

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
 COUNTY OF RICHLAND)
)
 RestoPros of the Midlands, LLC,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 Elaine Wilson,)
)
 Defendant.)
)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Civil Action No. 2025-CP-40-00939

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF

On January 14, 2026, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff appeared at the hearing through counsel and presented argument in support of its Motion. Defendant Elaine Wilson appeared pro se and participated in the hearing.

The Court has reviewed and considered: the pleadings and filings of record, including Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment; the accompanying Affidavit of Jeffrey Sturgis, Owner of Plaintiff; and the arguments presented. Based upon this review, the Court finds there are no genuine issues of material fact requiring resolution by a factfinder.

The undisputed evidence establishes the existence of a valid and enforceable contract, Defendant’s breach, and resulting damages, and Defendant has failed—both procedurally and substantively—to present admissible evidence creating a genuine issue of material fact under Rule 56, SCRPC. See Rule 56(e), SCRPC; *see also Lord v. D & J Enterprises, Inc.*, 407 S.C. 544, 553, 757 S.E.2d 695, 699 (2014) (“The initial responsibility may be discharged by pointing out to the trial court that there is an absence of evidence to support the non-moving party’s case. . . . Once the moving party carries its initial burden, the opposing party must do more than rest upon the mere allegations or

denials of [the opposing party's] pleadings . . ."); *Kitchen Planners, LLC v. Friedman*, 440 S.C. 456, 460, 463-65, 892 S.E.2d 297, 300, 301 (2023) (rejecting "mere scintilla" standard for Rule 56(c), SCRCP, summary judgment motions and reiterating that "it is not sufficient for a party to create an inference that is not reasonable or issue of fact that is not genuine" (quotation omitted)).

Accordingly, the Court finds that Plaintiff has met its burden under Rule 56, SCRCP, and that Plaintiff is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff RestoPros of the Midlands, LLC, and against Defendant Elaine Wilson in the total liquidated amount of \$9,943.48, comprised of: (i) an unpaid invoice balance of \$6,691.38, and (ii) contractual interest, at the rate of two (2.0%) percent, in the amount of \$3,251.80.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

[JUDGE'S ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE ON FOLLOWING PAGE]

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2026

SC Court of Appeals

FORM 4

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO. 2025-CP-40-00939

RestoPros of the Midlands, LLC

Elaine Wilson

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: Morgan L. McCrary	Attorney for : <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant
	or <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : _____

INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
RestoPros of the Midlands, LLC	Elaine Wilson	\$ 9,943.48
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:
n/a

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Mar 03 8:28 AM - RICHLAND - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP4000939



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Restopros Of The Midlands Llc vs Elaine Wilson
Case Number: 2025CP4000939
Type: Order/Summary Judgment

So Ordered

s/Christopher D. Taylor (#2795)