving for changes in the U.S., Federal, State and Local Legal Venues, bars and Judicial Disciplinary and Selection processes, and is challenging the proper legitimacy of the Court to hear Murdaugh or P-

220

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Appellants Appeal that is being destroyed by the Courts in that there is not genuine controversy in Murdaugh being heard, and in P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case, P-Appellants are not receiving any kind of Due Process or a right to present a full appeal even if it exposes the lack of adversarial process in Murdaugh for the State to assist Murdaugh.

As a part of this challenge is the issue of whether the Court had SMJ in Adjudication over Murdaugh on February 11, 2026, (and before and after), and whether the Appeals Court has SMJ Adjudicative power over P-Appellants and their Murdaugh 2.0 case.

In addition to P-Appellant’s own case being destroyed so the Court can hide their illegal intentions in Murdaugh and Asbestos cases, P-Appellants Public Concern as Legal Reformers on the SMJ and Fraud on the Court by the Court cases: A major Constitutional protection of the People’s guaranteed rights, Adjudicative SMJ has been quietly “phased out” or “modernized” by the Courts in spite of it being of Paramount importance to make sure guarantees in the Constitution remain free from abridgement and infringement by the State, to protect the People’s rights and hold the Government into their sole role as being a Constitutional Government and not an entrenched Oligarchy with a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise operating under color of law. The Government cannot rewrite, erase or strike them from history and take them from the People because the Constitution does not grant the Court that authority to do so; they cannot be abridged or infringed upon by limited governments who gets its limited powers from the Constitutions.

The Courts cannot make Constitutional guaranteed Rights of the People, a mere “**afterthought**” or “**suggestion**” they may follow at their discretion, **rather than a prerequisite/condition precedent** of a Judge/Court having to be pre-qualified to receive the power by the Constitution to even hear the case, because it has fulfilled the prerequisite/condition precedents of having SMJ in Adjudication. **Otherwise, the Court has made those guaranteed rights granted as Natural Rights by the Constitution, with the illustrative list of same in the Constitution/Bill of Rights, mere suggestions** that can be disposed of by Courts simply by choosing to ignore or twist them as a part of improperly and perhaps fraudulently discharging their duties, such as in **SC “Captured”** Governments or Courts situations.

Using the Government approved version of history, the Framers never intended that those guarantee rights they penned could be overridden anytime a Judge wanted to do so because they were actually suggestions; the Framers were concerned with the abuses of power of Government, where they would steal more power than what was granted by the Constitution, and this goes for Federal and State Constitutions. They did not use the words and phrases like abridged, infringed and “guaranteed rights” as suggestions for Government to consider in a case if they wanted to. These are prerequisite/condition precedents to having SMJ Adjudication.

Guaranteed rights **must be considered prerequisite/condition precedents** of a Court’s adjudicative SMJ, and if not they become mere suggestions that the Court may

222

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

follow if it decides it wants to. If in the latter, situation, the litigants guaranteed rights can easily be violated, especially on purpose, as in P-Appellant’s Murdaugh-style case, and the litigant loses the case on predetermined and ludicrous actions and outcomes by the trial court and their RACKETEERS stealing P-Appellants award, ala Murdaugh, as a part of their Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, “to heck” with Due Process, Fraud on the Court by the Court and so forth, because the Courts have moved them from having prerequisite/condition precedent position in determining who has SMJ Adjudicative Power to being just an afterthought/suggestion that they court may ignore because there is no penalty against the Judges for doing same.

If this SMJ Adjudicative process is ignored, and these key Constitutional Guarantees are not prerequisite/condition precedents to such SMJ, then the litigant who has lost based on Constitutional rights being afterthoughts and suggestions, is faced with limited opportunities to receive its alleged “guarantee rights” if at all, while being forced to go before Courts, in P-Appellants case, that are assisting Murdaugh in his FEIGNED/COLLUDED APPEAL that is being challenged by P-Appellants. Then the P-Appellant will have to Re-fight the Courts for its alleged “guaranteed rights” that they Appeals Court has decided are mere suggestions to be manipulated, and P-Appellants attempts to present the evidence that is needed to be reviewed by what should have been a Prerequisite/condition precedent Neutral Court, but instead with the very government who has violated those optional, “suggested rights”. . .guaranteed in name only, and the

223

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

RACKETEERS get to decide their own liability or guilt once again (just like the trial court did). Additionally, P-Appellants will be allegedly “heard” without neutrality, where the favorable standards of review are greatly slanted to the state, due to the Appeals/Supreme Courts fixing the case so the Murdaugh crimes in P-Appellants case will never be spoken, as part of the Oligarchy’s total bypass of Guaranteed Rights that cannot be infringed upon or abridged but completely are by these Unconstitutional machinations.

And like the SC Appeals Court in P-Appellant’s case, they have tried to disguise any Orders, or not make Orders at all, and sometimes claim there is an Order when there is not, or they use the wrong rules to justify and hide real law (often using behind SCARC 221 which does not even apply), to avoid giving P-Appellants Due Process and their “Guaranteed Prerequisite/condition precedent Rights” to Present a Full Appeal, but instead, it is a suggestion of the right to meaningful due process” that is not treated as a Right but as an option for the Courts to consider or not consider at their whim. Since stolen money is involved, like in Murdaugh, the Courts are choosing not to give these optional guaranteed rights.

These bad faith court strategies by government courts of alleged limited power, also are allowing Courts to stop the litigant from bringing forth the exorbitant numbers of Constitutional Violations and Predetermined outcome attempts that the Trial Court planned and attempted to execute repeatedly in RACKETTERING with the others (See Ex. 1), with no basis in law or facts, that the Appeals and also this Supreme Court has already

224

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

covered for this 10th Cir., Trial Court set of RACKETEERING Long Cons, like in the Murdaugh case, under Due Process “suggestions” because these guaranteed rights are not being treated as prerequisite/condition precedents to a Court, which would deny them adjudicative SMJ, and protect Litigants guaranteed rights, but for the Courts are making and erasing the Constitution to protect their LMCE rather than the public. They should not even have the power to hear a case under SMJ Adjudication, like Murdaugh or P-Appellants. See Ex. 1.

This Supreme Court even wrote a most biased and angry Order to P-Appellants in its Order answering P-Appellant’s Writ of Mandamus Ex. 9, where the Court was basically claiming no evidence was presented to them, when the evidence P-Appellants provided in an extreme rush due to the lack of due process and sudden rush to judgment was happening in the lower court while P-Appellants were being further physically abused against the ADA and Elder Abuse and Exploitation laws (Ex. 6), and the evidence necessary was shocking and self-evident (the judge cannot call an Evidentiary hearing Sua Sponte, with 20 pages including exhibits giving 10 days for P-Appellants to respond in an ambush, on about 162 documents filed in good faith to stonewalling of Defense/judges, just because the lawyers ran away because the Murdaugh-style RACKETEERING was exposed by P-Appellants in their case and P-Appellants award had been stolen.

Further, the Evidentiary hearing is for clarity of an issue not to end a 4 year case and steal the jury from P-Appellants right after the 12b6 failed again by Defense who all quit but

one. The case could not be dismissed right after P-Appellants won their Motions to Dismiss and entered judgment against Defense for many defaults that were hidden by P-Appellants colluding Plaintiffs attorney who was fired. And Evidentiary hearings cannot be called while not allowing Discovery, Depositions, Subpoenas, and witnesses, for 4 years, which all are extremely obvious evidence, and shows a true lack of neutrality, but it showed also that the Supreme Court and Appeals Court were not neutral and had financial and other interests in this Murdaugh-Style case of P-Appellants at the trial court level, just as Mullen had interests in her little settlement conference she could not hold, and where the Supremes and Appeals Courts are now hearing both cases, Murdaugh and P-Appellants Murdaugh 2.0 cases, with prerequisite/condition precedent Due Process for Murdaugh, but mere suggestive Due Process denied in P-Appellant’s matter on very similar facts that expose the SC Oligarchy, using its LMCE to process and profit through exploitation of its Public to steal awards and so forth). See Ex. 8, and argument.

Further, in the Writ of Mandamus Ex. 9, the Supremes put their alleged duties to their employees involved in this RACKETEERING, which comes under civil employment law, and criminal actions of Government Employees, over Constitutional duty to the litigants, and even defending a Judge in issue, where evidence abounds against he and the others involved, where his wife was the expert Dr. Maddox in the Murdaugh case, suggesting Murdaugh had no money to be found, which was not true under that narrative.

The Supreme Court continued to abuse P-Appellants with a suggestion that P-Appellants should quit their case, if they were worried about their health, in response to ADA reasonable accommodations that are mandatorily granted by Federal Law. . .it is not a discretionary issue, just like prerequisite/condition precedent Constitutional guarantees of the People are not discretionary. . . and the continued extreme Elder Abuse and Exploitation perpetrated against P-Appellants on review, after the government and agents/proxies stole P-Appellant’s Award, ala Murdaugh, with some of the same or related players involved in both will not be properly reviewed when the Courts are not accountable for having to get power from the Constitution and not just because they took it through this paper Coup creating an Oligarchy, not subject to the Constitution or any Accountability, Integrity or Transparency.

With the Court making such prerequisite/condition precedent guaranteed rights of the People sheer mere “suggestions,” the Court has effectively abridged and infringed on the litigants Constitutional Rights through this erasing of SMJ Adjudication conditions of exercising power, necessary for the Court to even be a part of the matter whether in hearing the case or in its decision.

All of these Challenges under SMJ and Fraud on the Court, including by the Court, are matters all challenged, as a matter of great Public Concern, that also relates to P-Appellant’s own case “Murdaugh 2.0, and moves a **Neutral Court**, without special interests, to strike the argument and any findings as void *ab initio*, regarding the February

227

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

11, 2026 hearing in the above-referenced appeals, any Orders given, and states the following as grounds thereto.

Judges must be in total agreement with the honoring of constitutional authority that must be Constitutionally granted to them **BEFORE** they ever hear a case and **BEFORE** they ever make an opinion on the case. The Oath of holding office is not mere words, it is commitment to following the Constitution(s) and defendant it in spirit and letter and in good faith.

In SC, the Constitution(s) of State/Federal define what a Constitutional government and Courts must possess within their make-up, that starts with them swearing an oath to defend the Constitution(s) to hold office. Without truly making this oath, the Court cannot initiate and utilize their otherwise assigned powers to their duties, i.e., a Court must have Constitutional Power to exercise that power, and that includes hearing and deciding cases, upon genuinely swearing to uphold the Constitution(s).

For the Courts to exercise any Constitutional power, which power is limited by the Constitution, the list of items of Constitutional law that must be sworn to as a condition of eligibility to receive or be denied power based on the circumstances. This oath cannot just be sworn to in word, under their condition of holding the office, but being held as a sincerely held belief that a judicial selection will perform these duties in good faith. Judges/government must be acting only to exercise that Constitutional power granted under these conditions, that they swore to and they are:

228

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

1. **THE OATH ITSELF:** They have taken an honest and good faith Oath to Defend the Constitution(s) (U.S./SC). This cannot be an exercise or check the box process. It is not ceremonial, but a condition of holding office, Art. VI § 5; Judges are additionally bound under Article V. The Constitution was ignored which then started the Civil War, in that these rebels did not have SMJ to start a war of the states as the remedy to their disagreements with Northerners.

The Civil War’s first proclamation of war and its first shots, were Unconstitutional acts by SC, where no SMJ Adjudication existed for SC to act in such Unconstitutional and **DEFIANT** ways, where no authority was given to the State under the Federal or State Constitutions to act in a way other than under the Structural Constitutional laws in place, just like the People are all held to.

This **Defiant** act was considered in Post-Civil War times, as **insurrection** in SC Government/Judges/Aristocrats **purposely not following their Oaths of Office** to Defendant the Constitutions. As a result this crime of insurrection was addressed in the Constitution under U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 3 (14th Amendment) to stop such insurrection by State Government and those who are still running it, who insists on acting with insurrection, which not only includes open coups like in 1863, but also includes giving the appearance of a Constitutional Republic on its face, but acting like a Oligarchy in its practice through deception and perception, and making Constitutional Structural Provisions unenforceable by reclassifying them and **ignoring SMJ Adjudication**, which is

229

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

far more important to protect the Public from its “servants of alleged limited power,” than its protection of SMJ by Topic and pretending this is the only type of SMJ.

Please take note, on the Supreme Court hearing of 2/11/26 that was not permitted by Constitutional law, (Ex. 2-5), the Defense attorney opened with his Oath of Office Speech to justify a new trial for Murdaugh. See Ex. 2-5, and *infra*. Although the genuineness of the speech is in question due to the Feigned/Colluded proceedings, the fact that the oath of office is that important, is agreed upon. Harpootlian knew the Constitutional Challenge was filed, and Fraud on the court by the Court. . .and that Oaths are not mere exercises, but statements to protect guaranteed rights of all. . .not feign cases with other Aristocrats/Groomed Elite.

2. There must be a REAL **Separation of Powers that exists in SC Government/Courts** themselves: S.C. Constitution, Art. I § 8. This is clearly not the **case in SC as argued and this can be seen by the Judicial Merit Selection Committee and all its alleged improvements including an Unconstitutional Bill going through the Legislature** now that from **Ex. 1, shows its intent to give a perception of fairness**, that does not solve the problem known by the SC Government as it continues to kick the can regarding Judicial Selections for perhaps years to come if this is not corrected by the People.

3. **There must be real checks and balances within the Judiciary itself and all accountability must be in the sole control of the People, not fellow**

230

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Aristocrats/Groomed Elite, with very harmful punishments for those violating their oaths that they must evaluate each time BEFORE they are to hear a case, as otherwise they have no power to do so.

4. **Due Process of Law**, S.C. Constitution, Art. I § 3. The existence of Due Process of law, is not only for after the case has been ruined by Judges/lawyers railroading a case first and then the litigant has no chance at fair appeals in a captured state like SC. The issue is first examined **BEFORE** a Court can take SMJ Adjudication authority, as the Structure of the Constitution must be present and followed and the real Oath, which is not an exercise, but a mandatory duty to swear to uphold and defend the Constitution **BEFORE** taking office, to follow the required **neutral decision making and provide other such protections** discussed in the Memorandum BEFORE the case is ever heard.

As examples, of why the Supreme Court is structurally not a Constitutional Court that can grant these Judges Constitutional power, Judges cannot exercise authority in cases where at least in part, a court system is captured meaning: There is no neutrality, which means there is not judicial power granted under the SMJ Adjudication requirement of the Constitution, which means the case could not be heard, nor Orders given by these Courts. This is just one of many examples given in this Motion as mere examples, not as Causes of Action that need to be heard elsewhere. P-Appellants know where this is going next if not properly resolved. **These are examples/evidence of the Court not being permitted to exercise SMJ adjudication power.**

231

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Further, the Judges cannot preside over or judge cases THAT ARE NOT JUSTICIABLE (not in controversy, Collusion involving the judges/lawyers), nor in cases that are giving a predetermined outcomes, nor in cases where sham adversarial processes exist, nor in cases where the Judges presiding over the case are a part of the underlying case/fraud on the court in the sham case that they are hearing to include using fellow Aristocrats as a part of their cover up of the real crimes not heard but hidden as a part of their Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE).

P-Appellants own Murdaugh 2.0 case being buried by the SC Appeals and this SC Supreme Court at this same time, to keep more of what really happened in the Murdaugh case a secret, because it involves some of the same players, performing the same scams against P-Appellants, and stealing their Awards, with the help of a different carrier, Allianz Corporate & Specialty Insurance (Allianz), under what is called Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRF). (Ex. 1).

Instead of the 14th Cir. being at the hub, the 10th Cir. Anderson County Judges are in issue with key connections and Aristocratic family connections to the 14th Cir. Judges Rivers Lawton McIntosh, Jesse Cordell Maddox, Jr., Robert Scott Sprouse, 1st Cir. Heath P. Taylor (Becky Hiers Hill/ Laffitte judge), Lawyer Legislator Justin Bamberg, Clerk of Court C. Loureena Thomason, Scheduling Clerk Elizabeth Beasley, Solicitor General David R. Wagner, Lawyers and firms from McAngus, Goudelock & Courie, LLC., and Grier Cox and Crenshaw, LLC., and many State Actors and Proxies

for same, are all involved with a growing list or RACKETEERS to include, but not limited to, this Supreme and Appeals Court, the SC Bar and William Blitch, the Committee on Judicial Conduct, the Judicial Merit Selection Committee, the Attorney General, the Governor, and all of the Murdaugh players, to include their carriers, Judge Mullen, Judge Odom, and others, who have created a RACKETEERING Enterprise under the RICO Act, creating a LEGAL MACHINE CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE (LMCE) with Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0, the **Asbestos Docket**, many Inheritance/Probate thefts/Conservatorships/guardians/ representatives, the unlawful and Unconstitutional Arrests of those fighting this LMCE, and other cases P-Appellants have investigated while they were investigating their own matter. (Reminder. . .this is used as an example only for SMJ Adjudication, and then a separate argument is made where these claims must be evaluated as SMJ Adjuration prerequisite/condition precedents under guaranteed rights analysis that a Judge must agree to honor and respect and follow). **Mistakes in this area can be corrected after the fact, by an honest court who continues to accept SMJ Power ONLY by following real due process, including mistakes genuinely made on more rare issues, , and isn't just harmless error either which isn't just a notice and a right to be heard, but must be meaningful notice and hearing, with real answers from the opposition not the Court playing prosecutor, and then a reasonable, common sense and legally sufficient Order with right to question and reconsider for the losing party**

without procedural trickery by using SCARC rule 221(c)no matter how irrelevant it is, and while ignoring inherent power to right the wrong.

It is sheer fiction for any Court to believe that they have SMJ to Adjudicate Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0 when they are two of the same mirror cases involved in SC State’s (not just Judges or retired Judges), Plan Pattern and Practice (two continuous acts with a 10-year period. . .a Pattern) , of stealing awards, including, but not limited to P-Appellant’s case itself and its relationship also to other **Personal Injury** Awards in SC, (including the **Asbestos Docket** Awards traveling through Delaware, and the Murdaugh mirror image, Long Cons described herein briefly, and other cases of Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud), **Inheritances, Property, Liberties** and so forth from the People, by baiting them into the Courts under the false belief that they will get proper access and be able to redress grievances with Due Process, Equal Protection, Separations of Powers, all before neutral Constitutional Courts/Judges in real cases in controversy, with all believing in their Oaths to uphold the Constitutions, and then, instead, the State using this LEGAL MACHINE CRIMINAL ENTERPIRSE, run by the Aristocrats and their Groomed Elite/Proxies, under color of law to divest the innocent litigants of their Awards and so forth, through these criminal activities involving the LMCE, using IF/IRF scams, Tomb Raider strategies, and other scams well identified by those who have spent a fair time in the legal system. **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.**

And with the Governments’ lack of Accountability, Integrity and Transparency, through their self-policing, their own disciplinary secret process, capture of all positions that could hold them accountable, Unconstitutional Immunity, Judicial Merit Selection Committee and all its alleged “improvements” and bills, that are perceptions of accountability not coming from reality which Feigned/Colluded steps of such “improvements” are actually further acts to protect the LMCE.

Obviously, Judges involved with their State Actors and others in this Oligarchy disguised as a Constitutional government, do not have Subject Matter Jurisdiction for the Adjudicating on these cases, especially with the additional summary of many more facts that show in Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0, that there is no neutrality in these Courts, with just a few examples where many more could have been listed, as the Court/Government is well aware. More examples are/will be listed in other Actions P-Appellants have sent or are preparing to be filed in other venues because these Courts do not want to submit to the law and make things right for all affected, and make major changes to the system so the People can be in more control of their government that is supposed to serve them not victimize them. Please recall that **Mistakes** in this area of due process or other prerequisite/condition precedent rights during the SMJ Adjudicative evaluations before a case can be heard by that judge, and these after the fact real mistakes that are not part of a pattern of judicial abuse, or otherwise would have caused that Judge to recuse, can be corrected after the fact, by an honest court that continues to accept SMJ Power ONLY by

235

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

following real due process which isn't just a notice and a right to be heard, but must be meaningful notice.

5. **Equal Protection of the Laws**, S.C. Constitution, Art. I § 3. To include no favoritism to the Aristocratic/Groomed Elite classes.

6. **Cases Not In Controversy (not justiciable)**: A Court cannot hear a Case that is not in Controversy, but only those that are adversarial, regarding a genuine legal matter(s). The case, its litigants, lawyers, or Judges, and not permitted to hear or be involved in a Feigned/Colluded case, especially if the court is a part of the Feigned/Colluded case.

7. **Open Courts & Access to Courts**, S.C. Constitution, Art. I § 9. These include Transparency, access to filings, Public Orders, and No secret adjudicatory mechanisms, among other things. P-Appellants have too many examples of these violations on a regular basis, proving that Open and Access Courts are a perception only.

In fact, as described infra, this Unconstitutional Murdaugh hearing was started with Judge Kittredge stating that this is an Open Court, while hiding the filing on P-Appellants challenge on SMJ, which was not filed for two additional days and not told to the Public audience that was present in further attempts to make sure their was no open and Transparent Court with access for all, including P-Appellants who filed. Furthermore, the filing was purposely mislabeled which a Notice of corrections was filed with the Court for the Clerk to file this properly.

236

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

These other intentional errors are not correctable and caused by court malfeasance. . .not Open, Transparent Courts. If the courts are neutral, they should not mind a person to bring up an issue, because they would have no interest other than getting the matter correct, according to their Oaths that are supposed to be genuine, not just words.

8. **Neutrality/Impartial Judiciary** under *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510 (1927). *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868 (2009). These are two of the strongest structural impartiality cases in American Constitutional Law. Although the Judge was "on the take," there is even a structural "risk" of bias included in SJM Adjudication. The facts provided go far beyond a "risk" and this is known by the Supreme Court before P-Appellants ever filed a SMJ Challenge, and in the Supreme Court actually hearing and deciding extremely unconstitutionally a Writ of Mandamus that P-Appellants filed to help them from extremely self-evident legal abuse at the lower Court.

Instead, the Supreme Court decided to insult the victims further, claim there is no evidence provided, when the fact of an evidentiary hearing being called to end the case when the courts fellow RACKETEERS could not get the case dismissed, while their was no discovery allowed, no depositions permitted, and the Supreme Court quashed all subpoenas and witnesses, and even suggested that if P-Appellants were worried about their ADA Qualifying Disabilities they should not have subpoenaed people (judges, lawyers, Judicial Committee on Discipline, the SC Bar, etc., that threatened the Courts if they had to

237

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

testify). So P-Appellants should have just given up if bullies from the Court were physically destroying them while ignoring the most basic Rules of Civil Procedure for a Predetermined win was the Supreme Court's decision. Further, they claimed that they had a higher duty to their "employees" than to their Constitutional Duties to provide those headings herein listed, ADMITTING THEY HAD NO DUTIES TO THE LITIGANTS TO FOLLOW THEIR OATHS BUT ONLY TO THEIR EMPLOYEES . . . AND DEFENDANTING THEIR JUDGES WHO STOLE P-APPELLANTS AWARD AND WERE TRYING TO END THE CASE WITHOUT THE CASE EVERY BEING LITIGATED ON MERITORIOUS FACTS THAT IMPLICATED THE JUDGES, JUST LIKE MURDAUGH. . . IN MIRROR FACTS. Ex 9 and supra.

From this abuse of power that the Constitution doesn't give to the Supremes or any other of its courts that are a part of the LMCE, RACKETEERING Judge McIntosh took it upon himself to not allow P-Appellants any filings that could further implicate the Judges/Lawyers/ Allianz and his other RACKETEERS from being implicated. . .those filings are not a part of the record because they were blocked by McIntosh, and others parts of the record were also removed, obstructing justice due to this decision by the SC Supreme Courts, even ignoring ADA Mandates that are not discretionary causing even more Constitutional Question for review, which the Appeals Court is trying to hide in its failure to rule upon those motions or in calling the motions something different, not filing them and so forth (See where the Appeals Court has hired a lawyer who is partners with **Becky Laffitte**. . .yes of the **Laffitte** who was hired to write P-Appellants a letter telling them what

238

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

she felt the Judges decided on P-Appellants motion for ADA clarification of an alleged Order that didn't exist, which is their Constitutional right to get clarity from vague Orders.

By then the Judges had lied too many times, and were too afraid to write and sign an Order with an opinion as they ran out any further denials of a Order being in place when it didn't exist. They Appeals Court was cornered on refusing to give ADA Reasonable Accommodations that would give P-Appellants more time and pages to actually describe and cover all the corruption in the lower court with their appeal (Meaningful Due Process and a right to present a Full Appeal Presentation). . .unlike the many hundreds of pages Murdaugh and the State were permitted without any mandatory ADA Disabilities that forced the Appeals/Supremes to comply with the request where it was the least restrictive means available, and with P-Appellants record being much bigger than the Murdaugh record due to the massive amounts of evidence P-Appellants collected without the attorneys who were all stonewalling including P-Appellants own attorney caught on recording colluding with the others and the Judges to destroy P-Appellants case for a predetermined outcome for Judges/Defense/Allianz insurance.

This selective prosecution of P-Appellants, while doing all it can to help Murdaugh, even with fake appealable issues by kin Becky Heir Hill, are all **used just as examples of the lack of SMJ Adjudication power these Courts actually have when they are a part of a SC State LMCE through oligarchical rule and not through Constitutional Law.**

There is no Constitutional provision of power for giving these black robed persons any Constitutional Adjudication power. **None.** This is what the framers were worried about when they argued over Federalists v. Anti-Federalist positions. . .arbitrary power and usurpation of the Constitution. . .and in both arguments neither of these could acquire SMJ Adjudicative power in principle, which applies to their arguments for Federal and State creating and using a perception of Constitutionality while structurally operating as an Oligarchy.

9. **Lawful Jurisdictions (SMJ and PJ), including Constitutional Authority, Procedural compliance and Neutral adjudicatory capacity.** This is being described herein with examples of how SC is not set up for such legitimacy in the Courts involved in Murdaugh, Murdaugh 2.0, and many other cases briefly mentioned herein.

10. **Accountability Mechanisms through Constitutional systems requirements, including Ethical Enforcement, Legislative Checks and Balances and Public Transparency.** P-APPELLANT is covering these criteria here and in the argument and through **Ex. 1** and other Exhibits. But there is no question, SC does not provide for these structural Constitutional requirements to be operating under constitutional law.

P-Appellants will explain these further in the memorandum, and what breakdowns and state capture by a non-Constitutional Government looks like that affects whether a Court can even hear a case, in this writing, specifically Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0 as they are mirror images of what happened in Murdaugh that was never investigated, and where

240

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Murdaugh 2.0 is being buried by shenanigans of these Unconstitutional Courts to hide their financial interests, and the crimes of Murdaugh that will expose the entire **Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise** (LMCE) that is operating through this Oligarchy posing as a Constitutional government/court for its own nefarious purposes, which the Constitution has never permitted but actually guarded against such Oligarchies.

Because these Constitutional provisions must be exercised and upheld by oaths of government offices, the oath must be taken very seriously, and the punishment great for violations of same, otherwise government Capture would be worth the risk/reward provided and this capture would be common place as criminals look for easy and repeated business crimes as two of the greatest types of crimes where there is no punishment or the punishment is very limited and protected by a LMCE.

In the United States (hereinafter, U.S.) and South Carolina (hereinafter, SC), there are two parts/types of Subject Matter Jurisdiction (hereinafter, “SMJ”): *Topical SMJ* and *Adjudicative SMJ*. **P-Appellants focus on the second in this matter, Adjudication SMJ**, as structural violation of the Constitution that cannot be cured and all actions taken by the Court are void ab initio.

Within the powers the Constitution grants Constitutional government courts, described above and more in the memorandum, as this Court knows, those Judges that qualify as Constitutional Judges generally, which could change at any time, must then jump

over the hurdle of being qualified to hear specific cases based on Subject Matter Jurisdiction which is the “grand-daddy” of all U.S./State Jurisprudence.

It is not enough to wear a black robe and walk and quack like a Constitutional Judge. SMJ is the Constitutional master of all limitations over government’s legitimate exercise of power, whether in the Legislative, Executive or Judicial Branch of the U.S./SC. In Adjudication over the litigation and litigants before it, Judges must work within and only within this framework, and the Constitutional limits assured the People that they will be governed and adjudicated by the government ONLY within the confines of the U.S. and State Constitutions that gives it the power to act. Otherwise, no government power exists to take such action. And this is one provision of how the People oversee their government servants.

If Judges have no Constitutional authority or power to adjudicate under the U.S./SC Constitutions, than the Judges have no authority to hear or make decisions on that matter and they can be stopped at any time by anyone with specific interests, like P-Appellants, including public concern interests like P-Appellants, and where the State or Defendant’s interest, like in **Murdaugh**, involves how this adjudication has and continues to negatively affect P-Appellants own justiciable case that is not due to the Courts and agents action (lack of case in controversy), like P-Appellant’s case²² before the SC Appeals Court on

²² P-Appellants case, Dr. Linda Kennedy and Dr. Marsha Fink v. Lake Hartwell RV Resort and Cabins, LLC., et al (intentionally wrongfully styled by the Appeals Court with out Dr. titles of P-Appellants/P-Appellants to attack P-Appellants on credibility and to try to use a prior

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Unconstitutional issues in the underlying proceedings that help prove Murdaugh’s case is a Feigned/Colluded litigation where all parties/Court were on the same side, and thus this was not a legitimate case in controversy.

The SC Appeals Court is purposely breaking all rules of due process, equal protection, Right to Redress, Open Courts/Transparency, weaponizing ADA disabilities, and even using outside lawyers using fake state titles, to write letters of correspondence to P-Appellants about the alleged Judges opinions because the Court of Appeals knows how far removed from any legality and legitimacy of SMJ Adjudication they have strayed, and all the improper review they are providing and they can’t even write or sign a real order that can be justified by any opinion regarding the truth. So they hired a lawyer to provide opinions in letter form which is not an Order. And this lawyer and her firm are practicing as Judges who are not making them further RACKETEERS. These are only meant as examples of what happens when the SMJ Adjudication is compromised to such a degree that there will be no power granted these Judges to be willing and able to Constitutionally rule on these matters for P-Appellants Murdaugh 2.0 case and also in the Murdaugh case itself, where the state is trying very hard to give Murdaugh that new trial and then a new game begins for how to get Aristocrat Murdaugh off so he does not face his crimes or does so in a very limited way (see

complaint that was legally erased by SCRCF 15, relation back doctrine that helps P-Appellants win, and helps Trial Court Judges, Lawyers, Allianz insurance and other State Actors and Proxies lose if the Appeals Court recognizes what happened in the case and rulings that were made to relate the case back as P-Appellants describe herein).

243

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Aristocrat Laffitte model for an example of what is coming in the Murdaugh case, unless these Courts are stopped).

This **Murdaugh 2**, case is extremely relevant to the Murdaugh case, because it shows what really happened in Murdaugh, rather than the State/Court/Defense version that protects them and their LMCE, and is being buried by the Supreme and Appeals Courts, (and Trial Court), at the same time Murdaugh’s mirror image case is being heard by the Supreme with all of these State ACTORS looking very concerned about following the Constitution even if they are allegedly claiming to hold their noses that provides ALLEGED Justice to Murdaugh. They must convince the public they are against anything that would allow such a bad person to get another bite of the apple or even be freed because they don’t want to spend the money if he is already in jail trick (see criminal Laffitte and how his sentence was continually reduced through cooperation between Laffitte family friend, U.S. Prosecutor Limehouse, and Governor Henry Dargan McMaster a Laffitte family Dynasty member **Ex 1**).

This litigation charade that occurred in the Trial Court and was further brought forth by the Supreme Court which did not heed the SMJ Adjudicative Challenge filed and reviewed by them before they came to the stage to hear arguments, is all Feigned/Colluded process for the Public, and Feigned/Colluded concern for a Constitution that is not being followed in SC by any of its government offices, and the Murdaugh case, as seen in this light will help the public understand why it knows something is wrong but they can’t quite

244

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

place their finger on it. This and **Ex 1.**, is the beginning of the People’s understanding of what is exactly wrong with SC Justice/Government, so that the People understand they are being played by Aristocrats/Elites judging Aristocrats/Elites in more ways than is known from these first pages, as will be seen just as a **sample** herein and in **Ex. 1**, as there is much more to be exposed at another time.

Needless to say, **Murdaugh 2.0, P-Appellant’s case**, that tells on Murdaugh and the Courts/Government’s **Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE)**, for profit, is being handled by intentional sabotage, rather than how the Court/LMCE is handling a criminal, Murdaugh who the Government is trying to save as a fellow Aristocratic/Elite Rule in SC’s LMCE to be discussed. **Selective prosecution** due to these **financial and other interests of the State of SC**, that need P-Appellant’s case to be buried in secret, and the Murdaugh case to be victorious, is much more than an example of a single Judge who is corrupt and/or mentally impaired. These illustrations are mere examples of the result of the Courts willingness to ignore and hide SMJ Adjudicative, limited power for these Judges to hear such cases.

It is the SC State’s Unconstitutional **illusion to the People that it is operating under a** Constitutional Republic government, when it is actually running an Oligarchy style structure of government that does not comply with its illusion provided to the People, that it puts forward, which results in its **structural constitutional violations**. These violations are serving in this Motion as **examples** of how such **Unconstitutional defiance** in ignoring

245

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

such limitations on its SMJ Adjudicatory power, that is allowing such State unlawful financial and other Unconstitutional interests to thrive and be successful, through these violations, while ignoring and violating the Constitutional Republic for which it stands and for which it gains its only power/authority to act. Constitutional power granted does not include criminal or other exploitations of the People for its own profit and that of its members, through its Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, that involves Murdaugh, Laffitte and many others involved in the Murdaugh case that were purposely not investigated to protect SC State Government involved.

The entire SC State’s blatant ignoring and defiance of its Constitutionally limited power/authority is the actual focus of this writing that deprives the People of Adjudication neutrality and fairness, created by the State’s lack of Adjudication SMJ that puts every person in SC at risk and is destroying P-Appellant’s own case because it tells the truth of much of what happened in the Murdaugh frauds and gives further understanding of the alleged Murders that are being heard in the Murdaugh case, while ignoring the SMJ Adjudication Challenge that must be heard before a Judge goes any farther. Further, because this challenge involved the Supreme Court itself, they could not be the ones to hear such important motion, but did review it before the Murdaugh case, refused to file it in Court and heard the Murdaugh case anyway without a word about this challenge, hiding these truths within from the Public.

This Argument is a Structural argument based on the US/SC Constitutions and case law that has determined the clear language of the meaning of how a State or Federal Court must act within their Constitutional Authority as a Constitutional Republic, with the facts herein provided, in **Ex #1** and within this document.

The facts and other evidence herein and in **Ex. 1**, shows the Oligarchical Structure of the State government and its courts, and the behavior of the perpetrators, itself not a Structural matter in issue, but is being used as evidence of the State using its positions in Government to create an **ILLUSION** of a Constitutional Republic, which through its **structural constitutional violations, is intentionally** operating as an **Oligarchy**, with the State’s own bad and criminal acts again, used as evidence only, and are part of the self-evident improper creation and exercise of such an Unconstitutional government covered in the illusion of a Constitutional Republic, when actually operating an Oligarchy through their LMCE, which means that the Constitution deprives this Court of having SMJ Adjudication power over this case and over the P-Appellants case also.

These arguments presented herein and more illustrations that the South Carolina Supreme Court **lacks subject matter jurisdiction** (*Adjudication* SMJ) over the Murdaugh matter (and the same result applies to all higher Courts in P-Appellant’s own **Murdaugh 2.0** mirror image case²³ being Unconstitutionally buried in the Appeals Court to hide these truths), because the tribunal is **structurally incapable of exercising lawful judicial**

²³ (Dr.) Linda Kennedy & (Dr.) Marsha Fink v. Lake Hartwell RV Resort and Cabins, LLC, et. al.

authority according to the Constitutional SMJ Adjudicative authority granted or denied by the Constitution.

Under the facts of this Murdaugh case (and Murdaugh 2.0 also), the court is operating outside the framework of a **Constitutional Republic**, in violation of multiple constitutional guarantees that illustrate some of the many constitutional rights of the people, and case law, and so forth), through its **structural constitutional violations** discussed as examples that make the SMJ Adjudication self-evident, and thus SC government is **Structurally Captured by SC aristocratic/elite networks**, whose long-term and continued interests conflict with impartial adjudication, that can only be used through the structural defects in Government/Courts in SC, that have led to a **Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, (LMCE)**, operating under color of law, out of SC government and its members, to include exposure under the **RICO ACT and other Federal Acts**. **But again, this is proof of the SMJ Adjudication problem that these Oligarchical courts have purposely and intentionally ignored and these proofs are not causes of action for purposes of this writing, as the issue is squarely on the lack of SMJ Adjudication.**

One of MANY glaring pieces of evidence that support just one part of the evidence of the SC Structural Capture by the Aristocrat/Elite, (**See Ex. 1**) is clearly shown, in the Murdaugh case at hand (and the mirror Image **Murdaugh 2.0** case being Unconstitutionally buried in the Appeals Court, when they are taken together especially).

248

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

First, regarding the Aristocratic/Elite oligarchy rule, the evidence is self-evident when as one example, is the Murdaugh Dynasty itself, that was given a 100-year State-Blessed, **Reign of Terror run by the Murdaugh Dynasty over SC**, that allowed for the entire State of People of SC and elsewhere to be severely traumatized by “**Murdaugh Country-Rule.**” This state-blessing to ignore the Constitution and allow the Murdaugh Dynasty Monarchy/Oligarchy to Rule as it shall, with the public witnessing of just some of the pain of just some of the victims of Murdaugh through the years, and not knowing when/if they were next, defies Constitutional principles and rights of the People, with no way for them to fight the Murdaugh-Country, Monarchy/Oligarchy in place.

Eventually, that participation by the State into these Murdaugh matters through the state run LMCE caught up to the state, and Murdaugh/Laffitte/Fleming/Mullen, Odom, etc., (and others). In this case, and in the mirror **Murdaugh 2.0 case**, the State has chosen to intentionally limit or refuse to investigate or hold accountable the additional perpetrators in Murdaugh (and P-Appellant’s perpetrators), and their heinous crimes and additional heinous crimes and accomplice/co-conspirator acts of other LMCE members appearing to pull off the same scam in both Murdaugh and **Murdaugh 2.0**.

This scam running in the state, and seen in the **14th Cir., SC, involving Murdaugh, Laffitte, Fleming, Mullen, Odom, and to perhaps a very large degree, Palmetto State Bank, PMPED and lawyers, Nautilus Insurance and Lloyds of London Insurance, and MANY OTHERS, and P-Appellants 10th Cir., SC, case and RACKETEERING involving**

249

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

initially Aristocrat Judges Rivers Lawton McIntosh who clerked in Murdaugh Country, trained on **Catastrophic Injury and Wrongful Death claims**, and just married an **Aristocrat Calhoun, Aristocrat Judge Jesse Cordell Maddox, Jr., who married into the Aristocratic Mullen family** (and suddenly announced his **early retirement**), and whose **wife, Dr. Maddox testified in the Murdaugh case** starting the opioid addiction story that **claimed this alleged addiction was how the Murdaugh money disappeared** that was shown not to be true, but was an **attempt to give reason to not look for the Murdaugh money**, Judge **Robert Scott Sprouse** who married a **Aristocratic Stoudemire** and trained in Murdaugh Country on how to handled **Insurance Defense of Catastrophic Injury and Wrongful Death claims**, **Allianz Corporate & Specialty Insurance**, who just paid 13 billion in Criminal Fraud prosecutions, the **Attorney for P-Appellant, Michael B. Dodd (fired)** and the Dodd Law Firm (**fired and recorded communications available showing collusion with Defense and later Judges** in a typical **Insurance Reserve fraud Case**), Defense Attorneys/firms **McAngus Goudelock, & Courie, LLC, (MGC)**, Attorneys **Alan Jones (defaulted** several times then **probation** then **fired** and worked as a **claims adjuster**), **Elizabeth Moore (defaulted, then quit or fired, and worked for a firm for a year then became a claims adjuster with Jones)**, **Aristocrat Elizabeth McDaniel McMillion (quit and joined Nelson Mullins Riley and Scarborough, LLP)**, Attorney **Robert Mebane (defaulted** several times and **stopped showing up to court)**, Attorney **Michael Neubauer (2nd Chair only**, but last man standing on Defense when **Sua Sponte McIntosh took over**

250

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

the prosecution, evidence review, jury, Judge investigator, which Neubauer sat quietly), and Grier, Cox and Crenshaw, LLC, (GCC), **Aristocrat James Cox (defaulted several times, stopped showing up to Court), Aristocrat and family relations with Murdaugh, McIntosh, and Varn Dynasties** and others, **Attorney George Robert Deloach, III**, (who’s grandfather, **George Robert Deloach, Sr., was a 14th Cir. Solicitor with Buster Murdaugh** and **assisted to help Buster in his corruption trial.** Buster Murdaugh was able to evade Federal conviction through he and his associates committing other crimes and frauds, such as bribing and/or threatening witnesses and jurors, and creating a courtroom of chaos, on the Federal Court, in a blatantly Feigned/Colluded case from the 14th Cir. and the Murdaugh Dynasty with total protection from SC Courts/government.

Buster Murdaugh, Sr., 1956 Corruption case, that SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption.”²⁴ And from the same sources unless listed:

- In 1956, it was reported that this Buster case, “. . .**left a visiting federal judge** [Hoffman hearing the Buster Murdaugh case, who has a Norfolk Federal Court named after him] **“stunned at what he saw.”**

And this 1956 Buster corruption case, prompted the editorial board at The News and Courier in Charleston to scold the Courts of SC that: **“A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder.** As this case demonstrated, **“not all South Carolinians are**

²⁴ The Island Packet, by David Lauderdale,
<https://www.islandpacket.com/news/state/article261335987.html#storylink=cpy>

clear on that point. . .Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, ... The conditions are a shame on the state.” A

FEIGNED/COLLUDED CASE.

Long after P-Appellants exposed all of who Deloach was in their case, while they were claiming they had a Murdaugh Mirror Case, but only after they started disclosing who these Attorneys and Judges in their case really were in relation to the scams of Murdaugh, the Attorney in P-Appellant’s case, **Deloach, dropped the case without announcement and ran away. P-Appellants found him in the state of NC acting as “Of Counsel” without notice or approval of the Court or P-Appellants notice and right to be heard.** P-Appellants were stopped from filing suit against the RAKETEERS at the time, by the Trial Judges, illegally. **Ex. 11.** ²⁵ These Mirror image cases showed that it was highly likely that

²⁵ Some of the others involved in the RACKETEERING in P-Appellants case. . .listed more thoroughly in **Ex. 1**, but not completely: Trevor Hughey (who only signed documents but never showed up in Court), State Actor, like Solicitor General David Wagner who announced he would not be running next term and stated he would be dropping his law license but his license was saved by the SC Bar, Anderson Sheriff’s Department, the SC Appeals and SC Supreme Court, Disciplinary Committees for lawyers and Judge Discipline with Aristocrat Willaim Blitch running the highly unlikely prosecutions of both, the Attorney General Alan Wilson, the Governor Henry McMaster, Lawyer-Legislators especially Justin Bamberg who obstructed justice in P-Appellant’s case (who would not respond to questions regarding same), Judge Heath P. Taylor (who ran away and then the Supreme Court selected him to hear Becky Hiers Hill’s plea where she intentionally obstructed justice under whatever claim the state is making.. . to help her Kin Murdaugh receive Appealable issues in this Feigned/Colluded Appeal, and see Taylor and Laffitte also). Clerk of Court Reena Thomason, distanced herself, and **Scheduling Clerk Elizabeth Beasley (fired)** for both getting caught by P-Appellants for hiding Orders and other nefarious acts to help Judges/Lawyers win the RACKETEERING of their case. The list of those being sued at Trial Court that the Court would not Constitutionally allow P-Appellants to file is partially: **SOME OF THE EARLIER RACKETEERS: Allianz**, including with Allianz SIU agent, **Patricia Deamer**, and Claims Adjuster **Barbara Robedee** (hereinafter, “Allianz” or SIU, Claims Adjuster, Deamer and/or Robedee when appropriate), and

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Dodd, Defense Attorneys Alan G. Jones, and Elizabeth Ann “Liz” Moore, Elizabeth McDaniel, McMillian, and later on April 5, 2023, **Richard Mebane, Michael Neubauer** all of McAngus, Goudelock, & Courie, LLC., (hereinafter “Jones, Moore, McMillian Mebane, Neubauer, Defense” and “MGC”), and **James “Trey” Cox, III, G. Richard Deloach, III, and Trevor Hughey** all of **Grier Cox, & Cranshaw, LLC**, (hereinafter, Cox, Deloach, Hughey and/or “GCC”, and collectively ALL Defendant alleged representatives and their firms, “Defense”), and the **Judges J. Cordell Maddox, Jr., R. Lawton McIntosh, R. Scott Sprouse**, (hereinafter, Maddox, McIntosh, Sprouse), **Solicitor General David R. Wagner**, (hereinafter, “Wagner”), Former Detective and Private Eye with and for Wagner, **Jody McCurley** (hereinafter, “McCurley”, father of **D-Appellee Jennifer Burdette**, hereinafter, Burdette), **all Defendants**, and **Court Mediator** put on notice of illegality and RACKETEERING if he proceeded in unlawful settlement of co-Plaintiffs which he did, **Ex. 12**, (hereinafter, “mediator”). Later, **Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamber**, (hereinafter, “Bamberg”), and **Judge Heath P. Taylor** (hereinafter, “Taylor”), became a part of the RACKETEERING by secretly manipulating the law/rules even more, and inserted themselves into P-Appellant’s case to further fix it, with no standing or process/procedure that is legal, but is actually criminal. **Ex.13**.

²⁵ These are later additional RACKETEERS: **Attorney General Alan Wilson** (hereinafter, “AG or Wilson or State Actor”), **Governor Henry D. McMaster** (Governor or McMaster or State Actor), **Anderson County Sheriff’s Department** (“Sheriff(s) or State Actor”), **William Blich** (hereinafter, “Blich” or “State Actor”), **SC Bar** (hereinafter, “SCBar” or “State Actor”), **Virginia State Bar/Virginia** (hereinafter, “VSB” or “State Actor”), **SC Commission on Judicial Conduct** (hereinafter, “CJC” or “State Actor”), **Office of Disciplinary Counsel** (hereinafter, “ODC” or “State Actor”), **Judicial Merit Selection Committee**, (hereinafter, “JMSC” or “State Actor”) **SC Legislature** (“State Actor”), **Lisa M. Scott and the SC Court Reporters Association**, (hereinafter “Ct Reporter” or “State Actor”), and **SC Office of Court Administration and Cathy Loureena (Sosebee, Cooley, Campbell) Thomas**, (“Loureena” and “Admin” or “State Actor”), and **Scheduling Clerk Elizabeth Beasley** (hereinafter, “Scheduling Clerk, Clerk, Beasley” or “State Actor”).

SOME IN THE NEWER GROUP OF KNOWN RACKETEERS: **Chief Judge H. Bruce Williams, Judge Paula H. Thomas, Judge Aphrodite K. Konduros, Judge John D. Geathers, Judge Stephanie P. McDonald, Judge Blake A. Hewitt, Judge Jerry D. Vinson, Jr. (joined 2022), Judge Matthew P. Turner (joined 2024), Judge Kristi F. Curtis (joined 2025),**, all who have waived their judicial immunity.

Chief Justice Donald W. Beatty (served until 2024), **Justice John W. Kittredge** (Associate Justice in 2021; became Chief Justice in 2024), **Justice Kaye G. Hearn** (served until 2022), **Justice John Cannon Few** (continuing), **Justice George C. James, Jr.** (continuing), **Justices Serving After 2021** (2022–Present), **Justice D. Garrison Hill** (joined 2023), **Justice Letitia H. Verdin** (joined 2024), **Current Supreme Court (as of 2026): Chief Justice John W. Kittredge, Justice John Cannon**

253

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Murdaugh was also an Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRF) case also **Ex. 13**.. Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud is described more fully in **Ex. 1** and throughout P-Appellants trial court, appeals court and supreme court, and the Supremes even got upset when the trial judges were asked if they knew what it was so they could not later claim plausible deniability. **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.**

Another, Example of Unconstitutional Structural violations that bar any kind of SMJ Adjudication of the Murdaugh, and the Murdaugh 2.0 cases, is that there are many Aristocratic and groomed Elite connections involved with and appointed by the State, taking part in the Feigned/Colluded cases including the totally Unconstitutional and inappropriate **Laffitte's** involvement in both cases, who continue to appear where they should not be involved since **Russel Laffitte was one of the convicted criminals with Murdaugh.**

This would include Attorney Rebecca Laffitte, related to Russel Laffitte (Cousin and on the board and owning shares at Palmetto State Bank, who actually testified in the matter, and is also a law Partner, with fellow law partner, **Jasmin Smith, of Robinson, Gray, Stepp, and Laffitte, LLC (Laffitte layers/firm),** whom the latter suddenly appeared

Few, Justice George C. James, Jr., Justice D. Garrison Hill, Justice Letitia H. Verdin, all who have waived their immunity.

With recently added Defendants Attorney, Jasmin Smith, and Robinson, Gray Stepp and Laffitte, LLC.

There are more.

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

and obstructed P-Appellant’s own **Murdaugh 2.0** case in the Appeals Court, to attempt to deceitfully pass off Orders from Appeals Court Judges to dismiss **P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0**, and perform other Judicial unlawful activities **under Jasmin Smith’s** name, by writing P-Appellant’s a letter, as a hired lawyer for the Appeals Court, covering for Appeals Judges that could no longer hide their own criminality regarding **Murdaugh 2.0** and their Unconstitutional rulings, and non-rulings, and non-filings using deceit and trickery and outrageous acts seen nowhere in the Rules or Canons. This is beyond criminal, but outlandish and unconscionable along with Unconstitutional.

P-Appellant’s **Murdaugh 2.0** case tells on the Feigned/Colluded Murdaugh case’s true nature and crimes/and most likely criminals, exposes the LMCE itself, and also tells on the 10th Cir., systemic crimes equal to the 14th Cir., showing this IF/IRF problem and the State’s involvement in the actual crimes is systemic (LMCE). **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.**

The Courts/LMCE need P-Appellants and P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case silenced, while Smith tried to make her letter to P-Appellants sound like an Order and signed it herself as if she was the Judge(s) that included, several Obstructions, including removing a case from the record, refusing to file another Motion that needed ruling, misquoting the laws where the Court was already noticed of this purposeful misquote in the names of motions or key quoted example taken as truth rather than an example, to avoid hearing and ruling on certain Constitutional issues, refusing to clearly state an alleged Vague Order by

255

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

law, where there was really no Order at all and the Court was openly lying to P-Appellant's to further frustrate the public of P-Appellant's motion and for their attempts to Constitutionally appeal, and giving P-Appellants a deadline to respond or be dismissed.

All these issues, a Judge has to address, **not Jasmin Laffitte, law partner of Rebecca Laffitte**, CLEARLY LINKING MURDAUGH EVEN MORE TO P-APPELLANTS 2.0 CASE with these further attempts to intimidate P-Appellants to quit. THE MURDAUGH RACKETEERS NEED P-APPELLANTS CASE SILENCED AND BURIED AND THE JUDGES AND OTHERS THEY COVER FOR, LITERALLY HAD TRIED TO BURY P-APPELLANTS THROUGH THEIR PROXIES ACCORDING TO THEM IN WRITTEN AND RECORDED STATEMENTS/ ADMISSIONS. See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.

P-APPELLANTS ARE DEALING WITH VERY DANGEROUS STATE ACTORS WHO USE THE THEIR LMCE COOPTED SYSTEM TO DESTROY LITIGANTS AND STEAL THEIR AWARDS AND SO FORTH, UNDER COLOR OF LAW. This is an Oligarchy, NOT a Constitutional government. See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.

Obviously under any of these circumstances **Jasmin Smith's letter** to P-Appellants, with **fake, state authority, while working for her Laffitte law firm**, See Ex. 1, **is not an Order of the Court, and not appealable**, but further, for the State to send a Laffitte lawyer, knowing the facts of P-Appellants case, is even more outrageous than what she attempted. This was **intended to even further intimidate P-Appellants to stop exposing the Courts**, Murdaugh, and stop insisting that their money stolen by the 10th Cir

256

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

RACKETEERS, be returned in full AND OTHER COMPENSATION GIVEN TO MAKE THEM WHOLE.

And now since P-Appellants know about the **REAL** victims of Murdaugh, they also have to make sure they are acting responsibly as fellow human beings with injured members of the public who have been extremely abused by the State/LMCE, even though P-Appellant's do not represented them in an attorney-client relationship, but are interested in them and the Murdaugh case for reasons stated and how it is affected P-Appellant's case, and as a matter of great Public Concern. That concern is the duty of all men and women who claim to be civilized members of the human race who's hearts have not been severed from the love of money and power at any price.

It's Just Logic: More Aristocratic/Groomed Elite in Common. What are the chances a Laffitte connected attorney ends up on P-Appellant's case as an alleged deputy clerk, while still working at her Laffitte firm where **Jasmin Smith**, is a **law partner with Rebecca Laffitte (Robinson Gray Stepp Laffittee, P.A.)**? And what are those chances when P-Appellant's are claiming Murdaugh and Laffitte's cases are Feigned/Colluded and P-Appellants case is being buried in order to save Aristocrats Murdaugh (and Laffitte)? With the understanding that P-Appellant's have been yelling “Murdaugh 2.0” in notices to government and the Courts for a long time. . .the chances of this happening are very small, unless intentional attempts to intimidate and further fix their case is an issue, which it is. This is just logic.

257

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

And what are the chances that these same Laffitte’s would touch so many areas of the Murdaugh case, other than being a co-Defendant? Would Laffittes appear on the Victims side, helping victims without their knowledge or the Public’s knowledge? Would a Court allow that to happen? Would a Plaintiff’s attorney allow that to happen? It’s just logic.

Of course, there are Laffitte’s (Phi Beta Kappa own, Jessica W. Laffitte, who is a **PARTNER** AT the Receivers firm, **GWB**, and Sr. Attorney, H. Lucius Laffitte (who suddenly left the state after P-Appellant’s confronted the Laffitte’s at **GWB/Receivers** and Jasmin Smith, Partner, trying to obstruct P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case). These attorneys from Gallivan White Boyd P.A, (**GWB**), and a former Laffitte attorney, Attorney Amy L. B. Hill (Landry-Beverly), of **GWB Laffitte’s firm** , defending the Receivers, now working at **GWB**, but previously from Laffitte’s and Jasmin Smith’s firm, who then moved to **GWB**, all appointed on the Murdaugh case to be the **RECIEVER TEAM**, which are total **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BY THE firms**, and the **SUPREME COURT AND ITS COURTS/JUDGES TO NOT STOP SUCH OBVIOUS CONFLICTS OF INTEREST MIXING LAFFITTE’S WITH MURDAUGH MATTERS WHERE THE RECEIVERS**

ARE ALLEGEDLY PROTECTING THE VICTIMS RECOVERY.²⁶ (Alleged Motions claim that Attorney Mark Tinsley (Beach case) specifically asked for these conflicted out attorneys and the judges said nothing about the obvious conflicts nor did Tinsley). **Why would Attorney Tinsley ask for such conflicts of interests to oversee the most important duty to his client when he knows who they are? It’s just logic.**

P-Appellant’s have not spent a lot of time researching these Plaintiffs attorneys, other than RACKETEER **Lawyer-Legislator, Justin Bamberg** who is a RACKETEER in their case who steered RACKETEER **Judge Health P. Taylor** onto their case to fix it. But from cursory research it appears that **Tinsley (14th Cir., who is at least a and Aristocrat Groomed Elite entrenched in Aristocratic low country), and Eric Bland (5th Cir., who appears as a state Groomed Elite), who also did the very poor job in the Westendorf deposition (said by critics including P-Appellants),** that is the only narrative that really stands as alleged “facts” and also seemed to be a deal where **Westendorf** was not prosecuted and remained at Palmetto State Bank, in exchange for what he created as narrative that was not verified by the attorneys, but taken as fact by the attorneys/State.

²⁶Note that Amy L.B. Hill’s husband, Matt Hill also worked for Laffitte’s firm, Robinson Gray Stepp and Laffitte, LLC. And also that there was a change from Sowell, Gray Stepp and Laffitte, LLC to Robinson Gray Stepp and Laffitte.

Mark Tinsley testified about Murdaugh, and stated he gave Murdaugh a veiled threat by saying he would have the venue in one part of Murdaugh Country rather than another part of Murdaugh Country, allegedly thinking that would matter to Murdaugh, which he knows it is all Murdaugh Country and would not matter. He claimed being shocked that Murdaugh didn't settle because of this veiled threat. So with that, Tinsley is telling the public, and the Court/players, he knows is not true . . .it is Feigned/Colluded .

Of note, **Mark Tinsley** is now in the Outrage case, with former **MGC lawyer**, as Judge. MGC is the bigger of two firms defending and involved in IF/IRF in P-Appellant's case. This judge replaced 14th Cir., IF/IRF friendly Judge Price. After the Murdaugh fractures were made public, Tinsley became a major new referral source for more clients.

Eric Bland is now handling the Stephen Smith case for the worn-down parents of Smith who are just trying to seek justice. The Victims of the Murdaugh circle are far greater than one may think due to all the deception and not understanding what really is at play in the LMCE Oligarchy government SC is under. He has greatly increased his visibility by being involved in Murdaugh proceedings, and by having a podcast with others who are now embroiled in a law suit, and Bland reported to the bar for alleged mean-spirited defamation of outside reporters asking questions.

Both Tinsley and Bland are dependent on carriers, and must stay on their good side in order to get paid. This means they must play ball with the carriers. . .how far that goes is up for investigation, but SC LMCE runs the IF/IRF schemes of the state, and picks who can

260

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

succeed and who cannot. Are Tinsley and Bland succeeding enough to be questioned on their alliances? Have they been engaged in enough questionable actions to ask their motivations? Tinsley are definitely in the “closed loop” of being permitted to have favor with judges, legislators, lawyers, and carriers.

According to Court documents, **Tinsley referred Satterfield’s to Bland**. Common Please, 14th Cir., 2021-CP-00298, Defendant Murdaugh’s Rule 60(b) Motion for Relief From Judgment, May 16, 2023. No information is available at present as to how Tinsley became involved with Beach.

The real system involves insurance carriers who pay the money judicial systems who control the outcomes referral networks who control who gets cases and attorneys like bland and Tinsley who execute within that LMCE system. It appears that Tinsley and Bland and their controlled opposition news sources are orchestrators of the Becky Hill **ACT**, and other dramas in the case that actually will get a convicted Murdaugh a new trial if P-Appellant’s are correct in that prediction.

Why were these lawyers involved in the Becky Hill and her alleged “mistakes” and the alleged “egg juror” and leaks, when Becky Hill was actually Murdaugh’s kin giving Murdaugh appealable issues that he will most likely “win” in this Feigned/Colluded case? There are clear answers to this, but the reader can look into this and think about why knowing these are almost all, if not all, Aristocrats/Groomed Elite all working for one goal.

261

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Why was Supreme Court chosen Judge Clifton Newman (3rd Cir., at large), listening to anonymous messages and rumors about a specific juror, under sudden attack by many unconfirmed “rumors” many of which were shown not to be true? Why is Judge Newman’s daughter, Judge Jocelyn Newman (5th Cir, at large), who has all the background of a Groomed Elite, now presiding over a case of reporters and attorneys in Murdaugh’s case, some who were very involved in the Becky Hill situation, also was up for the Supreme Court job but suddenly withdrew. . .was this a thank you gone wrong?

Jessica Laffitte of GWB, a former Kittredge clerk, handles the DEFENSE side of **Asbestos cases**. . .corporations, insurance carriers, etc., in a situation that is highly likely to involved IF/IRF based on its structure, scheme appearance, secrecy, extreme layering through Delaware so that financial disclosures and transparency are not available, secret Plaintiffs, huge and secret settlements, far reaching alleged Defendants that go well beyond SC SMJ jurisdiction and Personal Jurisdiction, for MANY REASONS, aggressive LMCE pursuit of suits led by former Supreme Court Chief Judges Phi Beta Kappa’s own Jean Toal, under the supervision of Phi Beta Kappa, Chief Judge Kittridge, using an insider firm, GWB, highly connected to inner SC government workings, and so forth. This is the SC LMCE where Lawyer-Legislators like Merril Smith, carved out Asbestos claims to be excluded from Tort Reform, and recent JMSC additions John Lay of GWB, and Peter Protopapas the Toal Receiver of Choice on her Asbestos docket, and others are benefiting (the latter two were selected as JMSC, by Senator Alexander and Governor McMaster

262

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

respectively, as a part of the Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Entrenchment). THIS IS TAX FREE MONEY BEING LAUNDERED FOR THE ENTRENCHED, THROUGH THE LMCE, UNDER COLOR OF LAW! **See Asbestos Docket LMCE Crimes.**

Please note also **that Aristocrat Thomas Limehouse, Jr., is the husband of Aristocrat Laffitte Federal prosecutor, Emily Limehouse, and long-time Governor Aristocrat McMaster attorney who is Kin with Aristocrat Laffitte.** Thomas Limehouse, Jr.'s Aristocratic father was a defendant in the Operation Lost Trust FBI sting operation in SC in the 1990's. Thomas Limehouse, Jr., also represents and writes amicus briefs for Asbestos litigation client matters, which is the RACKET that rakes up major money for the State Actors in the LMCE no matter which side the attorneys take. It is all Feigned/Colluded . T. Limehouse wrote 6 different Amicus Briefs in one litigation alone. John A. Tibbs, v. Asbestos Corporation Limited (15), et. al, 2025-002104, SC, Oral Argument 5/25/2016.

Please also note that Amy L.B. Hill's husband, Matt Hill also worked for Laffitte's firm, Robinson Gray Stepp and Laffitte, LLC. And also that there was a change from Sowell, Gray Stepp and Laffitte, LLC to Robinson Gray Stepp and Laffitte.

According to reports regarding the Appointment of Receivers, the Beach attorney **Mark Tinsley** motioned to request a Receivership over the Murdaugh case. According to reports he didn't just ask for a Receiver, which would be proper, but **SPECIFICALLY ASKED FOR THOSE KNOWN TO BE PART OF THE LAFFITTE DYNASTY.**

The Laffitte's are a very old Aristocratic Dynasty family like the Murdaugh Dynasty and some like Rebecca Laffitte is very involved in SC law, were very involved in the trials of Laffitte and Murdaugh, and the firm is internationally know.

Attorney John Lay from GWB, and Attorney Peter McCoy (husband of a Circuit Court judge, and former U.S. Attorney), were the attorneys Mark Tinsley motioned for these very specific Receivers and their lawyer, Hill, also, for the roles of Receiver, and Attorney Amy L. B. Hill (Landry-Beverly), was the attorney chosen by Tinsley for the Receivers who was also from GWB, and worked for the Laffitte firm prior to being with GWB.

Later, John Lay was appointed to the JMSC with Asbestos Receiver Peter Protopapas , Phi Beta Kappa initiate, Justice Toal's main accomplice in Asbestos malfeasance, in the SC LMCE, reaching H*llhole status in the country. See Kittredge leadership of these Asbestos Docket Crimes of the LMCE run through the Supreme Court and protected by it. Protopapas was elected by Aristocratic Governor Henry McMaster. John Lay was Appointed by Aristocratic Senator Thomas Alexander of the 10th Cir. The LMCE will make profits no matter how the system is manipulated into looking like an improvement over the prior system. It is all a lipstick event if Aristocrats replace Aristocrats in choosing who chooses the Judges.

All of these appointments of receivers and others was approved by the Court knowing these were obvious conflicts, as the criminals were **14th Cir Old Aristocratic**

Dynasty that are very involved in controlling the community and courts there. Why didn't Phi Beta Kappa initiate Kittredge himself step in knowing his own former Law Clerk and fellow Phi Beta Kappa initiate, Laffitte worked for GWB also, as well as another Laffitte, besides Amy L.B. Hill as part of GWB working as receivers/attorneys. Tinsley claimed he wanted independence and this is who he picked. . .that are conflicted out by Rules of Ethics? And nobody stepped in? How is this Independent? It's just logic.

If Tinsley claimed these people were independent, and it is clear he does know the Dynasty families well, as all in that area and most all in the legal field know, plus his background, not supplied herein but elsewhere, if necessary, why did he go out of his way to choose them? And why didn't the Trial Court, who would also know who these people are, and Kittredge or other Supremes step in as they all would have known of former law clerk of Kittredge Jessica Laffitte, and certainly about Laffitte firm as the firm works arm and arm with the Supreme Court on other matters.

As can be expected, this "independent receiver team" found very little money and then determined how much of this went to the real victims, and not those at least grossly negligent in their alleged oversight of Murdaugh and Laffitte and at worst all of them later classified as victims would actually be fellow Defendants. This was helped by Judge Maddox of the 10th Cir., Dr Maddox wife who put that impossible theory out as fact. A don't look for money because you won't find it message.

265

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

What are the chances Governor Henry DARGON McMaster is also related to the Laffitte's through his brother's marriage to a Laffitte and through the Dargan Dynasty. And what are the possibilities of **U.S. Associate Attorney Emily Limehouse, handling the Murdaugh/Laffitte/Fleming cases in Federal Court, is the wife, of long-time Governor McMaster's, long-time attorney, Thomas Limehouse. (Thomas Limehouse father was one of the convictions of Operation Lost Trust), The Limehouse Dynasty is a very old Aristocratic Dynasty in SC. Emily Limehouse's maiden name is Meggett, which is also a very old Aristocratic Dynasty name in SC. Emily Limehouse is prosecuting McMaster's kin, Laffitte. P-Appellants said, THE WIFE OF MCMASTER'S GOVERNMENT ATTORNEY IS PROSECUTING LAFFITTE, KIN TO MCMASTER? It's just logic.**

And once the final sentencing of Russel Laffitte occurred, only after the **Federal Judge himself gave an appealable issue to Laffitte, just like Becky Hill gave her kin Murdaugh obvious Appealable issues, supported by Toal, and with further cooperation between Limehouse and Federal Judge Gergel were made known, Laffitte only had to serve another two years of his original 7 year sentence. In this, all other time he was at home getting granted continuances with agreement from Limehouse, the Judge counted as time served while he was home relaxing. It's just logic.**

And since the **state of SC** specifically wrote the rules of concurrent sentencing, between the state and federal court, they **know that the length of the sentence is not the**

same as concurrent sentencing, so if the Feds reduced Laffitte's sentence that does not mandate any reduction in the State Sentence.

The state manipulating this truth, into claiming that if the Federal punishment was reduced, the state also had to reduce Laffitte's sentence on different charges for conviction to the same amount of time the Feds gave Laffitte, the State, Limehouse, and the Feds are taking care of Laffitte's complete punishment with:

1. a Federal Judge given appealable issue to Laffitte regarding his Federal jury trial, to help Laffitte reduce his sentence which he did on a plea deal; A judge knows better than what Federal Judge Gergel did.
2. The U.S. attorney Aristocrat Limehouse, and friend and network of Aristocratic McMaster cooperates with Federal Judge Gergel to reduce Laffitte's sentence, give him continuances where he can stay at home and not show up to prison, and then the Judge calls all of that bad faith delay at home, time served;
3. and then Limehouse cooperates with the state to erase most of the punishment of Laffitte on fake grounds that because Laffitte was serving Federal time that was reduced, that concurrent allegedly means that the State time must also be reduced, which is not the truth at all. Concurrent only means serving together for the length of time that each calls for rather than consecutively, which means in a

267

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

row. Not that the amount of time has to be equal between the State and Federal Court. Nothing more and there were no statements in the original documents that stated otherwise.

- 4. The State/Creighton Waters, stated he would not allow Laffitte to escape his punishment, but instead the State allegedly recognizing the “fatigue” surrounding the case and the desire for closure, agreed to align their sentences with this shorter federal timeline and time served at home. (see Wilson/Waters promise to the victims of Murdaugh saying they would prosecute all to their limits, and then doing the same thing).**

How does letting a criminal escape his time/punishment allow for closure when he was already in prison with no escape, but what the state is giving him for free? Alan Wilson and his agents decided that this was a just resolution when no additional resolution was necessary. This is Aristocratic government helping their Aristocrats. . .all a part of the LMCE that they are hiding by not telling the public the truth of the LMCE they are operating involving Murdaugh, Laffitte and many others, with only a small portion herein mentioned to describe how this Oligarchy/LMCE works, with P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case being a perfect example. Allegedly the AG’s office was being kind to the public for another potential lengthy trial. . .on what?

**THIS IS CONTINUING ILLUSTRATION OF THE WORKINGS OF A FEIGNED/COLLUDED
CASE NOT IN CONTROVERSY in an OLIGARCHY WITH NO ACCOUNTABILITY RUNNING
A LMCE and applies to Murdaugh, Laffitte, Asbestos Docket and P-Appellant’s case
among many others!**

Laffitte, besides some time already served in Federal Prison, allegedly 13 months, before he returned to Prison sometime in January 2026, which is how concurrent time is counted, he was also given State credit for time served by the State while Laffitte spent time at home. . .this would not be totally ridiculous if the home time was not dragged on by Limehouse and Gergel, and until one sees that this time served started way back in May 2022. . . therefore, Laffitte spent most of his time at home relaxing in the State Court matter also, and is now only spending a total of 5 years in State Prison, even though concurrent does not mean the same length of sentence with the Federal Court. And there is much more that the Court is aware of, that P-Appellants have not disclosed yet. **See Ex. 10**, for screen shots of Laffitte prison time change once P-Appellants continued to inquire about it and file documents with the courts, where the Court must answer but won’t and hide the filings.

This is just the very basics of a Complete Feigned/Colluded process for their Aristocrats to steal and hide dark money through the LMCE and help its members get out of punishment through these **Feigned/Colluded** processes in Trial and Appeals Courts so the public will think the Courts are Constitutional and that the process of the Court was fair

269

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

and just as long as they keep P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 and some others that are similar to Murdaugh P-Appellant’s have discovered that illustrate an entirely different story.

Of course, **Murdaugh 2.0 RACKETEER Judge Heath P. Taylor**, who was steered by Justin Bamberg into P-Appellant’s case on or about February 16, 2024, to try to fix it to save the 10th Cir., before he was caught and ran away. Taylor was the Supreme’s selection to accept the Plea of Laffitte and also of Becky Hill who gave her Kin, Murdaugh intentional appealable issues that will most likely allow Murdaugh’s case to look very similar in disposing it as Laffitte state/federal gifts did for him. Taylor was also involved in the Laffitte case, Kin of McMaster. Taylor was also over the Laffitte case in State Court, as he proved his worth in P-Appellant’s attempts to ruin their case, so the Supremes selected a RACKETEER in P-Appellants case to continue to help them stage the Feigned/Colluded proceedings for a cut of the LMCE action.

Obviously, **Cases must be in Controversy, WHICH MEANS THEY MUST BE JUSTICIABLE CASES in SC**, NOT JUST ON THE TOPICS OF THE CAUSE OF ACTION, BUT IN THE POWER OF THE COURT TO ADJUDICATION (SMJ), as the Courts have stated several times over the years and cases must be fairly heard with SMJ Adjudication established so no such charades are ever brought before the People to deceive them. Major Conflicts herein are just part of the problems in this matter that cause no SMJ and have attempted to ruin P-Appellant’s case and hide the LMCE also.

270

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

P-Appellants loosely predict, based on the history of SC, and unless this writing changes that course, that the Feigned/Colluded trial/appeal that the **Murdaugh murders/frauds case, that Murdaugh will receive a new trial** because his kin through the Varn Dynasty and elsewhere, Becky Hiers Hill gave him appealable issues like her grandfather gave to Buster Murdaugh Jr., in 1956, along with George Robert DeLoach, Sr. assistance, and the State will allegedly claim it is too expensive to retry it (like the State did to the victims of Murdaugh in the Fraud plea deals they didn't get consulted on or agree with after the fact), and that there is “fatigue” if they retry, and Murdaugh will get off because of another excuse that he is already serving a long period of time on the frauds convictions. Then they will apply the Laffitte strategies again, like with the Federal Court, to reduce that fraud sentence further, which reduced the state sentence also, and call much of Murdaugh's time served while he relaxes in home confinement or some other ridiculous perk of the Aristocrats. Perhaps some good behavior will be thrown in also.

The Asbestos case may get some crumbs, but overall, the system is not going to legitimately overhaul this lucrative scam that makes them all filthy rich in the LMCE. The litigation itself is making Aristocrats like Thomas Limehouse, John Lay, attorney firms like GWB millions upon millions of dollars. They are all LMCE insiders.

This **charade** is a part of just one aspect of the evidence of a Structural Capture of SC Government by these Aristocrats/Elites, with the Supreme/Appeals Courts, Prosecutors, Attorney General, Governor, SLED, Police/Sheriffs and so forth, refusing to

271

... SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

hold the Murdaugh Dynasty Accountable for about the last 100 years, and not investigating all potential perpetrators (and in P-Appellant’s **Murdaugh 2.0 case**. . .not investigating or even hearing Constitutional issues and Fraud on the Court by the Court issues in the **Murdaugh 2.0** case as evidence of a Captured SC Government who no longer has SMJ of Adjudication over either of these two cases, **Murdaugh/Murdaugh 2.0**, so that the Unconstitutional Courts of SC can hide the fact that SC is being run through a LMCE, for profit, which is most likely where the Murdaugh Money not already disbursed lies, and SC is most definitely not run by a Constitutional Republic, as it has very many **Structural Constitutional Violations** that are self-evident proofs that the Courts still intend to act without SMJ Adjudication Power, when they must sua sponte proclaim their lack of SMJ, not hide or ignore it as they did on February 11, 2026, while proclaiming instead and **“Open Court” which are mere words of Perception not reality.**

But, the SC State showing itself Unconstitutional, through cases like **Murdaugh and mirror image Murdaugh 2.0** (being Unconstitutionally buried in Appeals Court), and the **Asbestos cases**, further displays its entire **lack of Accountability, Transparency, and Integrity**, that is a part of Constitutional Republic government, i.e., as examples only: **Popular Sovereignty, Article 1 § 1, Due Process, Article 1 § 3, Checks and Balances/Separation of Powers, Article 1 § 8, Oaths, Article VI, § 5**, and its companion U.S. Constitutional provisions, again as examples only of SMJ lack of Adjudicative powers.

272

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

The present structural form of government violations, manned by these Aristocrats/Elites throughout SC history until today, are not properly functioning as a Constitutional Republic, according to the mandatory Republic form of government defined by the Constitutions of SC/US, and have many built in **structural constitutional violations to assure Unconstitutional outcomes based on this structure.**

The News sources in the Murdaugh, trial reminded the public of how terrible the SC Government is way off target when it comes to even trying to operate Constitutionally, including the Courts. See, many news sources by just doing a general search. The friendliest of news sources are not kind to the state of SC and see SC as having a tradition of designing laws for further corruption. P-Appellant’s have called this the LMCE in action.

Before much further, this is time to review some of Ex. 1, and some of the additional Aristocratic relationships entrenched within this **LMCE, not yet mentioned herein.**

Constitutional Courts that operate in SC and actually would have SMJ Adjudication Power are those that follow specific Constitutional Structure, and none other.

The Oligarchical actions in and before P-Appellants, **Murdaugh and the Asbestos Cases**, are just three examples of much more overall proof that SC is not being run as a Constitutional Republic, and never has been run this way, and has many built in, intentional **structural Constitutional violations into its system of Government/Courts.** In reality, the claim of being a Constitutional Republic is a ruse, as it is clearly operating under an Unconstitutional **Oligarchy**, that has developed its own **for-profit, Legal**

273

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Machine Criminal Enterprise, tax-free, under color of law for state and member profit, at the expense of the exploited People, who are going to lawyers and into the Courts for Constitutional Redress of Grievances, **without realizing the State’s own Murdaugh-Style, Reign of Terror they actually entered**, by believing the State was actually a Constitutional Republic following Constitutional laws, where nobody is above the law. See P-Appellant Dr. Kennedy’s **“HOLODECK LAW” AND THE LITIGATION VORTEX,”** FN [REDACTED], that describes the state of the Oligarchy even back in the early 2000, and in Virginia, which is a mirror image of SC ARISTOCRAT/ELITE RULE OF AN OLIGARCHY and visa versa. These are structural Constitutional violations, **and the behavior of the State ACTORS is just the evidence being played out when the Constitution collapses in SC and is replaced by these unauthorized State Actors, due to the Structure of the Oligarchy being run.**

The alleged impartial reviewers, such as the SC Supreme Court, cannot be the subjects of the Motion as the Supreme Court is a part of this Oligarchy of SC Government and under the control of the Legislators as history has shown, in spite of cosmetic changes to the Constitution in the 1960s. (See how little these amendments changed SC Borad of Education lawyers, **Aristocrat Jack Furman McIntosh, defiance** of Brown v. Board of Education, where the white supremacist didn’t comply until the Federal Government made them do so in the 1970s. This Aristocratic Attorney, Jack Furman McIntosh is the **father of RACKETEERING Judge Rivers Lawton McIntosh in the Murdaugh 2.0 case**, in the 10th Cir., Anderson Country, SC).

274

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Aristocratic **J.F. McIntosh** was married to an Aristocratic **Varn**. So, **Judge McIntosh is a Aristocratic Varn**. Aristocratic Murdaugh is a Aristocratic Varn, and is related to Aristocratic Jefferson Davis also, Aristocratic Becky Hiers Hill is an Aristocratic Varn, Aristocratic G. Robert Deloach, III Defense lawyer for Allianz, is an Aristocratic Varn, and many more Aristocrats are VARNS. **Aristocratic Maddox**, is related also to the **Mullen Dynasty of Aristocratic George Mullen**, that protects Teflon Groomed Judges Carmen T. Mullen. . .no investigations yet about her criminal activity with Murdaugh has survived dismissal with the CJD and Aristocrat **Williama Blitch**. P-Appellant’s are purposely being very limited in their knowledge of the actual Appeal and Supreme Court Judges at this time, as they are not needed to prove the point yet.

This Illusion of Justice and perception of the Court/State following the Constitutional structure for the Government/Court to be a Constitutional entity that can claim powers under it is not really present, which false truth/illusions are the undercurrent of both Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0 cases, because the People were trusting the illusion of a republic form of Constitutional Government that allegedly holds their attorneys and their Judges to a rule of law, that has actually been stealthily running this Oligarchy, under color of law, through the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE).

This LMCE/members covet what the victims are entitled to, like their insurance awards for example, and use their government positions taken, to deprive these

275

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Awards to their rightful owner, by following Oligarchical law (Do as thou Wilts, that is the whole of the Law) rather than the Constitutional laws of the Republic.

A tribunal that acts outside the constitutional republican framework **cannot adjudicate cases lawfully due to its violation of Adjudicatory SMJ**, and any actions it takes or purported authority it claims are void ab initio, and **ultra vires beyond its Structure as a Constitutional Republic, with only specific and limited powers granted to these State Actors/Judges**. These defined that the **State Actor CANNOT ignore or DEFY**, EVEN THOUGH DEFIANCE AGAINST THE LAWS, IS A HALLMARK OF SC GOVERNMENT HISTORY COSTING THE STATE MANY MILLIONS OF LIVES counting all the lives lost in slavery for profit, a war fought and the State still ignoring that it lost the war of the states and cannot do as they continue to do.

The Supreme Courts, Appeals Court, Trial Court and all other LMCE and its members are the subject of the SMJ/Fraud on the Court Motions filed on 2/11/26, and this motion to Strike/Vacate/Void/Protect victims also. It is the Governmental system and in particular the Judicial Arm all supporting this LMCE that is dishonest and working off illusion to create the appearance of a Structural Constitutional Government by putting lipstick on a pig to disguise the same old Oligarchy that has run SC from its inception (Lord Proprietors, Royals and so forth. . .) as described in **Ex. 1**. It is the SC history and it hasn't changed.

In this matter before the Court, the P-Appellants were/are not representing the Murdaugh/Defense nor the State prosecutors. The P-Appellants are not representing the Victims of the Asbestos crimes either. Although P-Appellants sympathize with the **Real** victims of Murdaugh and the real victims of the Asbestos crimes against them, for this purpose, and because people get arrested for alleged Unauthorized Practice of Law, (UPL),²⁷ if they show any empathy, even when a person is not even practicing law for another, P-Appellants are bringing into view and focusing on the entire Feigned/Colluded legal process as Structural Constitutional Violation that occurred before the Murdaugh/Asbestos/P-Appellant cases were ever heard by a SC Court, which is part of the issue SC has created whoing they are not evaluating the SMJ Adjudication analysis necessary before accepting the case, as they cannot have any intentions of ignoring or making up or hiding the laws they are empowered to follow or they cannot hear the case.

In the courts refusing the obvious step before hearing a case, they have had to make up numerous other self-serving, unconstitutional laws to protect themselves as they continue to erode the Guaranteed rights of the people for their own gain. The courts are

²⁷ UPL is also an Unconstitutional provision of the law to protect the LMCE monopoly and not the people. It actually limits the People's right to be heard and have access to the courts and freedom under the 1st Amendment and redress of grievances. Once in the court, the lawyers will do as they will, often times in collusion with each other and perhaps the Judges, as happened in P-Appellant's Murdaugh 2.0 case to steal their award and money launder it and other ill-gotten gain dark money needing washing under the Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud Murdaugh-style scam being hidden in this Murdaugh case by those involved Unconstitutionally through the SMJ Adjudication challenge. Where there no remedy, there is no law.

literally courts of limited jurisdiction, writing into the Constitution, more laws for themselves, to gain more power, when the act of breaking the authority of the Constitution themselves to give themselves more authority is itself Unconstitutional. Giving themselves the right to ignore separation of powers and checks and balances where the Supreme Court oversees lawyers and judges and determined if anyone is acting unethically based on their own decisions that are not overseen, which takes accountability and punishment away, further eroded the already corrupt Judiciary, which is now operating as a criminal enterprise and processor for the other branches to act in accordance with this LMCE also.

This Feigned/Colluded lack of SMJ Analysis by the SC Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise Oligarchy was purposely ignoring being authorized to confer power on themselves to feign/colluding on the Murdaugh, Asbestos and P-Appellants IF/IRF/murder for hire plan involved in Murdaugh, and P-Appellants Murdaugh 2.0, and is continuing to run its “narrative” now to assist Aristocratic Murdaugh through Aristocratic Becky “Hiers” Hill’s intentional improper act to give Murdaugh appealable issues, just as her grandfather, Aristocrat Felder Hiers did the same for Buster Murdaugh in his 1956 Corruption case to make sure that Murdaugh was not convicted of crimes he committed. This all became such a circus by design, by SC, that the chaos created on purpose even stunned a Federal Judge as to how SC operates its government/Courts and saves its Aristocratic agents, like Buster Murdaugh and now Alex Murdaugh.

These **Heirs are kin to Murdaugh**,²⁸ not just ignorant state employees who didn't know any better than to obstruct justice, however the scheme has framed Hil's part in this, but decided not to follow the law that helped Murdaugh get this appeal in question. See Toals follow-up role to cement this plan also.

A Judge cannot determine or preside over its own liability/guilt, **Nemo Judex in causa sua. . . No one should be a judge in his own case, *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 523 (1972).** “A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a BASIC requirement of due process,” conditions that require Guaranteed, Prerequisite/Condition Precedent review BEFORE, the Court/Judges has any power authorized to it to any Court/Judge hearing case. It is not a suggestion but a part of SMJ Adjudication analysis. And if the case, after this analysis then later appears to conflict with this analysis, [the guaranteed right of the People] the Due Process Clause again kicks in the Judges get punished severely and recusal happens and any victims of the Void proceedings get the judgment. Any circumstances that can create a serious risk of actual bias also. . .by an objective stand that does not require proof of actual

²⁸ Hiers and Murdaugh's married into the Varn Family as did many other Aristocrats on “the list” of just some aristocratic entrenchments in SC politics/courts/clerks/lawyers/etc. Here Elizabeth Hiers married George Varn, February 12, 1811. Sarah Hiers married Frederick Varn, March 11, 1819. Josiah Putnam Murdaugh married Mary Ursula Varn, 1835. There are other intermarriages between these Aristocratic namesakes to preserve the bloodlines for Dynastic power and money. Some Aristocrats in SC have many dynastic marriages to others in SC that make up government in SC or at least have their groomed elite as handled proxies in government positions. For example Judge Rivers Lawton McIntosh's mother is Francis Varn of the Dynastic Varns and he is now married for the 3rd time to a Calhoun, to gain Dynastic influence, power and money as he bulldozes his way up the ladder with each complaint of those public victims in his path.

bias needs to be considered so any Feigned cases that are subsequently identified gives the criminals involved no chance to escape real punishment because the Judiciary isn't supposed to own the oversight and Discipline of itself and all portions of the Branch, because it ends with what SC has now. . .an Oligarchy doing business under color of law.

See *Caperton*, 556 U.S. 868, 883-84 (2009).

In American Constitutional law, this phrase, "A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a BASIC requirement of due process," underlies the SMJ Adjudication, and judicial disqualification doctrine and the requirement of impartial tribunal. In ***Tumey***, it was fundamental and foundational Supreme law explicitly grounding the rule in **Prerequisite/Condition Precedent Due Process, with review after the fact ONLY necessary when an honest proceeding agreeing with SMJ Adjudication makes a seldom but honest mistake on an issue that a Court finds out about during the case, and then he must also recuse Sua Sponte and if it had to do with SMJ, end the case and step aside.** Chief Justice Taft wrote: **"Every procedure which would offer a possible temptation to the average man as a judge . . . not to hold the balance nice, clear, and true between the state and the accused, denies the latter due process of law."** The case stops the moment SMJ Chalelenges are filed without any Clerk blocking the filing.

Further, whenever the Judge(s)/Court is/are issues in the honesty/integrity of the proceeding, and is pertinent, relevant, and a central issue in the SMJ Challenge and the Fraud on the Court evidence of such SMJ structural violation, these Motions not only stop

280

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

the proceedings, the entire proceeding must not only stop, but recusal to hear the Motion with notice and a right to be heard (meaningful), even before even if the final decision is to deny SMJ. The Judges must always do a SMJ Adjudicative power analysis on each case prior to hearing it and be ready to recuse if even an appearance of bias exists. It is not the Judges right to hear cases. The Constitutions limit them not given them guaranteed rights against the people they serve.

For Judge Kittredge to open the Court with a statement about this being an “*Open Court*”²⁹ which is another spoken **illusion for perception purpose to fool** the public into thinking they should give over their public trust to these violators of the law, when just before taking his seat, and behind the scenes he and his colleagues were Unconstitutionally and improperly “reviewing” P-Appellants timely filed SMJ Challenge with Fraud on the Court by the Court examples of how this Oligarchy is operating, which was not filed to keep the truth of the matter away from the public and reporters who were awaiting the Court hearing of Murdaugh, and to go forward with the case anyway. The Oligarchy was taking steps to protect its illusion of justice, and the illusion of a Structurally sound Constitutional hearing that would be allegedly taking place, in spite of a SMJ challenge to

²⁹ After Judge Kittredge announcing the alleged “Open Court” which statement was built on deception going on behind the scenes that makes the hearing not a transparent hearing, nor the lack of filing for two days the SMJ Contest, not a transparent process at all, but merely the illusion of transparency.

the very system and Judges/Lawyers/State feigning the case, that was reviewing itself, which a Judge cannot review itself.

Further, because the Courts still fear the people, and still have to rely on the perception of Public Trust, to try to keep the public at bay, they did not ever properly file or have heard the motions by a Neutral Adjudication Tribunal, if there be any in SC. The Court never stopped the case, had the Motions properly filed, nor informed the public that it needed to have neutral reviewers hearing and reviewing the SMJ and Fraud on the Court by the court motion before any other actions on Murdaugh could take place, and by whom, if at all.

So to be clear any evidence of Fraud on the Court especially by the Court, Due Process violations are being committed, including the by the Court in not performing a SMJ Adjudicative analysis initially and not taking authority before the case has been heard like in the Kittredge/Supreme example with Murdaugh, a Feigned/Colluded, the P-Appellants case before the Appeals court, and the Asbestos case also before the Supremes, where the Courts know in advance the case is Fixed and Feigned/Colluded because they were/are involved in this fixing and feigning or are protecting their LMCE which involves fixing/feigning. RECUSAL is mandatory at this point, and reporting each other and yourself to the authorities for punishment/prosecution is mandatory. Because you all have not done so, this is more self-evident guilt on your part and why this SMJ challenge and Fraud on the court by the court must prevail if a Neutral arbiter(s) was/were able to ever hear this

282

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

set of Motions, which P-Appellant’s are taking part in the filing of these matters elsewhere also.

These and other wrongs or crimes listed herein, are examples of how the Oligarchy is playing out in the Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0 and Asbestos cases, and are not independent arguments for Due Process and so forth in this particular motion as the issue is the Structural Constitutional Violations **BEFORE** ANY HEARING WAS HAD, and have made it clear that the Courts, as a part of the LMCE, that they are barred from hearing Murdaugh based on P-Appellants SMJ Adjudication Challenge, and are in violation of not halting the hearing, not filing to Motion, mislabeling it when they did and so forth, all very serious violations of Constitutional law and proof of the LMCE and self-interests of the Court, also making them disqualified from hearing any case, as a part of the LMCE and Disciplinary action must be taken against those a part of the LMCE.

Other such examples of how the Oligarchy is playing out in SC would then include also such topics as: Feigned/Colluding of the adversarial process, refusing to file motions sent, listing Motions under the wrong listing to close the filings by deception, and avoid the Public from proper and accurate review, entrenching the government with Aristocrats and those they handle, infiltrating the **Murdaugh and now Murdaugh 2.0** cases with Laffitte family members and friends of family members, having family and friends of family all trained in Murdaugh Country and working one or both cases and pulling the same scams in both **Murdaugh** and **Murdaugh 2.0**, failure to properly investigate if at all appointing Laffitte

283

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

on the Receiver team, U.S. Prosecutors office, kin to the Governor, Kin/Aristocrat Becky "Hiers" Hill/Jean Toal and others giving Murdaugh appealable issues, like Federal Judge Gergel did with Laffitte, with the help of Laffitte mutual close friends and kin, Governor McMaster related to the Laffitte kin, and so forth See more in **Ex #1**. And there would be an entire other host of listings that the Asbestos victims are going through also. . .with some of the same similarities as P-Appellants case, so the Courts can aggressively "create" wrongdoing out of thin air to control these cases, and get away with "attempted murder" and pilfering awards through Feigned dama it creates under color of law.

Wherefore, P-Appellant Demands, in Good Faith Facts, and Research, to the best of their knowledge and information, Arguments and Expansions of the law if necessary For a NEUTRAL JUDGE (and need a neutral investigator, and jury):

1. Recognize the absence of adjudicatory SMJ in the Supreme Court on Murdaugh, Asbestos Case;
2. Use the Fraud on the Court and other facts and evidence submitted and laws violated ONLY as evidence and illustrations of the result of such ignoring SMJ Adjudication Powers and lack thereof to including P-Appellant's case.
3. Strike, Vacate and find void as to the Wrong doers while protecting the innocent persons involved, any prior actions taken under structurally unconstitutional authority and no SMJ Adjudicative power granted by the Constitutions in Murdaugh's appeal and in the Asbestos cases while protecting the asbestos victims.

4. Disqualify all judges involved in structural capture or conflicts in Murdaugh/Murdaugh 2.0 as the two cases, to including all Supreme Court, Appeals Courts and Trial Court, where this SMJ/Fraud on the Court Challenge and Motion to Strike, etc., must be heard together, By NEUTRAL JUDGES EVEN IF OUTSIDE SC, to understand what really happened in Murdaugh and AND the Asbestos cases by studying P-Appellants case and what they exposed, and forece all judges to qualify for SMJ Adjudication power,
5. Give P-Appellant’s meaningful notice and a right to be heard on these matters,
6. Order neutral, constitutionally compliant adjudication/investigation so that the Constitutional Courts are hearing real factual information and not Feigned/Colluded narratives and evidence, for the victims of Murdaugh to be paid properly and completely, but for Murdaugh’s convictions to stand as he cannot benefit from his own deceptions and frauds on the courts with his fellow Aristocrats/Groomed Elite providing for same, and Order all SC Courts to end the Feigning and bad faith hearing and findings and to follow their oaths to uphold the Constitution.
7. Provide new Independent Judges not a part of the LMCE be assigned to Murtaugh and P-Appellant’s cases to make right the situation from a Fair and Honest Court and fair and honest investigative actions and correct the obvious Feigned/Colluded and lack of SMJ Adjudications that have helped Murdaugh to receive a Feigned/Colluded appeal, and that have hurt P-Appellants having to appeal a

meritorious case and then endure more weaponizing procedure further by the Appeals Court, and to enter Judgments form P-Appellant's and Asbestos victims, against Defendants and Courts on all matters and Causes of Action as filed by P-Appellants to be submitted when granted, and to call a neutral jury for all damages, including uncapped damages for P-Appellants, and Asbestos victims and Murdaugh Victims, and all other protections for victims while P-Appellants meeting with the Allianz, lawyers and State of SC and VA ACTORS, to further provide damages for all the harm P-Appellant's have gone through in trying to bring light to the real Murdaugh crimes, and their own as mirror images cases that were being hidden from the public by Judges without SMJ Adjudication authority/power.

8. To remove all judges, lawyers, state actors, and others involved in State Actor Oligarchical Unconstitutional actions and move to clean up SC Courts by appointing the People in positions OVER their government to assure Accountability, Integrity, and Transparency in SC Government, who have had enough chances to correct itself but do not desire that change.
9. To Disbar the Bar, and re-organize so the SC Supremes are no over any Disciplinary process and all complaints are filed and heard publicly with full name, charges hearing date, location, and finding by a CJD that is made up of all public, no attorneys, judges, state actors or friends of same or the system.

10. Feigners and Colluders deserve prison time not favors or void judgments that help them and hurt the victims. This writing shall be construed to be against anything that does this.

Submitted

Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A

Date: March 22, 2026

P.O. Box 433

Townville, SC 29689

954-279-3785

**PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND
HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Kingjbrown1963@gmail.com, and please keep
the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-
Appellants.**

Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A.

Date: March 22, 2026

P.O. Box 433

Townville, SC 29689

954-279-3785

287

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

**PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND
HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Kingjbrown1963@gmail.com, and please keep
the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-
Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.**

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STRIKE, VACATE, VOID AB INITIO AND

GIVE EQUITABLE RELIEF TO THE VICTIMS.

PER LACK OF SMJ AS TO THE ADJUDICATION,

AND FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

P-Appellants challenged the Murdaugh proceedings in the Supreme Court on the basis that the Supreme Court does not have SMJ. P-Appellants did not at the time, know that the Asbestos case scams were also being appealed. Murdaugh, Asbestos scams and P-Appellant's exposure of both through their case are each why P-Appellant's case is being buried in the Appeals Court at the same time Murdaugh's Feigned/Colluded case is being appealed on false and fraudulent grounds that are conducted and orchestrated through the Supreme Court. The Asbestos scams are being heard by the Supreme Court to keep power over as much of this asbestos docket as possible, in spite of it being totally Unconstitutional by posing as the Neutral arbiter, when they are running the Asbestos Docket. Both of these cases (Murdaugh's former scams that creates great state tax free profit in the LMCE, that needs to be freed for the LMCE, but until he is he must be protected as a fellow aristocrat LMCE predator, and the Asbestos Docket cases that create unaccountable millions and perhaps billions of for profit, tax-free dollars for the LMCE must be saved in the same or in alternative ways using the vested interests of the Supremes and their Unconstitutional oversight of these appeals and the LMCE). These

289

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

great profits made by these criminal state actors, are what P-Appellant’s case is exposing. .
.how, and why, which makes their case targeted for destruction in the LMCE to keep its
secrets.

In P-Appellant’s case, Murdaugh 2.0, P-Appellant’s provided much evidence and
many examples regarding two main Unconstitutional secrets of SC Government, that
clearly show it is not a Constitutional Government, in spite of SC government claims to
Defend the Constitutions of SC and the U.S. for the People.

P-Appellants’ case addresses and illustrates the lack of self-determination evident
in all freedom that are protected by fair and unbiased laws in the Constitutions, that are
lost or that never really existed in SC government in spite of its claims; a government that
gives the perception of liberty with no real laws that the SC government actually follows
that would uphold and defend these Constitutions, but for what the Kings of the SC
Oligarchy grant.

**Specifically, how P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 and Asbestos Cases are related
and why P-Appellants case is being destroyed for the Courts to hide their schemes to
assist fellow Aristocrat/LMCE Perpetrator, Murdaugh, and keep a big part of their
LMCE profiteering flowing through their LMCE by gouging the Asbestos victims using
the same schemes and scams as P-Appellant’s to create victims by Judges and
lawyers and other hired proxies themselves:**

1) Shows that the SC Government has been totally captured by an Aristocratic/Groomed Elite with similar Unconstitutional goals, and no accountability, that provide it profits at the expense of the exploited People, using the lure of a free State, i.e. its controlled Courts, as a ruse that baits people into its trap for perpetrators’ tax-free profit, all called the **Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, (LMCE)**. This LMCE is run by one merged branch of government, not three as the People are told. . .this one branch is the Aristocratic/Groomed Elites branch, that has a relational, profit mindset for all of them under the guise of three branches of government with no real checks and balances nor Separation of Powers. SC is one Oligarchy wearing three different wardrobes to create a perception of Constitutionality that is only illusory. P-Appellant’s case exposes this, and how the Murdaugh case is Feigned/Colluded for his benefit by his fellow Aristocrats, and the Asbestos cases are Feigned/Colluded by the State itself, against the victims (REAL Plaintiffs and many Corporations, and sometimes Insurance carriers also, who have not chosen to be a part of the IF/IRF schemes of the State of SC in their Asbestos cases litigation).

2) Show that this LMCE in SC, under color of law, perpetrates specific types of crimes it creates by victimizing People or comes upon victimized People naturally, and either way, the state directs both into a single processing plant called the SC Courts/Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, that allows the state to steal the awards or inheritances or other such funds, from any and all of those victimized People they process. The State

291

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

LMCE then needs money laundering operations of the dark money proceeds these state thieves produce, to remain hidden from the People, under such schemes (P-Appellant’s and Parts of Murdaugh), **and SIMILAR STATE SCHEMED STRUCTURES** (PARTS OF MURDAUGH, AND THE ASBESTOS CASES), as the **INSURANCE FRAUD/INSURANCE RESERVE FRAUD LONG CONS (IF/IRF)**, a multi-layered series of crimes, where some crimes and cover ups are more complex and layered than others, but all are attempts to hide the vast scope of the state crimes and the large numbers of state criminals involved using IF/IRF structure to attain its goals. This strategy was a part of the Murdaugh case and larger crimes not reported to the public, and in P-Appellant’s case that exposes this. There may be some of this in the Asbestos cases, but often the State used Delaware in place of what normally the carrier can do in helping the state redistribute wealth and money launder it through its reserve accounts.

It is important to note the IF/IRF scheme is often used in SC, but it is also not always the carrier, but the structure of the IF/IRF scheme is still used, like using Delaware, attorney trust accounts, fake shell corporations, foundations, Universities, and so forth as the money laundering process rather than the carrier. But it is the literal use or just the structure of the IF/IRF scheme that is being addressed in the bigger picture.

In strict IN/IRF schemes, there is a state actor coordinated stealing of the litigants assets by the LMCE/carrier/agents, (see **FN _____**, for the RACKETEERS in P-Appellant’s

case). The carrier, Allianz, provides its money launder medium, its own reserve accounts to wash and disburse illicit dark money to the perpetrators, and new recipients of the LMCE. This scheme and many other thefts of the LMCE, usually exploiting the Public, and using the Courts as the LMCE processing center, then disbursement to parties not related to or due the award.

In Murdaugh, from what little facts the public really knows due to the state cover-up to limit its state criminals and the scope of its crimes, Murdaugh, Laffitte, Westendorf, Fleming, their firms and Bank, Mullen, Odom, Manning, Sled, Receivers and firm, Becky Hiers Hill, PMPED/Parker Law firm, Bamberg, Nautilus, Lloyds of London clients, and so forth are the most obvious suspects of the direct crimes in a much larger ring, that included all of P-Appellant’s RACKETEERS including Judge Heath Taylor, Emily Limehouse, Judge Gergel, the State Ag’s office and attorneys, Defense attorneys, and so forth.

In the **Asbestos cases**, the Courts through Insider Judge Toal/lawyer LMCE perpetrators, run by Kittredge and his Supremes now, act to seek out, create litigation, and then create chaos in the case, usually around alleged Discovery noncompliance, to create a very large **Discovery/default/sanction** against the corporation that was a previously viable and healthy corporation without judgement from the court regarding asbestos claims. This twisting of the procedural rules by the Judges created the victims needed for them to do a hostile takeover of the company. Interesting P-Appellant’s didn’t get their Discovery for the full three years in the case, and no such actions were taken against

Defense/Defendants, but they were helped by the court and even the Supremes, to keep Discovery from P-Appellants up to and including their last act, and the Writ of Mandamus **Ex. 9** answer by the Supreme Court denying any type of Discovery/Subpoenas yet having an evidentiary hearing on same. An impossible task that creates chaos in the reverse. In this Court strategy they would use a Sua Sponte, **Evidentiary Hearing/Default/Sanction strategy**, with the courts misusing the rules of procedure again, to gain control of what they were stealing with no interference of the Courts victims, under color of law.

In the **Asbestos scams**, the Courts then appoints their insider Receiver (just like a Laffitte Receiver overseeing the Murdaugh funds, and looks like they helped the Perpetrators hide money more at the expense of the Real Victims than to help them). Toal's favorite receiver for Asbestos cases is newly selected JMSC members, **Peter Protopapas**, who was also, “coincidentally,” just selected by **Aristocratic Governor McMaster**, to be a member of the **JMSC** in another inside job appointment from the alleged improved judicial “election by selection” state scam where **improvements are lipstick on a pig**. A good investigator would see how Laffitte Palmetto State Bank may fit into these Asbestos Schemes also in the LMCE.

The Receiver, in the Asbestos scams, then steps into the show, to take over the Corporation, and fight the carrier, who is not a part of the scam in many of these cases, because the Court is fixed with its own interests to steal the entire recovery and money launder through Delaware Corporate law and not have to share with the carriers. Perhaps

there is a real Plaintiffs, and perhaps not, but there is no accountability to even oversee that or any other part of this LMCE created scam, where they receive tax-free profit on most of the recoveries, if not all in their LMCE.

In asbestos scams the IF/IRF structure of stealing money and money laundering is still present, but it is laundered through **Delaware, donations to universities and other types of methods** since the carrier is not the state’s friend usually in these cases. But the scheme is structurally the same as IF/IRF and the LMCE at the heart of all this, and an entrenched group of Aristocrats/Groomed Elite, is also the same as P-Appellant’s describe. . .and some of these perpetrators are involved in P-Appellant’s, Murdaugh, and Asbestos cases.

Please note another **JMSC newly chosen member is John Lay, the Receiver in Murdaugh** with partners and others related to Murdaugh and whose firm also does Asbestos Litigation along with wife **Aristocrat Emily Limehouse’s** (prosecutor of **Laffitte** in Federal Court) of **Aristocrat Thomas Limehouse, Aristocrat McMaster’s governor attorney** for many years until recently. And this **Thomas Limehouse, Jr. is son of the son of Thomas Limehouse who was arrested in an FBI Sting of State Legislators** taking bribes and so forth in **Operation Lost Trust. The LMCE** has existed for a very long time. .
.back to the Lords Proprietors and going forward.

P-Appellants and Murdaugh are typical, fairly straight forward IF/IRF schemes, which by themselves are complex and hard to see if one is not aware of the scam, like the

Public without the education of knowing it exists in advance of these "show trials" and "show appeals," due to the public thinking in terms of a criminal and a crime or perhaps three criminals, all working together, but would not think of the TV broadcast as a State staged narrative where all are on the same side. Those only happen once the Murdaughs/Laffittes of the state are caught in Public exposure because of the Beach case or another troubling matter for the state. Asbestos scams got the attention of the People when SC Defiance reach international waters and they could no longer control the narrative. . . P-Appellant's case is not a national case as of yet, as P-Appellant's are providing chance to the Court to make this right for all involved here and make real change to the government for the People without going national yet. But P-Appellant's also are experienced enough to know that it doesn't take national coverage either.

This matter will not be over until all of these matters see justice, no matter what the Courts do, by all legal and nonviolent means. But because the State has been caught in the act by the P-Appellant's case, they must bury it to be able to help Aristocratic Murdaugh, a LMCE perpetrator for tax-free profit, and be able to minimize and control the damage in the Asbestos cases, to where they can throw a bone and not give up their Billions in tax-free profits for the LMCE. P-Appellants is the case that must be kept quiet and destroyed/erased in order to reach its other goals without the public understanding the outline directly above.

In IF/IRF, many criminals are involved around the state, and the actual scope of the crimes and ruthlessness of the crimes are beyond understanding for those not exposed to the LMCE. So when the State prosecutes these few criminals and few crimes on TV, the audience may ask why didn't they investigate this person, or that situation, or call this person to the stand or ask that question, it appears like the Prosecutor is choosing his plan based on some legalese of the law that permits him to have to do things a certain way, when in fact the audience is right, but defers to those "who know better." Thus, the made for TV Murdaugh saga has everyone talking about Becky Hill wanting to sell books rather than Becky Hiers Hill being a State ACTOR and Aristocratic KIN to Murdaugh Dynasty giving him obvious Appealable issues to have another bite at the apple with a friendly State Court ready to overturn the conviction due to Becky Hiers Hill's "mistake," or "greed", rather than the State is working to free Murdaugh, one of their LMCE perpetrators, who got caught. They are giving Murdaugh him a fresh bite at the apple, by using other State ACTORS to get it for him, where the Supreme Court can overturn the conviction and so forth.

Failure to File and follow SMJ/Fraud on the court Challenges by the Supreme:
P-Appellant's ignored motion to Challenge SMJ and Murdaugh's appeal based on this **FEIGNED/COLLUDED case, is not justiciable**, and Fraud on the court by the court, which is also not justiciable.

Once Murdaugh/Laffitte/Fleming/Mullen/Odom/Westondorf/Carriers/Law Firms/Bank and other alleged perpetrators were caught or in issue, was **staged for the**

297

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

public, and all proof/illustrations of the above, are purposely ignored by the Court and the Clerk working together to keep those filings from the public, while going forward with the appeal of Murdaugh, which are extremely illegal and criminal actions, that show how much the LMCE Courts need Aristocrat Murdaugh freed. The state seems to be daring P-Appellant’s to take this public which is unwise at this time.

Murdaugh stagings are using Murdaugh, the AG’s office (Waters), and the Defense ex-Senator (Harpootlian) to make it look like the Courts are so fair that they would even allow such an unlikeable person as Murdaugh to have a fair trial using State ACTOR kin Hill as the gift-giver for fellow Aristocrats to applaud behind the scenes. This is all

FEIGNED/COLLUDED . . .it is Theatre.

Defense Attorney, Harpootlian actually “lost” his Senate Race during this time allegedly “defending” Murdaugh, because this process of freeing the Aristocrats and keeping the LMCE and its IF/IRF schemes (and others) a secret from the public is bigger than one LMCE man’s “election” when they have many Oligarchical candidates to replace him. But if the LMCE/IF/IRF is understood by the People, the entire Oligarchy collapses as it is built on lies and illusions. Harpootlian will be back as part of his reward.

This is why the Murdaugh-P-Appellant Murdaugh 2.0 cases are so important as are these Asbestos cases, where P-Appellant’s case must be hidden and buried to save Aristocrat Murdaugh and the Oligarchy he works for, and let the Asbestos victims fight

the very perpetrators of the crimes under color of law, not knowing they cannot even hear the case due to a lack of SMJ Adjudication and Feigning/Colluding.

In the **Asbestos Docket** cases, run by Phi Beta Kappa member, ex-Chief Judge of the Supremes, Jean Toal, for Phi Beta Kappa member, present Chief of the Supremes Judge John Kittredge, **the scheme structure is the same as Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0, but it is an even more extremely complex IF/IRF scheme that is harder to follow due to many more layers of violations of Due Process at the trial court level by Toal, Teflon Judge Mullen and Judge Seal, and then multi-layer funneling and washing of the dark money and distribution on a trip through Delaware with most Plaintiffs and settlement amounts hidden so there is no chance at accountability.** Delaware state is any corporation's friend who wants to structure in anonymity . . . but not for purposes of the Asbestos scam of the LMCE. The problem is the STATE of SC is not supposed to be a corporation, i.e. it is not supposed to be Courtroom, Inc., but, instead it is supposed to be a Constitutional government with transparency in all it does.

Further, in an Order dated, **May 29, 2019**, The Supreme Court stated **Teflon Judge Carmen T. Mullen** and Groomed Elite, Seal, Jr. shall reside over asbestosis cases as **Judge Toal** sees fit, signed at the time by **SC Chief Judge Donald Beatty, who Kittredge took over for in 2024 and has continued to charade as he is hearing the Asbestos and the Murdaugh cases even with a SMJ Challenge on Murdaugh. . . Feigned/Colluded** cases against the People, that **again is affecting P-Appellant's cases because P-Appellant's**

299

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

case must be buried in order to keep up the State Charade as its for profit-tax free Oligarchy running a RICO-LMCE, under color of law, and hearing cases that affect its profit margins, pretending to be separated out and neutral because no SMJ Adjudication analysis and severe Punishments are incorporated by law, with the Supremes overseeing all of these issues.

This complexity of the Asbestos Docket scams are described by some victims of Mesothelioma, but mostly by Corporations that are revived or are healthy, but still put into the receiverships Litigation Vortex, never to come out again. . .with Money (See Litigation Vortex as a part of Holodeck Law, by Attorney Linda Kennedy, (FN___), all by Judge Toal, and the Teflon Judge, Mullen (see Murdaugh and many other complaints).

So then the receiver is appointed, usually new **Judicial Merit Selection Committee** Member, **Attorney Peter Protopapas**, to control the corporation without justification, with he, and several other lawyer legislators controlling the **Asbestos laws** and/or the Judges who decide where this money is distributed and the process it goes through for washing. The goal is in part to get to the Insurance Carrier who insured the Corporation, even if the Corporation is extinguished or whether it is not and may even be in good standing without any judgments for such actions, receiving no notice or right to be heard in a meaningful way and meaningful time.

Note John Lay of GWB, who was the Receiver for Murdaugh, selected by Tinsley, and not contested by Limehouse, has Laffitte attorneys on staff, including Jessica Laffitte who

300

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

was Phi Beta Kappa Kittredge, Phi Beta Kappa law clerk, on the Receivership team to protect victims of Murdaugh? Further, Murdaugh was allegedly instrumental in getting Luke Rankin appointed and to chair the JMCE for all these years also.³⁰

The sad thing is there is a 30 billion dollar Asbestos Trust already available to the victims of Mesothelioma. But the SC Government, is so greedy and defiant of the law, that they have to bully and be so aggressive in stealing other people's money for their LMCE, stealing billions of unaccountable dollars from secret Plaintiffs, if any exists, that they are actually grabbing businesses from overseas and performing these double meaning. .

."Toxic Strategies" on also, where there is no Subject Matter Jurisdiction in Adjudication, (nor often Personal Jurisdiction many times, which is being fought by the Appellants). **And the Supremes are the adjudicator of their own business interests, criminality and liability, to decide if they get to keep plundering for profit or whether they should alter how they do this, that will still have to be against the law to operate**

³⁰ Following the Alex Murdaugh trial senator Rankin became a primary target for critics of South Carolina's judicial selection process critics argued that the JSC which rank in LED allowed powerful lawyer legislators like Murdaugh's defense attorney Senator Harpootlian to exert undue influence over the judges they appeared before senator Rankin was also a vocal opponent of some proposed judicial reforms that gained momentum after the Murdaugh scandal he specifically defended current system after efforts to give the governor more power, **as lipstick on the pig illusion doctrine, in selecting judges though he eventually oversaw the compromise reform bill in 2024. The current Doge bill that passed the house and awaiting approval from the senate, would allow the governor related to Laffittes to pick 8 lawyers, and 4 law enforcement persons to be on the JMCS.**

for their tax-free profit. To the foreigners, this is all extremely Unconstitutional and RACKETEERIG at its finest.

What is known to many is highly suspect, even if giving the Supremes the benefits of exponential doubts. Obviously, going on low hanging fruit alone, these Asbestos cases give at least an appearance of impropriety and financial interests by the State ACTORS and cannot be permitted, nor can they even hear their own case under the LMCE/IF/IRF schemes P-Appellant’s case is exposing.

This is why P-Appellants and their case are being hidden and destroyed to save the LMCE and its IF/IRF structure for its money-making schemes using the public as its cash cow by baiting them into the Litigation Vortex Courts for processing, where they never appear again. . . .with money. (See, Dr. Kennedy’s “Holodeck Law” on the Litigation Vortex and Triangle and Two Defense, FN _____.

CHALLENGE ON SMJ ADJUDICATION, FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT
(that the Supremes refuse to hear. . .by anyone, which must be heard by Neutral Judges. . .not LMCE predators), AND MOVE TO STRIKE, VACATE, VOID AB INITIO AND PROVIDE EQUITABLE RELIEF FOR CLEAN HANDS VICTIMS, like P-Appellant’s, Real Victims of Murdaugh, real Victims of the LMCE against real Plaintiffs and victimized Corporations/Carriers in the Asbestos scams by SC State Government and their agents.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION is More than SC Courts are Claiming it is³¹:

Black's Law Defines Subject Matter Jurisdiction (SMJ), as ". . . the extent to which a court can rule on the conduct of a persons or the status of things." And Jurisdiction is defined as a "Court's power to decide a case or issue a decree." *In Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 94 (1998), SMJ "refers to a tribunal's power to hear a case." Further it states that, "Without jurisdiction the court cannot proceed at all in any cause." *Id.* Court's often pair the Black's definition with *United States v. Cotton*, 535 U.S. 625 (2002), SMJ "can never be forfeited or waived." In *Arbaugh v. Y & H Corp.*, 546 U.S. 500 (2006), SMJ ". . ."refers to a tribunal's power to hear a case," and that SMJ can be raised at any time. Also see, *Union Pac. R.R. Co. v. Bhd. of Locomotive Eng'rs*, 558 U.S. 67, 81 (2009). *Marbury v. Madison*, stated that courts exceeding jurisdiction violate the Constitution. T U.S. 137 (1803).

Subject Matter Jurisdiction must also be Proven With **Certainty with burden on the Court**, not the litigants who would not know most often that the Court intended to fix a case or was involved in a sham hearing. Courts repeatedly hold that jurisdiction cannot be presumed. **See** *Grace v. Am. Cent. Ins. Co.*, 109 U.S. 278, 283 (1883). That means they

³¹ As stated several times, any and all facts about Murdaugh, P-Appellant or any other person, litigant, party, Court, Judge, Lawyer and so forth, are provided herein to be used as examples of the lack of SMJ Adjudication and other claims herein, and what happens when SMJ proper analysis is not taken as a prerequisite/condition precedent/prerequisite/condition precedent, and are not to be used as Cause of Action or potential Causes of Action as that is not the point of this SMJ Challenge.

must go through the SMJ analysis as a Prerequisite/Condition Precedent, which in all three cases addressed, the Court would fail this analysis miserably and does not have the power to adjudicate these cases, and their findings against any victim is Void as to the wrongdoer.

The Supreme Court itself stated that there are many types of Jurisdiction (and analysis) that a prospective court must analyze before taking power over a case, discussed herein and below. See *Steele*. Subject Matter Jurisdiction for example has many analysis that a Court and Judge must consider before they accept Constitutional authority over the case, as all power is granted the Court only through its expressed SMJ, but only one recognized by the Modern Court that is erasing the guaranteed rights of the People recognized as illustrative rights by the Constitution that cannot be abridge or infringed upon by the State of limited power.

In summary, in regard to all Jurisdiction analysis of the prospective Court/Judge, that *Steele* refers to, the requirement that Jurisdiction be granted to the Court **PRIOR** to any action is received or taken with that Court, (in filings or for hearing the matter or opining on the outcome), is based in this SMJ analysis made by the Court/Judge **BEFORE** the Court acquires and is granted the power to hear the matter given by the Constitution.

As seen in the Constitution and the intent of the Government approved Framers, to protect the People from Usurpation and Arbitrary law or rogue government, (see many quotes in the fact portion with Jefferson, Madison, Revere and others), and case law, it is clear that two of the SMJ analysis, the SMJ Topic Power analysis, AND the SMJ Adjudicative Power analysis' are two of these different analysis' that the Court must determine and be in compliance and be granted power to oversee the case **BEFORE** accepting the case in question, as only after these analysis'

will the Constitution grant the Court/judge the power to hear a case (filings, hearings, opinions).
Adjudicative Power SMJ is only granted by the expressed limitations of the Constitution itself.
See U.S. Constitution Amendment 5 and 14, *U.S. Const. amend XIV, the sincerely held* Oath
taken, the Neutral Decisionmaker requirement, the Justiciable analysis (Case in Controversy),
Due Process, Equal Protection, Oaths, and others.

Subject Matter and Personal Jurisdiction Requires Constitutional Conditions

Precedent/Prerequisite before a Court can determine if they have the Power to

Adjudicate at all: Courts cannot exercise jurisdiction if fundamental constitutional
conditions precedent requirements are missing. In addition to what is already listed, other
examples of conditions precedent that protect the guarantees of the Constitution included:

Due Process, under *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 876 (2009), "it is
axiomatic that a fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process." A tribunal
that is not neutral **cannot constitutionally adjudicate under SMJ.** "Every procedure
which would offer a possible temptation to the average man as a judge... not to hold the
balance nice, clear and true between the State and the accused denies the latter due
process of law." *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 532 (1927). When Courts and Judges cannot
hear a case based on their sincerely held Oath to Defend all Constitutional Guarantees to
limit itself, and uphold and protect the People, they are not fit to hear the case, or even
make a ruling on jurisdiction of any type.

Conditions Precedent/Prerequisite requirements of the Court occur **BEFORE** the
Court/Judge is granted that power to hear/rule. And the analysis is part of the court/Judges

305

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting
federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . .
. not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated
law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

MANDATORY responsibility in order to be considered a Constitutional Court/Judge able to take SMJ authority and other and to then rule of Personal Jurisdiction over the case.

As the U.S. Supremes stated, there are many types of jurisdictions, See, *Steele*. But P-Appellant's are focusing on one of two main SMJ analysis that are often confused and summarized incorrectly as just one SMJ analysis. The law on SMJ, in contrast to what the Courts have been making the legal system and public believe, that there is only one issue that concerns SMJ (Topic), is CLEARLY and DECEPTIVELY WRONG. IN DOING SO, THE COURTS HAVE CRIMINALLY REWRITTEN THE CONSTITUTION THROUGH QUIET COUP TO MEANS THAT all other analysis of Jurisdiction other than topical are moved to post-trial considerations with lesser Standard of Review if any, including appeals, and limited appeals to the Supremes, at the approval, to rule against them violating this Constitutional requirement that would take much of their fake power away if they ruled properly.

The problem is that if a Judge is going to provide a predetermined outcome, which is the norm in the LMCE, like in Murdaugh, P-Appellants and the Asbestos cases, for the reasons stated in the facts and summarized herein, then the courts/judges are also going to ignore Constitutional matters on review, after the fact, where they have placed many of these guarantees meant for SMJ Analysis **BEFORE** any actions are taken by the Judges.

This problem can be resolved by **1. Forcing Judges to analyze SMJ Adjudication before they take a case; 2. Forcing all Guarantees of the Constitution prerequisite/conditions precedent into their rightful position again as being analysed before Judges take a case. 3. Removing the Supremes as the final say on Disciplining**

306

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Judges and the People take over that role as this is not a separation within the power to perform secret, hearings and disciplines if any. **4.** Make the punishments for violations by Judges, especially intentional violations, **very severe** to stop the Public Trust problem and people being so abused and ruined by these aggressive courts pursuing with great vigor and over zealousness, with no law to support them, the riches of others to redistribute to themselves and others in the LMCE. **5.** Return to making all law and facts determinable by the Jury as it was before the Courts changed it. **6.** And finally, to remove another gift to the judges and government from the judges, by Unconstitutionally writing into the Constitution any type of immunity where the Courts never had power to do so. **7.** Disbar the Bar Monopoly. . .no more licenses, no more greedy attorneys being part of the Aristocratic/Groomed elite/wannabees. There are other changes that need to be made to return the system to what it was before all this corruption, but these are a great start and there would be little need for appeals if the courts understand the penalties for involving themselves in LMCE or other illegal activities. ALL JUDGES ARE VOTED IN BY THE PEOPLE ONLY WITH NO FUNDING, BUT TAX PAYER LIMITED FNDING NOR POLITICAL AFFILIATION ATTACHED TO THE JUDGES. They work for the People as do all other state, county and local officials.

On review of any SMJ errors in guaranteed abridge/infringed upon, these Constitutional Guarantees become mere **“suggestions,”** so that they are not guarantees at all, as all three of these cases have also done to Guaranteed Rights, that cannot be abridge or

307

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

infringed upon, or in any way taken from the People, are indeed abridged, infringed and then stolen from the litigant/People under this fraudulent eraser of the Constitutional requirement that all cases must be heard ONLY after the Court/Judge determines he has the power to even sit in that case, through evaluating his sincerely held belief in his Oath, that guarantees litigants rights in the defending of the Constitutions as a Condition Precedent/Prerequisite to the Court/Judge hearing the matter at all.

Returning the Courts to their earlier status will solve the problem with Judges deciding they are not going to follow the law because they have cover from the lack of Accountability, Integrity and Transparency and **can do as though whilst which is the whole of the law, for defiant Judges, just like their past ancestry.** And any other opposition to these restorations are Challenged as Unconstitutional also.

The proper evaluation of SMJ Adjudication as a **Condition Precedent/Prerequisite**, also means that any real and genuine error by the Court/judge. . .it is never treated as harmless and is quickly corrected by that same Court/Judge to show their sincerely held belief in their Oath. **Otherwise, severe penalties await that Judge/Court for not upholding their Oaths and presiding over a case they had no power to preside over, and all their rulings against the innocent are void ab initio as of law, and they are investigated publicly and prosecuted with several penalties, to include the loss of pension, fines, restitutions, and prison among other penalties. WITHOUT REMEDY THERE IS NO LAW.**

Among all constitutional debates that may arise, **the government approved narrative regarding the intent of the Framers to protect the illustrative list and other guarantees of the Constitution from expansive government power that will natural try to take more power, if not limited by many means, including checks and balances/separation of powers, and so forth, is not debatable. They knew that the Federal or State governments could consolidate and scratch each others backs and get what they all wanted by siding together against the people. And no Oath alone would stop that, but only protecting the guarantees from the government would give the People a chance to oversee their government.**

There is absolutely NO CHANCE that the FRAMERS were going to trust the government to stay in line based on a promise alone, and that government would not continue to power grab any chance they got (See *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803), and also **Pierson v. Ray**, 386 U.S. 547 (1967), (and *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349 (1978), as three ridiculous examples of Unconstitutional Judicial Coups in America, destroying Constitutional Law and the guaranteed rights of the People in favor of consolidated governments protecting each other). See *infra*.

According to U.S. and S.C. Government History governments promote: Power and money grabs by government (whether state or federal), were huge concerns of the Framers who were mostly aristocrats concerned with their own power, and understood how government worked and P-Appellant’s provided samples of what a few of the Framers

309

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

and other leaders have stated in the facts. **They didn’t mind if they were in power to have unfettered power. They were concerned about when they might not be in power and others power is lorded over them. The original intent was and is clear** when the Constitution and surrounding documents were written (See Federalist/Antifederalist arguments, Cato Documents, and so forth).³² These analyses about Judges and Courts prior actions regarding sua sponte SMJ Adjudication analysis BEFORE accepting to hear a case, must be **conditions precedent and prerequisite/condition precedents** to any **SMJ analysis, i.e., Adjudication.**

As is clear from the Analysis and common sense, Jurisdiction must be determined **BEFORE** the merits or procedural process are received or heard in the case itself. Courts must examine jurisdiction Sua sponte and not wait for a challenge as it is their duty to not abridge or infringe on the People’s guaranteed rights or engage in **Arbitrary Power or Usurpation**, however, if the Judges/Courts are actually part or all of the reason for a SMJ challenge, because they are involved in a **Feigned/Colluded case** that is not justiciable, under color of law, like herein with Murdaugh, Asbestos cases, or with P-Appellant’s case that helps prove the collusion/ RACKETEERING, they certainly do **NOT** have the jurisdiction to review their own actions and a neutral body must independently analyze this process, and they certainly cannot determine this Challenge or move to strike/vacate and find Void ab initio, while preserving the rights of the

³² In SC, since the silent coup of SC, where the Aristocrats became firmly entrenched in SC, with their groomed Elites/Trainees, they no longer needed to protect rights of People, but for themselves only, against the people, thus the move of Adjudication rights to review suggestions if the King so granted them reviewed, which in Feigned/Colluded cases, will be never.

innocent (**Nemo judex in causa sua**), *In re Murchinson*, 340 U.S. 133. 136 (1955). **"A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process no man can be a judge in his own case. . . no man is permitted to try cases where he has an interest in the outcome."** See also, *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 523 (1927).

Further, **"the Due Process Clause is implemented by objective standards that do not require proof of actual bias."** *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.* The massive amounts of evidence just summarized in the facts, about the conflicts of interests selected through the courts obvious orchestration as there is no chance it happens otherwise, the Feigned/Colluded appealable issues given by Becky Hill hiding her kinship to Murdaugh, with all the State/Defense/Courts knowing about it. . .and her very poor acting job to make sure her Feigned/Colluded violations were extremely obvious and loud, with "Matlock-like" motives about a book, are humorous if they were not true. And that goes for Gergel's appealable issue for Laffitte and the SC Courts/AG's office reducing Laffitte's sentence even further. . .again, if it were not true.

The reasonable man can also see the clear bias, impropriety and criminality in the Asbestos cases creating their own victims through unlawful procedure, just like in P-Appellants' case. There is no due process here, and there were never SMJ analysis in any of these cases at the Supremes nor the Appeals Courts, because the Feigning was planned in the Trial Court first and handed off for the finishing touches with the higher courts, but for these wrenches thrown in that

311

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

complicate the Courts who then have to fix the filings and ignore the granddaddy of all Motions. .

.the SMJ Challenge (and its Fraud on the court by the Court filing).

Additionally, under due process, **A CHALLENGER TO SMJ NEEDS TO BE GIVEN REASONABLE NOTICE AND A RIGHT TO BE HEARD BEFORE THE COURT TAKES ANY ACTION IN THE CASE IN ISSUE.** The Courts instead reviewed, hide the filing for two days, then mislabeled the filing and ruled on a completely moot and withdrawn motion while hearing the Murdaugh case. **Ex. 2-5.**

Any arguments that claim all of these Constitutional Guarantees are only to be heard on review, are Unconstitutional arguments, based on what was just said about intent, keeping guarantees, guarantees, not allowing courts to abridge or infringe on these guarantees, holding each judges to sincerely held Oaths that require the Judges to uphold and defend the Constitutions, be neutral, not be involved in or allow Feigned/Colluded cases, Cases not in Controversy as called non-judicial in SC, and all other guarantees of the Constitution to the People, with limits on the State/Federal Government through the Supremacy Clauses and State Court.

Either the Government is going to stick to its own history narrative and Intent must matter, or it is going to show it a liar again, and say that was not an issue for the alleged Framers. Under the Government's version, they are committing power grabs and are engage in tyranny against the people. That is why the Constitutions are present, can't be changed by the stroke of a pen by limited government to give it more power, and why SMJ Adjudication based on Oaths to defend

312

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

these Constitutions with the People’s guaranteed rights in tact is the only way the government can get its power, and if it shows otherwise, that power is stopped that very moment and the case is void as to the wrongdoers. See *Southern Bank & Trust, Co.* infra.

Further, when judges are being challenged in SMJ, it is too late for them to do a Sua sponte review of themselves as they missed their opportunity to do it as a **CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE** and are only doing it or pretending to do it when caught or challenged. This after the fact review is no long neutral, and especially since the subject of the review involves the people of the Supremes and their Court. Once there is a challenge to SMJ, **JUDGES DO NOT GET A “MULLIGAN”** and are not only deciding whether to find themselves in violation or not in violation against the challenge, but this review does not protect from the intentional violations allowing Judges to review themselves when they know they have been caught and then proceed to not file, misfile later, and rule on moot issues instead of the SMJ/Fraud on the Court by the Court Motions. **Ex. 2-5.**

Lawyers in Murdaugh, Asbestos cases, and in P-Appellant’s case, all Defaulted on their Answer in Appeal and/or Supremes Court: Not only do neutral judges need to review, not selected by the offender Judges, but there is no pass, at any time during any appeal or challenge where the opposition lawyers do not answer the Motion and allow Judges to answer for them.

This again violates **Neutrality**, and takes away the P-Appellant or Appellants full due process where they Motion, the opposition makes their own arguments and the P-Appellant or Appellants replies. By the Court arguing for the opposition, it takes away the reply that P-Appellant or

313

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Appellants might make, to clarify obvious errors or misstatements of the law, which is another violation of Due Process in the Structure of the process.

A Judge cannot act as a prosecutor or take on other roles or he loses his neutrality. In *re* Murchinson, @136. **“Due Process is violated where the same individual serves as Investigator, Prosecutor and Judge as no man can be a judge in his own case, and the constitution forbids even the probability of bias.”** *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S., 133, 136 (1955); *Williams v. Pennsylvania*, 579 U.S. 1, 8 (2016). South Carolina is not silent with several cases, including, *In re Corbin*, 294 S.C. 331, 364 S.E. 2d 744, 745 (1988). “A judge must avoid all impropriety, and appearance of impropriety.” It is not an “Or” where only appearance matters.

And from the Canons, **Rule 501, SCACR, Canon 1-Integrity and Independence:** Rule 1.1: “A judge shall uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary...”; **Canon 2-Impartiality and Avoidance of Impropriety; Rule 2.2-Impartiality and Fairness:** “A judge shall uphold and apply the law, and shall perform all duties of judicial office fairly and impartially.”; **Rule 2.3-Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment:** “A judge shall perform the duties of judicial office... without bias or prejudice.” **Rule 2.11-Disqualification (CRITICAL):** “A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned...” This has definitely been shown that goes far beyond a reasonable person’s questions. . . **This includes:** Judge has prior involvement; Judge has personal knowledge of disputed facts; Judge has acted in another role connected to the case; Judges Kin or close Entrenchments that lead to one goal that is not Constitutional, and other such matters.

314

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

In summary, both the United States and South Carolina Constitutions require a **“neutral and detached judge,”** and prohibit any proceeding in which the adjudicator has acted as investigator, accuser, or advocate. See *State v. George*, 323 S.C. 496, 476 S.E.2d 903, 905 (1996); *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955). South Carolina law further mandates that a judge **“shall disqualify himself...” in any proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned.’** Rule 2.11, Rule 501, SCACR. Even the appearance of impropriety is forbidden. *In re Corbin*, 294 S.C. 331, 364 S.E.2d 744, 745 (1988).

Entrenchment and recusal: Additionally, with the **extreme entrenchment, P-Appellant’s** have shown the LMCE scheme run in their own, P-Appellant case that Murdaugh was running, that the asbestos cases had dealt with in structure at least, and P-Appellant’s and Asbestos companies in good standing had the **“Discovery-Sanction strategy run on them (when P-Appellant’s defendants have still not turned over Discovery after 6 years with no sanctions). . .literally in Asbestos cases for the Court to gain control of a company without liens, and P-Appellant’s in Judge McIntosh’s desperate effort to save the LMCE himself with the help of the Supremes, by doing an evidentiary hearing/default/sanction on P-Appellant’s to dismiss them. Both ended the opportunity for litigants to protect their own interest and the LMCE to steal from them and their Carriers, in truly “toxic” strategies. Murdaugh didn’t have to worry because his case was feigned in his favor.**

This Aristocratic plundering of the People through color of law, is the way of SC, who “parade SC’s tradition of laws designed for corruption.” Other states will feel SC shame if it were them.

315

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

SC continues in their defiance they learned from their Aristocratic Heritage of “though they breathe, they hope, and thinking of the public as “mind and resources”. . . using the two Motto’s on the SC flag from the early Aristocratic/Slave days where the People look at those days with shame, but the Aristocrats and their Groomed Elite look at those days as the good ol’ days, that they are continuing to live today, using the public as their cash cow and the Courts as their processing plant to redistribute wealth to them through the LMCE.

In SC, there will be no fair hearing of Murdaugh (a feigned case for his benefit), no asbestos cases where the greed and defiance of the law will stop the Courts from judging fully fairly, or the P-Appellants cases that has experienced these Murdaugh and Asbestos strategies and is shedding light on to the cases criminality, and P-Appellant’s efforts at the trial court to save their case from such criminality showed these Courts they would not quit and their case tells the public and the other cases what is actually going on in SC.

When a judge(s) is coordinating with one side, or both attorney sides, but not a litigant(s) being targeted, so that party is excluded or a judge(s) is/are manipulating law/procedure to control the outcome, this implicates some of the strongest, mandatory canons in South Carolina. These are feigned cases that do not have SMJ and cannot be heard by the Judges/Courts in question, nor can the SMJ challenge be heard by them as they must recuse.

CANONS where NO EX PARTE is permissible / NO SIDE DEALING: Rule 2.9 – Ex Parte Communications (Rule 501, SCACR). “A judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications... concerning a pending or impending matter..” _ If a judge is. . .communicating

316

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

with opposing counsel or parties **without all parties and litigants if there is collusion**, OR coordinating proceedings outside your presence. These cases must be orchestrated as there are way too many moving parts to be mere coincidences, and the behavior of the Courts when they are challenged is self-evident of corruption in the Courts when they break even more laws to avoid their duties to be fair and honorable. _That is a **direct, per se violation** of Rule 2.9. This is present in all three cases addressed herein.

IMPARTIALITY Plus NO RIGGING THE CASE: Rule 2.2- Impartiality and Fairness... “A judge shall uphold and apply the law, and shall perform all duties... fairly and impartially.”

Rule 2.4(A)-External Influences: “A judge shall not be swayed by public clamor, fear of criticism, or by... relationships or interests...”

Rule 2.4(B): “A judge shall not permit... others to convey the impression that any person or organization is in a position to influence the judge.”

Therefore, **in all three cases**, attorneys or insiders are **“working with” the judge**, and outcomes are pre-arranged, that violate **Rule 2.4**.

FOLLOW THE LAW (NO MAKING IT UP): Rule 2.2 (again-critical): “A judge shall uphold and apply the law...” Even just on the SMJ challenge alone, absolutely no law is being followed which again shows the self-evident scienter of the Court and Clerk to knowingly break the law and hide from the public the challenge and what the challenge says.

A judge **cannot ignore controlling law**; A judge **cannot invent procedure to reach a desired result**; A judge **cannot misapply law intentionally**. It is obvious in the SMJ Challenge that they

317

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

are more than willing to invent procedure, but also in P-Appellant's and the Asbestos cases, creating a fake claim/default/lien to get rid of someone's case or defense through fake evidentiary hearings/sanctions and fake Discovery sanctions, is truly one of the most disgusting of the disgusting things corrupt courts do, showing they are in on the fraud and will stop at nothing to get their millions and billions including ruining someone's financially or otherwise. Defiance and Greed are deadly to the victims. . .and those who have survived a Judge Hit. . .a murder for hire according to the Defendants/Proxies, shows that there is no shame, conscience, nor any integrity in the SC Court and Government system. It is a criminal enterprise, acting just like a mafia would act. Acting as such is way more than a huge **abuse of authority plus the constitutional violation that attach.. . it is prison time and worse, if the system were not controlled by these LMCE perpetrators.**

DISQUALIFICATION (MANDATORY)

Rule 2.11(A): “A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned...” **This situation Includes, but is not limited to situations where:** Judge(s) has **off-record involvement;** Judge has **aligned with one side;** Judge has **personal knowledge of disputed facts** In the facts herein and in the records attached by reference, recusal is not optional, IT IS REQUIRED.

INTEGRITY Plus NO SHAM PROCEEDINGS: Rule 1.1- Integrity and Independence

“A judge shall uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary...”

Rule 1.2–Public Confidence. P-Appellant’s understand there is very little confidence in the **SC Government, especially the courts, however**, “A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the... impartiality of the judiciary.” A **“feigned” or staged proceeding** destroys public confidence and violates both rules.

SOUTH CAROLINA DISCIPLINE CASE ARE VERY IMPORTANT

In re Corbin, 294 S.C. 331, 364 S.E.2d 744, 745 (1988), the court stated that “A judge must avoid all impropriety and appearance of impropriety.” Even the **appearance** of back-channel coordination is sanctionable, WHICH BOTH OF THESE APPLY TO ALL THREE CASES.

FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL BACKSTOP

In re Murchison, “A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.”

If a proceeding is **pre-arranged, coordinated, or manipulated**, it is not a tribunal-it’s a **due process violation** and a violation of SMJ Adjudication as it is a requirement of any judge/court accepting the case, that they are swearing to uphold the Constitutions/Bills of Rights as a Condition Precedent/Prerequisite, not as a suggestion after the fact, if the Judge gets caught and can’t get his LMCE to protect him, like what is happening in P-Appellant’s feigned case.

Feigned cases are covered by Rule 2.9 (ex parte communications); Rule 2.4 (improper influence); Rule 1.2 (public confidence); and Rule 2.11 (mandatory disqualification).

Canons OBVIOUSLY speak against ignoring or making up law:

Judges ignoring or making up law/procedure to “win”: Rule 2.2 (**must apply the law**): Rule 1.1 (**integrity of judiciary**); Rule 2.4 (**no improper influence or manipulation**)

319

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

THERE IS **NO DISCRETION** TO DISREGARD CONTROLLING LAW. See, all three feigned cases and the same examples with the latter two: The evidentiary hearing/default/sanction, and the Discovery/Default/Sanction as examples. In Murdaugh, there are many violations here, but the biggest elephant in the room is the government and its agents cannot feign cases for its fellow Aristocrats in the LMCE.

Therefore, **“A judge may not conduct or participate in a proceeding shaped by ex parte coordination, nor may the court disregard or fabricate law to achieve a predetermined outcome. Such conduct violates Rule 2.2 (requiring application of law), Rule 2.9 (prohibiting ex parte communications), and Rule 2.11 (mandating disqualification), Rule 501, SCACR, and destroys the ‘fair tribunal’ required by due process. *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955); *In re Corbin*, 294 S.C. 331, 364 S.E.2d 744, 745 (1988).”**

Based on all these reasons alone, plus the entrenchment and extremely ridiculous Aristocratic names that are appointed as “players” in the adjudication of both Murdaugh and P-Appellant’s case and the Asbestos scams, that could never be considered coincidences and their appointments by the state/federal courts and their actions taken clearly show an complex and orchestrated **LONG CON** by the Courts, state, Defense and Defendants in Murdaugh and P-Appellant’s case, and real Plaintiffs and many Corporations in the Asbestos case, to manipulate the public through a bait and switch and twisting of the law, facts, and Feigned/Colluded case that are not justiciable, **this Supreme Court Appeal must be struck, vacated and found Void ab initio against the wrong doers while preserving innocent rights of those abused, like P-**

320

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Appellant’s, Murdaugh real victims, and real Plaintiffs and Corporations wronged in the Asbestos state scams. The wrong doers include, Murdaugh, the State/Defense lawyers of Murdaugh, and the STATE ITSELF, UP TO AND INCLUDING THIS SUPREME COURT AND ALL OTHERS IN THE LMCE. Murdaugh must serve his time without further State manipulation who were planning to further use Laffitte strategies or other fraudulent procedures and processes, and the investigation into the other persons or businesses surrounding the Murdaugh and Murdaugh/Fleming case must be looked at with fresh eyes by Neutral Investigators, including looking into all lawyers, carriers, banks, Judges, Receivers, Laffitte contingency that keeps appearing, Becky Hill, Supreme Court Judges, Toal, Odom, Mullen, Receivers, and others, and in P-Appellant’s case, Heath P. Taylor, McIntosh, Maddox, Sprouse, all lawyers, Allianz, and others also **(See Ex., 1, and FN___)**. This is a case not in controversy, and a Feigned/ Colluded case is **not justiciable** based on this SMJ challenge and otherwise.

The same goes for the Asbestos Cases and P-Appellant’s case, where the innocent and their rights are protected, but the case is void as to the perpetrators. Neutral Judges, if their be any in SC, must step forward and properly clean up their state starting with these cases.

In Murdaugh, the SC Supreme Court swept under the rug this entire staged process and did not follow the Constitution where the **P-Appellant’s filing to the Supremes was hidden** from the public after review, and the Murdaugh case went forward anyway without one word from the Court that there was a SMJ Challenge filed and reviewed according to the

321

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Clerk, and that it had to be heard before any further action was taken in Murdaugh. Ex.1, Ex. 2-5.

This bias of the SC Supreme Court is further self-evident, as lacking neutrality, when the Court went out of their way to purposely hide the SMJ filing for two days and heard the Murdaugh case anyway, and they are stalling and still contemplating a decision on Murdaugh it in spite of the SMJ filing that was then disguised as another motion on purpose and never heard.

Subject-matter jurisdiction cannot be waived, even with the Parties consent. The lack of SMJ renders orders void and all portions of any rulings made must be struck down as void ab initio. Murdaugh filings and hearings and outcomes are all void ab initio and this process cannot be undone or cured. It is already void and all Murdaugh Appeal must be stricken from the record and dismissed based on all the reasons given herein in the fact section giving rise to a legitimate claim for a Feigned/Colluded case involving the State/Court/Defense to begin working on fellow Aristocrat/Elite release or great reduction in time given and conviction, just like was done in Laffitte.

When a court/Judges lacks SMJ jurisdiction, its actions are beyond lawful authority and they are acting ultra vires. The violation of SMJ existed at the time of assignment to the SC Supreme Court and its conflicted and Feigned/Colluding Judges, as the fix was already in at the trial and orchestrated by the SC LMCE to including the Supreme Court. P-Appellant's are not contesting the trial because the jury has spoken and were only interfered with by a

322

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

plant. . . , in a predetermined fix using Kin of Murdaugh, Becky Hill as Murdaugh’s appeal-making machine, to give him the very appeal the Defense is using to try to get a new trial on fake and created evidence. The jury decision is final as fraud on the court by the court to create causes of action or causes to appeal is a part of Judges taking their oaths to uphold and defend the Constitution against these very acts. The jury has spoken and the sentences are already in place and the State/Court/Defense has no right to interfere and are up for serious discipline by a neutral Disciplinary system not a part of the LMCE and for prosecution of many crimes.

Using Fraud to make way for a fake appeal of the Murdaugh case where all are friends not adversaries, is not a Constitutional way to proceed and in fact is criminal to be involved in such matters. Jurisdiction is granted by the Constitution and Feigned/Colluded and cases not in controversy known as nonjusticiable case cannot be heard for lack of SMJ in Adjudication. Those cases are VOID ab initio at the Supreme Court level as challenged, and all filings, hearings and any opinion given must be stricken.

In P-Appellant’s own case, which shows the criminal strategies and actual crimes of Murdaugh/Laffitte/Fleming/Mullen/Odom and many others as mentioned in the facts, using the same strategy as McIntosh/Maddox/Sprouse/Taylor/Lawyers PLUS ALLIANZ used in P-Appellants case, make the Murdaugh Feigned/Colluded case and appeal void ab initio also, with Aristocrat Becky Hill providing the appealable issues, a kin of Murdaugh. The lack of a genuine investigation, limiting anything that could get to the truth, using plea

deals and fake appealable issues to help Laffitte and Murdaugh through these aristocratic networks, and so forth, is overwhelmingly showing a criminal network making the LMCE.

In P-Appellant’s own case, there Feigned/Colluded case calls for an automatic entry of judgment for Plaintiff and other damages that make them whole as a terminating sanction against the LMCE and all those who played a part in it at the trial and Appeal level and also at the Supreme Court level with a totally bogus Writ of Mandamus Motion P-Appellant’s filed with them while still at the Trial Court level. These Feigned/Colluded fraudsters involved cannot benefit from their own very unclean hands, as an equity and inherent powers action and other remedies that need to be granted also from a neutral arbiter outside the Supreme Court and Appeals Court, which P-Appellants have addressed outside of this Court.

Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985):

Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Therefore, if attorneys and/or Judges are complicit in collusion against a Plaintiff, **the court must craft a remedy that protects the Plaintiff/victim**, not reward the perpetrators with dismissal.

“Jurisdiction is power to declare the law, and when it ceases to exist the only function remaining to the court is that of announcing the fact and dismissing the

324

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

cause.” *Ex parte McCardle*, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514 (1869), . . . and to not allow unclean hands to benefit in any way, add equitable relief to protect innocent persons like P-Appellants the victims of Murdaugh, through reinvestigation of Murdaugh and independent review of the Receivership and all records and files with all powers of an agent investigating frauds and crimes against the people by the Courts and their agents in the LMCE, and the victims of the Asbestos case (real Plaintiffs, and Corporations being used Unconstitutionally by the State itself to drum up its own claims Sua Sponte through its agents). “A judgment rendered in the absence of jurisdiction is void.” *United States v. Corrick*, 298 U.S. 435, 440 (1936).

“Where there is no jurisdiction there can be no judgment.” *Valley v. N. Fire & Marine Ins. Co.*, 254 U.S. 348, 353 (1920). See also, *Marbury v. Madison*, and the *Unclean Hands doctrine in protecting victims of these Feigned/Colluded cases*. See, **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co.**, 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

What Types of Jurisdiction are there? As the U.S. Supremes stated, there are many types of jurisdiction, *Steele*. But P-Appellant’s are focusing on one of two popular types, in contrast to what many have been made to believe there is only one type of SMJ (Topic), that

325

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

concerns SMJ. SMJ Adjudication exists and must be a prerequisite/condition precedent for any evaluation of a Courts/Judges power to exercise judicial authority over that case.

SUPREME COURT CHIEF KITTRIDGE AND THE OTHERS DIRECTED CLERK OF COURT NOT TO FILE SMJ/ AND THE CLERK FOLLOWED KNOWINGLY UNLAWFUL ORDERS WHICH ARE ALL FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT/CLERK AND THE APPEAL BY THE PARTIES WHO CAUSED THIS ACTOIN IS VOID AB INITIO: IT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED THAT THE STATE, COURT, DEFENSE, MURDAUGH ARE ALL INVOLVED IN THIS FEIGNED CASE THAT IS ALREADY NOT JUDICIABLE.

In this, the Court directed the clerk to not file P-Appellant's motion challenging the Supremes Jurisdiction, and fraud on the court by the court, early on February 11, 2026, BEFORE the Court then went forward with the Murdaugh hearing anyway. The Clerk complied with this unlawful Order knowing it was unlawful and an obstruction of justice.

The Court then directed the Clerk of to file the motions two days later, after the Court already heard the Murdaugh case, directed her to call the Motion Challenging SMJ and Fraud on the Court by the Court, by another name so the public would not know of the SMJ challenge, where the Clerk knowing it was obstruction of justice did as ordered.

Two of the Four Motions were immediately made moot and notice given to the Court they were withdrawn by this court/clerk malfeasance and criminality by the Supremes and their Clerk of Courts, and only the first two motions . . .SMJ Challenge and Fraud on the court remained active, even though mislabeled by the Court, and the Courts/Clerk were in

326

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

violation of proper procedure on the most important motions they could face in a case that demanded immediate cessation and a Neutral Tribunal had to hear the Motions with Due process afforded to P-Appellants of Noce and a meaningful Right to be heard at a meaningful time and place, before any further action could be taken with Murdaugh. At the very least, new Judges had to be appointed not just to hear the Motions, but to hear Murdaugh's appeal, if any.

P-Appellant's were notified that the State/Defense did not respond to the two motions (on SMJ, Fraud on the Court by the Court), which should end up in a default of the Murdaugh appeal.

Instead, the Court eventually ruled but only on one of the two long ago withdrawn motions that they filed everything under, that P-Appellant's made moot due to Court/Clerk malfeasance, as if these two minor motions withdrawn, were the reason for P-Appellant's filing, to hide the real motions and criminal actions of the court and clerk. These long-ago withdrawn Motions took away any SMJ the Court otherwise would have had, so the Courts were not permitted by law to rule on them.

All of these bad and criminal actions by the Supremes/Clerks/Defense/State shows clear scienter that the Court/Clerk/Defense/State are intentionally violating and obstructing justice because they have all have interests in the Aristocratic Murdaugh case and cannot allow a real challenge that takes the control away from the feigned/colluded case from these Feigned ACTORS in their LMCE away and exposes the LMCE and collusion

327

... SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

in the case to keep Aristocratic Murdaugh free from much punishment and lands all of them in prison with Murdaugh. **Ex. 1, Ex. 2-5.**

In this extremely illegal and Unconstitutional series of illegal acts by the Supreme Court and the Clerk and the co-conspirators/Racketeers, under State direction, they have violated SC Constitution, Article I, § 14 (Open Courts Clause), “All courts shall be public...” This guarantees: Public access to filings; Transparent court records; No secret adjudication is one of the checks and balances the People own so that LMCE cannot run in SC in secret, which is what has gotten SC in so much trouble here and will not end with this Document because they would not treat P-Appellant’s case properly and forced P-Appellants to look deeper into all the reasons why. Their Trial Court, and the Appeals Court use the same tricks and are a part of the same LMCE, that gives all tax-free profit at the public cash cow’s expense.

Rule 5(d), SCRCP on Filing Requirements: “All papers after the complaint required to be served upon a party shall be filed with the court...” The clerk is a ministerial officer. The clerk does not get to decide the merits before filing, nor does the Court, and it cannot legally direct the Clerk to act Unconstitutionally for its self-serving reasons, which shows they have no SMJ. A clerk’s refusal to file a proper document whether saving her job, or being an active participant of the LMCE, is improper and violates filing format requirements and obstructs Justice and may make a guilty man go free.

Rule 10(a), SCRPC on Caption Requirements in Filings: “Every pleading shall contain a caption setting forth the name of the court, the title of the action, the file number...”

If the court through its employees, alters captions, misidentifies parties, or hides the filer, that conflicts with Rule 10.

Subject-Matter Jurisdiction in South Carolina Cannot be waived; Can be raised at any time; Can be raised by the court sua sponte and “[l]ack of subject matter jurisdiction may be raised at any time, **even for the first time on appeal.**” *State v. Gentry*, 363 S.C. 93, 610 S.E.2d 494 (2005).

If a filing challenges SMJ, **the court must address it before any other matter is heard,** and if it is about the Court/Judges themselves, **Neutral Judges must be selected for at least that hearing with notice/right to be heard by P-Appellant’s.** If found to be enough to see potential bias alone, the Court would have to step aside for Neutral Judges to take over. **The Court/Clerk cannot bury it, relabel it, or ignore it procedurally.** *Dove v. Gold Kist, Inc.*, 314 S.C. 235, 442 S.E.2d 598 (1994).

“Clerks of court perform ministerial duties and have no judicial authority.”

State ex rel. McLeod v. Crowe, 249 S.C. 519, 155 S.E.2d 369 (1967). That means they **must file papers presented** in proper form before any further review. . .a judge does not first get to review and refuse to file it until later and under the wrong name; They **cannot refuse**

329

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

filing because they disagree; They cannot conceal filings; They cannot change identity of filing/filer.

If they do, normally a remedy is **mandamus**, however, since the **Supreme Court is the violator directing their clerk who is willing to take unlawful Orders**, and **P-Appellant’s have seen their ridiculous response to obvious Unconstitutional actions of the Trial Judge in their prior Writ of Mandamus to the Supremes anyway**, that only made **matters worse, this was not an option.** Normally, “Mandamus will issue to compel a public officer to perform a ministerial duty.” *Riverwoods, LLC v. County of Charleston*, 349 S.C. 378, 563 S.E.2d 651 (2002). When Supremes are the ones ordering the Obstruction of Justice, . . .well. . .common sense will not allow P-Appellant’s to waste more time and money when they are already dealing with fighting disability and elder abuse in the Appeals Court to try to dismiss on Unlawful Grounds, as they all tag-team the abuse of P-Appellant’s.

However, by law, Obfuscation or Refusal to Docket, is a better avenue to present the willingness of the Supreme Court who oversees ethics for all courts in SC, caught in Obstruction of Justice to save Aristocratic Murdaugh and the LMCE. This Motions shows the lack of SMJ of these Judges and agents/LMCE participation with obvious scienter and interests in the outcome.

So, if the Supremes/Appels Court/Trial Courts in P-Appellant’s case refuses to docket a jurisdictional challenge, hides the identity of a filer, fails to timestamp properly,

330

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

delays filing to allow ruling first, that implicates further intent in fixing the Murdaugh case and ignoring Lack of SMJ Adjudication over the case itself, and then hears the case anyway, and hides and ignores a ruling on the SMJ/Fraud on the Court Motions, which the Court cannot even hear as they cannot hear their own guilt or liability, This amounts to the **Figurative NUCLEAR EXPLOSION BY THE COURT EXPOSING THAT THERE IS NO LAW IT WILL FOLLOW IN SAVING ITS LMCE TAX-FREE PROFIT, THROUGH COURT/GOVERNMENT OLIGARCHICAL RULE WHERE CONSTITUTIONAL LAW IS A MERE SUGGESTION AND RAISES MANY FEDERAL QUESTIONS, INCLUDING THAT SC IS NOT OPERATING AS A CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT WHICH THE COURT MUST HEAR UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES.**

The Courts willingness to ignore **Due Process (SC Const. Art. I § 9), Open Courts (Art. I § 14), Equal Protection concerns, Fraud on the Court by the Court as claimed and shown herein, Mandatory recusal violations,** and so forth ARE TRULY EXPOSURING, SO DESPERATELY, WHAT THEY ARE WANTING TO HIDE. . . THEIR LMCE/ARISTOCRATICLY RUNNING AS A GOVERNMENT UNDER THE RUSE OF A CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC, BUT WHERE ALL LAW IS A MERE SUGGESTION.. Does any reasonable person believe these actions, along with all else herein show a Neutral, Constitutional Court system not involved in tax-free profiteering through a LMCE? Its common sense!

Open Court, S.C. Constitution, Art I § 9, **“All courts shall be public, . . .”. They must have Transparent proceedings (something Trial Court Sprouse would not allow),**

331

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Access to filings, Public Orders, No secret adjudicatory mechanisms.” The SC Trial, Appeal and the Supreme Court lost on all these points in P-Appellant’s case, Murdaugh and Asbestos Docket scams. When Courts suppress filings, use non-entered “orders,” us procedural burying of cases without lawful explanation, then the rule is violated and the SMJ Adjudication is violated when the case is feigned or colluded in, at the start, or at the time that the Courts/State Actors and others decided to participate in such crimes/Obstruction of Justice.

Once the State of SC is cleaned up more, these claims and proofs can be used to prosecute and imprison as P-Appellant’s are keeping meticulous records and only showing what they have to in these Motions.

South Carolina appellate courts, have reversed when procedural “irregularities” affected fairness in the past, but they are also involved in these same irregularities and outright lies ignoring all law in P-Appellant’s case to bury it as a part of this Orchastration of three cases being reviewed by the higher courts at the ame time where P-Appellants case tells on the Courts structure, their intent, their crimes, and how they do these crimes through their LMCE/Aristocratic/Groom Elite entrenchment.

Until the LMCE is cleaned up, Neutral Judges/Courts must be used to implement real, Constitutional Justice, And the punishments for such absurd and extremely unconstitutional actions by the LMCE must be harsh. **The clean up is coming either with or without the government cooperation using all legal, nonviolent ways to do so.** See

332

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Operation Greylord, which is not even close to this degree of Unconscionability is a good example of Judges starting to get their just desserts for violating the People in such unconscionable, defiant, and greedy ways.

Because the Court Intentionally Avoids SMJ Review, and is not even treating this as a structural due process issue that can be heard after the fact, which would be wrong and instead is erasing the SMJ/Fraud Challenge in the ways of the **Orwellian, “Ministry of Truth,”³³** when it is clearly a SMJ Adjudication Issue based on Fraud on the Court, **Equal Protection, financial interests in the case, neutrality, defiance of Oath,** and so forth, then among other things, the Court is showing they have such interests that they can’t let the case be heard in any other way than a fully fixed-scripted manner and outcome, and that is **self-evident from their behavior and Common Sense Logic!**

South Carolina does recognize that courts must have this Jurisdiction spoken of herein, in Subject Matter Jurisdiction in adjudication. They must have Impartial tribunal, due process, justiciability and Lawful authority through SMJ Adjudication. They just have to treat these matters as they claim. . .they are all SMJ issues and are **Conditions Precedent/Prerequisites before a Court/Judges can ever receive Constitutional power to hear a case.** They do not have such power, and also ignoring the challenge and never responding, showing they know they can’t let the people know they are not permitted to go

33

forth and the reasons supplied herein, makes them not have SMJ Adjudication again. .. not just in the case the first time, before the Challenge.

When procedural manipulation prevents SMJ adjudication, then that is the **Denial of adjudicatory authority and Structural constitutional defect**, which are all **conditions precedent/prerequisites** to a Court/judge having any power to hear a case to begin with. That is not an after thought to be heard later as a mere suggestion.

1. **P-Appellants are Demanding a finding on** the filing and hearing notice of hearing on hearing at a reasonable time and manners, to be issued by record emailing herein on record as “irregularity” and Fraud on the Court by the Court, and
2. Rule 60(b)(4), SCRPC — Void judgment (lack of jurisdiction) that was raised in the original challenge and is renewed herein.
3. And the Court purposely not providing an Order to try to block an appeal by further manipulating the Court rules to their advantage as a weapon, and showing their interests in the outcome.
4. The Case must be voided against Murdaugh and Rights and Remedies issued for the real victims.
5. Prosecution of those involved in this feigned case must go forward with severe penalties for all the fraudsters.

There is no lawful authority for a Court/Clerk Concealing a filing; Mislabeling a jurisdictional challenge; Refusing to docket a proper paper; Hiding the identity of the

334

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

filing/filer; Delaying filing to rule first. The Courts/Clerks among all the other crimes, RACKETEERING violation of Civil Rights, Sherman Act violations, LMCE creation in an Oligarchy, and so forth, if all violates: SC Const. Art. I §§ 9 & 14; Rule 5 & 10 SCRCP; Ministerial duty principles; Mandamus standards; Void judgment doctrine among many other laws.

This writing is not about other Causes of Action claims and remedies that can be made by P-Appellants, the victims of Murdaugh, or Asbestos victims, but is a challenges on Subject Matter Jurisdiction/Fraud on the court Motion to Strike, Vacate, proclaim Murdaugh’s **appeal Void ab initio by Neutral Judges**, AND provide **Equitable Relief for the victims** of such a **Feigned/Collusive/Nonjusticiable Appeal**, where ALL Court processes MUST stop, until this matter is resolved as described, and a Motion to Strike and make void any actions regarding Murdaugh at the Supreme Court level, unlike what the Unconstitutional SC Supreme Court did in this matter, already making the Murdaugh appeal Void ab initio Determined by Neutral, disinterested Judges, and not any of the LMCE Judges that are making up the Appeals and Supreme Courts and many other Courts in SC. See **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985):** Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

335

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

SMJ Intent: According to the alleged government sponsored history of the U.S. and SC, SMJ analysis was intended by the Framers of the Constitutions to be determined before any judge even accepted a motions or had hearings, on those matters.

The Constitutions cannot be understood without Framer intent being a big part of all analysis and arguments since the Constitutional and other surrounding Documents is/are the Document at issue in any Court case, and what the Framers say must be taken into context as to what the Framers were claiming these Constitutional Clauses were intended to do. The intent cannot be ignored and is to be taken as what they meant in the writings.

If the Court ignores the intent of the writing, the Courts then are rewriting the Constitutions without the SMJ analysis, Unconstitutionally stealing the guaranteed rights of the People, and making them a mere suggestion that the corruption in Courts/Judges may choose to evaluate or just run out the Litigants appeal time, or refuse to file Constitutional issues/motions, rename them and delay them, and thus, under these re-writes, the Unconstitutional SC Courts are intending to make the People's guaranteed rights, into the People having no rights but what the King grants. This is clearly not Constitutional but directly opposed to what a Constitutional Republic form of government provides under the U.S. and State Constitutions, with the Supremacy Clause ruling Due Process of the States via the 14th Amendment through the 5th Amendment. SMJ Adjudication analysis as a **CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE** is REQUIRED under the State and Federal Constitutions through the Judges/Courts commitment to their sworn Oaths of office to

336

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

uphold and defend the Constitutions, in order to acquire SMJ in Adjudication to hear a case, and under Structural Violations of Constitutional law both.

The Lack of or Loss of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, can happen also when a neutral judge is bribed or has other discovered interest during a case, where the Courts/judges are Acting Outside Constitutional Authority.

Where courts operate within such a structurally compromised system, they lose **legitimate adjudicative authority, including but not limited to:** In Feigned/Colluded /nonjusticiable cases, where the proceedings lack neutrality, where Due process and Equal Protections, such as the granting of ADA Reasonable Accommodations are violated, are absent, where Judges become Prosecutors, investigators, and so forth, where there are no open courts, where Judges manipulate procedure to make sure litigants have no chance of presenting meaningfully, where there is selective prosecution, where there is a LMCE/Oligarchy hearing cases, And where Outcomes are predetermined within the enterprise.

A court acting without constitutional authority acts without jurisdiction: A judgment rendered in violation of due process is void.” *World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson*, 444 U.S. 286, 291 (1980).

Thus, under the facts presented, the courts involved lack **subject matter jurisdiction in any constitutional sense**, rendering their acts void against themselves and those they

337

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

protect or conspire with, while the Court protects victims to get their awards, rights, and so forth without penalty.

A court acting without constitutional authority acts without jurisdiction: “A judgment rendered in violation of due process is void.” *World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson*, 444 U.S. 286, 291 (1980). Equity protects the victims of such hearings to enter their judgment as a part of ending the case against the wrong doers.

Other Strong Supreme Court Jurisdiction Cases that form the core jurisdiction doctrine of American constitutional law are: “Jurisdiction is power to declare the law...” *Ex parte McCardle*, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514 (1869).

The rule... is inflexible and without exception which requires this court... to deny its own jurisdiction,” . . .if it were neutral and for Neutral Judges to come in and deny the Supreme Court’s jurisdiction and any other Courts jurisdiction because the case is not justiciable, it is a Feigned/Colluded case orchestrated by fellow entrench Aristocrats/Groomed Elite in SC to protect each other and its LMCE, and for all these reasons given, lacks SMJ Adjustability based on Structural violations of the constitution that MUST be conditions precedent/prerequisite/condition precedents to the analysis, not only, but especially if knowing the case was fixed by those documented in advance. Otherwise, this is a charade, with **REAL STATE ACTORS playing roles in a Courtroom theatre.** *Mansfield, Coldwater & Lake Mich. Ry. Co. v. Swan*, 111 U.S. 379, 382 (1884). “A

338

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

judgment rendered in the absence of jurisdiction is void.” *United States v. Corrick*, 298 U.S. 435, 440 (1936).

Further, the party and the Court invoking this jurisdiction must prove it, and not only after a challenge, and therefore **must answer P-Appellant’s challenged themselves and not rely on the Court to further help them and take away P-Appellant’s reply opportunity**. If not, then the Defense/State have defaulted, and P-Appellants challenge is successful and the case must be stricken from the Supreme Court and all avenues of appeal must be void ab initio. The party is not being forced by the Court to prove jurisdiction, nor is the Court also analyzing same through a neutral set of Judges not picked by the Court and acceptable to P-Appellant’s since more LMCE is apt to be chosen by the Oligarchy. *McNutt v. Gen. Motors Acceptance Corp.*, 298 U.S. 178, 182 (1936).

The Court cannot act as an adjudicator and a prosecutor, investigator or any other position at the same time. They would lose their neutrality if they did so, which the Supremes and the Appeals, and Trial Courts all already have in both the Murdaugh and P-Appellants cases. “A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.” “No man can be a judge in his own case.” A Judge cannot be both prosecutor and judge.” *In re Murchinson* at 133, 136-137 (1955). The Court held that when a Court/judge acts as a prosecutor or accuser and then adjudicates the case, due process is violated.

Courts **cannot decide merits without jurisdiction**. *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Env’t*, 523 U.S. 83, 94 (1998). Murdaugh’s case must be dismissed and his sentence

339

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

served, and Jurisdiction **can never be waived**. *United States v. Cotton*, **535 U.S. 625, 630 (2002)**.

Courts must determine jurisdiction **even if no party raises it**. *Arbaugh v. Y & H Corp.*, 546 U.S. 500, 514 (2006). The fact that P-Appellant's had to spend time challenging SMJ herein, because to win the Murdaugh case, the State/Court/Defense has to Unconstitutionally bury P-Appellant's case and personally destroy P-Appellant's health and weaponize that while denying ADA Disabilities that are mandated by Federal law AND THE SC SUPREME COURT ITSELF, is another clear sign of the Feigned/Colluding/cooperation/orchestration in the Murdaugh case and P-Appellant's case. It's bad enough that the State has taken several years of P-Appellant's lives and chose to steal their award with the help of Allianz and the many other RACKETEERS, when P-Appellants have a very meritorious case with Defendant-Proxy admissions in writing and on recordings, and the P-Appellant's case is being fixed by all involved, but then they have to refuse to return the stolen award and make P-Appellant's whole, and are defending the stealing by burying P-Appellant's case to save Murdaugh and the LMCE too. And all without a peep from the Defense lawyers, as the dirty work is being done by the Courts as prosecutors, another Unconstitutional act as Judges cannot act as prosecutors. This is extremely damaging to P-Appellant's and they need a very large settlement to make them whole, as do the victims without another dime taken by lawyers, even though P-Appellant's

340

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

do not represent them, but can clearly see what these poor citizens went through at the hands of these same ruthless Coup- Aristocrats/Groomed Elites.

“Subject-matter jurisdiction... refers to a tribunal’s power to hear a case.” *Union Pac. R.R. Co. v. Bhd. of Locomotive Eng’rs*, 558 U.S. 67, 81 (2009), it does not say there is only one type of analysis for a Judge to hear the case. . .but there are many types of Jurisdiction analysis for SMJ analysis, see *Steele*. *The only way to protect the guaranteed rights of the People from arbitrary power or usurpation is the prerequisite/CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE/condition precedent analysis that the Framers and early Courts recognized as inherent to the process.*

So among other things listed and described, from the Supreme Court’s jurisdiction jurisprudence case law: **1.** Jurisdiction must be proven affirmatively by the Court and party(s) submitting to it; **2.** Courts must examine jurisdiction sua sponte, BEFORE a Challenger has to Challenge, and then that Courts, especially when they are the subject of the Fraud on the Court/Feigned/Colluded case, cannot hear the challenge; **3.** Parties cannot create jurisdiction by consent; **4.** Jurisdiction must exist before merits are considered; **5.** Orders entered without jurisdiction are void; **6.** A non-neutral tribunal violates due process and the Court cannot adjudicate.

When judges knowingly proceed without jurisdiction, whether initially or at some time later, it becomes a constitutional due-process violation and a void proceeding based on structural violations. If a Court evaluates the SMJ Adjudication analysis BEFORE

341

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

taking the case, he obviously knows that if there is a bias or conflict, which the Court/judges are refusing to make such analysis and push the structural violation to the review level, which if corrupt, then the Litigant will have his Constitutional Guarantees stolen by a crooked court set up to steal the award, inheritance, property, life, liberty and so forth. This would not even make sense. Part of having a fair Court/Judge is that it makes sense.

Fairness as Reason and Logic: In American jurisprudence fairness in judicial rulings is closely tied to reason logic and common sense although courts usually describe this requirement using terms like reasoned decision making rational bases or and the alternative arbitrary and capricious review a ruling that defies reason or common sense can be evidence of a due process violation abuse of discretion or corruption of the court.

United States v. Raddatz, 447 U.S. 667, 683 (1980), **“Due process Requires that the decision maker be neutral and that the decision be reached through a rational process.”** *County of Sacramento v. Lewis*, 523 U.S. 833, 845 (1998), **“The touchstone of due process is protection of the individual against arbitrary action of government.”**

This structural protections must be determined during the initial SMJ Adjudication Analysis stage and all other points thereafter, in order to be a touchstone of due process protections, as the Courts treating it only as an item of review, where a court like the SC Appeals Court/Supreme Court can just refuse to file the Constitutional Motion and all Courts can do likewise, and misname the motion, or fake the Orders that don’t exist on the

342

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

topic, and refuse to make a decision and other frauds on the Court by the Court that make the intentional structural violations, **illusory Due Process**, when the Court refuses to really review Due Process by infringing further on due process as a mere suggestions they do not want to follow. Then they wait it out until appeals are ended by fraud itself, and by these same corrupt methods of SC courts as the Trial Court.

The issue is, does the Court have the Constitutional Authority to exercise SMJ over the litigant and litigation in any matter. And the Examples given and arguments made are used to show how SC Courts cannot exercise that power otherwise and what happens when Unconstitutional Judges use that power not granted anyway, and other SC courts and SC government allow them to ignore SMJ Adjudication as they do themselves.

This matter present in this adjudication, based on all the issues raised in the facts and in the mirror image P-Appellant's case, that is being blessed by the SC Supreme Court and through its own participation, then causes the entire SC system of Justice to be **FEIGNED/COLLUDED** , where cases not truly in controversy and not justiciable, due to the lack of SMJ Adjudication power given to Courts/judges, are being heard and decided

343

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

anyway without the rule of law or guaranteed rights protected, against the Judges sworn oaths and against the Guaranteed Rights of the people, which the government SHALL NOT ABRIDGE OR INFRINGE UPON, AS THESE RIGHTS CANNOT BE TAKEN.

Where the SC Courts are meant to bring forth personal or State Group agendas of which they are a part, and hold Feigned/Colluded cases to protect its LMCE members that are part of this group, called the LMCE, this means the SC government in place is an Unconstitutional government that is not working for the People but for personal power and financial gain, i.e., an Oligarchy, who have joined together in these cases, based on Aristocratic Kin and Groomed Elite developed in SC over a long-period of time, as the facts show. No coincidences can explain away the kin in common involved in Murdaugh/Laffitte crossing State and Federal lines, and using the same strategies that can only be orchestrated and are not even slightly likely otherwise, and reek of Impropriety and in the strategies used, reek of an organized LMCE afoot in SC under color of law.

The State/Feds government giving appealable issues to Murdaugh and Laffitte to go to work on reducing or erasing their case and freeing them sooner rather than later, with home time counted as time served, and the state even reducing Laffitte's time further when not required to do so by law, the number of Laffitte's involved in the adjudication process of Murdaugh/Laffitte and P-Appellant's case, the number of Murdaugh complicity members in the 14th Circuit, who were also becoming involved in P-Appellant's case in the 10th Circuit, the obvious criminal and crime possibilities like the Insurance Reserve Fraud scam that is

344

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

common in SC not even being addressed along with many witnesses being ignored, and many of the potential criminals being treated as after the fact victims that cut in line for victim money, and so forth, are self-evident of a scheme that is being covered up involving the State who is running the charade trial made for TV, and now the Supreme Court Appeal of Feigned/Colluded storylines.

The Clerk/Court refusal to follow SMJ law, and refusal to file P-Appellants Challenging SMJ, for two days, even though it was reviewed before the Murdaugh case and then mislabeling it, using the excuse that it is waiting on Opposition answer which they refused, and further stalling, shows how far this Supreme Court is going to give a fake illusion of Constitutionality when they ripped up the Constitution the moment they went forward with Murdaugh and didn't immediately file the contest. And the Supreme Court cannot answer for the Oppositions in anyway. **“A Judge cannot be both prosecutor and judge.”** *In re Murchinson* at 133, @136-137 (1955).

Law Clerk violations that were directed by the Supreme Court and its pre-Murdaugh review of SMJ Challenge, but ordering the Court to not file it for two days, and then mislabel it to push the Murdaugh case forward while hiding the truth from the public.

Further, the SC Supreme Court cannot hear this Murdaugh case, and other neutral judges must be brought into the system outside the LMCE, selected by non-LMCE members, which would also include the very suspect Legislator stacked with LMCE members opening operating in the Criminally liable Asbestos docket and appointing its

345

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

own to select judges, with the Laffitte Governor. Murdaugh and P-Appellants case also need recusal of all these Judges who are/were willing to openly violate SMJ also in ceasing the hearing until proper review could be made, and by allowing a Feigned/Colluded case involving the Judges and lawyers to go forward.

The Murdaugh matter must be struck down and made void ab initio, until SMJ proper analysis of new judges can be made, and the investigation of Becky Hill, Judge Toal, and all the legal representatives (including Bamberg), Receivers, SLED and the Courts up to and including the Supreme Court of SC are examined by independent investigators outside the LMCE, and who are not picked by the LMCE, can review and make public all steps of their review and investigation and make all matters corrected.

The entire matter of SMJ Analysis as a prerequisite/condition precedent as intended by the Constitutional guarantees, and why it is so important as seen in Murdaugh/Laffitte and P-Appellant’s cases, can then be rectified by SC or a higher court for Federal Questions that have arisen in many aspects of the Murdaugh and P-Appellants cases, and all other cases of joined people who have not received their proper SMJ Analysis and fair hearings with those guarantees taken as a suggestion by the trial and reviewing courts. The Asbestos case must have the State’s process struck down by a neutral tribunal, and declare the state actions void, but through Southern Bank & Trust, the Asbestos victims must have their judgments against them vacated and entry of Judgment for them to make them whole plus fees and costs. Same with P-Appellants.

346

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

The Murdaugh matter must be voided and stricken against Murdaugh and his Feigned/Colluded colleagues. Murdaugh must remain in prison until this is all completed, with the SMJ challenge heard by a truly independent State Court, if there be one.

P-Appellants need a Notice and a Right to be heard at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner that must be given to P-Appellants, keeping in mind they have ADA Qualifying Disabilities that affect these place/time/manner decisions that should not be made without P-Appellant’s input. Something the Supremes and Appeals Court have totally ignored in spite of Federal and SC Supreme alleged mandates to judges to comply.

The Asbestos cases must be stricken, vacated and void ab initio against the State and its Feigned/Colluded, see *Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co.*, 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Structural Violations in the Constitution require automatic reversal and cannot “defy analysis by harmless-error standards.” *Arizona v. Fulminate*, 499 U.S. 279, 309-10 (1991). These are not mere suggestions and must be analyzed first at the SMJ Adjudication level before a Judge/Court can hear the case. Biased Judges described one of those structural defects. If the Judges are not neutral, the proceeding is Constitutionally

347

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

invalid. *Mayberry v. Pennsylvania*, 400 U.S. 455, 465 (1971). **“A judge who has been personally embroiled. . .cannot sit in judgement.”**

This goes to the entire Murdaugh process of a Feigned/Colluded, trial, and reviews, where no Supreme Court can review, it also goes to P-Appellants’ case where Judges, Lawyers, Allianz and others teamed up in that Feigned/Colluded case, and Appeals and Supremes took part in ignoring the any real review, and also in this SMJ challenge. It also goes to the Asbestos victims of the LMCE, some Plaintiffs, Corporations, and Carriers also. In Mayberry, the matter was vacated Order. In *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 876 (2009), the court ordered the judgment vacated because the tribunal was **Constitutionally defective**. . .which is a part of the SMJ Adjudication analysis ignored by the Court. The Southern Bank and Trust, Co., speaks of the equity that must be given to the innocent so they do not suffer the fate of the wrong doer and the wrong doer does not get rewarded for their frauds and crimes. **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even** “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

These cases are treated as Jurisdictional structural defects, which they are, and thus need to be first analyzed at the SMJ Adjudication analysis level, and at all times in the case, without the Court claiming the appeal is interlocutory and allows the trial court to continue to ignore Constitutional guaranteed rights as suggestions that needed to be

348

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

analyzed before a Judge took the case, but then if it still happens in the case, needed to be corrected by the writ filing with the higher court, which doesn't happen because these rights are treated as mere suggestions and will continue to be ignored on appeal.

Proper rulings will only happen when the Court system is fair and impartial, which it is not in SC's LMCE framework creating an Oligarchy which runs a LMCE. The second problem is that the punishment for ignoring their Oaths is not rough enough **yet**. That needs to change, by public review and harsh penalty. See also *In re Murchinson*, 340 U.S. 133, 136 (1955) that after a court is not fair, it is considered a Constitutionally defective Court that results in judgements that cannot stand, but again, only if the Courts are fair surrounding it, where the Framers never permitted such an unfair possibility by government courts. It is inherent and assumed by the Constitution that SMJ Adjudication must be a prerequisite/condition precedent, that would stop a trial the moment this was raised.

Presupposed Condition Precedent Explained: If Kittredge owned a chain of Wendy's Fast Food restaurants, and the chain has a menu that all customers know, and will get the same items whether they go to Wendy's in Columbia, SC or Wendy's in Ohio, other than an approved special offered by Wendy's corporate, that is why they go to Wendys. If Kittredge hires a new cook for his Wendy's in Columbia, and that cook writes over the menu that he will not be making this fast food, but instead will be making a French gourmet Chicken Coq au Vin with braised chicken, and Grilled Duck Breast with Black Cherry-Balsamic Reduction, with a fruit-forward sauce spread, this would be a very ridiculous thing for this

349

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Wendy’s cook to do. It is assumed and inherent in the hiring of this Cook that he is going to stick to the menu and follow the instructions of how to make each fast food to the letter as these are brands of Wendys.

This assumption/inherent/presupposed position of dealing properly with Constitutional Guarantees and intent, and understanding how Courts are to follow and comply with, uphold and defend the Constitution, which requires that they start off with their oath, and SMJ analysis including Adjudication Analysis to receive Constitutional power to hear a case only after they make sure they are not structurally violating the Constitution by having bias, outside interests, understand and will apply Due Process and so forth, with penalties for those who lie and accept Feigned/Colluded and nonjusticiable cases for their LMCE financial and power interests. The cook had no authority to cook his own dishes, and the Court has no authority to cook the hearings/findings.

Protections lie in the menu and the hiring/firing of the cook for Wendy’s and in the Constitutional power granted or denied based on its own menu, and both have to understand they have to stick to the documents **BEFORE** accepting the job. Not after as a mere suggestion. The difference is Wendy’s can fire the cook. The people have no recourse against this Oligarchy, and the punishments are under the Judges own control and their Oligarchy colleagues who have closed off any real Accountability, Integrity and Transparency. The change **MUST** be made in REAL punishments that are severe for Breach

350

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

of Trust, RICO, Civil Rights Violations, Conspiracy and so forth, and with an AG and Solicitors that are not in the same pockets as the rest of the Oligarchy.

The Supreme Court or Appeals Courts, as stated, cannot hear this argument as no Judges can hear their own cases, *In re Murchinson*, 340 U.S. 133, 136 (1955), and all Judges are being Challenged in the Supreme, Appeals and State Courts for P-Appellant's in their own matter, and in Murdaugh in this case, who have all abridge and infringed upon both cases, for entirely different reasons: One to help Murdaugh and the other to continue to help Murdaugh by destroying P-Appellant's meritorious case, as referenced herein, which seems so ridiculous that P-Appellant's would have never gotten to this point, but for their award being stolen by the greedy LMCE, not given back when discovered, P-Appellant's entries of judgment were ignored, the Courts/Lawyer and others continued to abuse P-Appellant's to where their damages are astronomical at this point, **all because this same defiance of the Courts to NOT do as they have sworn to do, and deceive to hid their true illusions they present for the Public.**

None of this was necessary, because P-Appellant's would not have realized all of this Murdaugh/Laffitte Feigned/Colluding and fraud, with the State/Courts/Defense all working together to save their fellow Aristocrats/Groomed Elite and the LMCE they operate. P-Appellants know of these schemes but were not following Murdaugh at all, and would have been long gone onto other ventures to help society. . .not in this very corrupted field as it is filled with ruthless LMCE members. P-Appellant's had better things to do to help

351

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

their fellow man eat, have hope, get on a clear path to be happy and healthy with their God and not dependent on the LMCE members for their success defined totally differently then by the LMCE.

However, here P-Appellant's are, and they demand a Neutral Court hear this SMJ argument and that Neutral, non-LMCE Judges, if any take over their case and this Murdaugh situation and dismiss Murdaugh and Strike his filings and void the entire appeal ab initio and open a new investigation into the underlying trial, not to overturn it, but to make things right for the public, and out all criminals and schemes used by the larger Long-con Murdaugh led crimes and criminals, and look deeply into the Receivership findings and other such nonsensical findings where new “victims” arose after the fact to take much of the money left to the real victims.

So in summary on Subject Matter jurisdiction, they exists in at least **two distinct but interrelated dimensions in this Challenge:**

So when Courts and lawyers try to claim there is only Topic-Based SMJ: Authority to hear a class or type of case (e.g., contract, tort, federal questions). The response to that complete fallacy is 2. **Adjudicatory SMJ:** Constitutional and structural capacity to hear the case **fairly and lawfully**, including **neutral, impartial, and unbiased judges** acting within the framework of a **republican government** (U.S. Const. art. IV, §4), through their oaths and from the start of the case, where they determine if they actually have the power to hear the case. This is recognized by the Courts, that if a tribunal is structurally biased or exercises

352

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

arbitrary power, it is not constitutionally constituted and therefore lacks lawful adjudicatory authority. There is language that supports neutrality as one of the foundations: *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 532 (1927), A judge with a direct financial interest cannot constitutionally try a case. He has no SMJ Adjudication Power at the moment he realizes he is not neutral even if an accidental oversight initially. If intentional, he has no SMJ period! And this SMJ issue needed to be examined initially before acting: *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 94 (1998), **“without jurisdiction the court cannot proceed at all in any cause.”**

In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955), “a fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.” A judge with a direct financial interest cannot constitutionally try a case. He has no SMJ Adjudication Power to do so which power must be given by the Constitution not by the court, Judges or other mere men. *In re Murchison*, judges acting in multiple conflicting roles violate due process; *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 884 (2009) (judicial conflicts of interest undermine **constitutional adjudication**); Model Code of Judicial Conduct r. 2.11(A)(1); S.C. Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 3(E)(1).

The cases above, describe neutrality as a structural requirement of judicial power, not as an afterthought. Treating what is required in order to be a Constitutional proceeding as an after-the-fact matter, is Unconstitutional and not directed by the Constitution, its intent and its implied and inherent truth/common sense. To be fair, the

353

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Court must have common sense reasoning and not hold by Arbitrary Power or usurpation, See, *In re Murchison, Id.*

To be Constitutionally sitting on the bench in a case, say Murdaugh or Murdaugh 2.0, the Judge must be held to uphold his oath, i.e. follow the Constitution, not make it optional for him to follow and worry about it later if he so chooses to. Later a litigant usually broke and abused by then, has to instead challenge or not be permitted to challenge if it is a final review court and challenging is complete by rule, or is the option of a higher Court to accept certiorari, to even hear the case and give the litigant **GUARANTEED**

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS THAT ALLEGEDLY CANNOT BE TAKEN. This is obviously not Constitutional.

This FALSE AND FRADULENT INTERPRETATION OF THE CONSTITUTION BY CAPTURED COURTS, erase Due Process, as long as, the Courts continue to deny Due Process, at trial and appeal until appeals are gone. This allows captured courts to steal from any litigants they want to steal from, as long as they cover key areas of accountability where they might otherwise be disciplined. Then the courts and legislature make their discipline secret and self-policing and the Constitution is gone. This is what happens when SMJ Adjudication is not a prerequisite/CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE/condition precedent to a Judge/Court presiding over a case.

Judges following the Constitution cannot be a suggestion for Government to follow if they so choose to, including Judges, and was never intended to be a suggestion with the

354

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Framers and their intent, nor by common sense. In fact the framers writings show they were extremely concerned about just such issue arising. These federal arguments protecting against Arbitrary and usurpation of Constitutional power, are by some of the same people who established the state of SC, and had some of the same concerns, but to a lesser degree as they made sure that they and other Aristocrats could continue to run SC, WHICH ITSELF IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL and has created an Aristocratic/Groomed elite class that rules the State in all branches and closing loops of Discipline through lack of Accountability, Integrity, and Transparency.

This trickery within SC and anywhere where **“RIGHTS” that cannot be taken from the People are stolen anyway, by making them only after-the-fact options that the victims of such nonsense can pursue, if they have the money and energy to continue in the Litigation Vortex trying to force those who stole their money to give it back, while the Courts are hiding the SMJ Adjudication mandate that a Judge must be fulfilling his oath to the Constitution before ever receiving SMJ, by following the Constitution’s Due Process Clause and all other structural issues, BEFORE the case is ever heard.** These mandates, guard the **Rights of the People that the Government/Courts cannot infringe upon, by rule or procedure or law, which otherwise makes Due Process unenforceable by the same Judges who abuse it. HERE THERE IS NO REMEDY for the People to protect their guaranteed rights, SO THERE IS NO RIGHT, EVEN CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. . .WHICH IS THE DEFINITIION OF UNCONSTITUTIONAL ARBITRARY LAW AND**

355

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

USURPATION BY THE GOVERNMENT/COURTS. It’s a RACKET. . .and those Government agents and their proxies, who practice this are RACKETEERS by Definition.

Further, this kind of circular erasing of Rights that cannot be infringed upon, encourages the entrenching, collusion, Racketeering and other such crimes by Government against the People by developing their Legal Machine Criminal Enterprises, because, using this slight of hand of being a Constitutional Republic when they are not, they have all the say over whether the People get their “Guaranteed Rights” that cannot be taken, through courts **of limited** power, . . .allegedly . . .and these limited power courts capture by the Aristocrats/Groomed elite, then get to decide if they are going to give back the money they stole in an award, or keep it by further denial of the “suggestion” of due process, which due process is a mandatory part of whether a court has SMJ in adjudication that is being ignored or limited by the Courts who are protecting their stealing of the litigants money. This circle of deceit by the SC Government, is a quagmire of illusion and defiance against the Constitutions that dictate its limited power and the Peoples illustrative Rights that far exceed the Constitution illustrative amendments.

The farmers certainly did NOT make such circular laws, making no law matter and where government can create oligarchies hidden by the appearance of Constitutional law and that is all they have to do in order to create or continue a coup of state or federal government making it a for profit Oligarchy under color of law through their LMCE. It would be ridiculous to even think that and findings and actions of the Court must be fair and

356

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

reasonable and logical. Ignoring SMJ Adjudication, and making guarantees a review issue that makes it a suggestion, are all nonsensical in that the Framers knew no oath would keep corruption from the State/U.S. alone because criminals break laws, they do not respect promises. The SMJ Adjudication that are listed in the Oath by the general defending the Constitution clause, AND proper punishments were its solution . . .not Government making up its own secret Disciplinary System and giving itself immunity.

So, under the Courts rationale, taking it to its logical conclusion, that has been P-Appellant’s argument since trial court, there is no law, but what the King grants, and the self-appointed Kings are above the law, have Divine Right of Kings (Judicial Immunity), and get very little, if any punishment for usurping and exercising arbitrary power because they own the Disciplinary process too. They are further permitted to use the Constitution as a suggestion and nothing more. This is obviously VERY WRONG AND SC IS OBVIOUSLY NOT WORKING AS A CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT.

A tribunal that is **structurally captured, conflicted, or operating oligarchically** cannot lawfully adjudicate ANYTHING, even if it formally possesses topic-based authority.

Neutrality, Due Process, and Adjudicatory SMJ: Among other things. . .

A. Due Process Requires an Impartial Tribunal: Courts must provide a neutral adjudicator; failure to do so violates **constitutional due process** (U.S. Const. amend. XIV, §1) and a PREREQUISITE/CONDITION PRECEDENT to a Judge hearing a case, not the after-thought right that is not, for the lowly litigant who just got scammed and abused by its own

357

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

government it is supposed to be able to oversee as servants of the People. “A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.” *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. at 136. A fair trial happens from the beginning of a case, with Judges who have the right to adjudicate it through adherence to their Oaths (not just words). This is SMJ Adjudication described.

Judges with material connections to parties or prior involvement in the case **cannot lawfully adjudicate as they do not have SMJ Adjudication power**: If they violate that, this is where the accountable Judicial Committee run by the People would stop this adjudication until the proper judge is given Constitutional Power can hear the case. After that, if an issue comes up where due process becomes an issue anyway, through incorrect rulings, and not from intentionally crooked rulings, then “Due process requires recusal ... when circumstances give rise to a probability of bias.” *Caperton*, 556 U.S. at 884. Thus the after the fact issues that may be raised if Due Process violations are coming up that are not intended, can still protect the litigants RIGHTS that cannot be taken. If there is no Due Process but a suggestion, then Judges like McIntosh, Maddox and Spouse and later Taylor can refuse to recuse as some did, and there is no punishment for them doing so because everything is a suggestion not a Constitutional law which has become optional.

OTHERWISE, THE COURTS HAVE RENDERED THE CONSTITUTIONAL ASPERATIONAL, AND STOLEN RIGHTS THAT CANNOT BE TAKEN, AND HAVE EXERCISED POWER FAR BEYOND THEIR LIMITED POWER GRANTED BY THE CONSTITUTION.

358

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

What was the ex-Senator Harpootlian saying about him taking an Oath and preaching about his duty to follow that oath, and therefore Murdaugh’s Constitutional rights demanded he get a new trial... again? Harpootlian is a friend of the Court and State. Will his “suggestion” be followed by the court because they believe in Constitutional rights after they just completely Feigned/Colluded a show trial and now a show appeal while burying P-Appellants mirror image Murdaugh case that shows the show?

Prediction of P-Appellants: The Courts will follow this plan, not because they respect their oaths or the Constitution, as that is not even an issue here for them. The Feigned/Colluded script is the issue and Kin Becky Hiers Hill worked to get Murdaugh, this appeal, along with help from Toal, and others, because they want to help their entrenched Aristocrat Murdaugh. . . which is all another bizarre violation of the Constitution.

In summary, there are two types of SMJ, with the second being whether the Judge has power over adjudication of the case. Violations of procedural or structural requirement would render judgments void or voidable. Tumey, In re Murchison, and early U.S. Federal Cases voided Judgment entirely if the tribunal was not lawfully constituted, even when the topic was properly before the court giving this precedent and is closer to the Framers intent than a modernization without Constitutional Authority by the Courts erasing the very protection for litigants who otherwise are in a circular reasoning regarding Due Process and the Courts have the right to create criminal enterprises and use it to steal from

victims/litigants without recourse, erasing due process, which allegedly is a right that cannot be taken. . .especially by Government/Courts with limited power expressed.

Federal cases like the Courts favorite, Marbury v. Madison, infra, normally cited for its Unconstitutional review which is challenged elsewhere, but it is claiming that the Court has more than one issue to determine its SMJ. It is not only whether the topic is covered under its power. The case says that it can say what the law is, but that courts cannot act outside the bounds of the continually and procedurally established authority, by implication. They can only decide hear cases lawfully presented that are properly considered with Due Process build into the case and proceeding. It claimed that authority to hear a case is NOT just about topic; it depends on a case or controversy actually before the court lawfully brought.

Cohens v. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 wheat.) 264 (1821). Federal jurisdiction review existed but review depended on proper adjudicatory procedures. They could not act without proper procedural foundations. This is showing the topic SMJ is not the only evaluation necessary. Both topic and SMJ Adjudication must be satisfied before a Judge hears a case, where the tribunal lawfully constituted, with proper parties, and procedures, to decide the particular case. Violating this second step makes the judgment void if after the fact, but also prevents the judgment and hearing of the matter completely, like in the Murdaugh case where the Court was noticed and was reviewing the motion for two days before they filed it two days late to manipulate its hearing of the Murdaugh case and not openly and

360

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

transparently letting the audience of reporters and interested listeners know of the challenge. To change this two step process is to erase Due Process and other Guarantees from the Court and taken from the People, which rights are guaranteed and cannot be taken, therefore this evaluation of any case based totally on SMJ Topics is Unconstitutional and must be stricken from any proceedings where SMJ Adjudication is being challenged, such as this case and P-Appellant’s Murdaugh 2.0 case, and in this matter the Asbestos scams also.

This argument is showing how Murdaugh and P-Appellants Murdaugh 2.0 exist today, and P-Appellants have learned are many in SC due to this Oligarchy posing as a Constitutional government. P-Appellant has provided historical dual SMJ precedent, Real-time denial of rights, still going on presently in P-Appellants case that is so corrupt that it has become comical. Entrenched system preventing enforcement, along with how the entrenched handle a case with similar facts, that was brought to the public’s attention only because the very sad Beach case, where the government ignoring the Murdaugh Dynasty crimes for 100 years has caused great tragedy to the People of SC being played out in Murdaugh and also Murdaugh 2.0.

Courts rarely see all three of these issues in one case, and only because P-Appellants recognized Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud and also recorded their attorney repeatedly colluding with Defense, and then the Judges to make one big RACKETEERING extravaganza in their meritorious case that was being hi-jacked and

361

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

predetermined to lose no matter how ridiculous the court and crew had to behave. The trial court under their own Unconstitutional manipulations are trying to justify just needed to hand it the case they butchered off to the Appeals Court who will deny even the time due and number of pages needed, which is very much granted normally, especially in this hugely complex case, where the judges brutally injured P-Appellant’s repeatedly, until they have a number of disabilities beyond what they had beforehand, that directly affect their performance to see, write and have any type of normalcy whatsoever as they fight cancer and one has seizures directly from what the Judges did.

The Judges and Appeals and Supreme Judges then weaponized these ADA qualifying disabilities when they have a Federal Mandate to allow for reasonable accommodations that P-Appellants have requested as of right and they just ignore the requests, and even fake opinions that do not address it and then claim it is addressed and deny rehearing’s under the wrong rules that do not apply, when if the court never ruled, there is no rehearing.

P-Appellants mentioned this earlier and it is in **Ex. 1**, where the Appeals court finally hired a **Laffitte lawyer** to tell P-Appellants what she, **Jasmin Smith**, thinks the Judges said and she is calling this an Order which is her impersonating a Judge and fraudulently providing alleged Orders that never come from a lawyer, and are never letters which cannot be appeals. Comical if not true, but the list of RACKETEERS keep growing. Limiting pages and time, is the Courts way to not allow appealable issues to be mentioned and argued, which is not normal. . .see the many hundreds of pages and amounts of time giving to

362

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Murdaugh/State. And they had no disabilities. P-Appellants are granted ADA Reasonable Accommodations as of right, it is not discretionary, yet the Courts won't do it...they don't want P-Appellants case ever seen.

This argument is structurally compelling, because Courts have deliberately tried to make SMJ a matter just to comply with topics of the case only, because they can then treat due process violations (and other rights that cannot be taken) as an after thought they don't have to pay attention to, and can just erase Constitutional rights with this method and other prerequisite/condition precedents that must be present before a Judge has the power to adjudicate with SJM. It is the silent Coup of the Oligarchy that has existed for a long time and made easier every time a Court decides something else to give themselves more coup power.

B. Structural Capture Undermines Adjudicatory Authority: Where judges appointments by Legislators with many practicing before them, their disciplinary committees, or appointments are **controlled by familial or political networks**, the tribunal is **structurally incapable of impartial adjudication**.

Regarding Structural Neutrality, this is an impartiality argument not a personal grievance. .. but a Constitutional one. The Constitutional argument is Neutrality, not just actual bias. The U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly held that due process requires a neutral and detached judge. This is before the case is ever heard. To get SMJ the Judge

must have the power to adjudicate the case, and if not neutral, they have no SMJ

Adjudicative power granted by the Constitution.

When judicial prosecutorial, and financial actors are part of the same entrenched, interrelated power structure, the appearance and impropriety of bias become constitutionally intolerable, especially, but not only in high-stakes cases involving those networks, like the Murdaugh/Laffitte and P-Appellant’s cases.

When these matters involve Aristocratic Kin entrenched together over generations of SC defiance and secret privilege goals to establish themselves and each other in decision making positions and accountability, that cover their LMCE, which Murdaugh/Laffitte and the 10th Cir are a part of, who all have mutual interests, and whose histories of Unconstitutional actions in power with each other is the history of SC, and they are still involved in Politics and Judgeships with Groomed Elites assistance in SC, to continue to control SC Government including Courts, there becomes a SC Government Capture, where the system is a perceived Constitutional on paper, but is run as an Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Oligarchy protecting these same interests as they always have, through their LMCE.

A few examples of the inextricably intertwined, entrenched and enmeshed relationships plaguing **Murdaugh and P-Appellants cases** are **Becky Heir Hill** who is related to **Murdaugh** and gave him key appealable issues on Feigned/Colluded bad acts by a state Clerk of Courts who has been in the field for many years and knows better, pretending to not be **Kin to Murdaugh** through the Varns Dynasty, i.e. jury tampering among

364

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

the most notable. **Note the Varn Dynasty had provide the wife of Jack Furman McIntosh, who is then Judge McIntosh’s mother, making McIntosh related to Becky Hill and Murdaugh among others involved in one or both of the cases, Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0.**

John Lay, a partner in the law firm of **Gallivan, White & Boyd (GWB)**, who was the **Receiver** tasked with gathering and accounting Murdaugh assets for alleged proper distribution to REAL victims. Interestingly, **GWB** has several **Laffitte Kin** as part of its firm, including **Judge Kittridge own Phi Betta Kappa initiate law clerk, Jessica Laffitte**. After Lay found hardly any money of Murdaugh’s for the victims, Lay helped create NEW Victims calling the bank, law firm of Murdaugh and the Carriers Victims, with the help of others involved, to cut these **alleged NEW victims**, who were at least grossly negligent if not directly involved in the crimes, that appear to also be **Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud** crimes if they would have been investigated neutrally if at all, and they were placed in line ahead of some of the **real victims** leaving the real victims with hardly any disbursement, if any.

Lay was then **REWARDED** for his “work” in allegedly tracing the Murdaugh money, by being selected to sit on the extremely powerful **Judicial Merit Selection Committee (JMSC)**, with no prior legislative experience and many others fighting to be on this committee, in the summer of 2025. And who appointed him? The most powerful Senator in SC, **President Senator Groomed Elite Thomas Alexander** (institutionalized in politics

365

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

for 40 yrs). So why would a Senator be appointing an Aristocratic Murdaugh Receiver. Entrenched help entrenched.

Additionally, the other interesting appointment to focus on for this purpose is that **justice Toal main appointed receiver** over very questionable cases where no receiver is even warranted, is **Peter Protopapas**, a very powerful Trial Lawyer, appointed by Aristocratic Governor and kin to Laffitte and networked with Aristocrat Limehouse on the Laffitte case, Henry McMaster. Why would he be involved in the Asbestos interests, which is a much more layered and complex type of Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud scheme? Could it be that the LMCE is a network of Aristocrats/LMCE working together as an Oligarchy for profit, and tax-free using the People as exploited “resources” and cash cows? The Entrenched help the Entrenched in the LMCE.

If these appointments were a fair process for Constitutional protections of the People, then why would they make sure John Lay and Peter Protopapas were appointed when both are very suspect and under great turmoil for their actions in Murdaugh and Asbestos shenanigans, both covered-up and attempts to save them by these Feigned/Colluded Unconstitutional Courts, led by the Supremes of SC with no SMJ.

Additionally, **Attorney G. Robert Deloach, III**, was a Defense attorney for Allianz (claiming they were defending Defendants listed on the original claim), and employed by **Grier Cox and Cranshaw, LLC**. (GCC), before **he ran away** after being exposed by P-Appellants in the summer of 2024, without announcement or approval after due process

366

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

notice and P-Appellant’s right to be heard. **He is the grandson of Solicitor G. Robert Deloach, Sr.** who was solicitor with **Buster Murdaugh in his 1956 Federal corruption** case in front of Federal Judge Hoffman, who had an entire Federal Courthouse named after him.

These are but a few of the examples of the interconnecting and intersecting of entrenched aristocrats/elites that help Murdaugh get out of murder charges and perhaps use the Laffitte techniques with U.S. Attorney Emily Limehouse and Federal Judge Gergel to reduce Laffitte’s reduced conviction time to a couple of years in Prison and time on his couch count as time served. For more detailed relationships **McMaster, Deloach, Limehouse, McIntosh, Murdaugh, Sprouse, Maddox, and many more** that no reasonable person can say this is not a true conflict of interest amounting to Aristocratic/Elite domination of the entire system allowing it to act as an Oligarchy with the ability to build its own Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, **See Ex. 1, pgs 48-68** These are not entrenchment coincidences, nor just possibly bias. . .these are actual, intentional entrenchments working together on P-Appellant and Murdaugh’s cases with the Courts trying to bury P-Appellant’s case and assist Aristocrat Murdaugh (and Laffitte), and doing so including in part, burying P-Appellant’s case.

Any attempt to exercise SMJ under these conditions is **ultra vires** and void as to the wrongdoer.

367

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

C. Fraud on the Court, including by the Court, Corruption of the Judicial Process

By EXAMPLE ONLY.

Federal courts recognize “fraud upon the court” as a species of fraud that corrupts the judicial machinery itself. . .in its structure. For example, when the tribunal is influenced, biased or engages in deceit that prevents the court from performing an impartial role to begin with, such as in the Murdaugh/Laffitte and other hearings within this process that are Feigned/Colluded per Ex 1 and much more evidence in P-Appellants Appeal Case and Trial Court record that has been partially destroyed by the Judges and Lawyers involved in the Feigned/Colluding.

Cases such as those defining fraud on the court allow judgments obtained by that fraud to be set aside because the proceeding was unconscionable (e.g. inherent fraud leading to equitable relief). These Cases should have had a denial of SMJ Adjudication challenge if the litigants knew in advance what was planning to happen in their case. The problem is litigants do not always know before the proceedings begin, and this had to act after the fact.

D. Feigned/Colluding the Legal Process: A huge issue in this case, is if there is not a Justiciable case, (Case in Controversy), there can be no SMJ Adjudication available and the case cannot be appealed by the SMJ offending parties, but also the case cannot be reheard for the benefit of the SMJ offending parties because those with unclean hands cannot benefit from their frauds and Feigned/Colluded. See **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v.**

368

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Federal cases like the Courts favorite, *Marbury v. Madison*, _____, normally cited for its (Unconstitutional) judicial review, which is challenged elsewhere, but it is claiming that the Court can only decide cases lawfully presented before it as a part of the SMJ Analysis. **It claimed that authority to hear a case is NOT just about topic; it depends on a case or controversy actually before the court lawfully brought, i.e., a Justiciable Case, after the SMJ Adjudication process has been completed and the Court passed.**

Selective Constitutional Enforcement Is Unlawful: Courts cannot pick and choose which constitutional provisions to enforce or which parties receive protections: “A law administered in a discriminatory manner is unconstitutional even if the text of the law is neutral.” *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356, 373–74 (1886).

Structural or oligarchic control that allows selective enforcement **destroys adjudicatory SMJ** because judicial power **exists only within constitutional bounds**.

IV. Guarantee Clause and Constitutional Republican Requirements. This is used as an Example but Constitutional Challenge is made for Courts to determine which clauses they will review and which they will leave for other branches to review, because reviewing them will take away some of their power.

369

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Article IV §4 guarantees that each state maintains a **republican form of government**:

“The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government ...” U.S. Const. art. IV, §4.

A tribunal acting as an **oligarchy**, privileging aristocrats/elites or networks, violates this guarantee. And by doing so, they have also decided that this is a political question to further avoid being stopped when the Constitution is clear, and again the Courts cannot pick and choose which clauses they decide and which they do not. Otherwise, they can protect their oligarchies disguised as a Constitutional Republic for years and years, just as they have, harming the People for Centuries under such trickery, deception and defiance.

Courts acting outside a republican framework **cannot lawfully adjudicate**. *Luther v. Borden*, 48 U.S. 1 (1849) (Guarantee Clause enforcement is political according to courts, which is challenged here, but the principle confirms structural requirements of republican governance, and the structural requirements do not exist in SC).

Historical Context: South Carolina and Structural Failures with just a few examples

The state has repeatedly prioritized entrenched power over constitutional guarantees: Lord Proprietors and Royals, Slavery, and Poor have no rights and they also do not own property to attain rights, Secession and Civil War to preserve slavery, Black Codes and Sharecropping limiting post-Emancipation freedoms, Jim Crow and segregation laws undermining 14th Amendment protections, Resistance to desegregation through private

370

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

academies and selective testing, Denying black and white merging in Aristocratic private all white clubs and organizations even in modern times.

The make-up of the all-white SC Supreme Court is now claiming only one of three ever women to be on the court. The Court of Appeals has one black judge. All stats are from 2024 and before. Further using the same stats, there has been only ONE black justice to serve on the SC Supreme Court, there have been only three women who have ever served on the SC Supreme Court. This stat does not speak to quality, but racists and sexists actions are one of many parts of the make up of the Aristocrats history that continues to rule today with the assistance of groomed elite. These numbers are not by sheer chance.

These historical patterns demonstrate **systemic structural capture** by Aristocrats with their groomed Elite, and all the family genealogy P-Appellant’s have done to show the connections of these Aristocrats/Elites in Murdaugh and P-Appellants case, supporting the present lack of adjudicatory SMJ.

All cases must have the appearance of fairness and be fair. Withholding ADA Reasonable Accommodations against P-Appellant’s and denying her much needed Expansion of pages and block time, when these were given to Murdaugh with no Disabilities, and where Murdaugh’s complexity and number of pages of evidence are both far less than P-Appellant’s while playing the same not filing, misfiling, not ruling, vague/not ruling, sending a Laffitte lawyer to talk about an Order to dismiss that was their letter not an

371

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

order, and Judge Kristi Curtis writing an Order that repeats the same nonsense and denies ADA Disabilities without saying they are denying disabilities, are all happening so that P-Appellant's do not have an meaningful Due Process to present the SMJ violations and Fraud on the Court by the Court in RACKETEERING with the attorneys to steal P-Appellant's award, ala Murdaugh, with many of the same and Kin actors involved in the 10th Cir. Judicial Pilfering as in the 14th, that the Court so easily does nothing about until the Beach wrongful death brought down the Hampton Ring Corruption corner of the LMCE. The reader is directed to **Ex.____**, for all the shenanigans of the Trial but mainly the Supreme **Ex.____**, and Appeals Court to avoid having to give P-Appellants' their mandatory Reasonable Accommodations that will allow them have the full Appeal the Courts do not want them to have under their Appeal.

Any repeated sentences or concepts and statements out of order is because P-Appellant's can't write long nor see screens for long due to what the Trial Judges purposely did to P-Appellants and then all fellow ruthless LMCE perpetrators jumped in to further weaken P-Appellant's and weaponize the Disabilities to the most extreme type of Disabilities just to win and to punish. These are the same Aristocratic descendants made people 3/5 of a person so they could degrade, humiliate, rape, kill, and use them as alligator bait with no consequences and for profit. This is a very dangerous state with very ruthless beings running it into the ground as an Oligarchy, doing what thou whilst. . .that is the whole of the law for them.

372

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Selective Enforcement/prosecution does not just mean Unequal protections, but in the Courts deciding they do not have to determine if they are a Constitutional government or not. The State Claims the law is Marbury v. Madison where they get to determine the law. . . so determine if you are a Constitutional Government under this writing Judges. For ever reason you claim, P-Appellants will bring 10 SC cases that show that is not true, and there are many more, but P-Appellant’s don’t have time playing games with those gaslighting the people.

Though the law itself be fair on its face. . .A description of Constitutional government, and on ADA Disability mandates to the Courts for the Disabled whom P-Appellant’s qualify, “. . .”**if it is applied. . .with an evil eye and an unequal hand. . .the denial of equal justice is prohibited.**” Yik Wo v.. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (1886).

The Courts cannot pick and choose which laws they decide and who they favor and don’t favor. This is an obvious Equal Protection issue. Class of one theory illustrates that “Equal Protection Can be violated where the plaintiff is intentionally treated differently from other other similarly situated and that there is no rational basis for the difference.” *id.* at 564. Even though Disabilities are being discriminated against by the Court to weaponize a victory and hide their LMCE and perpetrating State Actors, while keeping the pilfered money, Complexity and volume of evidence, leading to increased pages and time limits is being treated very differently in P-Appellant’s case as the courts are limiting their full Presentation of an Appeal by time/pages and weaponizing Disabilities they caused.

373

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Ethics and Judicial Canons, as Examples of the problem of Judges not being able to exercise SMJ.

Courts cannot claim authority while violating binding ethical norms sworn by oath and cannot hear either the SMJ Adjudication/Fraud on the Court Challenge nor the Murdaugh Appeal as a man cannot judge his own case. *In re Murchinson* @136.

1. **Canon 1 (S.C. Code of Judicial Conduct):** Uphold integrity and independence of the judiciary which is absolutely lost and nonexistent in SC and in this Feigned/Colluded case.
2. **Canon 2:** Avoid impropriety or the appearance of impropriety which is absolutely lost and nonexistent in SC and in this Feigned/Colluded case.
3. **Canon 3(B)(5):** Perform judicial duties without bias or prejudice, which is absolutely lost in this case and in SC.
4. **Canon 3(E)(1):** Disqualify when impartiality might reasonably be questioned

Violations of these canons **corroborate structural incapacity to adjudicate lawfully**, which has not happened in the Trial or Appeals of this FEIGNED/COLLUDED case, where P-Appellant’s have shown so many conflicts of interest even without FEIGNED/COLLUDING of the case that no reasonable person could hear this and think their was no ACTUAL BIAS AND MANY REASONS TO RECUSE. All Canons and Ethical Rules can be pulled from much more, but the violations of the Courts/Lawyers/Clerks are so obvious, that mere summaries are what is necessary here.

374

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Lawyers lack of Ethics and oversight because it is ultimately the Supremes who oversee those in the LMCE: Some Rules in issue:

Attorneys are not permitted to engage in fraud, collusion, or fabricated proceedings. The attorney-client relationship “cannot be used as a cloak to cover wrongdoing,” and an attorney who assists fraud “becomes a party to it.” *Ex parte Griffith*, 178 So. 2d 169, 172 (Ala. 1965).

The Supreme Court has made clear that counsel’s duty is limited to “legitimate, lawful conduct” and does not include participation in false evidence or perjury. *Nix v. Whiteside*, 475 U.S. 157, 166 (1986).

Where attorney misconduct undermines the fairness of proceedings, reversal is required. *United States v. Young*, 470 U.S. 1, 7 (1985).

Intrusion into attorney-client privilege—particularly by opposing counsel or the State, constitutes structural error. *State v. Quattlebaum*, 338 S.C. 441, 527 S.E.2d 105 (2000).

Moreover, attorneys have an affirmative duty to report each other’s misconduct, and failure to do so constitutes independent discipline. *In re Himmel*, 125 Ill. 2d 531, 533 N.E.2d 790 (1988).

South Carolina law and the Rules of Professional Conduct expressly prohibit attorneys from engaging in fraud, collusion, deception, or conduct undermining the adversarial process. Such actions constitute “fraud upon the court,” a direct assault on the judicial system itself. *Osprey, Inc. v. Cabana Ltd. P’ship*, 340 S.C. 367, 532 S.E.2d 269 (Ct. App. 2000). Attorneys are prohibited from making false statements, fabricating evidence, breaching privilege, or assisting

375

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

fraud, and violations constitute misconduct under Rule 8.4 of the South Carolina Rules of Professional Conduct.

More specifically:

1. ATTORNEYS CANNOT ENGAGE IN FRAUD / COLLUSION: *Ex parte Griffith*, 178 So. 2d 169, 172 (Ala. 1965); “The relation of attorney and client cannot be used as a cloak to cover wrongdoing.” “If an attorney... agrees to aid in the perpetration of the fraud, he then becomes a party to it.” Collusion with court/opposing counsel; Fabrication of claims / “feigned proceedings”; Fraud on the court.

SC Cases: Osprey, Inc. v. Cabana Ltd. P’ship, 340 S.C. 367, 532 S.E.2d 269, 273 (Ct. App. 2000). “Fraud upon the court... is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public.” This goes for Fraud on the court and Collusive conduct corrupting proceedings.

SC Rules of Professional Conduct: Rule 3.3(a)(1) – Candor to tribunal Lawyer shall not “make a false statement of fact or law.”; **Rule 8.4(c)** – Misconduct: “Conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation.”; **Rule 8.4(d)**: “Conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.”

2. ATTORNEY DUTY IS LIMITED TO LAWFUL CONDUCT (NO LYING / FABRICATION).
Nix v. Whiteside, 475 U.S. 157, 166 (1986). “The right to counsel includes no right to have a lawyer who will cooperate with planned perjury.” Duty is limited to “legitimate, lawful conduct...”

376

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

a search for truth.” Regarding Making up evidence; Coaching false testimony; Participating in fabricated narratives are part of this rule.

SC Cases: *In re Anonymous Member of the South Carolina Bar*, **328 S.C. 1, 492 S.E.2d 1, 3 (1997)**. Attorney disciplined for “knowingly making false statements” and misleading conduct.

SC Rules: Rule 3.3(a)(3): Shall not “offer evidence the lawyer knows to be false; **Rule 3.4(b):**

Shall not “falsify evidence” or assist false testimony; **Rule 4.1(a):** Shall not make “false statement of material fact.”

3. COLLUSION / MISCONDUCT DESTROYING FAIRNESS OF PROCEEDING,

United States v. Young, 470 U.S. 1, 7 (1985). Misconduct requires reversal where it “undermine[s] the trial’s fairness.” Covers Coordinated misconduct between counsel; “Team” behavior instead of adversarial process; Covering up defaults or errors.

SC Cases: *In re Evans*, **363 S.C. 56, 610 S.E.2d 494, 496 (2004)**, Discipline imposed where attorney conduct “undermined the integrity of the judicial process.”

SC Rules: Rule 3.2: Must make reasonable efforts to “expedite litigation” (not manipulate it);

Rule 8.4(d): Prohibits conduct prejudicial to justice; **Preamble to RPC:** Lawyer is “an officer of the legal system.” This is Unconstitutional however.

4. BREACH OF ATTORNEY–CLIENT PRIVILEGE (SEVERE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE)

State v. Quattlebaum, 338 S.C. 441, 527 S.E.2d 105, 108 (S.C. 2000). Conviction reversed where prosecutor “eavesdropped on a privileged conversation.” Breach of privilege, Court/opposing counsel intrusion, Structural unfairness. *Swidler & Berlin v. United States*, 524 U.S. 399, 403

377

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

(1998). Confidential attorney-client communications are protected to ensure “confidence” in counsel. This covers Duty of confidentiality; Wrongfulness of disclosure / misuse.

SC Law: *Tobaccoville USA, Inc. v. McMaster*, 387 S.C. 287, 692 S.E.2d 526, 529 (2010).

Attorney-client privilege is “the oldest of the privileges... essential to the proper functioning of the legal system.”

SC Rules: Rule 1.6(a): Shall not reveal information relating to representation; **Rule 1.9(c):** Protects former client confidences; **Rule 1.7(a):** Conflict of interest (loyalty breach).

5. **LAWYERS MUST REPORT EACH OTHER –COVERUPS ARE MISCONDUCT, *In re Himmel***, 125 Ill. 2d 531, 533 N.E.2d 790, 794 (1988). Attorney disciplined for failing to report another lawyer’s misconduct. Supports, “Covering for each other” that equals an independent violation; Systemic collusion among attorneys.

SC Cases: *In re Treacy*, 350 S.C. 244, 565 S.E.2d 503, 504 (2002). Attorney disciplined for failure to uphold ethical duties and integrity of the profession.

SC Rules: Rule 8.3(a): Must report professional misconduct; **Rule 8.4(a):** Cannot “violate or attempt to violate” rules through others; **Rule 5.1(c):** Responsible for others’ violations if knowingly allowed

7. COLLUSION BETWEEN LAWYERS/OPPOSITION/BETRAYAL OF CLIENT.

Legal commentary (joint-client/conflict cases). “The risk of collusion... would be substantial.”

Attorneys aligning with opposing parties; Acting against client interests.

SC CASES: *In re Hanna*, 379 S.C. 173, 665 S.E.2d 491, 493 (2008).

378

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Attorney disciplined for conflicts and acting against client interests.

SC Rules: Rule 1.7(a): Prohibits representation involving conflicts; **Rule 1.4**

Duty to communicate with client; **Rule 1.3:** Duty of diligence; **Rule 1.1:** Competence.

Summary of Attorney malfeasance in collusion with Judges and Opposition: Courts consistently hold:

Lawyers are officers of the court, (which itself is an Unconstitutional claim), and not co-conspirators.” The system requires true adversarial process. Fraud, collusion, and deception by attorneys: destroy privilege, void proceedings against the perpetrators, and **justify reversal / sanctions.**

Civil Rights, Conspiracy, and RICO Context, 42 U.S.C. §1983 provides remedies for deprivation of rights under color of state law. 18 U.S.C. §§1961–1968 (civil RICO) and civil conspiracy doctrines apply where networks coordinate to subvert adjudication. Evidence of **interlocking familial or political networks controlling judicial appointments** supports claims of **structural deprivation of constitutional rights.**

379

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

VIII. Conclusion: No Adjudicatory SMJ Exists in Murdaugh and most if not all SC Cases heard, because the Courts ignore this analysis and have created a LMCE because there is no penalty for ignoring the Constitution and treating it as a mere suggestion.

Under these facts — structural capture, familial/political networks, oligarchic operation, prior involvement of judges, selective constitutional enforcement, and violation of republican guarantees — this Court has no adjudicatory subject matter jurisdiction. Any actions or orders issued under these conditions are void and ultra vires. SMJ exists only within a constitutional republican framework, which this tribunal fails to meet. The Court cannot lawfully exercise authority over this matter.

Thus, under the facts presented, the courts involved lack **subject matter jurisdiction in any constitutional sense**, rendering their acts void.

The Murdaugh appeal, the Supremes, the State and the Defense must all disqualify as they cannot judge or play a role in this matter having huge conflicts of interest and feigning a case for their LMCE profit, as their own wrongdoing is part of the SMJ Challenge. In re Murchin @ 136. Hiding the Challenge and manipulating its filing, naming and dismissal on another moot matter is additional reason to recuse now. A Neutral Court not a part of the LMCE, must take over and the appeal must be struck in full, vacated and voided ab initio as to the Courts/Judges, Lawyers, State, and Defendant, and all others involved in the feigning, with no more bites of the apple for the

380

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Defendant feigning this process and all tax payer dollars returned to the state for use to correct this problem for good. Neutral investigation and punishments/prosecution for all wrongdoers involved in feigning and profiting from the REAL Victims, and appropriate prison terms issued to the wrongdoers. Any victims of same need to be made whole with cost and attorney fees.

In the **Asbestos case, the Supremes, the State and the Defense must all disqualify as they cannot judge or play a role in this matter having huge conflicts of interest and feigning a case for their LMCE profit, as their own wrongdoing is part of the SMJ Challenge. In re Murchin.** The **appeal** must be struck in full, vacated and voided ab initio as to the wrongdoers of this feigning, who are the Courts/Judges, and State, Lawyers, Legislators, and all others in the LMCE, and the victims reimbursed in full, from the personal accounts and the state for their criminal activity against these victims. A neutral investigation and punishments/prosecution for all involved in this Feigning, including but not limited to Toal, Kittredge, the Supremes, and other Judges, Lawyers, Legislators, Receivers, Banks, Law Firms, and others involved. Justice must protect the victims and make them whole with costs and attorney fees, and a right to sue the guilty further if they choose to do so. They must be fully made whole.

In the **P-Appellants case**, (the real Plaintiffs-Appellants in the Appeals Court), **the Judges of the Supremes, Appeals, and Trial Courts, and the Courts themselves, the State and the lawyers/Plaintiffs and Defendants, must all disqualify as they cannot**

381

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

judge or play a role in this matter having huge conflicts of interest and feigning the case, as their own wrongdoing is part of the SMJ Challenge. In re Murchin. The appeal position of the wrongdoers identified herein, must be struck in full, vacated and voided ab initio and the judgement overturned in favor of P-Appellants/Plaintiffs, against the wrong-doing: Trial Judges/Court and Appeals Judges/Court and Supreme Judges/Court, and all Judges personally, Defense Attorneys/firms (MGC/GCC), Plaintiffs Attorney/firm, State Actors, Allianz, and Defendant-Appellee Proxies, the Bars, the Commission on Judicial Conduct, William M. Blich, Governor McMaster, David Wagner, Judges McIntosh, Maddox, Sprouse, Taylor, Justin Bamberg, and its members, the JMSC, the lawyer-Legislators, and all for the State and for P-Appellants to protect their rights as Victims, Laffitte's Firm and Jasmin Smith. (See FN____, for all of these actors/orchestrating and carrying out this feigned case, and burying P-Appellants meritorious case, to extract P-Appellants' full Allianz Award, and the victim P-Appellants be protected as victims of the wrongdoers, and provided full award, a jury trial to enter all additional damages, including uncapped Punitive Damages, that these wrongdoers are responsible for, including all jury awards beyond Allianz's full payment no matter the limits, P-Appellants shall be reimbursed in full, from the personal accounts of the wrong doers and firms, and the state for their criminal activity against the P-Appellant victims. P-Appellants have an entry of Judgment filed in the Trial Court, and can supply that specific one for entry of Judgment to the Neutral Judges that replace the Feigned Courts, who are

382

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

not a part of the LMCE. A neutral investigation and punishments/prosecution must occur for all involved in this Feigning and thief, and subsequent Elder and Disabled abuse and Exploitation, including, but not limited, to Toal, Kittredge, the Supremes, all Appeals and trial Court Judges involved, and other Judges, Lawyers, Legislators, and others (FN ___) as these two other cases are causing the wrongdoers to further bury and erase P-Appellant's case because it tells on the Court system and all involved that exposes Murdaugh and the Asbestos scams. Justice must protect the victims and make them whole with costs and attorney-like fees (no matter their classification), and a right to sue the guilty further if they choose to do so, if a settlement cannot be reached. **Attorney-like fees must be paid or will go with so many other challenges on Federal Questions, as Pro Se's time and pain are more important than attorney with staff, who are not under such pressures who receive fees, and it violates the Equal Protection Clause and favors the lawyers over the People.**

in this Challenge to SMJ-Motion to Strike, Vacate, and declare Void Ab initio, only as to the wrongdoers described herein, while **preserving and protecting the victims of this, including, but not limited to, P-Appellant's, Murdaugh REAL victims, and Asbestos Real Victims and Real Corporations being violated and enter Judgments fulling in their favor** in their underlying matters and more, by NEUTRAL JUDGES/COURTS NOT A PART OF THE LMCE CONSTITUTIONAL, IS A FULL

383

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS AND COLLAPSE OF THE SC CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC AND ITS PROTECTIVE SEPARATION OF POWERS:

THE UNIFIED OLIGARCHIC “LEGAL MACHINE CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE” (LMCE):

I. Separation of Powers Is a Binding Constitutional Command, NOT A SUGGESTION

The South Carolina Constitution mandates absolute structural separation: “The legislative, executive, and judicial powers of the government shall be forever separate and distinct from each other...”**S.C. Const. art. I, § 8. This is not the case as all branches are working for and own the LMCE with its goals that are directly opposed to the Constitutions and checks and balances.**

The United States Constitution: The **FRAMERS Intent identified the problem, that** “[t]he accumulation of all powers...in the same hands...may justly be pronounced the very definition of tyranny.” **The Federalist No. 47 (James Madison). SC Government is defined by an Oligarchy that is terrorizing the people of SC and is the definition of Tyranny.**

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS CONFIRMED (although not necessary to do so) **THAT THESE STRUCTURAL PROTECTIONS ARE QUARANTEED RIGHTS OF THE**

PEOPLE: “The structural principles secured by the separation of powers protect the individual as well.” *Bond v. United States*, 564 U.S. 211, 222 (2011). Because SC is working as one Aristocratic/Groomed Elite entrenched power, where branches share the same

384

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Unconstitutional goals and own a for-profit, tax-free LMCE stealing money that is not theirs, by exploiting those who go to Court or are dragged into Court on False pretenses.

II. In REALITY, A UNIFIED ARISTOCRATIC POWER STRUCTURE ACROSS ALL THREE BRANCHES

Under the facts presented herein, and the Aristocratic/Groom Elite Entrenchments, with much research being done by physically Disabled P-Appellants with limited physical ability due to the Courts directly injuring them to weaken the targets and then weapons the disabilities they created, and refusing the ADA Reasonable Accommodations P-Appellant's Demanded (Ex. 6), South Carolina does not operate as three independent branches.

Instead, it functions as a **single, coordinated, consolidated oligarchic enterprise**, making up a LMCE/Oligarchy of Entrenched Aristocrats/Groomed Elite, comprised of:

The **Legislative, Judicial and Executive Branches**.

Historically, the Aristocratic and Groomed Legislature controlled the **Judicial Merit Selection Committee (JMSC)** who was allegedly “vetting” judges into their own image.

Under public scrutiny, alleged *fake* “reforms” aka, *illusions of change*, were introduced to allocate approximately 1/3 of appointments of the JMSC to the Aristocratic Governor McMaster, followed by proposals to shift full appointment authority to the Aristocratic Governor.

These changes are *illusory* and **Constitutionally Irrelevant** because the Governor, - Legislature and Judiciary are drawn from the same Aristocratic/Groomed Elite network so

385

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

there is no substance to the change, but only deceptive form. Further, the JMSC functions only as an **screening-gatekeeper**, who actually renewed Judge McIntosh and Judges Sprouse from the 10th Cir while they were stealing and browbeating to old lady P-Appellant’s with severe physical Dishabilles, many caused by these Judges, while fighting cancer, so the Judges could force P-Appellants to quit. The JMSC and all of the Legislator were put on notice well before then and not one investigation, letter, phone call was made. . .but they voted the LMCE perpetrators back into the their positions instead.

The JMSC is not the final selector of the Judges, that belongs to the same closed system of interdependent and entrenched actors thus regardless of allocation of the JMSC to gate-keep with all of one mind, 100% legislature controls whether partial governor or full governor involvement, which still would make no difference. The system remains substantially identical as self-selecting oligarchy (“Election by Selection”).

Such structural manipulation violates constitutional design. The framers recognized that **“structural protections against abuse of power were critical”** to Liberty. *Boucher v. Synar* 478 U. S. 714, 730 (1986).

III. All Three Branches Acting as One Enterprise (LMCE)

The constitutional violation arises from combined operations not isolated misconduct the legislator and executive enacts laws preserving profit streams EG selection ohh nice

386

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

1. **Legislative ACTORS:** They are supposed to enact laws. In fact, they enact laws preserving profit streams (e.g. selective tort reform, that carves out Asbestos scams, where many Lawyer-Legislators are directly making large profits in coordination with Judge Toal appointing them as Receivers, lawyers, and so forth. The State Trial and Supreme Courts assure Justice Toal's team wins and win big through default-sanctions and other such trickery to create leans.
(many of whom are Aristocratic/Groomed Elite, practicing attorneys who work within the SC Bars captured oversight). Legislators to include Justin Bamberg who sent Judge Taylor to fix P-Appellant's case at the same time he was an alleged attorney for Murdaugh victims, while Taylor was then appointed over Becky Hills plea, and the state Laffitte case that was wrongfully reduced in coordination with Federal gifts given to Murdaugh through Limehouse and their Judge.
2. **Judicial ACTORS,** empowered to preside over justiciable cases, that guarantee the rights of the People, after they perform a Condition Precedent/Prerequisite SMJ Adjudication Analysis to receive such power on that case, are dependent and behold to the political selection process described above. They are also Aristocrats/Groomed Elite and will do as the LMCE wants them to do, as they get an equal slice in their processing of feigned and otherwise Unconstitutional cases, if there are any. They make sure they catch healthy companies, in their default-sanction trickery so they can then appoint Receiver Groomed Elite Peter Protopapas, now on the JMSE, selected by Aristocrat McMasters. Also, McMasters being the Kin between McIntosh/Murdaugh/Becky Hill, Laffitte/Kittridge/Lay/GWB & RGSL working together to violate P-Appellant's and real Victims of Murdaugh, and the Asbestos scams. These same attorneys and firms, Limehouse/GWB and MGC (defense on P-Appellant's case) all share the same corruptive acts to support its for-profit tax-free SC LMCE, as they are very active in the Asbestos corruption as well as Murdaugh and P-Appellants' cases (and P-Appellants' case tells on all of this so it must be destroyed).
3. **Executive ACTOR,** is the alleged leader of the State, drawn from the same Aristocratic/Groomed Elite and professional networks as the others. McMaster Kin being Laffitte and his attorney's wife being Emily Limehouse prosecuting Laffitte led to repeated reduced punishment through secret cooperation with a Federal Judges providing appealable issues.

387

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

It is the substance of the arrangement not the form that determines whether a constitutional violation has occurred. *INS V Chadha*, 462 U. S. 919, 951 (1983).

These actors are not adversarial to one another in the state, State Courts, and Defendants/Defense in Murdaugh, and in P-Appellant’s case, and in the Asbestos case, the State, or Courts, and both sets of lawyers (Plaintiffs and Defense attorneys in the SC Scam Actors portion against REAL Plaintiffs, Some Corporations and Some Carriers), they are **interdependent participants in a shared RICO enterprise (LMCE), with no accountability and full protection from the LMCE to do as thou wilt to innocent people and parties, and that is the whole of the law in SC.**

Together these branches form a single operational unit, not separate powers. “There is no liberty if the power of judging be not separated. . .” **Montesquieu, The Spirit of the Laws** bk. XI, ch. 6 (1748).

This violates core Prerequisite 5th/14th Amendment Due Process: **“No man can be a judge in his own case.”** In re Murchinson, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

IV. Violation of Separation of Powers/Checks and Balances in a Collapse of Independent Adjudication Across Sovereigns and a Consolidation of More Power than Constitutionally Permissible. The Separation of Powers Doctrine is about Function, Not Just Brach Labels. When independent decision-making based on the Constitution/Law is compromised, when there is consolidation of prosecutorial and adjudicative power across state/federal lines, when there is no neutral tribunal, and when different courts do not act

388

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

as checks but partners, the judicial function itself is compromised and are HUGE structural issues. The collusion between the Federal and State Court, helping Aristocrat McMaster’s Kin, Aristocrat Laffitte get his sentence reduced and allowing time served for watching TV at home, through Thomas and Emily Limehouse connections with McMasters and aristocratic entrenchment, and Federal Judge Gergel doing a “Becky Hill” and giving Laffitte appealable issues by improper jury contacts (same as Becky Hill did with Murdaugh), and you have another type of Separation of Power Violation between State and Federal Legal Systems that totally close the loop of justice, to where there is no accountability.

All of these consolidations violate not only formal separation, but functional independence:

“It is the substance of the arrangement, not the form, that determines whether a constitutional violation has occurred.” *INS v. Chadha*, 462 U.S. 919, 951 (1983).

VI. Judicial Selection and Accountability As “Reforms” Are Cosmetic: The JMSC as Gatekeeper Within a Controlled System

Historically, the legislature controlled the **Judicial Merit Selection Commission (JMSC)**. Under public pressure, so-called reforms were introduced for 2025 to allocate approximately **one-third of appointments to the governor**.

Subsequently, insider-driven proposals sought to **shift full appointment authority more toward the Aristocratic governor**.

389

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

These changes are **constitutionally irrelevant in substance**, because: The Governor and Legislature are drawn from the same **aristocratic/groomed elite network**; The judiciary is selected from that same closed pool; and The JMSC functions only as a **screening gatekeeper**, not the final decision-maker.

Thus, whether control lies: 100% with the legislature, 2/3 legislature and 1/3 governor, or and 100% with the governor,

The outcome remains identical: **selection within a closed oligarchic system**. See appointments of John Lay and Peter Portopapas by Institutional Groomed Elite, Senator Alexander and Aristocrat Henry McMaster who are insiders on the Murdaugh and Asbestos cases.

This is precisely the type of structural manipulation the Constitution forbids: **“The Framers recognized that...structural protections against abuse of power were critical.”** *Bowsher v. Synar*, 478 U.S. 714, 730 (1986).

These “reforms” are therefore **illusory. . . mere perception management (“lipstick on a pig”)**. . . **designed to preserve control and money redistribution, while avoiding public accountability**, including avoiding meaningful mechanisms such as **direct voter involvement in judicial selection**.

IV. All Three Branches Acting as One (and also see federal/state collusion in Laffitte): A Coordinated Enterprise, Not Separate Powers.

390

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

The constitutional violation is not limited to legislative overreach. The defect is that:

- a. The **Legislature** creates tax-free profit-preserving legal frameworks as “laws”;
- b. The **Judiciary** enforces and operationalizes those frameworks through processing case outcomes in their favor with no real appeals available as they are fixed and feigned (see P-Appellants, Murdaugh and Asbestos cases among others);
- c. The **Executive** legitimizes and participates in the same network.

Together, they form a **closed-loop adjudicative and LMCE financial system fo**

redistribution of wealth for their entrenched Government Aristocrats/Groomed Elite, that is not Constitutional. This unified operation destroys the core principle:

“There is no liberty if the power of judging be not separated...”

Montesquieu, The Spirit of the Laws bk. XI, ch. 6 (1748).

VII. Courts as the “Processing Arm” of the LMCE: Wealth Extraction and Redistribution

Under the facts presented herein, and there are many more, courts are not neutral tribunals but function as the **processing mechanism of the LMCE**, whereby: Claims are adjudicated to produce **controlled financial outcomes**; Awards and settlements are **diverted, diminished, or redirected**; AND Wealth is redistributed to insiders within the enterprise.

This violates fundamental due process and the entire purpose of the Constitution, its oaths and the Judicial Branch: “No man can be a judge in his own case.” *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 523 (1927). Even the “probability of bias” is unconstitutional. *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 872 (2009).

VIII. Judicially-Created Self-Protection: Extra-Constitutional Doctrines Shielding the LMCE

391

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

A critical feature of this system is the judiciary’s creation and expansion of **self-protective doctrines not grounded in constitutional text**, including:

A. Judicial Immunity as an Absolute Shield

The doctrine of judicial immunity, particularly as expanded to cover even **malicious or corrupt acts**, is not found in the Constitution. **“Judges...are not liable...even when such acts are in excess of their jurisdiction, and are alleged to have been done maliciously or corruptly.”** *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 356–57 (1978).

While justified as necessary for independence, in a structurally compromised system it operates as a complete barrier to accountability, a mechanism enabling coordinated misconduct, a legal fiction insulating enterprise participants, a viable way to run illegal businesses tax-free for profit with nobody to stop it and with the public being its cash cow, and run an Oligarchy rather than a Constitutional Republic where nobody is above the law..

B. Self-Regulation and Circular Oversight

The judiciary maintains authority over the Attorney discipline, Judicial discipline, and Procedural rulemaking. This creates a **closed self-policing loop**, contrary to fundamental principles of neutrality: **“A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.”** *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

IX. Integrated Financial Scheme: Insurance Manipulation and Reserve “Washing”

392

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

The SC LMCE’s operation extends beyond adjudication into **financial structuring and concealment**, including, Coordination with insurance carriers for IF/IRF, Manipulation of **loss reserves and settlement structures**, and, Redistribution of funds through mechanisms designed to obscure/wash origin and control of these funds. This is a bit different in Asbestos cases where they use Delaware as the money laundering arm so they do not need the Carriers cooperation. .just their money gotten through illegal Default/Sanction judgments to where they can go directly after the carrier to collect incredibly large sums in a very secret process that is not accountable to the public.

In the matter brought by **P-Appellants**, regarding the LMCE, Murdaugh cases, and Asbestos cases the allegations include:

- a. **Diversion and theft of litigation awards and or collection from asbestos carriers through illegal means using the same IF/IRF structure, but substituting Delaware for money laundering functions;**
- b. Use of insurance structures to **mask and reallocate proceeds except for Asbestos cases where they use Delaware and victimize the carriers;**
- c. Recycling of funds through reserve accounting systems to **“wash” illicit gains (Delaware in Asbestos cases using the same IF/IRF structure.**

This transforms the court system into a **financial clearinghouse operating under color of law**. This brings “financial interests to a new, expanded meaning like Operation Greylord and beyond.

All three cases are FEIGNED for purposes of advancing the States tax-free, for profit LMCE, and the public’s expense being used as a Cash Cow.

X. Interstate Concealment: Use of Delaware Corporate Structures

Specifically, the LMCE further utilizes **interstate mechanisms**, including **corporate structures associated with Delaware**, to, Conceal ownership/Plaintiffs and financial flows, Shield participants from transparency, and Facilitate redistribution of funds outside public scrutiny.

This aligns with recognized concerns regarding corporate opacity and misuse of legal entities for concealment purposes, further reinforcing the **enterprise nature** of the LMCE.

XI. OTHER STATE CRIMES THAT ALLOW THE OLIGARCY/LMCE TO KEEP CONTROL AND SILENCE EXPOSURE.

These types of LMCE crimes are prevalent in probate/inheritance where anything \$25,000 or over must go through Probate. The replacement of personal representatives guarding the victim/or family, the use of Conservators when the target with the money is not “dead enough” where the Courts can “tomb raid” while keeping the family away on a restraining order. It happens in stealing people’s property, businesses and so forth. And so

394

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

forth. There is no limit to what greedy people above the law who love money can do as seen from the heinous SC history.

P-Appellant’s case is a prime example of attempting for many years to destroy P-Appellant’s and their voices as attorneys, who were not willing to go along to get alone. Their exposure of the system in Virginia and elsewhere and now SC because SC decided to target them without their knowledge due to financial interests, and to get revenged on P-Appellant’s caused P-Appellants to get involved in finding out why and how this was happening. In doing so, P-Appellants were able to get 2,000 pages of Defendant Group Texts Admissions where these Defendants were writing how they were meeting with Judges and other state actors and were to catastrophically injure or kill P-Appellants. Recordings of interviews were also made with further admissions that they would repeat their bad acts of getting government involved in destroying P-Appellant’s if they didn’t drop their case against the Defendant/Proxies of the 10th Circ., judges and others. They also admitted the Virginia State Bar (VSB) was involved, and others, where Dr. Kennedy had not talked to them since early 2000, and had no interactions with the VSB since then. P-Appellant’s learned from catching lawyers in collusion with each other to feign the case and destroy it in backroom deals with Allianz. Judges came to their rescue as soon as they could engage, ignoring all Constitutional provisions, all law, all rules, all canons and ethics, and had a free for all to injure P-Appellant’s so they could not see or write, and punishing them, until P-Appellant’s were able to present much of what is herein, to chase all but Judge McIntosh and one 2nd chair attorney who got coffee for the others.

395

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

In P-Appellant’s investigation, they also learned of many other litigants who were arrested without cause, and imprisoned with double jeopardy without even a case number for trying to stop McIntosh, Maddox, Sprouse and others from stealing his wife’s Probate in a similarly structure to IF/IRF. They found molested children with the perpetrators being Government State Actors and others. They found estates ransacked by the Probate Courts themselves, caught on tape. They found children taken from parents who then disappeared. They learned about Epstein working out of Hilton Head and taking young kids to Trump and others, allegedly. They found human trafficking rings. They found drug trafficking through the State Government. And so much more.

These and other heinous crimes are operating through the state OLIGARCHY/LMCE for tax-free profit at the expense of the public health and well-being with absolutely no accountability by this same arrogant Government protected by the LMCE, especially the processing plant.

And they punish anyone who speaks of these things, like Journalists, or even P-Appellant’s who are seasoned veterans regarding exposing heinous wrongs in the Government.

The operation they performed on two older ladies with physical health issues long before the Courts damaged their physical ability to read and write (See **Ex. 6**), for summary of much worse and torturous behaviors by the Courts against compromised older ladies fighting cancer, and so much more after being physically beaten down, and the Courts sending more proxies to continue to attempt catastrophic injury or wrongful death as late as into 2025, while P-Appellants are trying to finish this Unconstitutional proceeding with a victory. (P-Appellants have more admissions, video, audio, images of these attacks that continued also).

396

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Some finally ended when one of McIntosh and Maddox’s friends, meth-head Perry Rober committed a violent suicide using his “Taurus Judge 45 Revolver he shot at us with previously. Living in the same kind of environment to finish this case, were shot at, chased with razors and attempts to pistol whip us, surrounded by Meth-labs and marijuana grow houses that the Anderson Sheriffs’ Department were told not to close, no help P-Appellant’s (a repeat of the initial attacks), and so forth, until P-Appellant’s escaped at the end of March 2025. As usual the Sheriff’s office would not investigate, present a case with the video P-Appellants gave them showing the crimes, and would not hold any of these attackers and violent drug pushers accountable, because they were protected by the RACKETEERS, and they were not shy about telling P-Appellants so. This is the SC Oligarchy, and they are now burying P-Appellants case that tells on them, Murdaugh, and the States feigned Asbestos scams. These are all LONG CONS that need to be torn down by Constitutional Government that needs to replace this and punish those involved.

XII. Pattern Evidence: Murdaugh, “Murdaugh 2.0,” and Asbestos Litigation

The structure described is not theoretical, it is evidenced through **recurring patterns across multiple high-stakes matters**, including:

1. The Murdaugh Feigned Proceedings

Involving insider coordination, very questionable receivership outcomes, and outcomes inconsistent with victim recovery, surrounded by Aristocrats/Groomed Elite.

2. P-Appellants’ Case (“Murdaugh 2.0”)

397
... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Where P-Appellants have described:

- A. Suppression of filings, at the Supreme, Appeal and Trial Court levels, with all covering for each other;
 1. Denial of lawful appellate process with no meaningful Due Process or right to a Full Appeal Presentation.
 - a. **Jasmin Smith**, partner to Laffitte's, was hired by the Appeals Court to unlawfully contact P-Appellants' as intimidation, and write a letter to P-Appellants claiming it to be a Court Order, **Ex. 6** to try to deceive and scare P-Appellant's to rush a response on limited block time and pages,
 - b. P-Appellant's reply, **Ex.6**.
 - c. The Appeals Clerks recovery letter, which was proper, **Ex. 6**, trying to do things the right way, where they are trying to abide by their ministerial duties and not commit crimes for the Judges,
 - d. and then Judge Kristi Curtis' finally having to write an Order albert purposely wrong, proclaiming what Jasmin Smith said in a letter into Curtis' own Order, **Ex.6**, refusing to honor P-Appellant's ADA Reasonable Accommodations that are not discretionary, because these matters would be included in them, as the Courts took over a meritorious case and made sure it was never heard by a jury or anyone else, to save their own theft of funds and money laundering ala Murdaugh-Schemes, while these same judges presided over the case and would not recuse, nor the attorneys. . .all a part of the Aristocratic/Groomed Elite. . .many of them related to 14th Cir., Murdaugh schemers already mentioned showing in part, the state involvement, along with the other evidence presented. Note to this day, the Appeals Court never said they "denied" Plaintiffs Motion for "ADA Reasonable Accommodations." They tried to hide it, twist it and are refusing to file it under fake rules that do not apply. **Ex. 1., Ex.2-5**, has the entire argument on what has to be considered, including the Reasonable Accommodation, which P-Appellant's gave the least restrictive type (90 days block time and 200 pages) on about 20,000 plus documents to review and prepare without being able to see for long or type for long due to the Courts own physically injuries they perpetrated against P-Appellants' (and other ADA claims covered in **Ex.6** . These requests would be valid on the complexity and volume of this case alone. Further the Courts must take the individual needs of each ADA Disabled person into account,

398

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

which was never addressed or considered in spite of P-Appellant's describing why they needed these. The the fact that Disabilities are construed strictly in favor of the Disabled and are not discretionary but mandatory. The fact that the Courts give these requests to non-disabled, including in the Murdaugh case itself, but are refusing P-Appellant's. This is part of the trick to destroy meritorious cases that expose government crimes or other reasons like for vengeance. They create such unnecessary chaos to hi-jack the merits into Court malfeasance and continue to do so and then limit the pages and time to express the appeal. This was done at the feigned Sua Sponte Evidentiary Hearing by Judge McIntosh to end the case, must like they end Asbestos case, with a sanction to end it and keep it from Discovery, Depositions, and a jury.

- e. The Court must abide by the ADA and it is Constitutional when the denial reaches Constitutional grounds of fraud on the court by the court, due process, equal protection, Access to the court and so forth. But the Court does not care and are willing to risk Federal Funding to ignore the law that is ever posted on the Supreme Court site (See Supreme Website), and sent in and sent in a letter to the Appeals court by an ADA Advocate trying to show the Court how to comply to respect the Disabilities of P-Appellants.
- f. **DEFAULT BY Defendant/Appellees, and Void ab initio for Fraud on the Court with more Systematic refusal to follow governing law.**
 - a. **On the ADA**, See **Ex. 6**, for all arguments already made, on having to review the case de novo instead of abuse of discretion or error, on using the proper style of the case that does not help Appellee/Judges argument unfairly when the record shows otherwise, on becoming Judge and prosecutor which defaults Defendant/Appellee. This allows the Court to take away Plaintiffs right to reply to bogus arguments, and instead the Court uses that bogus arguments to answer for Defense with no reply permitted. (see prior argument above that takes a reply away from P-Appellant's otherwise, and shows the Courts, in arguing for D-Appellee showed even more obviously biased by the Court who cannot act as prosecutor and Judges.
 - b. Using schemes to manipulation laws and Rules in order to default P-Appellants and asbestos litigants is a common practice in SC as they are extremely defiant and believe if they can try to make an appearance

of fairness that is all they have to do. They live off of perception, not truth.

- g. Active efforts to **bury the case with in the appellate system as described repeatedly herein.**

3. Asbestos Litigation Framework

Where Legislative carve-outs by interested law makers, preserve lucrative litigation streams for them and the LMCE, Legislator-attorneys/firms/LMCE directly profit, and Judicial outcomes align with those interests, including Toal and Teflon Mullen judging these cases.

Across all three, **the same aristocratic networks reappear**, demonstrating continuity of actors and purpose all entrenched into the government through Aristocratic/Groomed Elite ties.

XIII. Disparate Judicial Treatment as Evidence of Coordinated Control

A critical indicator of enterprise coordination is **selective judicial access and prioritization**:

- a. High-profile insider-aligned matters (e.g., Murdaugh, Asbestos) receive **Supreme Court attention and public-facing proceedings**;
 - 1. Murdaugh to finish the feigning with a new trial to give him another bite at the apple, and make sure he wins or pleas to lesser time (Laffitte strategy beginnings), and maybe more. The Courts need to show how fair they are and pretend they want to throw the book at Murdaugh but can't, with the public not realizing this is all a show.
 - 2. Asbestos Scams to justify these state feigned cases as if they were real, Constitutional issues, and to save all or a big part of this illegal income stream for the LMCE at the public's continued expense.
- b. **P-Appellants' case**, who does not wish to identify Legal Reformers to come and join protests and so forth unless necessary, because it will endanger them in this very dangerous state where Judges perform murders for hire for money and vengeance in SC, **is abused by wrong law and procedure, suppressed, and ignored within the trial and**

400

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

appellate system, with no adherence to governing procedural or constitutional law

whatsoever. The case is limited by limited block time/pages to make sure no real meaningful due process occurs to present a full Appeal Presentation required by law.

- c. Trial and Appeals Court know they just have to outlast the appeal process because guaranteed rights are treated as mere suggestions after the fact, and not abided by before a Court/Judges receives Constitutional power, violating the SMJ Adjudication clause, of their **FEIGNED** case, and making this case **Void ab initio**, while protecting P-Appellant's rights.

This disparity is not incidental, it is **functional evidence of coordinated control designed to silence exposure of the enterprise.** It is also selective prosecution, Unconstitutional via Equal Protection, under the 14th Amendment.

XIV. RICO Enterprise: Coordinated Operation Under Color of Law

The LMCE satisfies the federal definition of an enterprise: “Enterprise includes any...group of individuals associated in fact...”

18 U.S.C. § 1961(4).

An enterprise need only function as a “continuing unit” with a common purpose.
Boyle v. United States, 556 U.S. 938, 946 (2009).

- A. Here, the enterprise consists of:
 - 1. Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Government officials across all three branches (and the Feds),
 - 2. Affiliated Aristocratic/Groomed Elite attorneys and firms,
 - 3. Government Financial, insurance actors, and structural schemes like Asbestos (using Delaware rather than the carrier, and the carrier then becomes a victim, using a type of IF/IRF structure.

401

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

B. Operating with a **common purpose**:

1. Marketing victims through Unconstitutional Court Access for devious means;
2. Control of judicial outcomes through Unconstitutional rulings treated as after the fact suggestions of law;
3. Preservation of profit structures through stealing and money laundering;
4. Redistribution and concealment of wealth to the LMCE.

XII. Loss of Subject Matter Jurisdiction: Courts Acting Outside Constitutional Authority

Where courts operate within such a structurally compromised system, they lose **legitimate adjudicative authority**:

- a. Oaths not sincerely held,
- b. SMJ Adjudicative Analysis not taken or meant,
- c. Proceedings lack neutrality,
- d. Due process is absent,
- e. Outcomes are predetermined within the LMCE enterprise.

A court acting without constitutional authority acts without jurisdiction: “A judgment

rendered in violation of due process is void.” *World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson*, 444 U.S. 286, 291 (1980).

Thus, under the facts presented, the courts involved lack **subject matter jurisdiction**

Adjudication, in any constitutional sense, RENDERING THEIR ACTS VOID, and the innocent parties need the demands entered as final to protect the innocent.

Another way to look at the RICO Act is where The LMCE satisfies the statutory definition of an enterprise: “Enterprise includes any...group of individuals associated in fact...” and it does not need three different types of cases to work. Just P-Appellants case alone has so many Government perpetrators using the law for its illegal business operations and the litigants as its cash cows, pilfering the awards and property of others.

402

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

With 18 U.S.C. § 1961(4). An enterprise need only function as a “continuing unit” with a common purpose. *Boyle v. United States*, 556 U.S. 938, 946 (2009).

The enterprise includes:

- a. Officials across all three branches,
- b. Attorneys and law firms,
- c. Insurance and financial actors,

Operating to:

- a. Control judicial outcomes,
- b. Preserve profit structures,
- c. Redistribute and conceal wealth.

Further, in regard to the SC Structure of Government and how the judiciary plays such a big part of keeping the People quashed: **UNCONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE, ANTITRUST VIOLATIONS, AND MONOPOLIZATION OF ACCESS TO JUSTICE BY SOUTH CAROLINA’S SELF-POLICING LEGAL APPARATUS (LMCE) must be addressed:**

The system at issue is not a constitutionally valid framework for the administration of justice. It is a **closed, self-policing, internally controlled structure** in which the same class of actors, judges and attorneys, control entry, enforcement, discipline, and final adjudication. This structure, referred to herein as the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (“LMCE”), operates through the integrated authority of the South Carolina Bar, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, the South Carolina Commission on Judicial Conduct, and the South Carolina Supreme Court. As with Dr. Kennedy, they can get rid of licensed attorneys anytime the attorney speaks truth or doesn’t want to be a part of a criminal enterprise and even more so, at the expense of the People.

403

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

This is not a matter of speculation. It is the **actual structure and operation** of the system as seen herein. What is happening in SC is TOTALLY, 100% UNCONSTITUTIONAL and the more the Courts act/ and then react, because they are so defiant they rubberband in their responses to legitimate push back.

Beyond what has already been illustrated, and still just a part of the problem, this SC structure is unconstitutional, violates federal antitrust law, and impermissibly restricts the People's to access to the courts.

I. STRUCTURAL DUE PROCESS VIOLATION: THE SYSTEM IS BIASED BY DESIGN

The United States Constitution does not permit a system in which those who control access to the courts, regulate the profession, discipline participants, oversee transcribers, refuse to allow recorders into the courtroom to protect litigants from nefarious intent, control the record, control the Clerk and what they do and do not file, whether they provide copies to the litigants or try to default them by not doing so, provide unequal access to the records and filings, make the filings so they cannot be openly searched by the public, and even hide certain filings, and adjudicate disputes, are functionally the same body.

The United States Supreme Court has made clear that **due process is violated where neutrality is structurally impossible**, not merely where actual bias is proven.

See: *Tumey v. Ohio*, *Ward v. Village of Monroe*, *In re Murchison*.

Here in SC: Supremes selected Aristocratic Attorney **William Blitch** from the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) is an Attorney regulating attorneys, and William Blitch who works for the Supremes, also oversees Judges who are reviewing Judges by bodies composed largely of attorneys and judges, and Final authority over the attorneys and Judges rests with the South Carolina Supreme Court, the same institution whose members are part of the system being challenged.

The result is a **closed loop of authority** where impartial adjudication is not possible.

The bias is structural. The violation is inherent. P-Appellants reported all persons to the Bar and Blitch found no wrong. Blitch is an institutionalized State Actor and Aristocrat.

The P-Appellants reported Blitch to the Bar. . .no response.

P-Appellants reported all Judges to the Commission on Judicial Conduct. . .no response.

P-Appellants are again reporting all to the Bar and the CJD, to see what they do with these writings.

II. SECRET SELF-POLICING DESTROYS ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY

The disciplinary system operates through: Confidential, alleged investigations, discretionary enforcement by their own, very limited, if any, public oversight, and internally controlled, secret review, if any.

Complaints against judges and attorneys are funneled into bodies that are:

Aristocratically, and Groomed Elite. . . institutionally aligned, professionally interconnected, and

405

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

ultimately answerable to the same authority structure that have to please each other and go along to get along..

This is not over sight, it is **self-containment and protection**.

A constitutional republic requires that government actors be accountable to the People, not insulated from them. As recognized in *Richmond Newspapers, Inc. v. Virginia*, 448 u.s. 555 (1980), openness and transparency are essential to the legitimacy of judicial proceedings.

A system that operates in secrecy while policing itself **cannot satisfy constitutional requirements of accountability or integrity**.

III. THE LMCE CONSTITUTES AN UNLAWFUL MONOPOLY UNDER THE SHERMAN ACT

The structure described herein also violates the Sherman Antitrust Act. The legal profession in South Carolina restricts entry through bar control, enforces Unauthorized Practice of Law (“UPL”) rules to eliminate competition, conditions meaningful access to courts on participation in the system, and disciplines participants to maintain internal conformity.

This is a **classic restraint of trade and it obviously is not protecting the litigants, but creating a larger barrier by charging high fees, and being a friend of the Court and fellow attorneys more than giving any loyalty to the litigant. The Bar’s new way of zealous representation is to not do so.**

In *North Carolina State Board of Dental Examiners v. FTC*, 574 U.S. 494 (2015), the Supreme Court held that regulatory bodies controlled by active market participants are subject to antitrust liability when they suppress competition without independent state supervision. That is precisely the structure here:

- Active participants (licensed attorneys) control the market,
- They restrict entry,
- They eliminate alternatives, and
- They enforce compliance through discipline
- They make it illegal for others to help each other without going to prison. . .and this is evaluated on a sliding scale. It happens a lot to those who the Court is concerned about.

This is not regulation in the public interest.
It is **market control by insiders**.

IV. FORCING CITIZENS TO PURCHASE ACCESS TO THE COURTS IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL

The Constitution guarantees the right to petition for redress of grievances. U.S. Const. amend. I. The Supreme Court has held that access to courts **MUST** be **real and meaningful**, not theoretical. See *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977). This is an issue in P-Appellant's ADA Disabilities also where the Court will not grant their Reasonable Accommodations that are extremely the least restrictive and don't require physical structures or changes.

Yet the current system *effectively* requires citizens to: Hire a licensed attorney, who is subject to the same disciplinary and institutional pressures and clublike environment, in order to navigate and be heard within the courts.

407

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

This creates a system where: Access is conditioned on payment to attorneys and courts per motion, representation is limited to members of a controlled class, and meaningful advocacy is constrained by institutional alignment.

That is not a constitutional system. That is **compelled participation in a monopoly**, or even worse, it is a club that those who want to be a part of the LMCE want to join and prove their worth and then become immune to discipline because of the club mentality in the LMCE..

V. UPL ENFORCEMENT IS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL BARRIER TO ASSOCIATION AND PETITION

Unauthorized Practice of Law rules, as applied, function to: Prohibit individuals from assisting others, prevent citizens from choosing who may speak on their behalf, chills the People wanting to learn the law and process with others so they can understand the process and speak for themselves or with others assistance, and eliminate alternative forms of advocacy outside the controlled bar structure.

These restrictions directly burden The First Amendment right to petition, the right of association, and the fundamental right of access to the courts.

A State cannot constitutionally criminalize assistance between private individuals, restrict advocacy to a licensed class where that class is structurally conflicted, or impose barriers that prevent meaningful redress.

UPL enforcement, in this context, is not consumer protection. It chills the People educating themselves on government and court procedure and process, and it is **monopoly enforcement**.

VI. THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT CANNOT CONSTITUTIONALLY OVERSEE ITSELF

The South Carolina Supreme Court governs attorney discipline, governs judicial discipline, and serves as the final adjudicator of disputes involving both. It is the most unethical of all because it is protecting its Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise above all else. This creates an irreconcilable constitutional defect.

No system can satisfy due process where the rule-maker, the enforcer, and the final judge are the same entity. Further, the Supremes are the acting CEO of the LMCE.

See *Gibson v. Berryhill*, 411 U.S. 564 (1973), where due process is violated where decision-makers have an institutional interest in maintaining the system they control. In SC, that institutional interest is total and unavoidable.

VII. FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES REJECT THIS TYPE OF CONCENTRATED POWER

The Founders expressly warned against systems in which power is concentrated in a self-protecting class as seen from the facts and caselaw, James Madison observed: “No man is allowed to be a judge in his own cause, because his interest would certainly bias his judgment.”

Thomas Jefferson warned against monopolies and concentrated professional control over public functions: “The monopoly... is justly classed among the greatest nuisances in government.”

These principles apply with full force here. A system in which insiders control access, insiders discipline insiders, and insiders decide outcomes is the very type of structure the Constitution was designed to prevent. The fact there is running a SC LMCE, even more so.

VIII. CONCLUSION regarding the Courts and the Sherman Act.

The integrated system formed by the South Carolina Bar, disciplinary authorities, judicial conduct bodies, and the South Carolina Supreme Court:

- a. **violates the Due Process Clause** through structural bias,
- b. **violates the First Amendment** by restricting access and association,
- c. **violates the Sherman Act** by creating and enforcing a monopoly over legal services, and
- d. **destroys the accountability required in a constitutional republic** through secret, self-policing mechanisms,
- e. **allows for Willaim Blitch to over see both attorneys an judges discipline,**
- f. **provide a way to enhance and have attorney take part in the schemes of the LMCE with the Judges to harm the People.**

This system does not provide justice. It controls it. Accordingly, this Court should declare the structure unconstitutional, find the enforcement of its restrictions unlawful, refuse to permit its continued use as a barrier to the People’s right of access to the courts, end the LMCE.

XIII. A Unified, For-Profit Government Enterprise Cannot Stand

The structure described is not a constitutional republic, but:

A unified oligarchic system,

410

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

- a. Operating under color of law,
- b. Using courts as its processing arm,
- c. Engaging in coordinated financial extraction and redistribution,
- d. Shielded through interstate corporate mechanisms.

SC Oligarchy/LMCE is a system that :

- a. Violates **S.C. Const. art. I, § 8;**
- b. Violates SMJ Analysis treating Guarantees as mere suggestions;
- c. Violates the Oath of Office to defend the Constitutions;
- d. Violates the **Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment;**
- e. Violates foundational separation-of-powers doctrine;
- f. Constitutes an **enterprise under RICO;**
- g. And renders all resulting judicial acts **void ab initio, while protecting innocent persons.**

XI. RICO Enterprise Under Color of Law

The system at issue is not a constitutionally valid framework for the administration of justice. It is a **closed, self-policing, internally controlled structure** in which the same class of actors, judges and attorneys, control admission, discipline, oversight, and final adjudication. This structure operates through the integrated authority of the South Carolina Bar, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, the South Carolina Commission on Judicial Conduct, and the South Carolina Supreme Court (collectively, the “LMCE”).

This structure is unconstitutional in design and operation, violates federal antitrust law, and constitutes an enterprise actionable under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”).

411

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

ADDITIONAL CASE AND ARGUMENT SUPPORT

U.S. Supreme Court authorities stating that a judgment entered without subject-matter jurisdiction is void and can be attacked at any time. These support P-Appellant’s Motion to Strike, Vacate, Order Void Ab Initio.

Supreme Court Authorities: Judgments Without Jurisdiction Are Void

1. Fundamental rule of jurisdiction “Without jurisdiction the court cannot proceed at all in any cause. Jurisdiction is power to declare the law, and when it ceases to exist the only function remaining to the court is that of announcing the fact and dismissing the cause.”

(Emphasis added). This has obviously not been done by the Supreme Court, as they mislabeled the filings, held the filing for two days so they could still hear Murdaugh by playing dumb, and ruled on a moot matter (#3, #4 of withdrawn Motions), already withdrawn as moot due to court malfeasance, which also gives them no SMJ to do so. *Ex parte McCardle*, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514 (1869). **Ex. 1, Ex.2-5.**

2. Judgments without jurisdiction are nullities: “A judgment rendered in violation of due process is void in the rendering State and is not entitled to full faith and credit elsewhere.” *World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson*, 444 U.S. 286, 291 (1980).

3. Jurisdiction defects make judgments void: “A judgment is void if the court that rendered it lacked jurisdiction of the subject matter or of the parties.” *United States v. Walker*, 109 U.S. 258, 265 (1883).

412

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

4. Courts cannot act beyond jurisdiction: “Courts created by written law... cannot transcend that jurisdiction.” *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 173–74 (1803).

5. Lack of jurisdiction voids proceedings: “Proceedings conducted without jurisdiction are void.” *Valley v. Northern Fire & Marine Insurance Co.*, 254 U.S. 348, 353 (1920).

6. Void judgments may be attacked at any time, INCLUDING P-Appellants’ case, the Laffitte And Asbestos Docket cases: “A void judgment is a legal nullity.” *United Student Aid Funds v. Espinosa*, 559 U.S. 260, 270 (2010).

7. Courts must raise jurisdiction on their own: “Courts... have an independent obligation to determine whether subject-matter jurisdiction exists.” *Arbaugh v. Y & H Corp.*, 546 U.S. 500, 514 (2006).

8. Jurisdiction must be determined first: “Jurisdiction is a threshold question that must be resolved before proceeding to the merits.” *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 94 (1998).

9. Acting without jurisdiction is ultra vires: “For a court to pronounce upon the merits... when it has no jurisdiction is... ultra vires.” *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*, 523 U.S. 83, 101–02 (1998).

10. Parties cannot create jurisdiction: “No action of the parties can confer subject-matter jurisdiction upon a federal court.” *Insurance Corp. of Ireland v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee*, 456 U.S. 694, 702 (1982).

Further, a court acting outside its neutral role produces constitutionally invalid judgments.

11. Neutral tribunal requirement but ignored by SC Courts: “A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.” *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

12. Judge cannot be accuser and adjudicator: “No man can be a judge in his own case.” *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

13. Courts cannot become advocates: “In our adversary system... courts do not sit as self-directed boards of legal inquiry.” *United States v. Sineneng-Smith*, 590 U.S. ____ (2020).

14. Courts must remain neutral: “The judge’s function is not that of an advocate.” *Greenlaw v. United States*, 554 U.S. 237, 243–44 (2008).

15. Appearance of justice required: “Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice.”

— *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14 (1954). But it also MUST actually be fair also.

Perception alone does not pass this test. See, *In re Murchinson* @ 136. So

Feigned/Colluding/colluding cases that appear real is not justiciable and great punishments must be given to those involved.

And regarding SMJ Adjudication Power:

From **Antonin Scalia in *Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment*:**

“For a court to pronounce upon the merits when it has no jurisdiction is... by very definition... an act beyond judicial power.” 523 U.S. at 101–02.

414

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

So in summary, together, these cases establish **four structural constitutional rules**:

a) **Subject-matter jurisdiction must be decided first;** b) **Courts must raise jurisdiction even if the parties do not;** c) **Courts cannot create jurisdiction by procedure, consent, or silence;** d) **Any judgment entered without jurisdiction is void.**

This framework proves that courts **cannot evade jurisdictional challenges or proceed to merits while ignoring them as did the Supreme Court through the breaking of many other Constitutional Provisions herein laid out for all to read.**

The **U.S. Supreme Court and federal circuit cases ARE CLEAR** stating that **JUDGES WHO ABANDON NEUTRALITY OR ACT AS ADVOCATES VIOLATE STRUCTURAL DUE PROCESS.** A court, especially the Supreme Court, and the Appeals Court are protecting Murdaugh, by trying to bury P-Appellants case through Feigned/Colluded /Colluded Orders, without making the opposition answer so P-Appellant's can have a replay. .. and instead the Courts are REPEATEDLY calling that a **reconsideration** and denying it under the **wrong rule (221(c)) which applies to the appeals/trial court relationship, not when a case is on appeal,** and will not correct this as an often used procedural trick by the Supremes and Appeals Courts to get rid of Meritorious claims, to help the opposition or the Courts of the LMCE; it rewrites and takes out of context motions, it blocks filings, delays filings, mislabels filings ignores filings and purposely ignores laws including Constitutional Law, because these at present are being treated as mere suggestions rather than guaranteed rights in violation of SMJ Adjudication. This is self-evident of biased Judges

415

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

with interests or common sense would just have them follow the law and rules as written and save themselves attacks like SMJ attacks. See Argument.

Below are **some of the strongest U.S. Supreme Court and federal appellate authorities stating that judges must remain neutral and cannot act as advocates, investigators, or prosecutors. When courts protect one side, invent arguments, block filings, or in P-Appellants bogus Evidentiary hearing to dismiss the case and more of the above mentioned violations as an illustrative list, prosecute issues sua sponte, they are violating Due Process and the Opposition has defaulted as ignorance of the law is no excuse. The Murdaugh case must be denied, by Default and many other frauds on the courts, entrenched helping the entrenched, Feigned/Colluded s/colludes, and so forth, or Voided as stated.**

1. Supreme Court Authorities: Judges Must Be Neutral Arbiters

a. Neutral tribunal is a constitutional requirement: “A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.” *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

b. Judge cannot act as accuser and adjudicator: “No man can be a judge in his own case... our system of law has always endeavored to prevent even the probability of unfairness.” *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

c. Structural bias violates due process: “Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice.” *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14 (1954). And it must be fair. *In re Murchison*.

416

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

- d. **Judge cannot have a stake in the outcome:** "Every procedure which would offer a possible temptation to the average man as a judge... not to hold the balance nice, clear and true... denies due process." *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 532 (1927).
- e. **Judges cannot show actual bias:** "Due process guarantees an absence of actual bias." *Williams v. Pennsylvania*, 579 U.S. 1, 8 (2016).

II. Courts Cannot Act as Advocates for Parties

- a. **Courts cannot invent arguments:** "In our adversary system... courts do not sit as self-directed boards of legal inquiry and research." *United States v. Sineneng-Smith*, 590 U.S. ____ (2020).
- b. **Courts cannot act as advocates:** "The judge's function is not that of an advocate." *Greenlaw v. United States*, 554 U.S. 237, 243 (2008).
- c. **Courts rely on parties to frame issues:** "In both civil and criminal cases... we follow the principle of party presentation." *Greenlaw v. United States*, 554 U.S. 237, 243–44 (2008).
- d. **Courts cannot rewrite cases, motions, filings labels or transcripts:** "Courts are essentially passive instruments of government." *United States v. Samuels*, 808 F.2d 1298, 1301 (8th Cir. 1987).

III. Structural Due Process: When Judges Abandon Neutrality

- a. **Bias invalidates judicial proceedings:** "The Due Process Clause may sometimes bar trial by judges who have no actual bias but whose situation is one which would

417

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

offer a possible temptation.” This is why SMJ Adjudication Analysis is so important, as are punishments that fit the crime. *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 532 (1927).

- e. Financial or institutional interest invalidates judgment:** “A biased decisionmaker is constitutionally unacceptable.” *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 876 (2009).
- f. Structural bias requires recusal:** “The probability of actual bias... is too high to be constitutionally tolerable.” *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 877 (2009).

IV. Courts Cannot Prosecute Cases

- a. Courts cannot act as prosecutors:** “The combination of investigative and adjudicative functions does not... violate due process, but the situation becomes constitutionally unacceptable when the judge becomes part of the accusatory process.” *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 137 (1955).
- b. Judges must remain detached:** “A judge must not become personally embroiled with the litigants.” *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 17 (1954).
- c. Judicial neutrality protects liberty:** “The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or the law.” *Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc.*, 446 U.S. 238, 242 (1980).

418

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

SMJ Adjudication analysis is vital to a free country, where Judges are checked before any case they take, that they are going to uphold their Oath in all ways which is to defend the Constitutions and the People’s guaranteed rights and their Canons, with the understanding that there is severe discipline for those who are seen to intentional not do so, or do not correct quickly and completely any errors that are brought to their attention, and not treat any Constitutional issue as harmless error.

In United States v. Sineneng-Smith (2020), the court stated, “[i]n our adversary system of adjudication, we follow the principle of party presentation... courts do not sit as self-directed boards of legal inquiry.” **When a Judge(s) or court rewrite arguments or protects one side, this is anathema to the Constitution.**

In re Murchison: “Our system of law has always endeavored to prevent even the probability of unfairness.” 349 U.S. at 136. Who at is being presented herein is extreme unfairness, corruption and all claims made herein. This is not a Constitutional Court system and SC is not a Constitutional State. They are an oligarchy with a LMCE.

Structural unfairness itself violates due process, and invalidates the proceedings, even if direct proof of bias is unavailable, but P-Appellant’s have given overwhelming proof.

Further, due process violations and jurisdictional defects create “structural error” that automatically voids proceedings and requires reversal without harmless-error analysis.

The Supreme Court, if honest, should have **intervened even if lower courts tried to ignore the misconduct. P-Appellant’s appeals court should also intervene and stop trying to block such information from the record to cover for their LMCE/Oligarchy/Fellow Entrenched.**

“Structural errors” are constitutional violations that **automatically invalidate proceedings** because they undermine the basic framework of a fair judicial process. Structural errors **require reversal without harmless-error analysis. But must first be a part of the SMJ Adjudicative Process as a guarantee, and not just as a mere suggestion that judges ignore because they can.** SMJ Adjudication Analysis should have been evaluated before the case was ever taken to assure the Courts were not going to act as they wished and be able to ignore Constitutional guarantees because they were taking them as mere “suggestions.” **Jurisdictional defects, denial of neutral adjudication, or judicial misconduct cannot be treated as minor procedural errors. This and a good punishment for violators of their Oaths will take care of situations like SC where Oligarchy has taken over and defies laws at will. Judge Kristi Curtis, and Judges Kittridge are just two of many examples in the Appeals and Supremes and of course in the Trial Courts where they know they will not be corrected because they are the foot soldiers stealing the Awards, creating Discovery/Sanctions and Evidentiary Sanctions to steal the jury too.**

Supreme Court Definition of Structural Error

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

he Supreme Court explained structural error in:

Arizona v. Fulminante, 499 U.S. 279, 309–10 (1991).

“Structural defects in the constitution of the trial mechanism... and cannot be evaluated by ‘harmless-error’ standards because they affect the framework within which the trial proceeds.” They must be evaluated by SMJ Adjudication with penalties for not doing so.

V. Structural Error Involving Jurisdiction

10. Acting without jurisdiction is ultra vires

Steel Co. v. Citizens for a Better Environment, 523 U.S. 83, 101–02 (1998).

“For a court to pronounce upon the merits... when it has no jurisdiction is... an act beyond judicial power.”

11. Courts must determine jurisdiction before merits

Ex parte McCardle, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.) 506, 514 (1869).

“Without jurisdiction the court cannot proceed at all in any cause.”

The Supreme Court summarized common structural errors in:

Neder v. United States, 527 U.S. 1, 8 (1999).

Structural errors include: Denial of impartial judge; denial of counsel; racial discrimination in jury selection; denial of public trial; **defective reasonable doubt instruction**

These errors **“infect the entire trial process.”**

Particularly Powerful Language for Appellate Arguments

421

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

From **Arizona v. Fulminante**: “Structural defects... affect the framework within which the trial proceeds.”

From **Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.**:

“The Due Process Clause has been implemented by objective standards that do not require proof of actual bias.”

Together these cases establish that: **A biased judge invalidates proceedings; Courts cannot abandon neutrality; Courts cannot rewrite cases or act as advocates; Courts cannot rule on merits without jurisdiction; Structural violations require automatic reversal.**

These principles show tht **judicial misconduct or jurisdictional evasion is not is a constitutional defect.**

There are numerous **Supreme Court decisions stating that courts must disqualify themselves when even the appearance of bias exists**, not just actual bias.

Those cases are **very powerful that a court protected attorneys, insiders, or institutional interests.**

Recusal is a **due process challenges and recusal motions** and can also support arguments that **proceedings are constitutionally invalid when a judge refuses to step aside despite structural conflicts. Neutrality and Due process are vital to a SMJ Analysis before power is granted to the Court/Judge to hear a case. These are not optional.**

I. Foundational Supreme Court Rule: Appearance of Bias Violates Due Process

1. Probability of bias is unconstitutional: *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

"A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process." The Court also explained: "Our system of law has always endeavored to prevent even the probability of unfairness."

2. Justice must appear impartial: *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14 (1954). "Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice."

II. Financial or Institutional Interest Requires Disqualification

3. Financial incentive invalidates judicial role: *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510, 532 (1927).

"Every procedure which would offer a possible temptation to the average man as a judge... not to hold the balance nice, clear and true... denies due process of law."

4. Institutional interest also violates due process: *Ward v. Village of Monroeville*, 409 U.S. 57, 60 (1972): "The fact that the mayor's court generated a substantial portion of village revenues created a possible temptation... inconsistent with due process."

III. Judicial Bias Even Without Proof of Actual Corruption

5. Probability of bias is enough: *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 877 (2009). "The probability of actual bias... is too high to be constitutionally tolerable."

6. Objective appearance standard: *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, 883–84 (2009). "The Due Process Clause has been implemented by objective standards that do not require proof of actual bias."

423

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

IV. Judge Cannot Participate After Acting as Prosecutor

7. Prior involvement in prosecution requires recusal: *Williams v. Pennsylvania*, 579 U.S.

1, 8–9 (2016). “The Due Process Clause guarantees an absence of actual bias.”

The Court held the violation **structural error requiring reversal**.

V. Judge Cannot Be Both Investigator and Adjudicator

8. Combination of roles creates unconstitutional bias: *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133, 137 (1955). “Having been a part of the accusatory process, the judge cannot be, in the very nature of things, wholly disinterested in the conviction or acquittal of those accused.”

VI. Structural Bias and Institutional Conflicts

9. Bias from institutional incentives: *Aetna Life Insurance Co. v. Lavoie*, 475 U.S. 813, 825 (1986). “The Due Process Clause forbids a judge from participating in a case in which he has a direct, personal, substantial interest.”

10. Due process protects judicial neutrality: *Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc.*, 446 U.S. 238, 242 (1980). “The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or law.”

Extremely Powerful Line for Recusal Arguments: From **Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.**: “Not every campaign contribution by a litigant... creates a probability of bias... but this is an extraordinary case where the probability of actual bias rises to an unconstitutional level.” 556 U.S. at 884.

Strongest Supreme Court Quotes on Judicial Impartiality:

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

From **Tumey v. Ohio**: “Every procedure which would offer a possible temptation... not to hold the balance nice, clear and true... denies due process.” 273 U.S. at 532.

Structural Rule from These Cases

Together, these decisions establish **five constitutional principles**: 1. A litigant is entitled to a **neutral and detached judge**; 2. **Even the probability of bias violates due process**; 3. Judges cannot **participate after acting as investigators or prosecutors**; 4. **Institutional or financial interests require recusal**; Violations create **structural error requiring reversal**. But first they must go through the **SMJ Adjudication Analysis** and make these **guarantees** and not suggestions for the Court to choose if he wants to hear the violations, like the Supremes, the Appeals and the Trail Courts. They don’t want to rule on SMJ, and they don’t want to rule on ADA mandatory Constitutional violations. So they are refusing to see if they can block an appeal with no order. It shows how biased and interested in burying P-Appellant’s and helping Murdaugh, and in throwing Asbestos a bone, while keeping the money flowing into the LMCE for their personal, tax-free profits.

For the type of litigation you’ve been working on, there is another category of cases that can be **even more powerful**:

Courts cannot block access to the courts or refuse to accept filings, because doing so violates **the constitutional right to petition and access the courts**.

425

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

The **U.S. Supreme Court** authorities recognizing that litigants have a constitutional right of access to the courts and that courts cannot arbitrarily refuse filings or obstruct that access. P-Appellant’s consider these vitally important if **civil rights litigation, mandamus actions, and appellate challenges are/were made, when courts or clerks refuse to accept filings.**

I. Supreme Court Authorities: Right of Access to Courts

1. Access to courts is a fundamental constitutional right

Bounds v. Smith, 430 U.S. 817, 821 (1977).

“It is now established beyond doubt that prisoners have a constitutional right of access to the courts.”

Although arising in the prison context, the Court emphasized that **access to the courts is a fundamental constitutional principle.**

2. Government cannot obstruct the right to seek judicial relief

Chambers v. Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co., 207 U.S. 142, 148 (1907).

“The right to sue and defend in the courts is the alternative of force. In an organized society it is the right conservative of all other rights.”

This is one of the **most frequently cited Supreme Court statements about the central importance of access to courts.**

3. Access to courts derives from multiple constitutional provisions

Christopher v. Harbury, 536 U.S. 403, 415 n.12 (2002).

426

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

“The right of access to courts is founded in the Due Process Clause and other constitutional guarantees.”

4. Government may not block meaningful court access

Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371, 377 (1971).

“Due process requires... an opportunity to be heard at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner.”

5. The right to petition includes access to courts

California Motor Transport Co. v. Trucking Unlimited, 404 U.S. 508, 510 (1972).

“The right of access to the courts is indeed but one aspect of the right of petition.”

II. Supreme Court Authorities: Courts Cannot Arbitrarily Refuse Filings

6. Courts must hear properly presented claims

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 163 (1803).

“The very essence of civil liberty certainly consists in the right of every individual to claim the protection of the laws whenever he receives an injury.”

7. Courts exist to provide remedies for violations of rights

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 163 (1803).

“The government of the United States has been emphatically termed a government of laws, and not of men.”

8. Due process requires meaningful opportunity to present claims

Logan v. Zimmerman Brush Co., 455 U.S. 422, 429–30 (1982).

427

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

“The Due Process Clause grants the aggrieved party the opportunity to present his case and have its merits fairly judged.”

9. Courts cannot arbitrarily terminate claims

Logan v. Zimmerman Brush Co., 455 U.S. 422, 433 (1982).

“The State may not finally destroy a property interest without first giving the putative owner an opportunity to present his claim.”

III. Supreme Court Authorities: Courts Must Remain Fair and Impartial

10. Appearance of justice requirement

Offutt v. United States, 348 U.S. 11, 14 (1954).

“Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice.”

11. Neutral tribunal requirement

In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

“A fair trial in a fair tribunal is a basic requirement of due process.”

Courts Must Both Appear Fair and Be Fair: Offutt v. United States and related impartiality cases.

Appearance and reality of justice: Offutt v. United States, 348 U.S. 11, 14 (1954).

“Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice.” The Court explained that **the legitimacy of courts depends on public confidence in both the fairness and perceived fairness of judicial proceedings.**

Closely related language appears in: In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

"Our system of law has always endeavored to prevent even the probability of unfairness."

These cases emphasize that **courts must not only be fair but must appear fair**, because:

Public confidence in the judiciary depends on perceived fairness: Even the probability of bias violates due process: The legitimacy of judicial decisions rests on trust in neutrality

From **Chambers v. Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co.**: "The right to sue and defend in the courts is the right conservative of all other rights." 207 U.S. at 148. **Blocking filings or preventing adjudication undermines the entire constitutional system.**

If you want, I can also show you **a devastating line of Supreme Court cases stating that courts cannot create procedural barriers to avoid ruling on constitutional claims.**

Those cases are very powerful when arguing **that courts manipulated procedure to avoid adjudicating jurisdiction or misconduct. This is important in P-Appellant's Evidentiary Hearing that Could not have happened at that point, but for a scheme to rid the Court of this troubling case. It is evident in ADA Reasonable Accommodation refusals, and complexity and volume of the case, because the Courts do not want Movant's to document what the Trial Court did in their case, including presiding over the case where they were involved in a Murder for hire Plot of P-Appellant's in the underlying case to create a IF/IRF claim with Allianz and others to pull off another Murdaugh-type scam for the LMCE.**

Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp., 486 U.S. 847, 864 (1988)

The Court explained that judicial recusal rules exist to protect:

429

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

“the public’s confidence in the judicial process.”

Which means courts must ensure **both real fairness and public confidence in fairness.**

Extremely Clear Language Combining Both Concepts

From **Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., 556 U.S. 868, 889 (2009):**

“The Due Process Clause requires an objective inquiry into whether the probability of bias is too high to be constitutionally tolerable.”

This shows that **courts must guard against both actual bias and the probability or appearance of bias. In P-Appellant’s case, with all this evidence that doesn’t even get to the merits yet, the Court will be losing any remaining confidence, if any, from a public that is sick of Aristocratic double standards in SC.**

When speaking of Courts being just, “Justice must satisfy the appearance of justice,” The **Supreme Court’s doctrine is broader**, saying that the tribunal must **actually be fair**, it must **appear fair**, and even the **probability of bias violates due process**

That full principle comes from **the combined holdings of: *Offutt v. United States, In re Murchison*, and *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.***

One of the Best Lines to Use in a Brief

If you want, I can also show you **three Supreme Court cases that are extremely powerful when arguing that courts staged or predetermined outcomes**, because they say **courts cannot conduct “sham” proceedings or “Feigned/Colluded ” adjudications.**

Those cases are **very strong when arguing a tribunal was merely giving the appearance of justice while protecting insiders.**

Another Supreme Court Case Reinforcing the Same Rule

From **Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co., 556 U.S. 868, 876 (2009):**

“A biased decisionmaker is constitutionally unacceptable.”

“The probability of actual bias... is too high to be constitutionally tolerable.”

The **Murchison plus Offutt rule** is often cited to argue:

1. Courts must **actually provide fair adjudication**
2. Courts must **maintain public confidence in impartial justice**
3. Even the **probability of bias violates due process**
4. Structural unfairness **invalidates proceedings**

From R v Sussex Justices, Ex parte McCarthy, [1924] 1 K.B. 256, 259 (K.B.) (Lord Hewart, C.J.):

“Justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done.”

Supreme Court Cases Condemning Sham or Feigned/Colluded Proceedings

Herein, it is shown with more than enough connections that the **courts conducted a staged or predetermined process**, in Murdaugh, Asbestos, and P-Appellant’s cases for different reasons but all are targeting P-Appellant’s case for complete destruction.

1. Fraud on the Court / Corrupted Judicial Process

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238, 246 (1944)

The Supreme Court condemnations of a corrupted judicial process: “This is not simply a case of a judgment obtained with the aid of a witness who, on the basis of after-discovered evidence, is believed possibly to have been guilty of perjury.

This is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public.”

And the Court warned: “Tampering with the administration of justice... is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public.”

The **courts allowed, directed and protected fraud affecting the judicial process itself and they need P-Appellant’s case to disappear.**

2. Courts Cannot Allow Deceptive Proceedings

Mooney v. Holohan, 294 U.S. 103, 112 (1935)

“A deliberate deception of court and jury by the presentation of testimony known to be perjured... is inconsistent with the rudimentary demands of justice.”

The Court condemned proceedings obtained through deception as **constitutionally invalid.**

3. Use of False Evidence Corrupts Judicial Process

Napue v. Illinois, 360 U.S. 264, 269 (1959)

“The principle that a State may not knowingly use false evidence... is implicit in any concept of ordered liberty.” This applies when the Supremes, Appeals and trial Court **have allow, been a part of, and ignored known falsehoods in proceedings.**

432

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

4. Government Cannot Win Through Deception: Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87

(1963). "Society wins not only when the guilty are convicted but when criminal trials are fair."

The Court emphasized that **fairness, not winning, must govern judicial proceedings.**

That can't happen when the State is running a LMCE.

5. Courts Cannot Conduct Meaningless Hearings: Goldberg v. Kelly, 397 U.S. 254, 267

(1970). "The fundamental requisite of due process of law is the opportunity to be heard... at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner." **A token or staged hearing is not due process.**

6. Courts Cannot Destroy Claims Without Real Adjudication: Logan v. Zimmerman

Brush Co., 455 U.S. 422, 433 (1982). "The State may not finally destroy a property interest without first giving the putative owner an opportunity to present his claim." **This means that the hearing must be real, not procedural theater.**

In one of the most devastating Supreme Court Lines About Corrupted

Proceedings regarding SC is:

From **Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.:** "Tampering with the administration of justice... involves far more than an injury to a single litigant. It is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public."

322 U.S. at 246.

433

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

Together they establish: That courts cannot allow **fraud on the court**; courts cannot conduct **fake or meaningless hearings**; courts cannot tolerate **false evidence or deception**; courts cannot allow **procedural theater instead of real adjudication**.

This aligns directly with the **Sussex Justices rule: Justice must not only be done; it must be clearly seen to be done**.

The **Supreme Court cases** has also stated that courts cannot manipulate **procedure to avoid deciding jurisdiction or constitutional claims**. When the **courts deliberately dodged jurisdictional adjudication**, there is no fairness.

There are more **Supreme Court and high-level cases challenging sham or Feigned/Colluded proceedings** and condemns judicial participation, avoidance, manipulation, deceit, along with explanatory context. **“Justice should not only be done, but should manifestly and undoubtedly be seen to be done.”** *R. v. Sussex Justices, ex parte McCarthy* [1924] 1 K.B. 256, 259 (K.B.) (Lord Hewart, C.J.).

This line has been allegedly **adopted repeatedly in American law** as the *bedrock statement* that **courts must be fair in reality and in appearance**. U.S. courts often attribute the underlying principle to *Offutt* and *Murchison*, but this British quote is allegedly the **core articulation** used throughout due process jurisprudence.

II. Supreme Court on Sham or Feigned/Colluded Proceedings

Procedures that look like litigation but are hollow, deceptive, predetermined, or manipulative violate due process.

434

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

1) Fraud on the Court / Corrupt Judicial Process: “Tampering with the administration of justice... is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public.” *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238, 246 (1944).

This decision is the classic *fraud-on-the-court* case-condemning corrupt court proceedings. It is often invoked when a court’s proceedings are manipulated rather than genuinely adjudicated.

2) False Evidence / Deception in the Process

“A deliberate deception of court and jury by the presentation of testimony known to be perjured... is inconsistent with the rudimentary demands of justice.” *Mooney v.*

Holohan, 294 U.S. 103, 112 (1935). This case makes plain that **secret manipulation of evidence or proceedings undermines constitutional fairness.**

3) Prosecutor/Government Deception on Evidence

“Society wins not only when the guilty are convicted but when criminal trials are fair.”.

..(NOT FEIGNED_ *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963). Also, when the innocent are convicted the guilty go free. In Murdaugh, the amount of feigning on his behalf by all involved, give strong evidence that he is guilty and cannot stand on the actual evidence itself. Although nominally about evidence disclosure, the underlying principle is that the **justice system must not win by deception or procedural artifice.**

4) Feigned/Colluded Hearings Are Not Due Process

435

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

“The fundamental requisite of due process of law is the opportunity to be heard... at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner.” *Goldberg v. Kelly*, 397 U.S. 254, 267

(1970). A ceremony or hollow hearing that does not actually adjudicate the issues fails due process.

5) Material Procedural Rights Cannot Be Rubber-Stamped. “The State may not finally destroy a property interest without first giving the putative owner an opportunity to present his claim.” *Logan v. Zimmerman Brush Co.*, 455 U.S. 422, 433 (1982). This reinforces that courts cannot use procedure to *terminate rights* without real adjudication.

III. Supreme Court Scope Cases Related to *Avoidance of Adjudication*

While not all strictly about sham proceedings, these cases **reinforce that courts cannot simply evade constitutional claims through procedural manipulation: Article III Case or Controversy:** “This Court... has no jurisdiction to pronounce any statute... void... except as it is called upon to adjudge the legal rights of litigants in actual controversies.” *Chicago v. Morales*, 527 U.S. 41, 53 (1999). This forbids courts from issuing *advisory pronouncements* with no real litigation — a form of *Feigned/Colluded* adjudication. The 10th Cir., did this with P-Appellant’s fake Sua Sponte hearing using the Evidentiary Hearing/Sanctions scam to end the case, when the Evidentiary hearing could not even occur right after a failed 12b6 and certainly not on 162 documents never opposed or challenged successfully by the Defense. The same for Asbestos scams, where the Discovery-Sanction was used to artificially end their case and give the staged receivership

436

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

of their companies to Judge Toal’s, handpicked, newly appointed JMSC member Protopapa to control future litigation. In Murdaugh’s scam show trial/appeal, there was no real litigation at all. . .and it is not justiciable in SC, nor in Federal Court.

In Summary, these authorities collectively establish that: a. **Fraud or deception before the court corrodes judicial legitimacy; b. Proceedings that are a sham , meaning hollow, predetermined, or used to manipulate outcomes, violate due process; c. Procedural devices cannot be wielded to evade adjudication of constitutional claims or destroy rights without real hearing; d. Courts have an independent duty to engage with the substance of constitutional claims rather than hide behind procedure.**

Here are **precise, Supreme Court authorities and key principles you can use when arguing that a court or legal proceeding was a *sham, fraudulent, and used procedure to mask illegitimate outcomes.*** P-Appellants are challenging and are demanding a Motion to Strike/Vacate Opinion of this court, that *stage* proceedings or *avoid substantive adjudication.*

1) *Sham* Litigation Doctrine, in the Supreme Court (Noerr-Pennington)

Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc.

“Litigation cannot be deprived of immunity as a sham unless the litigation is *objectively baseless* in the sense that no reasonable litigant could realistically expect success on the merits.”

437

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

2) Fraud on the Court / Corrupt Judicial Process

Even though there’s not a named Supreme Court “sham proceeding” case beyond Noerr, the Court has long treated *fraudulent procedural tactics* as undermining judicial legitimacy:

Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co. “Tampering with the administration of justice... is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public.”

322 U.S. 238, 246 (1944). The Hazel-Atlas Glass Co., case, is one of the most powerful lines the Supreme Court ever wrote about *fraud corrupting process*, and P-Appellant’s are using it because the Courts directed, allowed or facilitated deception affecting the judicial process itself, not just the outcome of a single case, in P-Appellant’s case, Murdaugh’s case and the Asbestos scams, all as part of their LMCE operation and objectives.

3) Deception & Misrepresentation Corrupt Process

While not Supreme Court, courts have held in the litigation context:

Litigation can be deemed a sham if a party’s *intentional misrepresentations to the court* *deprive the litigation of its legitimacy*. *Kottle v. Northwest Kidney Ctrs.*, 146 F.3d 1056, 1060 (9th Cir. 1998). This shows that **deliberate misrepresentation within proceedings** **render them void or outside normal protections of legitimate litigation.**

4) When Courts manipulated procedure or issued a *predetermined outcome*
disguised as “process,”:

A. The proceeding lacked bona fide legal substance. Under *Professional Real Estate Investors*, that raises *objective baselessness*.

438

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

B. The process was used as a tool to interfere with rights without intent to litigate substance by the Defense/Judges in P-Appellant’s case, by the entire proceeding in favor of Murdaugh, and against Asbestos scams by the entire process, minus the Defense lawyers bringing this appeal for them.

C. Fraud and deception that taint judicial process violate due process.

Supreme Court in *Hazel-Atlas* described procedural tampering as a wrong against *the institutions of justice themselves*.

5) Persuasive Patent/Antitrust “Sham” Fraud argument.

While specific to patent enforcement, *Walker Process Equipment* demonstrates the Court’s stance on *fraudulent procurement and continuation* of legal rights and the necessity of *fair, honest process*. 382 U.S. 172, 179 (1965).

Though not labeled a "sham," it supports the basic rule that the Constitution/Courts insist on **honest process and fair opportunity to present claims**.

In summary, regarding **Fraud on the Court**, Judicial or procedural fraud undermines public confidence in justice and is a *wrong against judicial institutions*.

Intentional Misrepresentation: by Courts, Defense, Plaintiffs, and/or state prosecutors, engaging in fraudulent representations, Courts must deem litigation a sham because *fraudulent representations to the court or with the court, deprive the case of legitimacy*.

Further, there are *actual U.S. Supreme Court authorities* that directly address situations where a proceeding was *Feigned, collusive, non-adversarial, or otherwise lacking a real dispute between adverse parties*, and therefore was *not a legitimate case or controversy*, and judgments based on such proceedings are treated as **void or nonjusticiable** under Article III. Prior to hearing the case, the court/Judges must determine if the case is legitimate to hear, or if they are involved, must also raise those issues as a part of the SMJ Adjudication Process, to even receive power to hear the case or not hear the case.

- 1) ***Lord v. Veazie (1850), Feigned/Colluded cases generate no real controversy (not justiciable) and are void.*** In *Lord v. Veazie*, 49 U.S. (8 How.) 251 (1850), the Supreme Court found that the case was **Feigned and no genuine antagonistic dispute**. “Where it appears... that there is *no real dispute between the plaintiff and defendant in the suit*, but... their interest is one and the same... the judgment... entered pro forma is a *nullity and void*... and it must therefore be **dismissed**.” *Lord v. Veazie*, 49 U.S. (8 How.) 251, 255 (1850). Southern Bank & Trust Co., will make sure that the innocent persons case is not affected negatively by these shenanigans by the fraudsters, and Entries of Judgement as a sanction or otherwise are proper in these cases. “. . . where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

440

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

When the attorneys and judges are on one side, against the Plaintiffs’ litigants in a RACKETEERIG/Collusive manner, the same holds, and severe punishments must follow, while preserving the innocent victims entry of Judgment as a sanction or otherwise.

This case is one of the earliest and clearest statements that *a court cannot render a judgment where there is no genuine controversy, i.e., where the litigation is a sham or Feigned/Colluded; and such a judgment is treated as void, while protecting the innocent party, See Southern Bank & Trust Co.* (“...where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”).

2) *United States v. Johnson (1943)* — collusive or “friendly” suits are not justiciable, 319 U.S. 302 (1943). The Supreme Court described a case where the proceeding was *not in any real sense adversary* and was dominated by one party (the so-called plaintiff was only a nominal plaintiff, controlled by the opposing side): The Court explained that when one party “*dominated the conduct of the suit*” so that the plaintiff did not participate meaningfully and did not control counsel, the suit was *collusive* and lacked the “*honest and actual antagonistic assertion of rights... indispensable to the integrity of the judicial process.*” *United States v. Johnson*, 319 U.S. 302, 305 (1943).

The Supreme Court vacated and directed dismissal on that ground because a collusive suit does *not present a case or controversy* under Article III. If the litigant is the Plaintiffs, then Southern Bank & Trust Co., relief applies as the victim of the sham, must not

441

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

be punished, for the fraudsters collusion/Racketeering. “. . . where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”).

4) Article III Jurisprudence on Feigned/Colluded /Non-Adversarial Suits

Article III’s “case or controversy” and also SC State “judicially,” requirement has historically been interpreted to *exclude suits lacking adversity or real issues*:

A suit where the parties *have the same interest* and are not adverse is not a genuine case or controversy and is not justiciable in SC language. Courts have repeatedly held that the **judicial power extends only to *honest and actual antagonistic assertion of rights***, not to Feigned/Colluded matters or “friendly suits.”

Therefore, No Real Controversy is equivalent to No Judicial Power. Federal courts **lack jurisdiction** to adjudicate disputes where there is no genuine adversary conflict between the parties. If a suit is arranged collusively or without real antagonism, it does *not* qualify as a “case or controversy” under Article III. SC State follows this concept under the “Justiciability” language.

These authorities show that a Court must have **Subject-Matter Jurisdiction (SMJ) POWER and not just topical Jurisdictional power, before they hear a case, granted or denied by the Constitution.** A court must have a real case or controversy before. . . .AS A CONDITION PRECEDENT/PREREQUISITE, BEFORE it can exercise jurisdiction. A Feigned/Colluded suit lacks this threshold feature and thus is nonjusticiable in SC language.

442

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Void vs. Voidable: Where there is no actual controversy, the court’s actions *are not legitimate exercises of judicial power* and are treated as void rather than merely erroneous. However, the innocent party(s) must not be harmed by the void due to opposition or Court malfeasance. This is loosely known as the Clean Hands Doctrine, whether specifically in equity or in law. See ***Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co.***, 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985) *infra*. Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Fraud on the Court: Although not always labeled “Feigned/Colluded case,” Feigned and Colluded cases are a subset of “fraud on the court” doctrines (e.g., *Hazel-Atlas*) similarly hold that when procedural manipulations corrupt the judicial process itself, any resulting orders are void or subject to equitable relief. See, ***Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co.***, 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985) *infra*. Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Feigned/Colluded Cases Lack SMJ Adjudicative power for a Judges/Court to proceed: “Where... there is no real dispute... the judgment... is a *nullity and void*.”

Lord v. Veazie, 49 U.S. (8 How.) 251, 255 (1850).

443

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

On Collusive Suits Lacking Adversity: “Such a suit is collusive because it is *not in any real sense adversary...*” *United States v. Johnson*, 319 U.S. 302, 305 (1943).

On Judicial Power Limited to Honest Antagonism: “No real controversy exists in a friendly suit... to transfer inquiry to the courts.” *Chicago & Grand Trunk Ry. Co. v. Wellman*, 143 U.S. 339, 345 (1892).

Parallel state law and civil procedure doctrines (e.g., fraud on the court, collusion statutes) also treat *collusive or Feigned cases* as procedurally void or subject to immediate vacatur, subject to ***Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co.***, 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985) *infra*, subject to the Southern Bank & Trust doctrine. Even “[a] **declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.**”

South Carolina courts have recognized principles analogous to the federal rule against “Feigned/Colluded ” or abstract cases, even though they aren’t labeled exactly as such. South Carolina decisions *repeatedly emphasize that a lawsuit must present a real, justiciable controversy (i.e., not hypothetical, abstract, or “pretend”)*.

1. South Carolina Supreme Court; Real & Substantial Controversy Required

The South Carolina Supreme Court has repeatedly held that: **“A justiciable controversy is a real and substantial controversy which is appropriate for judicial determination, as distinguished from a contingent, hypothetical or abstract dispute.”** *Byrd v. Irmo High*

444

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

School, 321 S.C. 426, 430, 468 S.E.2d 861, 864 (1996). This formulation mirrors the federal *case or controversy* requirement where **courts will not decide issues unless there is an actual dispute between adverse parties.**

2. South Carolina Appellate Court: No Adjudication Without an Actual Controversy. The South Carolina Court of Appeals explained the same concept: **“This Court will not pass on moot and academic questions or make an adjudication where there remains no actual controversy.”** Ex. 1, *Sloan v. Greenville County*, Op. No. 20004-UP-277 (Ct. App. Oct. 22, 2008). Also see herein P-Appellant’s case, Murdaugh’s case and the Asbestos cases.

This reinforces that a suit must present **a real, live dispute** and not just a theoretical or manufactured issue. And certainly implies that the Court cannot also pretend along with the Feigned case from the Trial Court involving Judges, State Actors, Allianz, Defense Lawyers, Appellants own lawyer, Defendants/proxies of the Court, the Appeals Court and the Supreme Court and other State Actors.

All of these and more **Support the “Feigned/Colluded Case” Concept and how there is no SMJ Adjudication Power of the Court, and it must void the perpetrators and protect the victims of such Feigned/Colluded case, by Entering their Entries for Judgment and call a neutral jury to determine all aspects of their damages not listed, and Allianz must pay for all damages, while leaving P-Appellant’s open to suing all for additional damages they have gone through by not giving up, and having to be the**

445

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

pawn destroyed to save Murdaugh, and to not make aware the Asbestos victims of this LMCE scam.

While South Carolina may not always used the exact wording “Feigned/Colluded case is void ab initio,” they have consistently applied **actual controversy/justiciability requirements** that accomplish the *same substantive rule*: **No court will decide issues that are contingent, hypothetical, or abstract. Courts reject controversies that are moot, academic, or not genuinely disputed.**

Therefore, a proceeding that is manufactured, purely hypothetical, or without true adverse parties *fails the justiciability requirement* and may not be decided.

This is the South Carolina analogue to the federal **Article III prohibition on collusive or sham suits** — even if language like “void ab initio” may not always be used explicitly.

In summary, in SC, **“A justiciable controversy is a real and substantial controversy ... not a contingent, hypothetical or abstract dispute.”** *Byrd v. Irmo High School*, 321 S.C. 426, 430, 468 S.E.2d 861, 864 (1996).

“This Court will not pass on moot and academic questions or make an adjudication where there remains no actual controversy.” *Sloan v. Greenville County*, Ct. App. (2008).

SC Courts will **not decide a case unless a real, substantial, present controversy exists.**

446

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

These doctrines form the core **state-law analogue of the federal rule that**
“Feigned/Colluded , collusive, or sham suits are not cases or controversies.”

SC state law language shows that judgments entered without a justiciable controversy are treated as void or subject to dismissal (in a mirror to the federal “void ab initio” doctrine), with a Southern Bank & Trust co., equitable or inherent power related finding for the innocent parties as the innocent cannot be punished for the wrongdoing of the fraudsters.

These dismissal must be held against the offending parties/Courts involved and not against the innocent party(s) who must win due to the fraud and trickery of the offending party/Court...so if a “Plaintiff” is being colluded against by both sides of the attorneys and the judges also ...like in P-Appellants case, and in the Asbestos cases, dismissing the case rewards the colluding parties/racketeers, and harms the victim Plaintiff. South Carolina (and federal) law recognizes as **dangerous when courts treat sham, collusive, or Feigned/Colluded cases mechanically by dismissal**, because dismissal without scrutiny can **reward the wrongdoer and harm the innocent party**. Courts have repeatedly held that when **fraud, collusion, or judicial participation in wrongdoing** occurs, the remedy is **not a simple dismissal**, but rather measures that preserve the rights of the victimized party must be taken, including sanctions against the fraudsters, and judgement for the innocent, i.e., P-Appellant’s and Asbestos victims.

447

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

SMJ Violations/Fraud on the Court / Collusion Against a Party or the Affected

Person/Equitable Relief and :

In the Murdaugh case, all “parties and the Judges were colluding together to save Murdaugh. And Void finding must go against them. The REAL victims are those who had their awards stolen through various money laundering scheme by Murdaugh and others, as a part of the LMCE with the basic structure and some of the cases being IF/IRF scams used in the LMCE.

The Courts, including the Supreme Court, were the processing plant that had to protect their money they pilfered through these criminals and others, and had to save their LMCE Aristocratic predators on the front line, like Murdaugh and Laffitte, through state and federal collusion involving the attorneys and judges/Courts, Lawyer-Legislators like Justin Bamberg, alleged representing some REAL victims. . .not very well. . . responsible for the show trial/appeal, the State, the SC Bar, the JMSC, the CJC, Prosecutor/Defense, Murdaugh/Laffitte, other perjured witnesses, and so forth. **A voiding of all proceedings, which is not what P-Appellants are claiming in any way, would be to harm the offended parties, and reward the wrongdoers, including the courts and their ACTORS.**

Here the REAL victims are not represented and a void judgment hurts them, if P-Appellants were challenging SMJ at the trial court level, which they are not, and instead are calling Fraud on the Court by the Court for the Supremes and specific Trial court practices, like narrowing the investigation to limit State sponsored

448

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

Criminals/Crimes, like picking the Receivers, insisting the Receivership stay with the State rather than the Feds who could do it very cheaply, although still bias as seen in the Gerbel/Limehouse/McMaster/Laffitte connections and actions, like not holding Mullen, Odom, Manning, PMPED/Parker, Palmetto State Bank, Carriers Becky Hill, Gergel, extremely liable and perhaps guilty of being part of the fraud, rather than have show trials/appeals for Murdaugh and Laffitte’s sake.

In Asbestos Cases: The victims are some of the Plaintiffs and some Corporations/Carriers. A voiding of the Appeal would be to harm the offended parties, and reward the wrongdoers, including the State/Courts and their LMCE. That is obvious not the intent of Justice.

In P-Appellant’s case, voiding the Appeal itself or making P-Appellant’s start all over and have another 7 years of stonewalled litigation by a system that does not correct itself, would also be unjust and reward the wrongdoers, which are the State, The Courts, the Defense/Plaintiff lawyers, Allianz and must more.

Federal Principle as an Equitable Clean Hands Doctrine strategy: In *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238, 245–46 (1944): “The judgment in this case was obtained by the use of a deliberately planned and carefully executed scheme to defraud not only the opposing party but the Court itself... Tampering with the administration of justice is a wrong against the institutions set up to protect and safeguard the public.”

The Equitable Clean Hands Relief for the Offended key point here is **when a court is duped by fraud and/or collusion, or a part of the fraud and/or collusion, the victim MUST NOT BE *penalized by automatic dismissal*. The Court vacated the judgment and restored the rights of the innocent party. This principle is cited to protect victims of collusion between opposing counsel and even the judge, however it still does not truly protect victims of such fraud/collusion etc.**

However, in cases like the three herein an issue, especially, P-Appellant’s case, the case was greatly weakened by the RACKETEERING, there are deaths, witnesses that moved away, some Defendants no longer cooperative, old moot evidence, the opposing side destroyed evidence and made up other evidence, the Defense was running P-Appellant’s case through their stooge, Michael Dodd, and the strength of the case is not what it was, or anywhere near what it was. Plus, P-Appellants have lost 7 years of their lives and are older with physical health issues, including those caused by the Judges that are not going away without necessary surgery. Starting over is a major penalty for them doing all they could to stop the RACKETEERING and move their case forward with those frustrating the purpose. . .not just lawyers. . .but the state judges all the way up to and including the Supreme Court itself.

Federal Concept: Feigned/Collusive Proceedings: In *United States v. Johnson*, 319 U.S. 302, 305 (1943): **“Where one party dominates the conduct of the suit... the suit**

450

. . . SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

is collusive and lacks the honest and actual antagonistic assertion of rights indispensable to the integrity of the judicial process.”

So, if the collusion involves **judicial participation**, courts cannot treat the “dismissal” of the suit as neutral where all sides have to start over. The remedy should **unwind the collusive scheme, where P-Appellant’s have provided more than enough information inspite the Appeals Court still not providing reasonable accommodations that are mandated, to further try to weaponize the disabilities the Court created and exacerbated, while limiting block time and pages in selective, Equal Protection violations/rights, to force a victory for them and their underlings, and the LMCE.**

A NEUTRAL COURT MUST BE ASSIGNED BY NEUTRAL STATE ACTORS IF ANY, and protect the party whose rights were violated. **That is the Murdaugh REAL victims, the Asbestos REAL victims, and P-Appellants.**

The P-Appellant’s have unwound more than enough conflicts, frauds, steering and State protections to show **ACTUAL BIAS** purposely incorporated by the STATE to force a victory for the LMCE perpetrators involved in these show trial/Appeals. The collusive scheme and evidence provided already, that the Supremes are hiding from the public. . .self-evident Fraud on the Court and Collusion. . .is enough to have all the innocent parties and persons involved and affected in this protected from there LMCE predators.

P-Appellant’s need their entry of Judgement they filed in the Trial Court after showing many defaults by Defendants and crimes by the lawyers, and Judges being perpetrated, along with their SMJ Adjudication forcing entry of Judgement in full against Allianz no matter how much was stolen or given to others as a part of this scheme. P-Appellant’s also need a jury trial to determine additional damages that must be paid by Allianz in full, and Allianz can go collect indemnity from others unless. This is not P-Appellant’s problem. Further, P-Appellant’s bar on any statute of limitations while being stonewalled herein must be stayed so P-Appellant’s have additional time to sue all parties for all this collusive/RACKETTERING/RICO, AND Civil Right violations and so forth that are still not even presented and/or heard yet.

South Carolina Analogue: South Carolina law requires **adjudication on actual controversies** (see *Byrd v. Irmo High School*, 321 S.C. 426, 468 S.E.2d 861 (1996)) but also recognizes that: **Dismissal cannot be used to reward colluding parties** if one party is innocent and has been victimized. Courts may **vacate, set aside, restore rights, sanction and enter judgement against perpetrators**, when collusion, fraud, or judicial misconduct is evident **AND IT IS MORE THAN EVIDENT**, and P-Appellants did not even give most of the details they have researched yet but have already given more than enough to prove its points that do not have to be proven but just appearance of unfairness, and appearance of bias is enough. P-Appellant’s have gone much further than that herein and with attachments and other filings. **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C.**

452

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even “[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized.”

Therefore, if attorneys or Judges are complicit in collusion against a Plaintiff, **the court must craft a remedy that protects the plaintiff**, not reward the perpetrators with dismissal.

Therefore: **Feigned or collusive cases are void as to the fraudsters but can not harm the victim. Courts have equitable authority** to unwind judgments or dismissals tainted by fraud/judicial misconduct and **restore rights to the innocent party and enter judgment and preserve their additional rights to sue, or sanction the offenders and enter judgment for the innocent and other relief outlined herein. on the feigning/collusion/RACKETERING and so forth; Procedural dismissals must distinguish between colluding parties and victim parties; blanket dismissal would violate due process and fairness principles.**

The Remedy Approach cannot be a dismissal that rewards the conspirators. Instead, courts must treat the proceedings **as void against the colluders**, restore the plaintiff’s standing, and adjudicate the claims on the merits if possible. If not, without further harm to the victims, the court should enter judgments in full for the victim as a sanction or otherwise with fees and costs, and leave the door open for them to sue for additional acts and damages, while sanctioning, and calling for investigations and

453

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

prosecutions of the perpetrators. This principle **protects both fairness and actual justice.**

To do otherwise, and not distinguish between **simply “reopening” a case** and give the LMCE perpetrators another chance to act in bad faith and further punish the innocent because they received no harsh penalties, is clearly wrong and unjust. The Court **treating the original collusive proceedings as void, but with remedies that bypass the victim’s hardships, and provide them proper remedy is when justice prevails.**

Therefore, Murdaugh needs to go back to prison and not be able to further feigned trial and appeals to hide the LMCE in exchange for protection by the LMCE, the real Victims of Murdaugh need real, neutral investigation into all criminals and crimes, and neutral receivers to review and further investigate the funds and disbursements, neutral investigators need to look for all criminals and all crimes, and look into all that collected as alleged “after the fact” victims who collected and prosecute and have all funds returned to the victims and not their attorneys. All of the questionable actions and ACTORS NEED THOUROUGH INVESTIGATION, INDICTMENTS, AND PUNISHMENTS FROM NEUTRAL TRIERS AND NEUTRAL PROTECTORS OF THE PEOPLE THROUGH OPEN DISCIPLINES OF THE STATE/LAWYERS. Laffitte also needs investigated for how his punishment was reduced to almost nothing with Judge Heath P. Taylor over the case, and the State manipulating the process.

454

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within. §§§§

Asbestos scammers, need likewise, with all being investigated by Neutral investigators and Neutral Judges involved. They need the Asbestos process in SC found void ab initio and those harmed restored and made whole. There should be no more Court or Attorney aggression in these matters, trying to make up cases out of thin air, perform **Deposition-Defaults** to create liens that allow Protopapas to take over the litigation of healthy corporations, nor find “**zombie cases**” to step into nor any other scheme of the State. The entire process must be Transparent with no need to go to Delaware, and all Judges having SMJ Adjudication Power, which the Supremes/Toal obviously do not have as they are operating a Fraud on the Court by the Court LMCE, and Feigned cases under color of law.

After neutral investigations, there needs to be severe punishment for the Legislature and Legislators, for operating a LMCE, for the Courts and Judges, for operating a LMCE, for the lawyers for being perpetrators in the LMCE, and all others involved, prosecuted to the fullest and a Constitutional Republic put in place in SC by the People, not by the Aristocrats/Groomed Elite. Restore all victims to become whole plus fees and costs, out of the pockets of all who have violated the Public Trust and became predators against People whether Plaintiffs, Corporations, or Carriers.

P-Appellant’s have been discussed and need all voided against the fraudsters, and their Entry of Judgment entered, that they can provide to the Court, all fees and costs

455

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

returned (their time is worth money. .. they demand fees and it is unconstitutional to not allow for this as Selective Prosecution/Process).

All victims of these Fraudsters, need all neutrally investigated, removed from office, they need to go before a people’s grand jury led by the people, not LMCE perpetrators, and prosecuted to the very fullest with no gifts from others involved.

Feigned/Colluded Cases:

1. Feigned/Colluded or collusive proceedings are not legitimate adjudications

- *Lord v. Veazie*, 49 U.S. 251, 255 (1850): Feigned/Colluded proceedings are “a nullity and void.”
- *U.S. v. Johnson*, 319 U.S. 302, 305 (1943): Collusive suits lack the “honest and actual antagonistic assertion of rights.”

2. Restoring rights via repetition often fails the fairness test

- Requiring the victim to redo the entire process **imposes time, cost, and psychological harm** — effectively **rewarding the wrongdoers**.

3. Courts recognize the problem and must give equitable relief.

- Federal courts acknowledge that fraud on the court or collusion can warrant **equitable relief** beyond mere vacatur (*Hazel-Atlas Glass Co.*, 322 U.S. 238, 246).
- South Carolina recognizes this through Southern Bank & Trust Co., and can give various reliefs, including, but not limited to sanctions against the

456

... SC “put on parade South Carolina’s tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn’t a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state.” Credits within.
§§§§

fraudsters, and enter judgment, with a jury to hear damages that are not outlined in the complaint or that could not be determined in the complaint like Punitive Damages. . . uncapped. **Southern Bank & Trust Co. v. Harrison Sales Co., 285 S.C. 50, 328 S.E.2d 66 (1985): Even** "[a] declaratory judgment action must involve an actual, justiciable controversy... But where fraud or trickery has been used to manipulate the proceedings, the innocent party should not be penalized."

Therefore, if attorneys and/or Judges are complicit in collusion against a Plaintiff, **the court must craft a remedy that protects the Plaintiff/victim**, not reward the perpetrators with dismissal.

"Tampering with the administration of justice... is a wrong against the institutions... The wrongdoers should not benefit from their fraud, nor should the innocent party be made to suffer the cost of repetition." This includes Judges/State Actors being a big part of the Collusion. *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co., 322 U.S. 238, 246 (1944)* (paraphrased for principle).

IX. Prayer for Relief:

Wherefore, P-Appellants DEMAND:

1. Recuse all Judges from the Trial, Appeals and Supreme Court Judges/Courts.
2. Make sure the Clerk of Courts properly files this Challenge under its proper name in a timely fashion with accurate caption as is the law.

457

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

3. Have a neutrally selected, Neutral Tribunal Hear our SMJ/Fraud on the Court Challenge.
4. Have them void the finding of the Supremes, Appeals and Trial Court that were in opposition to P-Appellants while protecting P-Appellants rights for judgment in their favor.
5. One it is clear to these Judges herein or the Neutral Judges that take over, enter P-Appellants Entry of Judgment they will provide this court upon request and have neutral judges schedule a Jury, that can come up with additional damages P-Appellants could not calculate and needed to be determined by the jury. Add fees and costs, including P-Appellants time taken to represent themselves as a part of Equal Protection.
6. Order Allianz to pay the entire amount and they can sublimate as they choose.
7. Leave all parties and entities open for suit for one additional year, so P-Appellants can collect from all who harmed them, or those people and entities can reach out and get this entirely resolved to make P-Appellants whole.
8. Order a protection/restraining Order so none of these people or their agents can ever speak to P-Appellants or send anyone to speak or be around P-Appellants in any way other than for negotiations. P-Appellants want

assurances of their safety from here going forward including being harassed or targeted by the Judges and their agents any further.

9. Order neutral, constitutionally compliant adjudication as described by P-Appellants and the Framers, with increased public discipline and punishment for any judge or government entities or their agents from taking part in the LMCE or ignoring laws.

Submitted

Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: March 22, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Kingjbrown1963@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.

Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: March 22, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Kingjbrown1963@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.

assurances of their safety from here going forward including being harassed or targeted by the Judges and their agents any further.

9. Order neutral, constitutionally compliant adjudication as described by P-Appellants and the Framers, with increased public discipline and punishment for any judge or government entities or their agents from taking part in the LMCE or ignoring laws.

Submitted



Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: March 22, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Sosofunny1959@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.



Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: March 22, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Sosofunny1959@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.

... SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
SSSS