

RE Robert Watkins 243903
Appellate Case 2008104666

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

3-23-26

MAR 24 2026

SC Court of Appeals

SC Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia SC 29211

Dear Jerry A. ~~Kitchings~~ Kitchings

How are you doing today Ma'am. God be willing you're doing Good. May the Grace and Mercy Peace and Blessings of God Continue to be upon you.

I have ~~the~~ ⁽¹⁾ case pending before the Court of Common Pleas. case ~~202~~ 2022-CP23-~~0666~~ 06616 and before S.C. Supreme Court case 2026-~~000123~~ -

I have an issue I want to Amend to case 2022-CP23-~~0666~~ 06616 Inference to my motion for Judge Patterson to recuse himself. My case is difficult, consist of alot of researching and obtaining paperwork in which a court appointed lawyer Public defender or Appellate Defense Defendants want spend alot of their time on, because of the numerous of cases they have to deal with. when evidence is deeper than six feet, the accused must get the shovel and dig deeper in order to protect his Due process of rights, procedural and equal protection of right to the laws of this State from being convicted by the government, in which to deprive a accused citizen of his liberty, life and property. When by the time he or she obtains such evidence, the accused is procedurally barred, unless he or she

p 1 of 4

can find a way to get back into court.

I am requesting a copy of the pretrial motion transcripts of March 14, 2008, March 27, 2008, April 18, 2008, May 30, 2008, Sept 11, 2008. ~~before~~ ~~the~~ ~~Honorable~~ ~~Judge~~ ~~Larry~~ ~~R~~ ~~Patterson~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~case~~ ~~Indictment~~ ~~2002-GS-23-1063~~. These transcripts I believe contain evidence to show that Judge Patterson had a judicial bias against me, and should have recused himself. I believe the SCWD Division of Appellate Reform was provided a copy of these transcripts, to perfect my appeal appellate case 2008104666, but did not use them to show a judicial bias. The Appellate Defender Elizabeth Ann Franklin Best was so hung up on raising Judicial Bias under or pursuant to Floyd v State 303 S.C. 294, 400 S.E.2d 145 (1991) which was a pre-SC rule ~~that~~ of automatic recusal of trial judge presided at trial and then subsequent Post Conviction Relief Proceedings. My case was the Judge presided over my PCR then retrial in which in oral argument SC Supreme said didn't apply to my case - and reversed S.C. Court of Appeal Judgment of reversal of my Sept 24, 2008 Conviction under Indictment 2002-GS-23-1063 in Robert Watkins v State, 2011-UP-091

see SC Singapore Courts ~~case~~ Appellate case -2011-195272

Stabv Watkins 406 SC 360, 752 S.E.2d 261 Revised

conviction affirm.: SCCID Division of Appellate Defense, Defender David Alexander did not show in oral argument Judicial Bias. - There ~~for~~ copy of transcripts of the pre-trial Motu hearing held before Judge Larry R Patterson Fed or aforementioned will show that I did not get a full Bite of the apple in my first Pet case 2014-CP-23-00589. ~~to~~; and that the SCCID Division of Appellate Defense, Defender Elizabeth Ann ~~Best~~ Franklin Best & David Alexander was ineffectua assistance of Counsel.:

Please, Please send me a copy of those transcript of pre-trial - Motu hearing of March 14, 2008; March 27, 2008. April 18, 2008, May 30, 2008, and Sept 11, 2008.

also

what did you do with my all my Petitions / motions to reinstate appellate case 2008104666 Judgment in ~~Appellate~~ Robert Watkins v State 2011-CP-091? you in response to those Motions, in your ~~return~~ ^{response} letters told me no actions will be taken on my Petitions, because the court of Appeal no longer have jurisdiction over my cases, because the remittitur had been sent down to the lower court. Not to offend or disrespect you but, ~~under jurisdiction~~ matter concerning subject matter jurisdiction is a Question of law for the SC court of Appeals judges, not the clerk of court.

see Barnes v State, 433 SC 299, 859 S.E.2d 260. You did not or your assistant did not ~~feel~~ feel assistant Atty General William M Blitt Jr, that no action will be taken on his Expedited Motion to recall the remittitur in expedited case 2008104666. You filed his expedited Motion to recall the remittitur. Because subject matter jurisdiction is a question of law on which the SC Court of appeal judges would have to determine not the clerk of court. You showed a Bias against me. anyway, also please ~~per~~ return all my Petition & Motion ~~that~~ I sent to the S.C. Court of Appeal under appellate case 2008104666, that you took no actions on, but did not return to me.

I definitely appreciate your time and patience with due respect

Respectfully subscribed by

Robert Watkins 243803 5-39-A

Livesey Court Dist

P.O. Box 580

Una SC 29378

Proof of Service to

cc SC Supreme Court

cc SC Court Administration

cc State Ethic Commission