3. Have a neutrally selected, Neutral Tribunal Hear our SMJ/Fraud on the Court Challenge.
4. Have them void the finding of the Supremes, Appeals and Trial Court that were in opposition to P-Appellants while protecting P-Appellants rights for judgment in their favor.
5. One it is clear to these Judges herein or the Neutral Judges that take over, enter P-Appellants Entry of Judgment they will provide this court upon request and have neutral judges schedule a Jury, that can come up with additional damages P-Appellants could not calculate and needed to be determined by the jury. Add fees and costs, including P-Appellants time taken to represent themselves as a part of Equal Protection.
6. Order Allianz to pay the entire amount and they can sublimate as they choose.
7. Leave all parties and entities open for suit for one additional year, so P-Appellants can collect from all who harmed them, or those people and entities can reach out and get this entirely resolved to make P-Appellants whole.
8. Order a protection/restraining Order so none of these people or their agents can ever speak to P-Appellants or send anyone to speak or be around P-Appellants in any way other than for negotiations. P-Appellants want

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within. §§§§

assurances of their safety from here going forward including being harassed or targeted by the Judges and their agents any further.

9. Order neutral, constitutionally compliant adjudication as described by P-Appellants and the Framers, with increased public discipline and punishment for any judge or government entities or their agents from taking part in the LMCE or ignoring laws.

Submitted

Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: March 22, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Sosofunny1959@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.

Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: March 22, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Sosofunny1959@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.

. . . SC "put on parade South Carolina's tradition of laws designed for corruption . . . left a visiting federal judge stunned at what he saw . . . A public office isn't a gilt-edged invitation to public plunder . . . not all South Carolinians are clear on that point . . . Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it or worse, . . . The conditions are a shame on the state." Credits within.
§§§§

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Appellate Case No. 2024-000576 (cons)
Appellate Case No. 2023-000392 (cons)

The State, Respondent

v.

Richard Alexander Murdaugh, Appellant

---

1. **MOTION TO CHALLENGE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**
2. **MOTION RAISING CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL BIAS, FRAUD ON THE COURT, AND DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS, THAT CANNOT BE HEARD OR RULED UPON BY THE SUPREME COURT IN ISSUE, INCLUDING THE MURDAUGH/LAFFITTE/ FLEMING SUPREME COURT**
3. **A MOTION TO PROVIDE ADA DISABILTIY REASONABLE ACCOMMOSTIONS SINCE THE CRIMINAL IS IN PRISON FOR A LONG TIME, NO MATTER WHAT IS DECIDED, AND THE COURTS MUST COMPLY WITH ADA QUALIFYING DISABILITIES RIGHTS TO THESE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS WHERE THE DEFENSENT IS STILL IN PRISON.** See, Title II of the ADA; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794; The Due Process Clause, U.S. Const. amend. XIV; The right of meaningful access to courts, *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977). This is another chance for the Supremes/Appeals Court to actually follow the law on the ADA.
4. **In the alternative only, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OUT OF TIME**

Please note Movants cannot proof read due to ADA Disabilities cause by the physical brutality of the Courts to weaken the target and then weaponize it against Movants to create predetermined outcomes and bury Movants Murdaugh 2.0 case through the corruption of the 10th Circuit.

COMES NOW, Movants, DR. LINDA KENENDY AND DR. MARSHA FINK, appearing pro se and Contest Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Court; Raise Constitutional Structural Bias, Fraud On The Court, And Denial Of Access To Courts, That Cannot Be Heard Or Ruled

Upon By The Courts (Trial, Appeal, Supreme Courts), regarding the Murdaugh/Laffitte/ Fleming Courts; due to the Fraud on the Court, that makes rulings void other than the Plea Agreement between the Defendants and the State/Feds which Movants do not contest.

In the alternative, Movants propose an *amici curiae*, and move this Court for leave to file their Amicus Curiae Brief out of time, due to physical ADA Disabilities that the Courts caused, and are well aware of well aware of, that have repeatedly been ignored in spite of mandates to approve by the Federal Government and the Supremes own website. These have been avoided in rulings, by the SC Appeals and SC Supreme Court, to make sure Movants cannot receive meaningful due process and the right to fully bring forth their Appeal's Case. Either way, we need ADA Reasonable Accommodations.

PLEASE FILE THIS MOTION WHETHER GRANTED OR DENIED, BECAUSE MOVANTS WILL BE APPEALING ANY DENIAL TO THE FEDERAL AND OTHER COURTS AND IMPORTANT AGENCIES, GOVERNMENT BRANCHES IN AND OUTSIDE OF SC IF DENIED.

Copies of this motion have been mailed out to those who need to understand the case fully with their missing piece of Murdaugh in their own Murdaugh 2.0 case, being buried by the Trial/Appeal, and Supreme Courts of SC to hide the truth of the matter being reviewed herein, and in the Appeals Court, where the victims and public have a right to know, and where Movants case cannot be denied Constitutional justice because it tells on the wrongdoing of the Court.

Further, motions written have been translated into other languages asking foreign oversight over insurance carries flagged in SC for appearing to be engaged in a form of

Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud, in collusion with SC Judges, lawyers, Bar, Clerks, Sheriffs Department, Disciplinary Committees, Lawyer Legislators, and many others, which is illegal in the other countries where these companies have a presence or where they have a home base.

A Motion Challenging Subject Matter Jurisdiction makes the non-pled findings void, if accurate. What is void, are the fake appealable issues given by Kin/friends of Murdaugh to give him a second chance to fight the Murder charges when a jury found him guilty. This is explained more herein.

SMJ needs new/non-LMCE judges at the review level to dismiss the appealable issues, in this feigned matter to dismiss those appealable issue raised. The Courts herein cannot hear the Subject Matter Jurisdiction arguments as they are the issue in the Fraud on the Court by the Court, that is causing these Unconstitutional proceedings in this case, and in Movant's own case that is being buried by the Appeals Court to hide the truth of what happened in the Murdaugh frauds matter.

All the judges in the Appeals and Supremes must recuse as they cannot decide a matter where they are involved in the frauds through a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise working in this state for the profit of those in office and their kin/friends (see write up). All underlying investigations need to be reopened and properly investigated for all crimes and criminals involved in the underlying case of fraud as it is clear three lawyers alone did not accomplish such a set of frauds and then claim there no money available to be found in the case also when these are Aristocratic Dynasties not just rogue criminals.

The Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise must be investigated, and the Oligarchy style of government where the Constitution and laws are mere suggestions only, must be torn down at the studs to provide a Constitutional Form of Government and then have any other matters heard and examined by non-LMCE members, with Receivers reopening the matters to find the money and hold those who have obstructed justice guilty and imprison those agents. Please see herein.

To be clear, Movants are not contesting the jury trial of guilty of the murders, and not contesting the plea bargain, although they are contesting that the Court had to allow for complete explanation of the crimes, how they worked and who else was involved to not get a more severe plea punishment, because there are more criminals and more crimes involved that the Legal Machine is Protecting, and this is the problem with the LMCE Supremes reviewing anything that has to do with Murdaugh, which includes fake and feigned appealable issues given to Murdaugh so he gets another bite at the apple through fraud on the court by the court as described herein.

Constitutional Frauds on the court by the Court and others are a part of creating a SMG violation as a Court has no right to hear a case not following constitutional law. Feigned cases, described herein with the Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0 cases both on alleged Review, but treated Constitutionally very selectively in their prosecution, are not Constitutional and do not present a case in controversy and cannot be ruled up by this Court. The good news is the jury saw through enough to find Murdaugh guilty, and he cannot now benefit from fake appealable issues given to him to get a fresh trial. Herein Movants describe what really happened in Murdaugh and what should have happened to

fully expose their crimes and the entire list of criminals, as the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE), which includes the State Actors involved in the fraud, to include the Supremes of SC.

Therefore they cannot hear such an appeal, and fresh investigations must occur in the underlying case, not because the convictions were wrong, but because the Courts are staging these fake appeals to help Murdaugh get the sweet deal that Laffitte got by manipulating the system with the help of the U.S. Attorney and Judge.

Further, the overwhelming nondisclosed conflicts of interests by those involved in the adjudication of this matter are so shocking and entrenched in the workings of the Judiciary, and the Lawyers involved, that the Courts must recuse and dismiss themselves and those they have selected with overwhelming conflicts of interest involved in the case, that were there to protect the victims, but stole from them again, through this feigned process, making important decisions that affect the victims and any public trust that may exists between the Public and the SC Aristocratic Oligarch not operating as a Constitutional Republic per the Guarantee Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

Moving Party: SMJ Authority to Challenge: **SMJ is about the court's power to hear via the Constitutional limitations on the Court, and not the Movant's rights to challenge.** Because of that, it is treated as a **structural limit on government authority**, not a personal defense. The issue is the Supremes hearing this appeal and the state not allowing real investigations into key areas of the underlying case. Movants are not challenging the findings, but the fake appealable issues, the ringers the court put in to hide

the LMCE that was behind these frauds, and leaving alone other perpetrators that may have been involved in the matter.

As the Court is aware, the **lack of subject-matter jurisdiction can be raised by anyone, at any time, and even by the court on its own. Movants raise it now against the Supreme Court in this matter, and against the Appeals Court in its own Murdaugh 2.0 case that is being buried illegally and Unconstitutionally to hide evidence of the bigger story in Murdaugh that the public and victims deserve to hear and seek further justice.**

The Movants standing to raise a SMJ Defect: The Movants can raise a challenge to SMJ Defects, at trial, on appeal, after judgment, even years later in some circumstances. It is **never waived** by failing to object. Movants raise the issue of the Supremes hearing this appeal, and also raise specific issues that did not affect the findings in the lower court, but affected the ability of the victims to collect what was due them, and it affects the public's right to be safe from lawyers and Judges involved in these Murdaugh schemes that was hidden in the Murdaugh trials.

Non-Parties / Third Parties: Movants have a mirror image case to Murdaugh, called Murdaugh 2.0, in the Appeals Court right now that is being buried by the Appeals Court to hide all the corruption and truths about what actually happened in Murdaugh: Linda Kennedy and Marsha Fink, V. Lake Hartwell RV Resort and Cabins, LLC, et. al, Appeal Case No.: 2025-000859, Circuit Court Case No.: 2022-CP-04-00592.

The Appeals Court is acting just as corruptly as the Trial Court to bury Movant's case because it shows the public what really happened in the Murdaugh case and what is going to continue to happen if the Public is not made aware of the corruption involved that stole these victims awards to include these courts of review who forfeit their SMJ when they do not follow the Constitution and commit frauds on the court by the court.

This Murdaugh judgment has negatively affected Movant's case which is getting buried under the corruption of the Courts, as the Courts need to bury the facts and truths the Movant's Murdaugh 2.0 case that reveals the truths of its mirror image, Murdaugh case itself that the People and victims have not been told. If someone is affected by the findings where the Court has no SMJ, then they can contest the hearing for lack of SMJ, which is what Movants are doing in this matter with the Supremes (and also in their own case before the Appeals court for the same reasons), for reasons herein described.

Through collateral attack: If a judgment that could be entered without jurisdiction that can affect another case, like Movants, the jurisdictional defect can be challenged as Movants are now. Movant's Murdaugh 2.0 case and Murdaugh's case are mirror images of each other, with the 10th Cir., being the corrupt lawyers, judges, and Allianz insurance carrier being in collusion, instead of the 14th Cir., where corrupt Judges in Murdaugh, corrupt lawyers in Murdaugh are present, but the carriers and other potential perpetrators were not investigated for crimes that are often found with insurance fraud schemes, and were very obviously spotted in Murdaugh, that has to be investigated by a neutral

investigator, not a State SLED team that has Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise financial interests in protecting SC status quo, which is not Constitutional.

By interested entities, such as Movants Legal reform organizations, where interest in Constitutional adjudications are of special concern, especially where public rights or constitutional limits are involved, Movants can file a challenge to SMJ herein, also, but primarily they do it because they have first hand understanding in their own case being buried that will affect the outcome of Murdaugh's appeal if the Public and Victims know about it and the public can protect itself from future schemes of this nature where the LMCE are involved.

Because SMJ goes to **sovereign authority**, courts recognize a **public policy interest** in not allowing judgments entered without jurisdiction to stand. SMJ is rooted in the separation of powers and constitutional structure. Public policy strongly favors: Courts staying within their lawful authority; Preventing government actors from exercising power they were never given.

So unlike personal rights (like venue or service defects), **jurisdiction in the Supreme Court's authority cannot be created by consent, waiver, or agreement.** That's why courts often say: "A judgment rendered without subject-matter jurisdiction is void." And void judgments can be attacked **whenever and wherever** they are asserted before or after being made. This is Movants demand to contest the Supreme/Appeals ateempt to work outside Subject Matter Jurisdiction to help Murdaugh get new trials when his kin and friends of kin are the ones who are intentionally giving him such rights so the Supremes can

find in Murdaugh's favor and give him more bites at the appel and meanwhile use the Laffitte tricks in federal court to reduce his sentences to almost half being at home. Other than the plea agreement made by Murdaugh and the jury findings of his guilt, all other findings and rulings are void that have given Murdaugh fake appeal opportunity and those that allowed the underlying investigators to greatly limit the investigations to who was involved, what the crimes really were, and who was allegedly finding and disbursing the money as there should be much more money and much fewer victims that do not include possible perpetrators of these crimes getting into the victims line.

The conviction on the Plea Deal will keep Murdaugh in prison, as will the murders, and any delays in hearing other matters will not affect the prisoners present situation.

And all matters must be heard by independent Judges not a part of the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise.

The issue of challenging SMG is not about fairness between parties or 3rd parties, but rather, it's whether the **government had lawful authority to act at all and in this case, it is appointing Kin and friends of Murdaugh and the the Court to take on very serious duties regarding the victims payments and the investigation of who all the criinals are, and what their crimes actually were, along with where the money actually is and how can it be retrieved, along with prosecuting these other criminals to pay the victims all that is owed them.** Movants contesting SMJ in this manner is a structural safeguard that extends beyond the original parties and must be granted and heard.

The SC Supremes/government does not have lawful authority to hear this appeal in this matter and neutral non-LMCE members must hear this challenge on all the grounds listed, and also assign new receivers, new investigators, and others that will find the money, will find all criminals involved, will be able to identify all crimes committed, and will be able to pay the victims what they are due.

An amicus may participate where the issues transcend the interests of the immediate parties and implicate the **public's interest in the integrity of the judiciary.** See *Ryan v. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n*, 125 F.3d 1062 (7th Cir. 1997). ADA Disabilities must be respected, especially when they have been weaponized by the Trial, Appeals and this Supreme Court to keep Movants quiet and too busy by their refusal to mandatory grant such accommodations in their own case, to keep them away from this Murdaugh show trial/appeal.

Movants remind the readers that they are facing severe handicaps, mostly by the actions of the Judges to physically punish and then weaponize the handicaps to make this almost impossible to write, so work with Movants to understand for the benefit of the People of SC who must have a fair and impartial system to be free, and the Oligarchical

type system in SC ignores the Constitution and is doing what it wants, and now hiding its own wrong-doing through the made for TV Murdaugh case that can be understood in full if the reader reads this summary to the end, and realizes there is much more that Movants are not able to put on paper due to these disabilities.

The Frauds on Movants Fraud on the Court by the Court RACKETEERS that mirror the Dynasty MURDAUGH CASE AND PROCEEDINGS, CARRIED OUT IN THE 10TH CIRCUIT, while HIDDEN FROM THE PUBLIC, WHILE THE MURDAUGH CASE IS BEING FEIGNED/Fake Show Trials, AND CONTROLLED BY THE SAME STATE/COURTS THAT HAVE FINANCIAL AND POWER INTERESTS, WHERE THEY BENEFIT FROM ASSISTING **KIN/FRIENDS OF KIN** BEING PROSECUTED, like Aristocratic Dynasty Family MURDAUGH AND HIS ARISTOCRATIC DYNASTY Friend, the Laffitte Family, FROM FULL PENALTIES OF ONLY LIMITED WRONGS COMMINTED AGAINGS INNOCENT VICTIMS, where the entire crimes and criminals are still free to victimize and exploit more public victims, if it is not stopped.

In the Movants case, they are fighting through being repeatedly physically injured by the Courts to weaken them and weaponize the ADA Disabilities they already had, plus those perpetrated upon them by the Courts themselves, due to the financial and other interests the SC Courts have in these Murdaugh-Type Schemes that must be protected and continually hidden by the Courts from the exploited people of SC.

There is clear Frauds on the Court by the Courts, in Murdaugh and in Movants case, that are so obvious, that if they were really heard by the people, rather than putting on a show trial/appeal in the Murdaugh (and Laffitte case0, and buried in the Movants case to hide the

very active Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise in SC State Government exploiting the people.

Some of the RACKETEERS in these RICO type crimes, are named in Movant's case: 10th Cir., Anderson County Common Pleas Court Judge Rivers Lawton Mcintosh, Judge Richard Scott Sprouse, Judge Jesse Cordell **Maddox**, Jr., **Judge Heath P. Taylor** (who was selected to receive the Becky "Hiers" Hill plea, lawyers (see footnote), including those from firms, McAngus Gouldelock Courie, LLC (MGC), Grief Cox Cranshaw, LLC (GCC), Allianz Corporate & Specialty Insurance, Many State Actors, including, but not limited to, **Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamberg**, David R. Wagner, **Alan Wilson**, **Henry McMaster**, William Blitch, the SC Bar, the Commission on Judicial Discipline, the **Judicial Merit Selection Commission**, the **Appeals Court, the Supreme Court**, **Laffitte**, and many others.[1] (Bold

---

[1] **SOME OF THE EARLIER RACKETEERS**: **Allianz**, including with Allianz SIU agent, **Patricia Deamer**, and Claims Adjuster **Barbara Robedee** (hereinafter, "Allianz" or SIU, Claims Adjuster, Deamer and/or Robedee when appropriate), and **Dodd, Defense Attorneys Alan G. Jones**, and **Elizabeth Ann "Liz" Moore**, **Elizabeth McDaniels McMillian**, and later on April 5, 2023, **Richard Mebane, Michael Neubauer** all of McAngus, Goudelock, & Courie, LLC., (hereinafter "Jones, Moore, McMillian Mebane, Neubauer, Defense " and "MGC"), and **James "Trey" Cox, III, G. Richard Deloach, III, and Trevor Hughey** all of **Grier Cox, & Cranshaw, LLC**, (hereinafter, Cox, Deloach, Hughey and/or "GCC", and collectively ALL Defendant alleged representatives and their firms, "Defense"), and the **Judges J. Cordell Maddox, Jr., R. Lawton Mcintosh, R. Scott Sprouse**, (hereinafter, Maddox, Mcintosh, Sprouse), **Solicitor General David R. Wagner,** (hereinafter, "Wagner"), Former Detective and Private Eye with and for Wagner, **Jody McCurley** (hereinafter, "McCurley", father of **D-Appellee Jennifer Burdette**, hereinafter, Burdette), **all Defendants,** and **Court Mediator** put on notice of illegality and RACKETEERING if he proceeded in unlawful settlement of co-Plaintiffs which he did: _____, (hereinafter, "mediator"). Later, **Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamber,** (hereinafter, "Bamberg"), and **Judge Heath P. Taylor** (hereinafter, "Taylor"), became a part of the

letters are some of the RACKETEERS who are common in both Movants and Murdaugh cases, but there are many more listed herein in this motion.

RACKETEERING by secretly manipulating the law/rules even more, and inserted themselves into P-Appellant's case to further fix it, with no standing or process/procedure that is legal, but is actually criminal. [redacted].

[1] These are later additional RACKETEERS: **Attorney General Alan Wilson** (hereinafter, "AG or Wilson or State Actor"), **Governor Henry D. McMaster** (Governor or McMaster or State Actor), **Anderson County Sheriff's Department** ("Sheriff(s) or State Actor"), **William Blitch** (hereinafter, "Blitch" or "State Actor"), **SC Bar** (hereinafter, "SCBar" or "State Actor"), **Virginia State Bar/Virginia** (hereinafter, "VSB" or "State Actor"), **SC Commission on Judicial Conduct** (hereinafter, "CJC" or "State Actor"), **Office of Disciplinary Counsel** (hereinafter,, "ODC" or "State Actor"), **Judicial Merit Selection Committee**, (hereinafter, "JMSC" or "State Actor") **SC Legislature** ("State Actor"), **Lisa M. Scott and the SC Court Reporters Association**, (hereinafter "Ct Reporter" or "State Actor"), **and SC Office of Court Administration** and **Cathy Loureena (Sosebee, Cooley, Campbell) Thomas**, ("Loureena" and "Admin" or "State Actor"), and **Scheduling Clerk Elizabeth Beasley** (hereinafter, "Scheduling Clerk, Clerk, Beasley" or "State Actor").

SOME IN THE NEWER GROUP OF KNOWN RACKETEERS: Chief Judge H. Bruce Williams, Judge Paula H. Thomas, Judge Aphrodite K. Konduros, Judge John D. Geathers, Judge Stephanie P. McDonald, Judge Blake A. Hewitt, Judge Jerry D. Vinson, Jr. (joined 2022), **Judge Matthew P. Turner** (joined 2024), **Judge Kristi F. Curtis** (joined 2025), and Laffitte Partner, **Jasmin D. Smith.**

Chief Justice Donald W. Beatty (served until 2024), **Justice John W. Kittredge** (Associate Justice in 2021; became Chief Justice in 2024), **Justice Kaye G. Hearn** (served until 2022), **Justice John Cannon Few** (continuing), **Justice George C. James, Jr.** (continuing), **Justices** Serving After 2021 (2022–Present), **Justice D. Garrison Hill** (joined 2023), **Justice Letitia H. Verdin** (joined 2024), **Current Supreme Court (as of 2026): Chief Justice John W. Kittredge, Justice John Cannon Few, Justice George C. James, Jr., Justice D. Garrison Hill, Justice Letitia H. Verdin.**

There are more.

MOVANTS DO NOT SUPPORT EITHER PARTY TO THIS APPEAL. MOVANTS' SOLE INTEREST IS THE PROTECTION OF **CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS, JUDICIAL IMPARTIALITY, AND THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF THE SC COURTS WHO CANNOT HEAR ANY OF THESE CASES AS THEY HAVE FINANCIAL INTERESTS AS WILL BE BRIEFLY SHOWN HERE AND MUCH MORE IN THEIR AMICUS CURIE BRIEF, WHICH IS ULTIMATELY FOR ALL PEOPLE, INCLUDING THESE ABUSED VICTIMS WHO DID NOT GET JUSTICE DUE TO THE ACTIONS OF THE STATE, AND THOSE LIKE MOVANTS, WILL CONTINUE TO BE ABUSED AND THEIR AWARDS STOLEN ALSO, BY THESE SAME SCHEMES UNLESS THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE FO SUCH LMCE WORKING IN SC TO DEPRIVE THE MOST BASIS RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE BECAUSE THERE IS NO ACCOUNTABILITY, INTEGRITY, AND TRANSPARENCY IN THE SC COURTS OR THOSE THEY APPOINTED TO HANDLE VARIOUS PARTS OF THE MURDAUGH CASE AS SEEN BELOW.**

This motion is necessitated by (1) constitutional violations that prevented timely filing, by the SC Courts sabotaging and weaponizing Movants Disabilities, many of which they caused and exacerbated to keep Movants quiet, and (2) issues presented in the subsequent amicus brief that is delayed due to the Constitutionals violations and ADA demands ignored, that directly implicate the legitimacy of the judicial process itself. 3) Movants demand time to respond, without being flooded with the SC Appeal at the same time, so true justice will prevail and the courts will be cleaned up so a real investigation/hearing/appeal/receivership/Special Master Distribution can occur only to

proper victims and not law firms, carriers and others who were not investigated properly if at all and got in the victims line to take even more from the victims.

I. MOVANTS ARE NOT ALIGNED WITH ANY PARTY

Movants do not seek to aid the State, the prosecution, the defense, or any litigant, although their hearts go out to all victims of the judiciary. Movants seek only to ensure that the entire adjudication process, occurs before coming before an unbiased tribunal on review, that brings legitimacy of the court proceedings to the public, and satisfies **constitutional requirements of neutrality and structural independence and addresses all Fraud on the Court by the Court and others which must occur for Due Process, Equal Protection, Right to be Heard, Neutrality of the System, Access to the Courts, Right of Redress of Grievances, and State Constitutional Protections, including, but not limited to Separation of Powers.**

Otherwise, Structural due process violations which are treated as fundamental constitutional error, in this case in the Court, Prosecutors and Defendant, to feign an adversarial process when their was none, and instead a made for TV show trial/appeal to limit the damage to Murdaugh/Laffitte, and to limit the exposure to the state of how extensive these Murdaugh crimes are, and how many Defendants there really should have been in the case, that would inform the public of at large crimes/criminals still able to victimize, but also would give the Victims more of the Money that was stolen from them to make them whole (at least somewhat). A biased Court is not a court at all under the Constitution.

Further, a Feigned Trial requires ex parte/communications to Orchastrate such Fraud on the Court. To do so to victimize the victims even more and defaud the public has even further implications, and shows why alleged improvements the Court and Legislators/Governor make to be more Transparent is nothing more than appointing more Kin/friends of kin to the Accountability process and will continue to change nothing at the public's expense. Real change must happen with the people truly being over all SC government to stop this.

If a legal proceeding is **not real, staged, or predetermined**, that's extremely serious. Courts are required to provide **due process, neutrality, and a genuine opportunity to be heard**. A "feigned" or sham proceeding can violate both **ethical rules** and **constitutional law**.

Potential Legal Violations when faking or other bad-faith proceeding occur implicate: **Due Process (U.S. Const. 14th Amend.)**, hearings must be meaningful, before an impartial decision-maker; **Right to an impartial tribunal**, judges cannot be biased or act as advocates: **Fraud on the court** – when officers of the court (lawyers, judges, officials) corrupt the judicial process; **Civil rights violations (42 U.S.C. § 1983)**, if state actors deprive someone of constitutional rights; **Obstruction of justice**, if procedures are manipulated to block a fair outcome**; Ethical Violations:** If attorneys or judges knowingly participate in a sham process: **Judicial ethics rules** require independence, integrity, and impartiality, but William Blitch of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel will do nothing until the People change this system into a real Constitutional Government; **Attorney professional conduct rules** prohibit dishonesty, fraud, and conduct prejudicial to justice, but there is

still the William Blitch factor that blocks accountability; **Ex parte coordination**, hidden conflicts, or pretending to litigate while outcomes are fixed are all red flags

And What Typically SHOULD Happens If Proven is: Courts treat this as **structural error**, meaning the entire proceeding is **VOID**: Murdaugh can stay in jail until a new, neutral investigation, and so forth can take place on the ENTIRE EVENTS of the CRIMES, and go from there involving only Neutral Actors, that cannot be used in SC, due to the insular LMCE involved here in SC/and some of the 4th Cir., Federal Court as described herein; thereafter, with Murdaugh still in prison, New proceedings outside the SC insular LMCE system can occur before special prosecutors and judges outside of SC with full participation by the People of SC, where fair and honest people of the law can explain all proceedings so the LMCE can not put on another show; Sanctions, discipline, and criminal REAL criminal investigations can follow to put all involved in prison without hand-slapping options or other tricks to reduce sentences by private cooperation between federal and state systems (see Laffitte).

Additionally, Courts must <u>appear</u> to be fair, BUT MUST <u>also be fair</u>. . .it is not just one of those two that must happen. And the **Appearance of Impropriety is enough to stop these proceedings**. Proof of Impropriety is not needed.

Movants will list some of the many issues that show at least an appearance of impropriety but even more so, actual impropriety that the people of SC should greatly question and be concerned over such underhanded dealing by their Courts/State.

These along should cause new, and real investigations to follow the trails that will lead to many more criminals and crimes than what has been heard herein and limited by the LMCE on purpose. And once heard, only REAL victims that were supposed to receive award money should be in the disbursement line, and for a lot more money from many more perpetrators than listed in this Murdaugh/Fleming/Laffitte matter.

The others who faked being victims when could well be considered perpetrators, can then be honestly tried and convicted, if found to be fraudulent for taking victim money even at the time of disbursement of funds for the victims, due to Kin and friends of Kin making determinations that should have never happened, and which conflicts of interests should have been fully disclosed BY THE COURT, who knew of the conflicts when appointments of these agents of the state were selected by the Courts. The conflicted parties to acting for the state also had a duty to disqualify and not take the position that put extreme conflicts into any finding that person(s)/firm made.

Further, the **U.S. Constitution** requires that legal proceedings be **fair, impartial, and before a neutral judge.** A "sham" trial undermines this foundational requirement (U.S. Const. amend. V & XIV due process). If a judge is biased, predetermined on outcomes, or the process is deceptive, that **violates due process** because litigants are deprived of a real adjudication.

Fraud on the Court / Corruption of Judicial Process. Federal courts recognize **"fraud upon the court"** as a species of fraud that corrupts the judicial machinery itself, for example when the tribunal is influenced, biased, or engages in deceit that prevents the

court from performing an impartial role, such as in the Murdaugh/Laffitte and other hearings within this process.

Cases such as those defining fraud on the court allow judgments obtained by that fraud to be **set aside** because the proceeding was unconscionable (e.g., inherent fraud leading to equitable relief). Murdaugh needs to stay in jail as he pled guilty, and can wait for the next appointments who are going to be fair and unbiased and not feign proceedings to help out fellow Aristocrats as will be seen below.

Other Rules Affecting Conduct, Require prosecution and conviction, sanctions per rule 11, defrocking without pension, disbarment and other such processes, but the bottom line. . .Courts and lawyers who purposely feigned proceedings to protect their LMCE, under color of law, need to go to prison for a long time, and the victims made whole. The Judges and Lawyers have a duty of candor and the alleged ethical rules prohibits filing frivolous or deceptive claims or filings with false statements, but those feigning show trials are not concerned with such duties; they are already committing crimes.

So Rules like Rule 8.4 – Misconduct: It is misconduct to engage in **dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation**, or to engage in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice or to assist a judge or judicial officer in violating applicable rules or law; means nothing to the LMCE who control the system and keep Constitutional rights from the people because they have fellow Aristocrats/kin and friend of kin in these places of authority to keep them safe. The people must no longer be fooled by such nonsense and

protect themselves, their families, their neighbors and their state by stopping this Oligarchy-type government from continuing to fleece the public and much worse.

Same with Judicial Canon 1: A judge shall uphold and promote the **integrity and impartiality** of the judiciary; **Canon 2:** A judge must perform duties **impartially, competently, and diligently;** A Judges specifically must **avoid impropriety or the appearance of impropriety,** including not presiding over matters where they have bias or personal interest. Once Judges know they can cross these lines and be protected by fellow Aristocrats/Kin/Friends of Kin, then the system is no longer a Constitutional system but an Oligarchy where the litigant gets what the King grants him.

Laws Broken / Enforceable Remedies if a Trial Is "Feigned." Movant's having gone through this same series of feigned barely show trials in the 10th Cir Common Pleas Court. . .where there never was a trial in spite of overwhelming evidence of tortious and criminal actions taken against Movants by Proxy Defendants. . .those who were sued by Movants who didn't understand that they were acting as Proxies for the Court, long before Movants filed suit, so the Court could start the Murdaugh scam called, "INSURANCE FRAUD/INSURANCE RESERVE FRAUD SCHEME (IF/IRF). This was admitted to Movants in writing and on recordings by some of the Defendants they were suing. . .that the Judges and other state actors were involved in setting up the scheme that Murdaugh was running in the 14th Cir.

Movants reported all of these RACKETEERS to the Bar and Committee on Judicial Discipline all gatekept by Aristocrat, William Blitch, which is a total conflict of interests

even if the system were honest, which it is not. Of course, Blitch found nothing wrong with all that Movant presented with proof, of texts, recorded transcripts of their attorney (legal in SC) and other evidence, which will be briefly summarized below, just to help the readers understand more of what the Murdaugh case was really all about, that was hidden from the public in these show trials/appeals, and how Movants "**Murdaugh 2.0**" case explained some of the hidden aspects of the Murdaugh case that were hidden, because Movants caught these State Actors in the act of committing the crimes against Movants, which caused the RACKETEERS, including the judges, to act even more criminally against Movants. . .this is a very good sign that those presiding over your case, who are caught in RACKETEERING, and were still insisting on presiding over your case, did indeed have a financial/other interests in your case, otherwise, they would just follow the law in dealing with the claims made and move on.

Laws Broken and other *ALLEGED* Enforceable Remedies if a Trial Is "Feigned" also do not really exist in SC in spite of the Constitutional mandates. Movants reported the RACKETEERS for running a Murdaugh 2.0 scheme in the 10th Cir., and demanded an investigation, to the SC Bar, the SC Commission on Judicial Conduct, the SC Judicial Merit Selection Committee, Movants put all of the SC Legislature on Repeated Notice, some certified, including Bamberg, so they could not claim "plausible deniability" later, the Governor, the Attorney General, the Mayor, etc., many times.

The only things that came out of Movants' repeated complaints were that:

1) the **Judicial Merit Selection Committee appointed both Judge Rivers Lawton Mcintosh and Judge Robert Scott Sprouse to another term in office;**

2) Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamberg, who had no part in Movant's 10th Cir. case, **went behind the scenes and criminally and unethically obstructed** justice by **sending Judge Heath P. Taylor** from the 5th Cir., to rule over Movants 10th Cir., case to help fix it for the other RACKETEERS to escape exposure, and tried to end Movants case through **predetermined outcome and ridiculous illegal acts and rulings.**

3) Judge Taylor got caught and ran away and never came back. **Lawyer-Legislator Bamberg has no authority** to do appoint judges and shows the argument of Lawyer-Legislators getting Judges to do what they want. . .in action. . . and, more importantly **Bamberg is an Attorney for some of the Murdaugh victims.**

Judge Taylor was then selected by Supreme Judge Kittredge to accept former Murdaugh Clerk of Court Becky "Hiers" Hill's plea agreement and receive her hand-slap, when Kittredge already knew what Taylor did in Movants case. These are severe conflicts of interest being ignored by the courts who are signaling that nobody can stop them and they can do as they please.

Question: Why would Bamberg risk commint crimes to send Judge Taylor into the 10th cir., where he has no authority to send any judge anywhere, to end Movant's Murdaugh 2.0 case, to stop Movants from blowing the whistle on the real crimes of Murdaugh by Movants using their mirror image Murdaugh 2.0 case as the example of what really happened in the 14th and area Cir. to create such a line of heinously harmed victims.

Would not a Murdaugh victim attorney be glad for the extra information from Movant's Murdaugh 2.0 case, that would help Bamberg and other attorneys to look for more criminals, crimes and money for the victims through this process of IF/IRF that Bamberg's office and the FBI admit they know what IF/IRF is?

Question: What made Judge Taylor run away and refuse no other contact on the case if he was doing nothing wrong?

Question: Why would Judge Kittredge pick of all judges available, the one who tried to fix Movants Murdaugh 2.0 case?

An amicus may participate where the issues transcend the interests of the immediate parties and implicate the **public's interest in the integrity of the judiciary.** See *Ryan v. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n*, 125 F.3d 1062 (7th Cir. 1997).

II. THIS COURT HAS AUTHORITY TO ALLOW LATE AMICUS FILING, but even more, THIS COURT DOES NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REFUSE, AS THE COURT ITSELF MUST RECUSE AS OF LAW FOR HAVING FINANCIAL AND OTHER INTERSTS IN THE MURDAUGHT AND MURDAUGH 2.0 CASES, AND HAS VIOLATED THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY AND ACTUAL IMPROPRIETY CANONS, AND IS COMING FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT.

APPLIES TO NEUTRAL Court: Appellate courts retain inherent authority to accept late filings in extraordinary circumstances. *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962).

Where constitutional rights or the integrity of the judicial process are implicated, strict enforcement of deadlines must yield to due process. See *Hollingsworth v. Perry*, 558 U.S. 183 (2010) (courts retain discretion regarding amicus participation). This would be a finding for a Neutral Court to make.

Further, when the Courts own actions have impeded and obstructed Movants ability to file this motion, and have also impeded and obstructed Movants own right to their own due process and right to fully Appeal, even more so.

III. DENIAL OF ADA ACCOMMODATIONS PREVENTED TIMELY FILING

Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act prohibits state courts from denying meaningful access to judicial proceedings. 42 U.S.C. § 12132.

The Supreme Court has held that **access to courts is a fundamental right protected by the ADA.** *Tennessee v. Lane*, 541 U.S. 509 (2004).

Where a disability prevents timely compliance and accommodation are denied, or ignored through deceit by the Appeals and Supreme Courts, enforcing deadlines violates: **Title II of the ADA; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act**, 29 U.S.C. § 794; **The Due Process Clause**, U.S. Const. amend. XIV; **The right of meaningful access to courts**, *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977).

Movants' inability to file timely was the direct result of denial and obstruction of reasonable accommodations. Further, Murdaugh pled guilty to financial crimes and is already serving time so his Appeal can wait until such time that a Constitutional Court,

investigations, receivership and so forth can be reappointed by those outside the LMCE for fair and impartial hearings, that must occur for the victims and the public's interest.

IV. FRAUD ON THE COURT REQUIRES JUDICIAL ACTION

Movant and all victims and the public need to have neutral processes investigate and hear the matters with fair and unconflicted aristocrats/kin/friends of kin participating, so that the outcomes are fair outcome.

Fraud on the court is conduct that corrupts the judicial process itself. *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944). Fraud on the Court BY THE COURT IS EVEN WORSE, AND SHOWS SC IS IN A CONSTITUTIONAL CRISIS AND NEEDS THE PEOPLE TO OVERSEE THE DEVELOPMENT OF A TRULY CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT IN SC, INCLUDING THE COURTS.

NEUTRAL COURTS: Courts possess inherent power to address such fraud at any stage. See also: The others must recuse and report themselves and each other for investigation and prosecution before neutral authorities. *Chambers v. NASCO*, 501 U.S. 32 (1991); *Universal Oil Prods. Co. v. Root Refining Co.*, 328 U.S. 575 (1946).

When proceedings are alleged to be structured to limit exposure, shield actors, or control outcomes through undisclosed conflicts, defendants and crimes, while providing "relief" for those who may be perpetrators, further stealing from the real victims, the issue transcends ordinary appellate review and strikes at the legitimacy of adjudication itself.

V. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO AN IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL

Due process requires a neutral and detached judiciary. See: *Tumey v. Ohio*, 273 U.S. 510 (1927); *In re Murchison*, 349 U.S. 133 (1955); *Ward v. Monroeville*, 409 U.S. 57 (1972)

Even the **probability** of bias violates due process: This will be shown below in facts that defy logic when the people consider a real, neutral and unbiased tribunal compared to what happened in Murdaugh, and is happening in Murdaugh 2.0. *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868 (2009)

The appearance of impartiality is constitutionally essential: *Liljeberg v. Health Servs. Acquisition Corp.*, 486 U.S. 847 (1988)

South Carolina law likewise requires disqualification where impartiality may reasonably be questioned including Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0: **SC Code of Judicial Conduct Rule 2.11; Canon 1** – Uphold integrity and independence of the judiciary; **Canon 2** , Avoid impropriety and appearance of impropriety.

VI. STRUCTURAL BIAS DEPRIVES COURT OF LEGITIMATE ADJUDICATORY AUTHORITY.

Subject matter jurisdiction requires not only statutory authority but **a constitutionally valid tribunal.** Proceedings conducted before a tribunal compromised by structural conflicts violate due process and are voidable. See *Nguyen v. United States*, 539 U.S. 69 (2003).

If the adjudicative structure is constitutionally defective, rulings rendered lack lawful legitimacy.

VII. AMICUS PARTICIPATION IS NECESSARY TO PROTECT OR REHABILITATE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE

The judiciary's authority depends on public trust. *Mistretta v. United States*, 488 U.S. 361 (1989). When credible constitutional concerns arise regarding impartiality or structural control, the Court has a duty to ensure transparency and fairness.

VIII. STATEMENT REGARDING DELAY (ADA & PHYSICAL IMPEDIMENTS)

Movants' delay in filing was not neglect. It was caused by:

1. Physical injury and disability, many caused by Trial, Appeal and Supreme Court acts that were foreseeable,

2. Requests for accommodations necessary for meaningful participation, have been repeatedly made and ignored, or deceptive decided in such a way that is so unclear that it is a constructive denial and the Courts refuse to clarify the vagueness against the Constitution, have refused to file Motions paid for into the record, to hide the Constitutional violations from the Record/review, and have hired to confuse and intimidate Movants, a **Laffitte Attorney, Jasmin Smith,** to write a letter to the Movants to stop filing in so many words, and is trying to end Movant's case, while standing in place of Judges and Judicial Orders, which are not Orders, when Movants are claiming the Murdaugh/Murdaugh 2.0 cases are the same, raising an entirely new conflict of interest and bizarre acts that do not happen when Judges are just following the law, all done in Movant's case;

3. Denial, delay, and obstruction of those accommodations, by the Court and Jasmin Smith and her law firm;

4. Resulting in their inability to prepare and file legal documents within deadlines with the Courts weaponizing the ADA disabilities they created to punish and weaken their target, two older ladies with prior ADA disabilities (Cancer, Kidney, other), who now have a hard time seeing screens and documents, have numb limbs, Seizures, Vertigo, many crushed vertebrae from rushing to carry about 20,000 documents from place to place due to short dates, all caused initially, by Judges Mcintosh, Sprouse, and Maddox using short dates and voluminous motions days that caused Movant's to pull ever other day all-nighters for 30 days, plus the last 3 complete all-nighters in a row, to try to stop the predetermined outcomes they kept scheduling that were totally Unconstitutional hearings to begin with. Since then, Movant Dr. Kennedy, 67, is re-fighting her deadly cancer battle that has been ignored due to no time to deal with it for years of abusive litigation and has the signs of cancer back and just had to cancel a surgery due to making sure they got this and other documents filed. Her kidneys have been blocked with Calcium genetic stones, and she is retaining water and may have lost the function of both Kidneys and will have to go on dialysis, when they were very functional previously. This is all because the Courts gave no time to check that stones or cancer she once beat, etc. Nobody can stay up for many days at a time and every other night also for 30 days, while nonstop typing and readings screens without having serious eye and limb problems. And this has been going on for years now, with these abusive Courts punishing and

demanding Movants give up and let them steal their awards and money launder through their Allianz Reserve Accounts, while other state criminals money launder through it also. This is what IF/IRF is.

5. The other movant, Dr. Fink, 76, has lost 5-7 inches of height due to at least 6 crushed vertebrae from lifting 20,000 pages of heavy boxes and passing out in Court with Seizures that required ambulances to take her unconscious to the ER. Fink had not had a seizure in years, and only due to heat/stress/extreme sleep deprivation reasons.

This constitutes denial of meaningful access to courts under: *Tennessee v. Lane*, 541 U.S. 509; *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817; 42 U.S.C. § 12132

Equity forbids penalizing a disabled litigant for barriers imposed by the very institution required by law to remove them. Barriers are not only physical steps or walls, they are the least restrictive barriers of process, dates, times, pages, procedures and so forth, which are very easy to comply with when the Court is not worried about what the Movant will say.

IX. Brief facts that are appropriate to understand Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0 and how they mirror each other. This will show there should be many more Defendants and many more crimes exposed in the Murdaugh Case, and why there are not more exposed due to State Actor Fraud on the Court by the Court. It will also show why Murdaugh became a Made for TV show trial/appeal that will end much like Laffitte with very little time served and the State claiming it costs too much to try some of these issues again unless this Motion is effective.

Murdaugh's nutshell case is allegedly about a few rogue Aristocrats running a scam through personal injury claims, to steal the awards of clients, with the assistance of Judge Mullen, Odum, and allegedly Price, Buckner and others in the 14th Cir. Nothing was ever going to happen to Murdaugh or his friends until the Beach tragedy (God rest the young woman's soul and her family).

Once they got exposed, Murdaugh then killed his wife and son to hide his financial crimes. He was eventually arrested and a couple of fraud victims sued Murdaugh. Mostly Plea Bargains were used to limit the exposure of Financial Frauds, and the murder trial was tainted by Judge Newman's words about a Monster Murdaugh became, Becky "Hiers" Hill wanting to write a book so obstructed justice. . .no matter what the state calls it. Retired Judge Jean Toal creates new law to side with Becky Hill that there was no harm no foul. These three decisions created Appealable issues for Murdaugh, when polls showed the public believed strongly he was guilty.

One very questionable witness and not a defendant was permitted to use meeting, mediation and settlement conference interchangeably, when lawyers know these are very different and done so without question. Further inconsistent statements were made and not question.

The carrier was allowed to use "notes" that could be easily made up. They didn't think Murdaugh was telling the truth but could not prove it so handed over millions of dollars. This never happens. Allianz, in Movant's case didn't pay minimum dollar initially, and they had admissions from their clients that they were trying to kill Movants and were

poisoning ____them, tailing them through other towns, putting sugar in the gas tank, tried to burn them down in their unit more than once, tried to kidnap a house sitter (their words in written admissions) and so forth, and the carrier still didn't pay. No carrier is going to say they can't prove it before the suit is filed and they depose Murdaugh and get discovery. That is not the real world.

The carrier allegedly had a settlement conference and mediation between the two attorneys with nobody else present. . .that is called a phone call, not a mediation or settlement conference. Lawyers do not use those types of things. .. hat is for hen they have clients present, needed or not. Using such terms is trying to show adversarial processes at work, when a fake legal battle (FEIGNED) was actually occurring which implies that the carriers were not innocent, but there was no investigation into the carriers role, and the carriers got to get in the line of the REAL victims to take some of the 1.8 million for themselves.

The law firm of PEPED, Murdaud's firm, are under the SC Bar's purview. There are required oversights the firm itself has to do each month to make sure no embezzlement or incorrect filings occur in the Trust Accounts. This lady overseeing the accounts and others cannot escape any type of action that did not see such obvious wrongdoing. They were not punished and they got into the Real Victim line to eat again.

Also the words "payoff" became loans and "unpaid mortgages," and other such language were permitted without question. There are many ways to payoff, and many ways to launder. In Movants case with Allianz, their award was stolen by the

Judges/lawyers/Allianz/LMCE, and they were laundering it through the Allianz Reserve Accounts, along with many other state criminal monies going through the same accounts disbursed to the RACKETEERS in Movant's case, and parties unknown in a washed form.

Bamberg did not take an active roles in trying to find the missing money for his clients by suing and deposing and searches through his legal avenues available.

Further, some obvious ridiculousness about this Murdaugh story is 1) Old money Aristocrats do not usually run their own scams, but use proxies to do the dirty work on a need to know basis, while they have air-tight alibis at the time of the crimes. So the story of three rogue Aristocrats on the frontline of crimes doesn't fit. 2) Newman, Hill and Toal know what is and what isn't going to give Murdaugh Appealable issues. They are not knew. This was no accident. That is law school 101.

In Movant's case, they are attorneys from Virginia and Dr. Kennedy saw the LMCE at work there and started whistleblowing against these Judges, lawyers, the bar and so forth who were stealing from the public through many of these same scams SC state runs (see Asbestos Docket with Judge Toal). Dr. Kennedy was targeted by the Virginia State Bar, due to her activities, and also was taking whistleblower cases nationally and had a big list of politicians who were whistleblowing, seal cases by the government as national security that were being sealed to protect the government not the people and other such cases that the system did not appreciate she took. They disbarred her for these, being on radio helping the public, writing books and other free material to help the public and so forth. The Virginia Supreme Court Chief actually wrote her before he did and did a confession and

she still has the letter telling her what they did and why. . .they could not allow truth to be told that would make their house of cards fall. .. much like where SC is today.

When Movants came to SC for Dr. Kennedy to get her very serious stage IV cancer treated, and she was not supposed to live through the surgery but did, her sister inlaw Dr. Fink helped her and her dogs recover. They bought a little two bedroom camper in Townville, SC to actually have fun outdoors while Dr. Kennedy was learning to walk again and rehab, while staying low stress and taking all natural products to heal.

It turned out the campground was a front for much illegal activity and many of the residents there had mug shots and long criminal records. They found out that Dr. Kennedy was a whistleblower and got very nervous because the Sheriff's office was looking the other way and they didn't need noise coming from Townville of crimes being ignored and protected.

The future defendants started meeting with the 10th Cir., Judges and other State Actors, and the Virginia Bar had already joined in to get retaliation against Movants. The collusion was set and as said, the Aristocrats do not do the dirty work themselves.. .. they used these future Defendants as Proxies to create a catastrophic injury or wrongful death so they could get their revenge but start this Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud claim with Allianz. This has been admitted to by some Defendants in writing and on recordings.

Movants escaped a near camper burn down with them in it and got away. Thereafter, when Movants finally filed suit a long time later. They had to file suit even

though they lowballed an offer to Allianz who had 2,000 pages of Defendant admissions that they did commit crimes and wrongs against Movants, some incredibly deadly and dirty. Allianz refused a very lowball offer and told Movants they wanted to see what a judge said. . .that usually means they are going to try to get the case dismissed out of the gate, and not pay anything, except for a one-time lawyer fee, and the Defendants walk free with no punishment.

But when Movants filed they had no idea yet, that the Judges where they filed were already knee deep in the crimes against them with Allianz, but not knowing this, Movants did file suit in the 10th Cir, the court of jurisdiction there, and these same Judges Mcintosh, Sprouse, and Maddox were presiding over the case they had created through their proxies, to determine their own guilt or liability, by not letting Movants win on any motion or issue no matter how meritorious. Obviously, that is against the rules and laws and totally Unconstitutional but the system protects this and the Judges know it so they can commit crimes and steal awards without repercussions in this present system.

Because Movants were recovering from illness and Fink contracted her third bout with cancer, they hired an attorney Michael Dodd to represent them, and there were numerous attorneys from MGC on the case. It was very nasty with MGC making up new laws and procedures not permitted and so forth just to play hardball. It was said that Allianz only uses MGC, which explains itself in the overall facts.

Long story short, there were many defaults by Defense but Movants attorney was hiding them from Movants to try to get Movants to drop those Defendants who defaulted,

claiming Movants didn't have a case and so forth. ..other deceits. . . to save Defense from Default. Instead of Dodd writing amended Complaints, he secretly turned them over to Defense to ghost-write Defense friendly Amendments they could easily dismiss when they wanted to. They kept Discovery Answers from Movants for years, while Dodd was claiming he was trying to get them when in secret they agreed he would never get them to help the real story of what happened to Movants in Townsville and that it was perpetrated through these Judges/VSB/Allianz and others to run this Murdaugh type scam against Movants, called Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud.

Movants were very worried about Dodd very early in the case and started recording his conversations with them which is legal in SC. They caught him in repeated lies and sabotage. They finally got rid of him, and the Judges took over the RACKETEERING and purposely in jurying Movants as described. They were looking for any reason to give a predetermined outcome to Defense, because they could not be discovered and exposed, and keeping Movants at the first step of the case for years, and not allowing them to get Discovery, Deposition, Subpoenas and so forth protected these state actors, lawyers and Allianz/Agents from being exposed as participants in the crimes/torts against Movants.

The Courts didn't stop fixing the case for predetermined outcome even after Dodd left, even allowing Defense who already defaulted several times, to file a claim to dismiss because allegedly Movants didn't comply with an alleged Virgin Island Custom. The case was not in the Virgin Islands, there were no Virgin Island litigants, and this was not a law but a custom. It is law school 101 frivolous and would be sanctioned except the Judges

needed anything to dismiss the case and then hand it to the appeals court who did the same kind of criminal activity to dismiss the case on appeal too.

This kind of things went on for some time, and Movants already realized this was a IF/IRF case, but then realized this was a Murdaugh scam mirror case too, as the scheme was the same, but the public wasn't being shown the scheme through fake investigations, and appointments that helped keep everything under cover. Murdaugh played his part, Fleming, Laffitte, Westendorf. . .and other State ACTORS.

Movants started writing certified letters to State Actors and others. . .nobody acted as stated earlier. Nobody wanted to stop IF/IRF, they just wanted to get Murdaugh and Laffitte as little time as possible through these steps being witnesses by the public now. They did all they could to bury Movants and their case by any means necessary. . .even sending Judge Taylor in to fix the case for the RACKETEERS by Bamberg himself.. . allegedly protecting victims of Murdaugh.

During this time and after, when Movants learned and expose the collusion and judicial Racketeering also, many colluders were caught helping the other RACKETEERS. . .of course Dodd/Defense, where Dodd was fired, Defense Alan Jones of MGC was put on probation and then fired and became a claims adjuster, Elizabeth Moore of MGC was fired or ran away and became a claims adjuster, Elizabeth McDaniel McMillion went to another firm, Robert Mebane of MGC stopped showing up, James Cox of GCC stopped showing up. Clerk of Courts, like Becky Hill. . .C. Reena Thomason and Elizabeth Beasly, the Scheduling Clerk were caught hiding Orders from Movants and lied enough until one got caught by

Movants and she was fired for getting caught. Judge Maddox, Jr., took earlier retirement. Solicitor General David Wagner announced he would not run again, and initially said he as surrendering his license to practice, but William Blitch from the Bar saved him and he still has his license. Judge Taylor ran away. George Robert Deloach of GCC ran away.

These were not attorneys and Judges and their agents looking for a better opportunity, this is what was happening as Movants were catching these RACKETEERS in the ACT.

And the only ones left where Judge Mcintosh, Sprouse, and newer attorney Michael Neubauer who was not able to be a first chair litigator. .. they all ran. Further, Movants contacted the VSB who they had not spoken to in over 20 years and told them what was going on and that they would pursue the Bar if it didn't take care fo those involved in illegally joining the SC State Actors. There head of ethics for 30 years, 69 at the time, suddenly was forced to retire from his cush job to explore other ventures and tried to open up his own firm at that old age. This doesn't happen normally. It happens when Movants were finding out the truth and able to prove it.

So with just Mcintosh, Spouse and one lawyer still on the case officially, Mcintosh decided to ambush Movants with one last ridiculous move. He called a surprise Evidentiary Hearing for Movants to prove their entire case in 20 pages to included exhibits on a case that had over 20,000 documents, and still no discovery, subpoenas, and not allowed to have witnesses, with 10 active days to prepare, when the case was just past

step one where the Defense could not dismiss it on procedure and they already defaulted and lost the case several times already.

Movants asked the Supremes to step in because, 1. This is not a legal time to have an evidentiary hearing, 2) evidentiary hearings cannot decide an entire case, but is meant to clarify an issue, 3) Mcintosh could not steal their jury and become Judge, jury, investor, fact witness, and prosecutor, 4) No discovery means no evidentiary hearing 5) the documents he wanted Moving parties to prove was at the final stage of a proceeding not at a stage when a document just had to have a scintilla of proof, 6) Mcintosh was again not allowing for reasonable accommodations under the ADA, 7) Mcintosh wanted to know what evidence Movant could prove about their RACKETEERING. Of course the SC Supremes totally sided with this most unconstitutional move, and said that if Movants were so worried about their health they should not have gone forward with their case. . .being the same bullies that Movants have hand to fight against whether defendant proxies, lawyers, and trial court judges and now appeals and supreme court judges none of whom will follow the law, because they can't let the public know this Murdaugh 2.0 case exists and tells on their entire operation.

The ADA is to allow for those with Disabilities to still bring forth their case, and especially since the Judges caused the most difficult Disabilities to deal with. . .not being able to see/write, seizures, etc. all due to extreme sleep deprivation from dealing with these major bullies in the Court and their proxies.

The final acts of Mcintosh showing his arrogance in not having to follow the Constitution because who is going to make him. . .he would not let Movants filing anything against government collusion and had to approve all filings first. . .this is major obstruction of the court and the record. How do Movants appeal if there is no record of them filing?

After Mcintosh made fun of Movants disabilities and pretended that they were carzy for ever saying Judges were involved, when their own proxies confessed and that is how this part of the case started. . .by their own proxies and why they could not allow Discovery as of right. . .not even the Supremes allowed it against all rules. No subpoenas, no witnesses. They needed this case over. They still do now.

Now the Appeals Court is doing the same thing with Movants ADA demand. . .refusing to file them and using Lafitte lawyer Jasmin Smith to write a letter stating what the Appeals Court may do. . .because Letters are not Orders and cannot be appealed. The tricks are coming out in mass and this is a good time for the public to see what is really done to keep the public from knowing the truth or coming to the aid of the REAL victims who walked away with almost nothing, and the others had the nerve to get in the victim line and take the last crumbs from them too.

So in brief, **Murdaugh**, Fleming, Laffitte, Westendorf, Mullen, Odom, and others are the main players identified as a part of this scheme to steal victims awards, even though Mullen, Odom and others have been ignored in these crimes, because this is the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise's gig and they do what they want, law or not.

Later, Judge Newman, Retired Judge Toal and Becky Hill became involved as well as U.S. Assistant Attorney Emily Limehouse and Federal Judge Gergel, along with the Defense Attorneys, and Alan Wilson and his team of prosecutors for the state.

In **Murdaugh 2.0**, movants, case, the lawyers from McAngus, Goudelock & Courie, LLC (**MGC**), and Grief Cox and Cranshaw (**GCC**), plus **Michael Dodd**, plus Mcintosh, Sprouse, Maddox, and later Taylor. Along with Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamberg, were schemers performing Murdaugh-style frauds, to take Movants awards**, PLUS INSURANCE CARRIER ALLIANZ** was also involved because without their Award, and Insurance Reserve Fraud usage to money lauder this money plus all the other State Crime money of the LMCE needing laundering the criminals cannot spend it, and the Defendants in the underlying case were Proxies the RACKETEERS used to commit the necessary crimes to try to catastrophically injury or kill Movants to begin the process of IF/IRF. . .stealing the Award with Allianz assistance, and Allianz Money laundering and disbursing washed funds.

But Movants made it out of the campground alive even after the Defendant-Proxies even tried to start Movants camper on fire, knowing they were leaving the next morning, taking on great liability for murder if they were not protected. Why not be glad Movants were leaving and let it go. They were instead willing to risk imprisonment by trying to kill them in their camper, still trying to create catastrophic injury or death? Why?

And through the course of the next 5 years, Movants dodged continuing attempts by some of these same Proxies, who continued to try to create the injuries or death required to cover up the crimes already started by the 10th Cir. Movants have video of the attacks that

the Anderson Sheriff's Office ignored or mishandled to let the attackers off claiming everything was a civil matter. Almost all is documented by video, audio recordings, pictures, Defendant-Proxies 2,000 pages of Group Texts to each other discussing committing these crimes against Movants, and meeting secretly and unilaterally with Judges from the 10th Cir long before a case was even considered to be filed by Movants. They also admitted the Virginia State Bar had joined them in this Murdaugh-scheme due to Movants being leaders in the Legal Reform movement that stopped and exposed much of Virginia's government behavior acting just like SC LMCE.

The system lives on Perceptions of public trust. . .not realilty. When Reality is broken, the people see the truth and the scams are broken.

These facts are additionally documented according Movants own videos/neighbor videos, and according to some Defendants/Proxies, some of the honest at Anderson County Sheriff's Office, and Some Judges not involved in this scam, but were very aware of it, and told to meet with the RACKETEERING Judges and others who were involved, between September 7-14th 2021, to devise a way to further retaliate and gain financial interests from Movants injuries/death, just before Movants left the campground to save themselves from the Proxies. And Movants didn't even know about this whole plan until long after they filed suit against Defendants/Proxies for the abuse received.

Further one of the main Proxies for the RACKETEERS in Murdaugh 2.0, who lived at the campground and was good friends with the Defendants, was also a drunken and drugged friend of Mcintosh in his earlier years. . .who was a meth manufacturer and user

among other crimes that occurred there at the campground with immunity, and this same person and his gang, was attacking Movants in 2024 and 2025 again, using the same tactics as used in 2021, and still a friend of Mcintosh, who proudly claimed his working for Mcintosh and that he would win, because Mcintosh and team wanted him to win (and he posted it on Facebook also), before he allegedly committed Suicide December 30, 2025.

So were the insurance carriers from the Murdaugh case investigated, because their story is ludicrous? **No.** Were the crimes of IF/IRF investigated? **No.** Was Palmetto State Bank-the Aristocratic old family Dynasty Laffitte business investigated by anyone neutral, when they knew of some of the alleged "loans" and other foul play that was occurring at their family bank? **No.** Was the CFO of Palmetto State Bank who was kin to someone at PMPED law firm? **No.** Was the Murdaugh Law Firm investigated by anyone, but the LMCE SC Bar and William Blitch's group, which knows the firm broke the laws of the state by not monitoring the trust accounts as it should? **No.** . . . .there is more.

But for the most part, in Murdaugh, those not investigated became the new "victims" even though they may have been more perpetrators, that got to stand in the line with the REAL victims to collect the last amounts of money ALLEGEDLY available to the real victims due to these Receivers selected by the LMCE's to find the money and protect existing money/assets. And who were these Receivers? And where were the full-disclosures of conflicts of interest for the Receivers, U.S. Attorney, Becky Hill, Judge Taylor who heard the Hill plea and provided the hand slap, and many others involved in this made for TV show trial/appeal, as seen supra and infra?

Now, with the basics of what happened in both Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0, Movants are first going to give you just enough connections between these cases, beyond what was already summarized, and also about who these State ACTORS are, that raises at least the appearance and actual impropriety involved in their participation, the fraud on the Court by the Court that has been created by these undisclosed conflicts that steered the Murdaugh show trial/show appeal, and other ridiculous acts by the State/Courts to have you see what is truly going on here.

Then Movants will give you a more descriptive way to understand more fully Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Faud, (IF/IRF) scams and how Murdaugh is a text book case of same, but for the State not coming close to investigating it, so the reader can see it happening in Murdaugh and how it is expanded and played out in Movant's Murdaugh 2.0, leaving the reader a lot less to guess about at cause the reader to scratch ones head over and what will be seen, when the Perception of Public Trust is peeled back, to see the workings of a SC LMCE that needs to public not to see what is in this document or the full Murdaugh 2.0 case, where by 11/2023, the Judges were doing anything. . .not matter how illegal and obvious, to dismiss Movants case to save themselves and the LMCE from exposure because the Murdaugh 2.0 case was a Murdaugh case, that was being hidden from the public/victims by their State ACTING made for TV show trial/appeal showing Murdaugh, and Murdaugh 2.0 and many other cases in SC, are just INSIDE JOBS!

HISTORY IS REPEATING ITSELF AND THE OLIGARCHY OF THE COLONIAL DAYS

IS STILL THE OLIGARCHY OF TODAY, THAT IS NULL AND VOID BASED ON

ARTICLE IV, SECTION 4 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

So to understand this last section, please flashback to when the world was run by Kings and Queens. Often, war would determine the next dynasties, but more often than not, marriages would occur to join royal families together and combine that wealth and power also. Direct lineage of family would marry each other just for this purpose, but when too close of a relation, like an uncle/niece marriage, or a brother/sister marriage, or cousin marriages, rare, recessive genetic defects would eventually arise, like the Hapsburg Jaw, or Hemophilia would occur, giving the receiver a short or troubled life.

During Colonial days and then with the revolt of 1776, this British/European History had already carried over to SC and the Aristocratic superiority and Rule were entrenched in the state. There was a caste system built and that was how SC was run.

There were Aristocratic Lord Proprietors who were corporate landowners of the SC territory, who ruled SC with the King's backing. Then Aristocratic Royalists who did not feel favored by the Lord Proprietors, created their own relationship with the crown of England, that allowed them to operate outside the Lord Proprietors.

But when family bloodlines create the caste system like in Britian/Europe, either war, or inbreeding/Allegiances were the ways to keep the land and power in the SC Aristocratic families who wanted to retain control and ruling power over land and inferior types (the profane/vulgar).

Because "life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness" in the Declaration of Independence was changed to "life, liberty and **PROPERY**," (5th / 14th, Amendment), in the

Constitution, this Aristocratic manipulation meant that if you didn't own land, you had no rights. So, land was kept in the Aristocratic heritages of key families who ruled SC and they continued in the same Caste system as was part of their British and European heritages.

This or any other form of government that is NOT a Constitutional Republic were not permitted under "the U.S. Constitution as the Feds shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government." The Feds have guaranteed the States and people that a Constitutional Republic will exist in each state of the U.S. This Guarantees Clause, was not referring to political parties, but that the Constitution is targeting each state to exist as a Constitutional government that is mandatory. i.e., Power comes from the People, Laws are applied by representatives equally, there are no Monarchs, Oligarchs or Dictators, there is No Military Rule, Government Actors are Accountable to Law, there are Elections, there are Courts, and there must be Separations of Powers. Further, No branch operates as a closed ruling class, and a sham system where institutions exist in name only cannot exist.

It will be clear below and in other documents Movants have written at the trial, and appeals Courts that SC is operating as an Oligarchy with deeply entrenched long-standing Aristocratic ties that promote these old Aristocratic bloodlines, and their kin and friends of kin, that are inextricably intertwined in SC Government to create an insular Oligarchy and a for profit Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE), under color of law, and the Aristocratic Murdaugh Dynasty, that created a "Murdaugh Country" above the law and in full agreement with SC lawmakers that is against the Guarantee Clause of the U.S. Constitution, is a great example of how these work, and what is happening in the Murdaugh

and related cases. See infra at all the conflicts of interests that needed to be disclosed, but tha were known to these very courts "ruling" over us.

THE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND GREAT BIAS AND IMPROPRIETY INVOLVED IN THE MURDAUGH AND MURDAUGH 2.0 CASE THAT MAKE ANY FEIGNED FINDING VOID AND RECUAL IS MANDATORY

Many of these same Aristocratic families of the Colonial, Revolution and Confederacy days still exist today. They are referred to as the First Families of SC, the Daughters of the Revolution, The Daughters of the Confederates, and so forth.

These old Aristocratic Dynasties wanted to make and keep the U.S. as a caste system of Aristocrats ruling over the People, down to the slave and poor whites who were chattel. They are the slave owners and controllers of indentured servants, where they made sure that slaves were not counted as people so the slaves (and poor whites who could not own land) could be exploited as chattel, at the will of the Royals in the U.S. for profit. The slaves/poor were viewed as profit makers like cattle pulling farm equipment and giving milk and meat, and when their usefulness ended they were disposed of and their children/calves and so forth, were used in their place or others purchases in human trafficking as chattel were brought in to continue to stomp the rice in the alligator swamps with rattlesnakes and disease prevalent. To those with empathy, that cannot be possible, but for those looking at themselves as Royal, entitled and having a Devine Right of Kings in their caste system, and using their chattel at their will, this ruthlessness was as normal as searing their consciences and those of their offspring bloodlines, to be able to profit from

same.

South Carolina State Motto:

The state motto of South Carolina is **"Animis Opibusque Parati,"** which translates to "Pre pared in Mind and Resources." Resources being human chattel, and these old slavery mottos are still featured on the left side of the state seal today, which also includes a depiction of a palmetto tree, symbolizing victory in a battle against the British during the Revolt of 1776. The second state motto, **"Dum Spiro Spero,"** translates to, "while I Breath, I Hope," and is found on the right side of the seal, alongside the Roman goddess Sp es. This motto reflects the optimism and alleged resilience (meaning DEFIANCE of any laws that they do not like and is still entrenched in their beliefs today where Constitutional Law is merely a suggestion), of the Royals of South Carolina, during their times of great uncertainty, when they were having to follow laws they did not want to follow (illegal slavery, all can own property, acknowledgement of Black Masons (2020) but not acceptance. This is not a commentary on the goods or evils of Masonry, but the fact that whites in the 2020's are still struggling to allow Blacks in their secret societies and Grand Poohbah events.

When freedom was allegedly for "We the People," we were excluded by the 3/5 of a person clause and/or the "property clause," because freedom was only for the Royals. When the Civil War started, that was the SC DEFIANCE that is still worshipped on the SC flag today, and many innocent people without such beliefs were sacrificed to this cause of the Aristocrats preserving their way of life at the expence of using Slaves and Poor Whites as chattel for their profit.

Once the Civil War was lost by the Aristocratic SC Dynasties, the Feds never took them out of their positions and influence, so instead of following the laws that all are free, the Aristocrats stole power back and became the SC Government again. They made Black Codes, Jim Crow laws and others to put the slaves back into slavery through deception, by twisting the laws through defiance. During this time, the Share-Cropping frauds were sold as legitimate, when they were law breaking deceits by the Aristocratic Royals of SC, that became the latest entrapment to keep slaves as slaves and poor as poor. When the case of Loving v. Virginia was heard in sister state Virginia, a mirror image of SC Aristocracy, they made excuses to uphold that the Royals needed to keep their bloodline pure and so it was illegal for interracial marriages to occur. The preservation of SC/VA bloodlines took center stage because that was how the caste system was determined and run. Then when Separate but Equal laws were the rage in SC, Brown v. Board of Education, and the Feds made it law that all schools and facilities needed to be desegregated, SC again worked to twist and deceive the people and make up ways that it could not happen, which is still the theme of the SC Aristocratic government today that twists and deceives to fit into a law, their own agendas that take away the letter and/or the Spirit of the law. .. like Movants mandatory right to ADA Reasonable Accommodations. Law is the talk, but not the walk amount the SC Aristocrats and their Elite recruits who are friends of Kin and get a slice of the action for protecting Aristocrats like Murdaugh and Laffitte when they get caught. This is what is happening in Murdaugh/Laffitte now.

Names of the Aristocrats/Elites/Trainees in Murdaugh and in

Murdaugh 2.0 and their association with each other as Intrenched Oligarchs to control all avenues of law and profit for themselves. . .not for the People.

Once Brown v. Board of Education was entered into law in the 1950's, and the Feds demanded desegregation of Aristocratic strongholds like those in SC, a man named **Jack Furman Mcintosh** had moved to **Anderson from Charleston and the low country** to **head the SC board of education and spent the next 15 years making twisting laws and facts to fit the usual defiance of SC, against any laws they didn't want to follow, and these were geared at stopping the Federal mandate and depriving citizens of their rights. With other laws coming down the pike like voting rights for all, ,and so forth, Jack Mcintosh created** pool tax ideas, IQ tests, redistricting, private schools, and other such tricks that keep the white Royals separated and insular in **defiance of the Federal Laws.**

He was over the creation of new, expensive private schools, like **Porter-Gaud** in Charleston, the site of where childing molesting employees were protected, and Aristocratic families fought against Attorney David Flowers who was fighting to protect these children from the molesters. Flowers claimed he had to fight the Aristocratic parents as much as the school's protecting the molesters, and this is because these schools and their Aristocrat were used to keep white Royals from blacks/poor whites and that was more important to the Aristocratic parents than allowing child molesters to continue to gain cover and more victims to molest.

There is an entire story about David Flowers, and Judge Diane Golstein and a defrocked former judge Litcher who was still lawyer in spite of his dirty deeds, all seeming

to pull off what looked like an IF/IRF scheme in that case to help the schools and harm the molested children, with Flowers reporting them to the SC Bar. . .and of course Flowers was disgraced by the Court with Kittredge/Toal leading the charge against him, and later Diane Goldstein became the leader of the Commission on Judicial Conduct. This is the LMCE in action.

The point here, is that royalty never died in SC, and old Aristocratic Dynasty's like Murdaugh and Laffitte and others are still in high ranking government positions today and they have Elite/Trainees as their proxies who received scholarships and benefits in law school, to sit in their government seats now to make sure these Dynasties are protected, just like Murdaugh and Laffitte (Laffitte served much of his reduced sentence at home). This is evident also in the Murdaugh Dynasty, were the Murdaugh's were able to commit crimes through their Aristocratic Dynasty for generations and not be prosecuted or anyone win a prosecution, but instead they were the prosecutors and the LMCE recognized the law in the 14th Cir., as Murdaugh Country. How is that constitutional?. . .but this is the SC LMCE where Aristocrats still rule. . .as an Oligarchy where the law is merely a suggestion and they hold the Devine Right of Kings/immunity to manipulate laws/rules, and cannot be prosecuted by their own, or sued by the public in most cases heard by other judges, that is today called Judicial Immunity, which is said to be important to protect the People (sarcasm). As Royalty goes, It is also important to note, that even in 2026, SC people are legally able to marry their cousins to preserve their bloodlines. . .The caste system is still happening.

So let's now look at **Jack Furman Mcintosh**, the father of **RACKETEER Judge Rivers Mcintosh. Jack married a Varn Dynasty member** so **Judge Mcintosh is a Varn Dynasty** member and an **Aristocrat. Mcintosh recently entered his third marriage, a Calhoun (VP of the U.S.) and white supremist and slave owner who was an Aristocrat.** The Calhoun's owned the **Belk** Department stores. Mcintosh is a 10th Cir Judge and RACKETEER on Movants case and refused to recuse on over his own guilt and liability and worked hard to get a predetermined outcome for Defense to end the exposure of a Murdaugh style scam run against Movants who took the case forward to show the LMCE operation that was hidden in the Murdaugh case by the LMCE.

Further, **Judge Mcintosh clerked in the 14th Cir** and did **catastrophic injury and wrongful death so he is very familiar with IF/IRF** through **Murdaugh Country training** and his **own father's firm**. Judge Mcintosh inherited his spot at his father's law firm on Main Street in Anderson before being selected by the Judicial Merit Selection Committee who are not going to select outsiders, shoring up their Aristocratic cover in the Courts.

RACKETEER Judge Jesse Cordell Maddox, Jr., was a Judge and RACKETEER in Movants case. He was the son of a very connected Aristocrat, Jesse Cordell Maddox, Sr., who ran the Anderson College during the Brown v. Board of Education days and implementations and was a great friend of (white supremacist)Jack Furman Mcintosh, according to Maddox, Jr., and of course worked with Jack's son RACKETEER Judge Mcintosh in the 10th Cir. **Maddox** worked in the **House of Representatives** previously **for the State,** and married twice, with the **second wife Victoria Mullen, also a State Actor**, and she was the **sister of George Mullen, a very connected attorney who donates money to the**

Judicial Merit Selection Committee, and is the husband of Judge Carmen Mullen who should be prosecuted in the Murdaugh case no matter which illegal version is given about her participation. She was not allowed to do any of those options. Did they all ever talk shop on holidays? About what goes down in Murdaugh Country? Not even once? **Maddox is is also married into the old Aristocratic dynasties crossing the bloodlines several times from Movant's research.**

RACKETEER Judge Maddox then married his third wife, Dr. Donna Swartz-Watts, a **very connected Forensic Psychiatrist**. . . that **provides PAID, expert witnessing mainly to the State. Judge Maddox is being accused of a Murdaugh-style IF/IRF schemes run in Movants case.** The good doctor, took on her married name and became **Dr. Maddox** and **provided PAID expert testimony for Murdaugh, claiming he was heavily addicted to Opioids, which was the first introduction/narrative to the public that was pushing that the Murdaugh money the State alleged investigators were searching for was gone so stop looking for it.**

This false narrative later became ridiculous in that one would be dead in days or weeks if he took that many drugs. But the story stuck and was part of the public narrative. **Did Judge Maddox ever disclose** in Movant's case that he was the husband of a Dr. Maddox who was putting out excuses that the Murdaugh money was gone, when a key part of IF/IRF is the money laundering aspects of stolen funds being washed through Insurance Reserve Accounts (and Attorney Trust Accounts, fake Mortgages, "Loans" that are payoffs, charity organization donations and disbursements, off-shore accounts and so forth)? NO. Did Dr. Maddox recant her testimony once she learned in 2023 that Movants

had discovered the IF/IRF running through their case and in 2024, were calling their case Murdaugh 2.0 with notices and warnings and calls for government investigation through certified letters? NO. Would Dr. Maddox benefit if Judge Maddox was receiving dark/washed money from the very type of award stealing that Murdaugh was involved in? Yes!

When the alleged Receivers were appointed, they were not searching for funds by all legal theories available, like the IF/IRF angle, but were bean counting and inventorying paper documents, and whatever else they did in private to find only about 1.8 Million Dollars or so, available to the victims and the **"NEW" alleged victims** who could have been perpetrators if a real investigation outside State Sled occurred.

The public was already deceived into thinking that Murdaugh smoked or shot up or whatever the delivery is for Opioid abuse, and that there was no money available, when it was most likely hidden and washed money, perhaps in the pockets of those putting on this Show Trial/Appeal, and could have been found through subpoenas, digital searches and questioning and so forth, which would also give the Victims many more options for collections they needed, and would teach the public about IF/IRF so they could be aware fo the crooks in the legal profession that were going to steal their awards too. There were no good reasons given for such little money found when these are major Dynastic families involved. That was the purpose of Dr. Maddox's paid, "expert" testimony. . . where 1.8 million is chump change, but the alleged serious Opioid addition covered for the disappearance of multimillions or more of Dynasty money with the help of Dr. Maddox.

Furhter, did Judge Maddox also need to Recuse from Movant's case for the same reasons for such a conflict with the Murdaugh case? Yes, for many reasons. And the others too? Yes for many reasons. They were actually should be Defendants in Movants case, but Movants didn't know at the time of filing about their involvement and they were not going to let anyone else preside over their guilt.

Some of the Defendant-Proxies in Movants original filing of their case, said that Solicitor General David Wagner who ran away once exposed, was the first to help them through Defendant Proxy Jennifer Burdette, who's father, Jody McCurley is a fired Vice and Robbery Detective for Anderson County Sheiff's Department and then a private investigator for Wagner, where McCurley was trying to cover up for his daughter, because she had poisoned Movants and was deeply involved in the crimes of the campground_____.

Aristocrat Wagner who was related to Aristocrat Judge Maddox, set up the 10th Cir. secret, and unilateral meetings with Proxy Defendants, along with the VSBar (according to Proxy Defendants in writing), who had a very long and rusty ax to grind with Dr. Kennedy who exposed Virginia's LMCE long ago as a practicing attorney who would not play ball.

These meeting occurred according to two Defendant-Proxies in writing and admitted by Burdette later after Movants filed suit in a recorded statement, before attorneys and legal court processes applied.

Once the Murdaugh 2.0 was out of the bag, and the Judges and lawyers were getting caught in ex parte communications and predetermined outcome planning, Judge Maddox announced his early retired in June 2024, and retired on December 31, 2024, when this

potato got too hot. He NEVER RECUSED nor reported himself or his colleges for committing crimes as he swore in his oath that he would in his application to be a Judge.

Jody McCurley was involved in the **King Henry "Bud" Campbell (King was his real name) and he was of the Campbell Dynasty in SC, Aristocrat Pratt Sosebee, Hawk Bonding, Aristocrat Sheriff E.E. Duck Cooley** crimes of the 1970's using Anderson County Sheriffs' Department and staff to **run Cocaine through the state, using the jailed as the traffickers who got bond from Hawk Bonding to push drugs for Cooley/LMCE.**

The Anderson County Sheriffs' Department was raided by the FBI in the mid 1970's for a number of crimes, and was also involved in car and car part theft, and other crimes.

Later, Cooley was investigated by a grand jury for crimes conducted in Anderson County under the Sheriff's Department, and through Sosebee's auto business, where **Sosebee died, but was married to Bud Campbell's sister**, and left a daughter, **June Sosebee. Bud Campbell promised Cooley** he would be and stay Sheriff of Anderson County if he **married June Sosebee**, the daughter, to **keep control of Sosebee's theft business** to where the auto crimes could continue and Cooley did so. For the first time ever, in Anderson County history, a Sheriff, Cooley, ran unopposed during this time. He ran on a pledge to stop illegal drugs trafficking and to stop crime amazingly.

June Cambell, Sosebee, Cooley was the ancestor of now Clerk of Courts, RACKETEER C. Reena Thomason, the RACKETEER Governor Master Appointment as the new Clerk of Courts for the 10th Circuit Courts, with judicial approval, after a long run

of illegal operations by the Clerks of Court in Anderson County including **Jimmy Burdette** involvement with **Cooley, and Cathy Phillips** embezzlement, and then bringing in a very shady ex-mayor **Robert Shirley** who left the mayor's office under suspicious conditions.

At the swearing in of **RACKETEER Reena Thomason, RACKETEER Judge Maddox** was crying and swearing her in while calling that moment a "full circle" meaning they had previous connections that came full circle, which is the Aristocratic way.

Clerk of Court Reena Thomason was instrumental in hiding Movants Orders, manipulating files and doing all else to obstruct justice in Movant's RACKETEERING Case, with Scheduling Clerk where they were caught in lies and the **Clerk, Elizabeth Beasley** was fired for getting caught, while Reena was receiving trust funds from this criminal activity of Aristocrats in the family Dynasty line of **Campbell/Cooley/Sosebee** line of her Aristocratic family from this line of the family that received their wealth through crimes against the People of SC. The Clerk of the 10th Circuit is an Aristocrat. (**See Clerk of Court, for the 14th Cir., Becky Hill for comparison coming up soon in this writing**).

In 1978, **Sheriff E.E. Duck Cooley** was caught up in more crimes, and a Grand Jury questioned approximately 85 witnesses regarding criminal activity coming out of the Anderson County Sheriff's Department. Included in these witness questionings were regarding **Duck Cooley's Drug Squad** he disassembled due to these inquiries. Anderson County was ranked one of the most corrupt in all of the U.S. at this time.

Interestingly, **Clerk of Courts, Jimmy Burdette**, in those days, was a local Elite/Aristocrat with witnesses stated marriages into the McCurleys (Defendant Burdette's

family who got the Defendants to speak to Judges in Movant's case), Sosebee's like Reena Thomason's family where **Maddox** claimed the appointment of her was coming full circle, perhaps meaning the Burdette connections, **Campbell** who are very well known political characters and Aristocrats in SC, where **King Henry** could appoint a Sheriff, while fixing elections or stopping any others from running against Duck Cooley so they could continue their own crime spree.

RACKETEER Judge Robert Scott Sprouse, is a friend of Aristocratic Kin and appointed to be the frontman to protect Aristocrats while running scams through the LMCE with the other Judges in the 10th Cir. He did marry into power in the **Stoudemires**, as he was a partner with the firm and then married the owner's sister. Sprouse started off his **practice in Murdaugh Country, doing . . . .INSURANCE DEFENSE, which is a big deal in IF/IRF cases as the attorneys for both sides and Judges all involved** make this an almost no-lose scheme with the carriers cooperation. Sprouse eventually moved to the Northeast portion of SC and became state/local attorney for government along with further doing Insurance Defense with the Stoudemire partnership and marriage. Sprouse is a RACKETEER in Movants case, and one of two left from the other Judges who ran away once discovered. He also refused to recuse and is presiding over his own guilt/liability.

Judge Heath P. Taylor was not involved in Movant's case until February 2024, after Movants were making a lot of noise and demanding investigations into a Murdaugh Style IF/IRF scams being run on Movants in the 10th Cir., using Allianz, the Judges, Lawyers, and others, while presiding over Movant's case. By now Movants got to review more of the Defendant Group Texts given to them by Defendant Proxies who all wanted to blackmail

each other due to their criminal nature to commit crimes against each other once Movants had escaped. This was confirmed by recorded interviews with some other Defendant Proxies.

Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamberg, who had no involvement in Movant's case, and was not permitted to appoint Judges under the law of SC to Movant's case, secretly did so, to get Judge Taylor to fix it and help the 10th Cir. Judges, Allianz and others cover up their IF/IRF scheme. Why would Bamberg, who was allegedly representing Murdaugh Victims, want this exposed to help his clients instead of making sure the public never heard of the schemes?

RACKETEER Taylor did his best to fix the case and then found out Movants found out about the steering scam with Bamberg and he ran away. RACKETEER Bamberg has been silent even though he has been put on notice several times.

Knowing that Taylor was involved in trying to fix Movant's IF/IRF scam, Judge Kittredge appointed Taylor to take Becky Hill's Plea and slap her write. If anyone noticed, Hill was not embarrassed but walked in proudly to plead guilty . . .and not have to disclose the facts, which is how the state is using these pleas. . .to keep the guilty quiet. Becky Hill is not only an experienced clerk but she knows she can't taint a jury and obstruct justice no matter what she is charged with. So a book deal wasn't going to be enough to ruin her career.

What Becky Hill told the public long ago, was that she never met Murdaugh, but Movant's found out differently and started exposing this as Becky Hill was KIN to Murdaugh

through the Varn Dynasty family marriage. Judge Mcintosh was also kin to Murdaugh and Hill through the Varn family marriages.

Further, **Randolph"Buster" Murdaugh, Jr.**, in 1956 as dealing with charges of him being involved in public corruption. He was being tried by a Federal Judge Hoffman at the time. One of Buster's accomplishes and family members was **Felder Hiers**. . .who was a Kin/grandfather to Beck Hiers Hill who was helping Buster beat the charges through nefarious schemes, which Buster beat the charges much to the dismay of **Judge Hoffman** who had never seen such a criminal production and feigned proceedings in spite of him trying to control the chaos as the Judge. . .so what is being called Becky Hiers Hill somehow interfering with a jury in the Murdaugh case. . .**was actually providing Murdaugh with Appealable issues because all the State knew there was no chance of the dislikable Murdaugh to win any case in SC at the time. Becky Hill proudly walking in before Taylor was both of them knowing they were doing the job for Aristocrat Murdaugh, as selected by the LMCE, and Becky Hill is a hero among the Aristocrat and will do no time and be taken care of the rest of her life for her "service" to the Royals.**

Taylor was given more responsibility with the Murdaugh scam cover ups because he "sacrificed himself" in Movants case and got caught. This was part of his payoff from the Aristocrats for a job well done.

Judge Toal was next to help give Murdaugh appealable issues with her unfounded rulng that Becky Hill's actions didn't matter when they did. . .because they were supposed to matter. So there is another appealable issue.

Judge Newman's speech about the monster within Murdaugh that did all these bad things, and telling the jury they found correctly, . . .appealable issues.

All of these State Actors know better. Now watch to see if they do a repeat of the Laffitte debacle done in Federal Court to help Murdaugh now or later.

Now lets look at the Receivership which is the most important part to the Victims. John Lay and Peter McCoy were selected as the Receivers to allegedly track down and safeguard Murdaugh's money. They did a horrible job by purposely taking everyone at their word. . .the carrier's ridiculous story. . .PMPED who had a duty to oversee and do reports on their trusts, which would have found these errors, and only overseen by the LMCE SC Bar, Palmetto's Board made up of family members related to Russel Laffitte who knew what was happening and so forth.

Lay is a very connected attorney. . .Elite status. . .with an Elite Status Firm. McCoy is a **former U.S. Attorney, Peter McCoy, Jr., and his wife is a Common Plea Court Judge Jennifer McCoy so he is also connected.**

But why are Receivers **John Lay of Gallivan White & Boyd, PA, (GWB) and former U.S. Attorney, Peter McCoy, Jr.** using Lay's firm's own legal representation, **Amy L. B. Hill,** specifically named by Judge Daniel Hall, also on payroll, when she is a recent past employee of lawyer **Becky Laffitte,** (Robinson Gray Stepp and Laffitte, LLC,, RGSL), who has stock in or was/is a board member of **Palmetto State Bank**?

Why is John Lay's daughter, **Eliza Lay, Law Clerk for Judge Jennifer McCoy, Peter McCoy's wife**? Why did **John Lay just get "selected to be elected" as a member of the**

most powerful committee in SC, the Judicial Merit Selection Committee when he wasn't even in politics beforehand? Who owed whom favors here because that is what this is? And why didn't all the disclosures about Laffitte connections come out as a duty to disclose.

Why are there also two Laffitte's in the firm of John Lay, the Receiver on the Murdaugh case. Jessica Laffitte, and H. Lucius Laffitte. **Jessica Laffitte, is also Phi Beta Kappa and former clerk of Kittredge.** Did Justice Kittridge not know when the Receiver was selected that his own Phi Beta Kappa Laffitte law clerk was in that firm? Really? What is Kittredge's relationship with the Laffitte's and the other Murdaugh Dynasty crime family members who area ll connected to other Murdaugh crime families by other Kin names, but all on these cases between the Murdaugh frauds and Movants Murdaugh 2.0 Frauds in the 10th Cir.?

Now it gets really interesting, because Movants have been trying to force the Appeals Court to provide them with MANDATORY Reasonable Accommodations they requested in a block 90 day time frame and 200 pages so they can have a meaningful due process and right to fully present an Appeal on all issues. If the Appeals Court does that, which is mandatory, they will give Movants to present way too much corruption to overcome without them being on the hook also. This writing is a very small sample of the overall corruption. . .not the details with recordings transcribed and other such evidence. The Court gave the State/Murdaugh a number of long extensions without a second thought and without anyone having ADA qualifying disabilities that mandate a granting of this request.

In trying to not look like they denied the ADA demands, but not allowing them, the Court has twisted the request, claimed it was already decided without clarifying the finding and why which is required under the Constitution and has even refused to file motions that are not allowing for such excuses while they rush this case through.

When all that still didn't work, and Movant's motioned again, they had a lawyer answering Movants in a letter filed with the court where she was claiming she was a deputy clerk. She was a deputy clerk from 2013-2015. And since then is a partner at the firm (Robinson Gray Stepp and Laffitte, LLC,, RGSL) This RACKETEER Jasmin Smith knows she cannot operate legally like that, the State confirmed she is not an official of the state, she knows a letter cannot be reviewed on appeal and is not an Order, she knows she is obstructing justice as a favor to the Appeals Court, and in case the reader didn't realize it, Jasmin Smith works as a Partner with **Becky Laffitte, Is this meant as intimidation, that the deadly Murdaugh/Laffitte's are telling Movants to go away if they know what is good for them? The intimidation and bullying has been nonstop so this could really be the purpose, but also the Judges know they must recuse, and cannot write or sign an Order, so they get Jasmin Smith to do it, which is just as illegal as doing it themselves.**

Next lets' look at RACKETEER Aristocratic Governor Henry Dargan McMaster and U.S. Assistant on the Murdaugh, Laffitte and Fleming cases. Limehouse's is an old Charleston family, with Emily Limehouse's maiden name is Meggett. Both Limehouse and Meggett are old intermarrying Aristocratic families. Are there even more Aristocrats really prosecuting Aristocrats here?

Limehouse's husband is Thomas Ashley Limehouse, Jr., of Charleston, is a **Phi Beta Kappa and Wig and Robe** (both like **Kittredge**), who was until a month or so ago, **Governor Henry Dargan McMaster's long-time inhouse Chief Legal Counsel/attorney** and paid by the state of SC, from 2017 to 2/25.

Thomas's Aristocratic father, Thomas Asley Limehouse, Sr., was one of the 27 charged and convicted in the **Operation Lost Trust** case for taking bribes and conspiracy and tampering with witnesses. Many of these Most Trust Defendants dragged out their appeals and retrials, like Laffitte and Murdaugh, and so forth for 10 years, and some even died before they were convicted again as this game is played.

What is worse, is **Aristocratic Governor Henry Dargan McMaster bloodline is married into the Aristocratic Laffitte Bloodline** (and others too). As described, these Aristocrats, as will be seen still practice the European and British practice of marrying into each other's Aristocratic bloodline to gain power and wealth. **There are more connections with Laffitte and Movants Murdaugh 2.0 case later.**

In SC because one can still legally marry their cousin in spite of the genetic warnings, when the government claims all should trust science, they are not doing so themselves. So this means that U.S. State Attorney, Emily Limehouse is "helping" prosecute her husband's boss' extended family, and that the Governor, who would not step into Movant's case to get an investigation started, on Murdaugh 2.0, has family being plea bargained and voluntarily silenced, in Murdaugh at the same time.

Limehouse is presently on the North Charleston public corruption cases, involving other Aristocratic/Elite, and on the filthy child molesting and exploitation case of Aristocratic Judge James B. Gosnell, Jr., a high-ranking Lewis Mason. She needs to be placed out of SC as a fellow Aristocrat and sometimes neighbor of some of these criminals, along with obvious conflicts with McMaster/Laffitte. This Gosnell should have been stopped in 2003 when he was using the **Ni**er** word in court, but was still protected by the LMCE. Why? Hopefully the argument about Magistrates need more training to be like the Common Pleas Judges claim as to why there are problems in the Court system is disappearing from the argument. It is about Aristocratic/Elite corruption and Oligarchy rule in a legal system that is supposed to be Constitutional Republic, that they have ignored.

Further on the Federal handling by Limehouse with Federal Judge Gergel who handled a lot of the Murdaugh, Fleming, Laffitte issues including suits by alleged victims of Murdaugh that should have been investigated as perpetrators, Gergel let them all have judgments, which gave them permission to stand in the real victims line, with no real investigation, and take what was for the Real Victims.

Further with Lay/McCoy as Receivers, they also determined this line of victimhood, giving permission for these non-victims to collect, how much and so forth. It is a totally sham and feigned process that was watched on TV, that further victimized the real victims even at the end. This is the defiant, entitled and ruthless Aristocratic way learned from generations of abusing "resources" (that is us). Below will be a summary of how Limehouse and Gergel handled Laffitte, that appears to be the strategy with Murdaugh if this writing doesn't help stop that.

Further, **Aristocrat McMasters, an old U.S. attorney for SC botched the Boulware/ Murdaugh/Branch Operation Jackpot drug trafficking case is SC,** by allowing his key witness, **Branch** to freely leave SC and go to Florida with no protection where he got **run over by a car in Florida** shortly before the criminal trial of **Aristocrat Boulware** with **Aristocrat Murdaugh** also suspected, and thus the case was dismissed and that same ring is still believed to be operating today in the same areas according to various reports. In fact, when one talks too much in SC, he is said to be **"Branched"** if the LMCE knocks him off to stop the prosecution, or exposure.

Aristocrat Boulware's stayed involved in Aristocrat Murdaugh and gave Moselle and other lands to Murdaugh for $5. Aristocrat U.S. Attorney who botched or allowed the Jackpot operation against his Aristocratic colleagues to fail, gets the Order of the Palmetto in 1996 from the governor for outstanding civil efforts to the community, and Henry Dargon McMaster becomes Attorney General, Lieutenant Governor and then Governor. He hires a new attorney Thomas A. Limehouse, then helps Thomas' wife Emily Limehouse appointed as an assistant U.S. attorney in SC. Then she somehow ends up prosecuting old world Aristocratic family Alex Murdaugh, Laffitte cases, with Laffitte related to McMasters, and who are Huguenots together, a very tight organization of Kin. No this is not proper and reeks of entanglements of Aristocratic familiarity where neutrality is mandatory.

It is very important to note that there are key Aristocratic relationships/facts/scams perpetrated against Movants Murdaugh 2.0 case that overlap with the Murdaugh connections and case that mirror each other and tells an entirely different story about what happened in Murdaugh in the 14th Cir., as proven from Movants own Murdaugh 2.0 account

of what has happened to them in the 10th Cir., and this same scam being run through the Courts, showing that the two cases are two sides to the same coin. . .a mirror image of each other, that when seen together gives the Public both sides of the schemes of Murdaugh carried out with the government of SC purposely limiting the number of exposed criminals and the limiting the full scope of the crimes perpetrated against the REAL victims and against the public. The State's extremely illegal and criminal handling of Murdaugh 2.0 tells on themselves as they must bury this case to keep their cover story going, that the SC State is some neutral trier of fact concerned with Constitutional Law, to save their LMCE who exploits the pubic, some to their death, under color of law, for profit through these Aristocratic bloodlines and their elite handled.

There are many more connections to Aristocrats helping Aristocrats and grooming elite through scholarships and other "awards" and financial benefits and power to keep the system very closed to Accountability, Integrity or Transparency. Bamberg should show the reader how the system really works, and that adding more bureaucrats to the recipe are only going to create more criminals in the Oligarchy rather than getting SC to become a Constitutional Republic as it is supposed to be.

Awards like Phi Beta Kappa, Wig and Rob, Order of the Coif, Order of the Palmetto (Murdaugh, Toal, McMaster, Judge Manning who stopped Mullen from being deposed, and many others), power, money, and safety as long as they stick together and learn to deceive

and twist laws to their will, and stay DEFIANT and ruthless like their ancestors, to any law or person standing up to them with the law.[2]

Let's take a last look at Limehouse/Gergel handling of Aristocratic Dynasty criminal Laffitte. In the cooperation of Limehouse/Gergel, Laffitte continued to have his time spent at home as time served. Limehouse kept agreeing to give Laffitte more time at home before he reported to the prison and Gergel agreed and called it time served. The sentence was reduces down to 5 years rather than 7, and because SC was allowing the Federal finding to lead the way, their conviction also went down to 5 with most of the time served at Laffitte's home. He has about 2 years of prison time for all he did.

Further, he used Murdaugh's claim that Murdaugh did the crimes alone, to help him get less time. . .a "gimmee" from the LMCE Aristocrats trying to limit time, exposure, crimes, and criminals. And the Laffitte case follows the same track where Judge Richard Gergel, gave Laffitte Appealable Issues over the jury. . .. just like Becky Hiers Hill, Jean Toal, Newman, and below, as Movants have shown, these feigned appeal will almost erase the crimes/sentence all together of these criminals if the People are not aware of the games

---

[2] Here are just some of the awards given to these Aristocrats and Elites for protecting the LMCE: **Judge John Kittredge is a Phi Beta Kappa**, and Order of the Coif, and Wig and Robe and other such signs of Elite compliance to the Aristocrats. **Thomas Limehouse, Jr., Phi Beta Kappa,** Wig and Robe. **Judge Jean Toal, is Phi Beta Kappa . Attorney and Kittredge Law Clerk, Jessica Laffitte is Phi Beta Kappa, Attorney James "Trey" Cox, III is Phi Beta Kappa. New 10th Cir. Judge replacing Maddox, Jane Hawthorne Merrill, Phi Beta Kappa**

being played by the state/defense and the SC Aristocrats and their handled and groomed elite who work for them. Is Murdaugh next?

The Illegal State and Federal Use of Plea Bargains to Hide their Crimes: The public's full exposure to the entire Murdaugh case, was purposely and intentionally cut short, by the State/Feds conveniently stealing way from the People that full disclosure of this much bigger fraud/operation in Murdaugh than the Public saw on made for TV drama the State Directed and authorized, due to Government's bad faith acts to allow plea deals that hid the true nature of what actually happened and how it happened, and stopped the investigation that the Real Murdaugh Victims. The Public and victims needed to see the entire case, unhidden to know the entire crimes, who are in the entire criminal ring, and how the operations worked together that were used by the criminals to commit such heinous crimes against the people. This also allows for Public to protect itself because they understand what could happen to them, if they begin to understand that such operations happen and how to spot a potential victimization before the total carnage of they and their family. See State Trooper Tommy Moore Quotes on this very matter, where some of the victims and public are not deceived by the Feds/States intentional close down of the Murdaugh frauds, before the truth came out about the number of criminals involved and the much larger scope of the crimes. He correctly stated that "this works out for everybody but the victims.", Murdaugh's Plea Deal Leaves Some Victims Feeling Robbed Again. November 29, 2023, News 4, ABC.

While Plea Bargains are generally considered Constitutional and up to the government to make final agreement on same, they are required to discuss and hear from

the victims. However Plea Bargains become Unconstitutional when it/they is/are used by conflicted state ACTORS to conceal their own criminal exposure, that of their fellow Aristocrats/Elites, or hide the scope of a state-involved criminal enterprise such as the LMCE SC is trying to cloak.

This would then be a Due Process, structural bias and conflict of interest. . .and a crime no many grounds. Then there is no more prosecutorial discretion but self-protection. Further, selective enforcement and selective leniency is how SC normally operates which is also Unconstitutional. In a feigned case, the Constitution and all proper procedure and Canons are thrown out the window as in Murdaugh/Murdaugh 2.0. The state cannot bargain away charges to protect itself.

Further, Victims' Rights are not just procedural, but Constitutional. When plea deals are used to foreclose restitution, prevent full accounting of fraud prevent exposure of institutional wrongdoing prevent victims from being meaningfully heard the consultation requirement becomes illusory which relates due process courts have recognized that rights that exist on paper but are functionally nullified are unconstitutional especially where secrecy benefits the state.

Finally, When plea bargains are structured to avoid judicial fact finding avoid evidentiary records avoid findings that would trigger oversight or civil liability courts become rubber stamps not adjudicators that violates separation of powers and the judiciary's duty to ensure justice not efficiency a court cannot constitutionally accept a plea if it knows or should know that the plea is being used to suppress systemic criminal

conduct this brings about racketeering and other such crimes that are involved with operating the legal machine criminal enterprise.

A quick detour, Secret Societies and Fraternities play a major role in how SC was created and have its beliefs. Many of the Judges secretly belong to these organizations when they cannot do so by law (especially secret societies). But if one sees Phi Beta Kappa, that is a very telling sign of being groomed by the legal system to front from the Aristocrats. Kittredge is one, his law clerk Laffitte is one, Judge Jean Toal is one, and there are others. They have a special relationship based on that Fraternity much like the masons do with their own members of their Temple. Movants have not listed all members herein that are relevant to try to keep this as simple as possible when it is a very difficult and complex topic within topics.

So after hearing just a surface explanation for how the Murdaugh case is a feigned, fake, staged case for the Aristocrats to get out of their crimes, while not barely paying any money back of what they stole from the victims, and with the Judges involved not even investigated, no matter which story is correct, and so forth, Murdaugh 2.0 had to be buried and is continuing to be buried even though they know that Movants will not give up because we have hand enough of this and it has to stop now.

WHAT Specifically is Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud in Detail?

These financial scams Murdaugh was running against injured and death victims/families, were able to be run by exploiting the People/Victims who either were involved in a catastrophic injury/wrongful death **OR** by the perpetrators themselves

creating the catastrophic injury/wrongful death of a target(s), usually through proxies who create these for the white collar crooks, like Murdaugh or Movants fraudsters.

Normally, when a Plaintiff's attorney first becomes involved with a victim of a personal injury, the victim needs having his injury bill and his other damages paid by the insurance carrier through settlements or court fights for an award it the carrier refuses liability. So the attorney works to file a claim with the insurance carrier and, if necessary, maybe has to file a lawsuit against the Defendant who is insured by that insurance carrier, if the carrier refuses to pay or low balls the victim.

Up to this point, this looks like a normal personal injury claim being handled. The problem is when a Murdaugh-type, looking to scam his victims, gets a catastrophic injury or wrongful death claim. These are special claims because the amount of damages to be collected from the insurance carrier are very large, which means more contingency fee (%), goes to the lawyer than a normal, every day personal injury claim.

In Murdaugh schemes, the insurance carrier is secretly on the same side as Murdaugh, and so no real negotiating or legal contest will ever occur, even if a suit is filed. At some point in the process after filing a claim and maybe a suit, Murdaugh and his team of thieves, like Fleming for example, work together to "separate the injured party from their claim." This can be done by telling the victim they lost their claim or case when this is a lie. The injured party walks away thinking they lost, and the lawyers, insurance carrier, judges, etc., split the award between them once the injured party is somehow separated from his claim.

Other ways of separating a victim from his claim can be for the lawyer to just ignore the victim or string alone the victim until the victim assumes nothing is going to happen or give the victim a small pittance of the money due claiming that is all the lawyers could get for him, and so forth. It is all considered "separating the victim from his claim."

So once the lawyer(s) separate the claimant from the claim, they then steal the victims or his/her families (in wrongful death) award through various schemes. But if the amount they stole is large, they can't just spend money that goes beyond their means without drawing attention from legal oversight (if it exists in SC). The thieves have to have a way to money launder the dark money stolen, before it is able to be disbursed and spent by the criminals and their criminal colleagues, free and clear from its past origin, with an excuse that they earned it from something, like an injury, a life insurance gift, a consulting fee, from a shell corporation and so forth.

These Murdaugh-type criminals, don't do this once in a while, but have a machine of these claims, so they are regularly receiving dark money from their crimes, and have their laundering systems all ready to go, processing this money into usable funds. These criminals also have other types of scams, like drug pushing, gambling, prostitution, child exploitation and so forth going on, or other related criminals in the LMCE where all of these criminals need money washed. So more than just the award is washed by itself in these larger operations in the LMCE.

This is where the Insurance Reserve Fraud portion of the IF/IRF comes in. It is all set up to receive dark money from many criminals performing many crimes. To understand

this portion of the IF/IRF Murdaugh scheme, it is important to understand what an Insurance Reserve account is, and why it is one of the more effective ways to money launder Insurance Fraud claims and other dark money crime schemes all together under as small as a single claimant's name.

When a person gets injured, in a small claim, like one person hurt his lower back and needs back surgery, he or his lawyer files the claim with the insurance carrier, and the claims adjuster for that insurance company determines how much that claim will cost the insurance company to pay and close that file. They consider the extent of the injury, how much Physical Therapy the Person will need, whether the person will need surgery, how much rehab will they need, how much medicine. . .hospital bill. . .recovery time. .. any wages they have to pay because the injured person can no longer work for the time of recovery, if the person could go back to the same job or take a lesser paying job, the age and condition of the injured, and so forth and they come up with an estimated price for how much they will have to pay. Then they add life expectancy, how much disability the injured might be assigned and so forth, which all adds up to an estimated dollar figure on how much the life of that claim will cost the insurance carrier. In this scenario, it may cost $500,000 in estimate.

With that estimate based on an internal standard used by the carrier, like worst probable case, they get that estimate and put that $500,000 in this example aside in another account, called the RESERVE ACCOUNT, as money that is not profit, nor is it a debit, but it is on hold to pay the claim if they so choose to. It will cost more if the lawyers have to file suit and that is adjusted but generally the carriers do not like stair stepping up

or down. They need a figure that is fairly accurate. Also in some situations, the carrier may only have to put a percentage of that figure into the reserve account to hold back to pay the injured person.

This Insurance RESERVE Account is not often monitored and taken as face value that this is an estimate of an injured person's potential award set aside. But because these are not monitored regularly, these reserve accounts are highly available for money laundering of criminal ill-gotten gain, where the company and/or adjuster accepts stolen awards, and other criminal dark money and through that process it is washed, and when it is disbursed it goes to the Murdaugh types, but also to other names of criminals in other thefts or other illegal operations where the claims adjuster/carrier disburses these washed funds to them and others totally unrelated to the injured party and his claim.

This process is one example of the many twists on Insurance RESERVE Fraud, which goes hand in hand with the theft of the insurance award by the Murdaugh types, but involves so much more on a grand scale. These reserve schemes are very coveted by criminals because they can hold a lot of money in them to be washed and disbursed, with a cut going to the carriers/employees who work the operation from the carrier's side.

This is why the carriers in Murdaugh needed to be thoroughly investigated and not believed on after the fact questioning and their notes that could be made up and frankly didn't make sense to those who have worked with or for insurance carriers. Both the Investigators and the Receivers could have gotten access to these and other Reserve Accounts and saw the papertrail/money trail in and out of their Reserve Accounts along

with what sounded like nonsensical stories being told after the fact that do not make sense.

This is where the victims' attorneys should have all been digging with subpoena power and evidentiary hearings against the carriers, and others to find all who were involved in the crimes, and how the entire operation worked. Somebody would have talked. All you need is one, and those victims would have been paid beyond expectations, and quite likely found the Murdaugh money and had many more criminals off the streets, especially if even one talked about the LMCE. That was the reason there are so many questions left unanswered, because anyone who could have taken the bull by the horns and not waited for the State to inform them of what they could do, and nothing more. . .i.e. Judge Casey Manning, who was not involved in the case, telling a lawyer not to depose Judge Mullen and the lawyer complied.

This scam has a very strong possibility to have been run in the Murdaugh case, as IF/IRF go together especially in catastrophic injury and wrongful death claims. Movants just used a $500,000 example to keep things simple. And all those possibly involved, were never seriously interviewed as possible perpetrators, nor not seriously interviewed by the Government to uncover the entire crimes and get all the money for the Victims that could possibly be discovered.

Sample Questions raised in this writing will cover some of the potential additional sources of money for the victims, but the State was not interested in pursuing these lines of questions for LMCE reasons that will become even more clear as this writing continues.

THIS IS WHY MOVANTS ARE NOT ARGUING FOR EITHER MURDAUGH OR THE STATE BECAUSE THEY BOTH NEED TO BE THOROUGHLY INVESTIGATED OUTSIDE OF SC OR ITS FEDERAL COURTS, FOR REAL. MOVANTS ARE WRITING IN SUPPORT OF THE INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION/RECEIVERSHIP, AND AJUDICATION OF ALL THAT ARE FOUND TO BE DEFENANTS WHO HAVE EXPLOITED THE VICTIMS AND THE PUBLIC. THESE REAL VICTIMS WHO WERE CONSISTENTLY EXPLOITED FROM THE TIME THEY MET MURDAUGH AND CONTINUING THROUGH THE FRAUDULENT DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS, AND THROUGH THIS ALLEGED APPEAL, AS THE TRUTH IS BEING HIDDEN FROM THEM, THE PUBLIC, AND THE RICH CRIMINALS WHO ARE GETTING RICHER WITHOUT REMORSE OR BEING IMPRISONED.

This same scam was run in the 10th Cir. by RACKETEERING Judges, the Carriers, lawyers, other State Actors, and agents/proxies, who were actually caught in the act by legally trained Movants who were able to recognize the scam while it was happening in their case and call it out loudly with letters to all SC government including local, regional and State and some Federal Levels, but not enough of the latter yet.

The difference besides Movants identifying it while their lower level case was still going on, was that Movants catastrophic injury or wrongful death that did not succeed, were planned out by the Judges and their State Actors, Lawyers, and Allianz and others, with the actual violence carried out by their criminal-type proxies who Movants initially listed as Defendants in the case, because they did not realize initially that this was a **IF/IRF** case sponsored and directed by the 10th Circuit RACKETEERS who are very closely following the 14th Circuit script for IF/IRF with these minor adjustments. Where all the

victims of Murdaugh chance accidents or were any of them planned like Movants targeting and retargeting for the life of the case by Judge Mcintosh's friends, as admitted by his friends? Movants cannot say, but there are two cases they have seen that have very suspicious circumstances. The only one mentioned herein is the Satterfield alleged fall, where the story changed and the friend of Paul Murdaugh admitted he was told by Paul that he pushed Satterfield down the stairs. And an IF/IRF scheme appears to be the result, if the carrier would have been thoroughly investigated for all the after-the-fact flaws in their account of handling the claim, that are not normal methods of how the carriers' handled claims.

The Movants eventually fired their lawyer for collusion with the Defense lawyers using a recorder to tape his actions and comments within in his own emails and texts and writings and an additional writing and many actions from the Defense that proved it. Movants then identified the obvious **Judges involved using the Defendants (Defendant Proxies) own written admissions, and recorded interviews that admitted their part as State Proxies, in the scam initiated by the 10th Cir. Judges and others, to catastrophically injury or kill Movants that would allow the RACKETEERS to file a claim with RACKETEER Allianz insurance, to get this Murdaugh-type scam going forward in the 10th Cir., that was initiated by the Judges.** THIS SCHEME is called **INSURANCE FRAUD/INSURANCE RESERVE FRAUD scams (IF/IRF)**, and Movants refer to them this way or call them Murdaugh-type scams and similar language.

The **Murdaugh scam strongly appears to be the same Insurance Fraud and Insurance RESERVE Fraud (IF/IRF**) scam, with many variations to this same crime evident

in the Murdaugh and Murdaugh 2.0 cases. The exponential increase in criminal actions by the 10th Cir, RACKETEER Lawyer-Legislator Justin Bamber, who was the alleged victims attorney and had nothing to do with Movants steering RACKETEER Judge Heath P. Taylor to fix Movants case, and other incredibly illegal acts by the 10th Cir., tell on themselves that they were indeed involved in IF/IRF and had to get rid of Movants and their case.

A feigned case is void as to its helping the parties in interest. In this matter, the State, Court and Defense all knew Murdaugh was most likely going to lose. They created this show, to provide appealable issue to Murdaugh through his kin/friends of kin to win on appeal with their LMCE friends helping them.

Thus the rulings of the Jury that he is guilty stand, but the Supremes review of same on feigned assistance by Hill, Newman, Toal and so forth, and all the other LMCE courts have no Subject Matter to hear these cases and arguments or make findings. Further, any appointments by the LMCE over receiverships, investigations of who the full list of criminals are, and what they did and where is the money that should only go to the Victims and not the perps posting as victims needs to be address also, and the truth must be told to the victims and the Public about the full criminal syndicate involved in this Murdaugh matter, that Movants Murdaugh 2.0 case tells, with no further dirty play against Movants or their case.

As for ADA Qualifying Disabilities and the creation of them and weaponizing of them to get predetermined outcomes and bury Movant's case, Movants demand ADA Reasonable Accommodations, herein also. All laws and arguments are incorporated by reference from the Appeals record where the ADA has been of major concern to P-Appellants who cannot get due process and the right to a full presentation of their Appeal without reasonable accommodations, and now with the NEW SITAUTION, THE NEW REQUESTS IS EVEN MORE IMPORTANT SINCE THE COURT IGNORED THEIR ADA DISABILITIES AND WEAPONIZED THEM TO CREATE AN EVEN WORSE SET OF DISABILTIES. Plaintiffs cannot work if they cannot see computer screens and now ever print, after a short while of staring at them, and cannot type for long periods of time. Plaintiffs cannot work and organize if they are extremely sleep deprives beyond what the Geneva Convention would even allow, which requires more time to go through and synthesize down about 20,000 pages of documents plus recordings, images, and other evidence to have a chance to put it in a concise Appeal if possible, while suffering from signs and symptoms of extreme sleep deprivation that the medical community compares with being over the drinking limit with just one day of not sleeping, and the additional days give an exponentially cumulative affect thereafter, all previously written about and incorporated by reference.

Plaintiffs cannot allow their cancers to become end stage just because Judges with financial interests want to hide their RICO acts under law, and keep P-Appellants and other awards, by keeping them tied up in litigation on short dates and limited pages, so they cannot tend to other ADA Disability issues or that weaponize the ones they already have.

When a Civil Case is turned into a punishment by the Courts against litigants for even daring to bring it into what is a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise, and the litigants have to choose between escaping with their lives and maybe their physical health (maybe, if they give in early enough), or they have to choose to fight legally in a environment where the laws are not followed by alleged Constitutional State Actors, for what is legally theirs, at the hands of State Actors who further punish them ruthlessly, due to the financial interests, these State Actors unlawfully have in their case, to where they may not physically survive, or are under illegal torture for demanding their rights be protected, and if they make such demand, they most likely will suffer from potential blindness, nerve damages in their limbs, permanent seizures that have taken Dr. Fink's ability to drive away, back and hip disabilities to the point of being wheel chair bound, and in Dr. Fink's case, losing between 5-7 inches of height due to numerous compression fractures lifting these many boxes of evidence in minimum time over and over again, suffer from permanent vertigo, and other such permanent disabilities, and even have to choose whether they are willing to accept permanent kidney damage, and end stage cancer because they cannot stop to get treated or checked by their medical professionals, then something very drastic needs to be done to change the SC Court System to create a Constitutional Government that protects people, especially litigants who are being abused to this point. Because what is on display, is not a Constitutional System but an Oligarchy, and P-Appellants can prove it.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand Movants demand this Supreme Court Recuse and that neutral non-LMCE Judges can hear the case that included determined what is fact and

should stand, and what part is feigned and creating fake appealable issues by those with financial and other Pro-Murdaugh interests fail:

1. Grant the SMJ Demand through a non-LMCE judge which also would exclude the Appeals Court, the 10th Cir., the 14th Cir, the 13th Cir., the 5th Cir., 1st Cir., and all the Laffitte hiding within government who keep showing up in Movants business when they have no right to. Include all other LMCE Courts.

2. Reinvestigate the Murdaugh underlying financial case and up Murdaugh's prison term if he does not start to cooperate and tell the entire story of what happened, who is involved, when the money is and so forth. Appoint new receivers that are not a part of the LMCE and they should be paid through funds of the LMCE and not funds coming out of the Victims pool of funds, and make all others return the money they took from that pool belonging to the Real Victims (and not their lawyers either).

3. Grant leave to file the an Amicus Brief, with all requests for ADA reasonable accommodations, including but not limited to, with 90 Block Days, and 200 pages, once Movants own case is entered for judgment for Movants as the Movants won that case many times over, and the SMJ argument applies to the Trial and Appeals and Supreme court in their case also.

4. The New trier Accept any filings as timely due to ADA and constitutional violations

5. Take notice of the constitutional standards governing impartial adjudication and Recuse

6. The new triers Order any disclosures necessary to evaluate judicial disqualification, including Kin/friends of kin and so forth.

7. The new triers Grant any further relief required to protect the integrity of these proceedings

8. Movants are paid for their time and energy to protect the public from corruption in government and SC begin the push to becoming a Constitutional Republic and completely overseen by the people from here going forward.

2/10/26

Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

2/10/26

Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Appellate Case No. 2024-000576 (cons)
Appellate Case No. 2023-000392 (cons)

The State, Respondent

v.

Richard Alexander Murdaugh, Appellant

NOTICES

COMES NOW Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S, B.A. and Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A., Movants (hereinafter "Kennedy" and "Fink" or "Movants") filing jointly and pro se, and submit these notices to the South Carolina Supreme Court judges and to Patricia A. Howard, Clerk of the South Carolina Supreme Court and states the following:

1. The Subject Matter Jurisdiction challenge needs to be listed #1 on the Court record of filings and was not filed until 2/13/26 when it was received and confirmed received on 2/11/26 with plenty of time to file, but refused to be filed.

2. Fraud on the Court by the Court is the #2 Motion to list AFTER the Subject Matter Jurisdiction challenge is listed on the record.

3 and 4, the ADA Accommodation Request and the Amicus Curie request that was only made in the ALTERNATIVE and KENNEDY AND FINK WITHDRAW THESE MOTIONS AS MOOT SINCE THE COURT IGNORED THE mandatory cease/desist due to lack of SMJ

Ex 2

JURISDICTION AND HEARD THE CASE ANYWAY, WHICH HEARING AND OPINION IS VOID and self-evident of why Movants are Pleading in the Murdaugh case to begin with.

Please withdraw 3 and 4 only. And put #1 and #2 listed on the Record in that order.

Please be aware that this is not proofed due to Disabilities perpetrated against us by your judges and that it continues to be weaponized.

To Clerk of Courts and Court: The purpose of this notice/letter is to avoid further fraud on the court by the court. Please list motions as written, and do not select the least harmful to the court as the motion listed on the record, even though the one listed was only in the alternative. The listing should be for Subject Matter Jurisdiction Challenge that was ignored which is Unconstitutional, and Fraud on the Court by the Court. Those should be listed in that order.

On 2/11/26, in the early hours of the morning, Dr. Linda Kennedy and Dr. Marsha Fink (Movants) filed a Subject Matter Jurisdiction challenge/Fraud on the Court Motions in the Murdaugh case that had to be filed upon receipt.

It was confirmed by phone call, that the Motions were received and being "reviewed" well before the Murdaugh case was to begin. Movants plainly and repeatedly said that the Motions must be filed first. . .then read, as the Court cannot decide if they want to hear it or stonewall it.

Of course the Court chose the latter, to ignored the grand-daddy of all Motions. . . and the Motion did not get filed on 2/11 or 2/12/26, allowing the court to fraudulent go forward knowing there was a Subject Matter Justicidtion challenge and Fraud on the Court by the Court Motion, with the SMJ absolutely required to stop the proceedings and if

Ex 2.1

nothing else, have a hearing with Judges not a part of the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise hear it, if there are any.

This act was not only Unconstitutional, but it was an attempt to hide these matters from the Public, which is more fraud on the court by the court, without Subject Matter Jurisdiction as described over the adjudication, as the entire legal system is on trial in this matter, with much proof beyond that short summation given, as the Court knows.

Further, since the Court and its inferior Murdaugh Courts and appointments, were the issue of the SMJ Challenge with the Supreme Court creating a feigned legal process for TV viewers while obstructing a real investigation and receivership as well as creating fake appealable issues by Becky Hill, a kin of Murdaugh, Justice Toal who made sure to not rule by law on the matter, and Judge Newman giving a speech that was clearly appealable at the end of the Murdaugh trail. . .when all of these State Actors knew better than to obstruct in such a ridiculous way that any law school student could see was ludicrous and feigned.

Where the Supreme Court allows for family members to take part in the process like the Laffitte, who also infiltrated in Movants case, and where Aristocrats Kin and friends of Kin, were helping fellow Aristocrats Murdaugh get out of his sentences and convictions through this feigning of legal process, which is not a part of any adversarial process, the the Court has divested itself of SMJ in the adjudication and created many frauds on the Court by the Court that must be heard independently and investigations to begin again by independent specialists with none being picked by the Courts, or a part of the LMCE.

Since the filing did not occur when it was Constitutionally required to be filed, before the Murdaugh case began, and was even reviewed by the Judges who didn't want it

Ex 2.3

filed. . .which is totally Unconstitutional, Movants are withdrawing the Amicus Curiae and ADA Reasonable Accommodations that went with the Amicus Curiae Motions.

Further, part of the reason for withdrawing these two latter Motions is Movants noticed that even though the Amicus Curiae was listed in the alternative as the #4 option, and the ADA Requests went with the Amicus Curiae as the #3 option that went with the Amicus Curiae if granted, the Clerks' office has still only listed the motions filed as an "Amicus Curiae Motion," which is improper and another way your courts have been extremely deceptive in this process of claiming what is being ruled upon and what the target said and didn't say, by miscataloging, misstating, or ignoring and not mentioning the motions, leaving out the portions that actually will hurt the Courts who are purposely creating predetermined outcomes and can't allow for such opposition to be noted or ruled upon fairly and properly without such trickery and deceit.

A Judge(s) ignoring their duty to the Subject Matter Jurisdiction Challenge and Fraud on the Court by the Court motions, that strongly compliment each other, is Unconstitutional and is unlawfully affecting movants own Murdaugh-Style Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud case by this feigned and isolated Murdaugh hearing to keep the Courts secrets a secret from the Public, which violates also Movants right to file based on Public Concern. . .when the open Court is actually closed and just feigned open by a illusory statement at the beginning of the hearing along with threats to whomever were being made to protect these government secrets.

Therefore, Movants again are forced to withdraw #3/#4 filings on ADA/Amicus Curie because the Court's continued Unconstitutional Fraud on the Court by the Court, and did

Ex 2, 3

not allow the timely filing of the entire motion that included as #1: A SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CHALLENGE, AND #2 Fraud on the Court by the Court.

Movants demand that the filings in the record be properly labeled as a Subject Matter Jurisdiction challenge Motion And Fraud on the Court by the Court Motion with the #1 issue: Subject Matter Jurisdiction Challenge being list first as it is #1 on the list for a reason and could not be ignored as it has been, and the #2 Issue being Fraud on the Court by the Court as that is the #2 issue listed.

Furthermore, federal questions have been raised and because of the judges' actions in this matter, recusal of said judges is mandatory. See Attached exhibits 1 and 2.

Encl.

2/17/26

Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S, B.A.

Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A.

Ex 2, 4

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Appellate Case No. 2024-000576 (cons)
Appellate Case No. 2023-000392 (cons)

RECEIVED
Feb 11 2026
S.C. SUPREME COURT

The State, Respondent

v.

Richard Alexander Murdaugh, Appellant

1. **MOTION TO CHALLENGE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION**
2. **MOTION RAISING CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL BIAS, FRAUD ON THE COURT, AND DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS, THAT CANNOT BE HEARD OR RULED UPON BY THE SUPREME COURT IN ISSUE, INCLUDING THE MURDAUGH/LAFFITTE/ FLEMING SUPREME COURT**
3. ~~**A MOTION TO PROVIDE ADA DISABILITY REASONABLE ACCOMMOSTIONS SINCE THE CRIMINAL IS IN PRISON FOR A LONG TIME, NO MATTER WHAT IS DECIDED, AND THE COURTS MUST COMPLY WITH ADA QUALIFYING DISABILITIES RIGHTS TO THESE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS WHERE THE DEFENSENT IS STILL IN PRISON.** See, Title II of the ADA; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794; The Due Process Clause, U.S. Const. amend. XIV; The right of meaningful access to courts, *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977). This is another chance for the Supremes/Appeals Court to actually follow the law on the ADA.~~ WithDrawn for Court Malfeasance
4. ~~**In the alternative only, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OUT OF TIME**~~ WITHDRAWN for Court Malfeasance

Please note Movants cannot proof read due to ADA Disabilities cause by the physical brutality of the Courts to weaken the target and then weaponize it against Movants to create predetermined outcomes and bury Movants Murdaugh 2.0 case through the corruption of the 10th Circuit.

COMES NOW, Movants, DR. LINDA KENENDY AND DR. MARSHA FINK, appearing pro se and Contest Subject Matter Jurisdiction of the Court; Raise Constitutional Structural Bias, Fraud On The Court, And Denial Of Access To Courts, That Cannot Be Heard Or Ruled

Ex 2, 5

Exh. 1

South Carolina Appellate Case Management System

C-Track, the browser based CMS for Appellate Courts

CLERK'S OFFICE
SUPREME COURT
COURT OF APPEALS

Appellate Case No. ...

Cases
- Case Search
- Participant Search

Disclaimer: The information and documents available here should not be relied upon as an official record of action. Only filed documents can be viewed. Some documents received in a case may not be available for viewing. Some documents originating from a lower court, including records and appendices, may not be available for viewing.

Case Information: 2024-000576

Court:	Supreme Court	**Classification:**	Appeal - General Sessions - Other
Short Title:	The State v. Richard Alexander Murdaugh (2)	**Case Status:**	Decision Pending
	View Full Title		
Consolidated:	2023-000392*, 2024-000576		
Filed Date:	04/11/2024	**Oral Argument Date:**	02/11/2026 09:30 AM
Disposition Date:		**Disposition Type:**	
Remittitur Date:			
Lower Court or Tribunal:	Colleton (2022GS1500592, 2022GS1500593, 2022GS1500594, 2022GS1500595)		

- Party Information

Appellate Role	Party Name	Former	Attorney(s)
Appellant	Richard Alexander Murdaugh	N	Richard A. Harpootlian Phillip Donald Barber James Mixon Griffin Margaret Nicole Fox Andrew R. Hand
Respondent	The State	N	Alan McCrory Wilson Samuel Creighton Waters Melody Jane Brown Donald J. Zelenka Mark Reynolds Farthing Joshua Abraham Edwards

Views

Display: Descending Go!

Event Information

Filed Date	Event Information	Doc
02/11/2026	Oral Argument - Oral Argument Held	
02/11/2026	Motion - Appear as Amicus Curiae (Kennedy and Fink)	
02/11/2026	Oral Argument - Oral Argument Held	
02/03/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Updated Time Limits Letter)	
01/30/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (CREIGHTON WATERS arguing for Respondent)	
01/22/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (HARPOOTLIAN, GRIFFIN, BARBER arguing for Appellant)	
01/21/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Response to Media Requests)	
01/21/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Time Limits Letter)	
01/20/2026	Record - Supplemental	
01/20/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Sinclair Television Media Request)	
01/16/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (NBC media request for oral argument)	
01/15/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Tucker Media Coverage Request)	
01/14/2026	Correspondence - Incoming from Mr. Harpootlian's office	
01/13/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Court TV Questions Regarding Media Coverage)	
01/12/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Order Only)	
01/09/2026	Motion - Supplement Record	
01/09/2026	Correspondence - Incoming media request from CC News Network	
12/29/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Court TV Questions Regarding Media Coverage)	
12/29/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (NBC Questions Regarding News Coverage)	

Exh. 2 Ex 2, 6

EXHIBIT 3

South Carolina Appellate Case Management System

C-Track, the browser based CMS for Appellate Courts

CLERK'S OFFICE
SUPREME COURT
COURT OF APPEALS

Appellate Case No. ...

Cases

- Case Search
- Participant Search

Disclaimer: The information and documents available here should not be relied upon as an official record of action. Only filed documents can be viewed. Some documents received in a case may not be available for viewing. Some documents originating from a lower court, including records and appendices, may not be available for viewing.

Case Information: 2024-000576

Court:	Supreme Court	**Classification:**	Appeal - General Sessions - Other
Short Title:	The State v. Richard Alexander Murdaugh (2)	**Case Status:**	Decision Pending
	View Full Title		
Consolidated:	2023-000392*, 2024-000576		
Filed Date:	04/11/2024	**Oral Argument Date:**	02/11/2026 09:30 AM
Disposition Date:		**Disposition Type:**	
Remittitur Date:			
Lower Court or Tribunal:	Colleton (2022GS1500592, 2022GS1500593, 2022GS1500594, 2022GS1500595)		

- Party Information

Appellate Role	Party Name	Former	Attorney(s)
Appellant	Richard Alexander Murdaugh	N	Richard A. Harpootlian Phillip Donald Barber James Mixon Griffin Margaret Nicole Fox Andrew R. Hand
Respondent	The State	N	Alan McCrory Wilson Samuel Creighton Waters Melody Jane Brown Donald J. Zelenka Mark Reynolds Farthing Joshua Abraham Edwards

Views

Display: Descending Go!

Event Information

Filed Date	Event Information	Doc
02/24/2026	Motion - No Return	
02/18/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Other)	
02/11/2026	Oral Argument - Oral Argument Held	
02/11/2026	Motion - Appear as Amicus Curiae (Kennedy and Fink)	
02/11/2026	Oral Argument - Oral Argument Held	
02/03/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Updated Time Limits Letter)	
01/30/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (CREIGHTON WATERS arguing for Respondent)	
01/22/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (HARPOOTLIAN, GRIFFIN, BARBER arguing for Appellant)	
01/21/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Response to Media Requests)	
01/21/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Time Limits Letter)	
01/20/2026	Record - Supplemental	
01/20/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Sinclair Television Media Request)	
01/16/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (NBC media request for oral argument)	
01/15/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Tucker Media Coverage Request)	
01/14/2026	Correspondence - Incoming from Mr. Harpootlian's office	
01/13/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Court TV Questions Regarding Media Coverage)	
01/12/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Order Only)	
01/09/2026	Motion - Supplement Record	
01/09/2026	Correspondence - Incoming media request from CC News Network	

Ex 3

12/29/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Court TV Questions Regarding Media Coverage)
12/29/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (NBC Questions Regarding News Coverage)
12/23/2025	Correspondence - email from/to Ms. McKelvey concerning seating
12/23/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Request for Electronic and Photographic Coverage by Fits News)
11/13/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order
11/06/2025	Final Brief - Reply (6 copies)
11/06/2025	Final Brief - Appellant (6 copies)
11/06/2025	Record - Record on Appeal Filed (6 copies)
11/06/2025	Motion - File Record on Appeal Solely in Electronic Format or Reduced Number of Copies
11/05/2025	Final Brief - Respondent (10 copies)
10/17/2025	Record - Proof of Service of Record on Appeal
10/01/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order
10/01/2025	Motion - To File Amended Reply
10/01/2025	Initial Brief - Reply
09/10/2025	Initial Brief - Initial Briefing Complete
09/09/2025	Designation of Matter - Designation of Matter Filed
08/13/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Joshua Edwards and Mark Farthing also counsel for State)
08/13/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Extension Granted (2nd)
08/12/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order
08/11/2025	Motion - Extension of Time (2nd)(20 days)(Exceed Page Limits)
08/08/2025	Motion - Exceed Page Limit
08/08/2025	Initial Brief - Respondent
06/18/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Letter from AG explaining Transcript matter)
04/10/2025	Correspondence - Outgoing (Order Only)
04/10/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Extension Granted (1st)
04/04/2025	Motion - Return
04/03/2025	Motion - Extension of Time (2nd)(120 days requested)
03/31/2025	Transcript Documents - Transcript Ordered by Respondent
01/14/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Extension Granted (1st)(90 days given)
01/09/2025	Motion - Extension of Time (1st)(90 days requested)
12/16/2024	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order Granting Motion to Exceed Page Limits
12/12/2024	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order
12/10/2024	Motion - Exceed Page Limit (Consent Motion)
12/10/2024	Designation of Matter - Designation of Matter Filed
12/10/2024	Initial Brief - Appellant
11/15/2024	Correspondence - Incoming (Petition for Rehearing)
10/31/2024	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order on Motion to Intervene and Unseal Record
09/19/2024	Correspondence - Outgoing (Letter regarding consolidation)
09/16/2024	Motion - Return (State)
09/16/2024	Motion - Return (Murdaugh)
09/13/2024	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order
09/11/2024	Motion - Extension of Time (1st)
08/13/2024	Correspondence - Incoming (Another copy of AIB per Court Order of 8/13)
08/13/2024	Transfer - To Supreme Court
08/13/2024	Correspondence - Incoming (Copy of Supreme Court Order Granting Motion to Certify)
08/12/2024	Designation of Matter - Designation of Matter Filed
08/12/2024	Initial Brief - Appellant
08/01/2024	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order Denying Motion to Hold in Abeyance
07/30/2024	Motion - Hold in Abeyance

Ex 3.1

07/29/2024	Correspondence - Outgoing (Response to Counsel)
07/26/2024	Correspondence - Incoming (Letter Requesting to Hold in Abeyance)
07/23/2024	Correspondence - Outgoing (Email Forwarding Filing to Supreme Court)
07/22/2024	Correspondence - Incoming (Copy of Return to Motion to Certify)
07/10/2024	Correspondence - Incoming (Copy of Motion to Certify)
06/26/2024	Transcript Documents - Transcript Delivered - Direct Appeal
06/25/2024	Transcript Documents - Notification that Transcript has not been Received
06/24/2024	Correspondence - Outgoing (Transcript Overdue Letter)
04/23/2024	Transcript Documents - Transcript Ordered by Appellant
04/12/2024	Correspondence - Outgoing (Notice of Appeal Initial Letter)
04/11/2024	Notice of Appeal (Criminal) - Initial

Ex 3.2

EXHIBIT 4

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Richard Alexander Murdaugh, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000392

ORDER

Dr. Linda Kennedy and Dr. Marsha Fink's motion filed on February 11, 2026 is denied.

John W. Kittredge C.J.
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina
March 11, 2026

cc:
Richard A. Harpootlian
Phillip Donald Barber
James Mixon Griffin
Margaret Nicole Fox
Alan McCrory Wilson
Samuel Creighton Waters
Melody Jane Brown
Donald J. Zelenka
Andrew R. Hand

Ex 4

Mark Reynolds Farthing
Joshua Abraham Edwards
Dr. Linda Kennedy
Dr. Marsha Fink

Ex 4.1

EXHIBIT 5

10:36

71%

2024-00057...

ctrack.sccourts.org

South Carolina Appellate Case Management System

Appellate Case No: ...

Cases

Case Search

Advanced Search

Disclaimer: The information and documents available here should not be relied upon as an official record of action.
Only filed documents can be viewed. Some documents received in a case may not be available for viewing.
Some documents originating from a lower court, including records and appendices, may not be available for viewing.

Case Information: 2024-000576

Court:	Supreme Court	Classification:	Appeal - General Sessions - Other
Short Title:	The State v. Richard Alexander Murdaugh (2)	Case Status:	Docketed Pending
	View Full Title		
Consolidated:	2023-000792, 2024-000576		
Filed Date:	02/1/2024	Oral Argument Date:	02/11/2026 09:30 AM
Disposition Date:		Disposition Type:	
Remittitur Date:			
Lower Court or Tribunal:	Colleton (2022CP1500792, 2022CP1500793, 2022CP1500794, 2022CP1500795)		

Party Information

Appellate Role	Party Name	Excuse	Attorney(s)
Appellant	Richard Alexander Murdaugh	N	Richard A. Harpootlian Phillip Donald Barber James Mixon Griffin Mattiane Nicole Fox Andrew R. Hand
Respondent	The State	N	Alan McCrory Wilson Samuel Creighton Waters Melody Jane Brown Donald J. Zelenka Mark Reynolds Farthing Joshua Abraham Edwards

Events

Display: Docketing Only Go


Event Information

Filed Date	Event Information	Doc
02/11/2026	Oral Argument - Oral Argument Held	
02/03/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Updated Time Limits Letter)	
01/30/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (CHEEK, DOB, MCKEE arguing for Respondent)	
01/22/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (HARPOOTLIAN, GRIFFIN, BARBER arguing for Appellant)	
01/21/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Instructions re: Media Requests)	
01/21/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Time Limits Letter)	
01/20/2026	Record - Supplemental	
01/20/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Sinclair Television Media Request)	
01/16/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (NBC media request for oral argument)	
01/15/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Tucker Media Coverage Request)	
01/14/2026	Correspondence - Incoming from Mr. Harpootlian's office	
01/13/2026	Correspondence - Incoming (Court TV Questions Regarding Media Coverage)	
01/12/2026	Correspondence - Outgoing (Order Only)	
01/09/2026	Motion - Supplement Record	
01/09/2026	Correspondence - Incoming, media request from CC News Network	
12/29/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Court TV Questions Regarding Media Coverage)	
12/26/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (NBC Questions Regarding News Coverage)	
12/23/2025	Correspondence - email from/to Ms. McKelvey concerning posting	
12/22/2025	Correspondence - Incoming, Request for Electronic and Photographic Coverage by Tim Reuter	
11/13/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order	
11/06/2025	Final Brief - Reply (6 copies)	
11/06/2025	Final Brief - Appellant (6 copies)	
11/06/2025	Supp'd - Record on Appeal Filed (6 copies)	
11/06/2025	Motion - File Record on Appeal Solely in Electronic Format or Reduced Number of Copies	
11/03/2025	Final Brief - Respondent (10 copies)	
10/17/2025	Record - Proof of Service of Record on Appeal	
10/01/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order	
10/01/2025	Motion - To File Amended Reply	
10/01/2025	Final Brief - Reply	
09/10/2025	Initial Brief - Initial Briefing Complete	
09/09/2025	Designation of Matter - Designation of Matter Filed	
08/13/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Joshua Edwards and Mark Farthing also counsel for State)	
08/13/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Extension Granted (Ord)	
08/12/2025	Non-Dispositional Decision - Order	
08/11/2025	Motion - Extension of Time (Until All Briefs) (Denied Page Limits)	
08/06/2025	Motion - Exceed Page Limit	
08/06/2025	Initial Brief - Respondent	
06/18/2025	Correspondence - Incoming (Letter from AG explaining Transcript matter)	

Ex 5


11:56

Effect



Original Auto 01 02

Composition



Original Recommended

Details

Screenshot_20260212-103613.png

Date : February 12, 2026 10:36 AM

Resolution : 720x1520

Size : 244 kB

Path : /storage/emulated/0/Pictures/Screenshots/




Ex 5.1

5:26

99%

2024-00057...

ctrack.sccourts.org



EX 5.2


12:16

Effect



Original Auto 01 02

Composition



Original Recommended

Details

Screenshot_20260213-172607.png

Date : February 13, 2026 5:26 PM

Resolution : 720x1520

Size : 218 kB

Path : /storage/emulated/0/Pictures/Screenshots/



Ex 5.3

EXHIBIT 6

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

Linda Kennedy and Marsha Fink

Appellants

v.

Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, LLC, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Resort and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Campers and Cabins, a/k/a Lake Hartwell Management, a/k/a Chris Vellanti, a/k/a Christopher Vellanti; Christopher Vellanti, as a Member and Personally; Yvonne Goldman, as a General Manager and Personally; Frank Pellegrini; Fritzie Maroto; Jennifer Burdette; Marsha Stamm; Allen Riha; Ray Grenier; Grant Ferrendelli; and Charles Carpenter

Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000859

Objections to the improper style of the case that Defense and the Trail Court colluding Judges want this Court to use that is not even accurate based on laws they have not challenged.

All the motions are incorporated by reference, as is the ADA Advocate letters instructing the Courts.

Orders and a letter of clarification of the vague orders is attached as exhibits.

MOTION: P-APPELLANTS BRING FORTH A MOTION DEMANDING THE COURT ACTUALLY RULE ON THE ADA REASONABLE ACOMMODATION REPEATEDLY SUBMITTED LONG AGO THAT THEY REFUSE TO RULE UPON AND GRANT BY LAW FOR 90 DAYS/200 PAGES THAT HAS BEEN REPEATED REGULARLY AND THAT THE COURT STOP REFUSING TO RULE, NOT MAKE UP WHAT P-APPELLANTS ARE SAYING THEY KNOW IS NOT TRUE AND WRITE THE CLEAR ORDER AND THAT IT IS REFERRING TO ADA

Ex b.1

REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST OF 90/200, WHAT IT IS REFERRING TO, TO INCLUDE THE ADA/REASONABLE REQUESTED.

OBJECTIONS: P-APPELLANTS OBJECTS TO ALL ORDERS AND EVERYTHING THEY CLAIM AND ORDER AND THOSE THIGNS THEY DO NOT ORDER THROUGH FRAUD, AND OTHERWISE, AND TO THE CONTINUING AND MASSIVE FRAUD ON THE COURT BY ALL JUDGES INVOLVED TO PREDETERMINE THIS CASE FOR THE LMCE WITH EXAMPLES OF THE OBJECTIONS OUTLINED AS REPRESENTATIVE OF MANY MORE.

Plaintiffs remind the court that it cannot proof documents, and they are having a very difficult time seeing and typing and Dr. Fink has hip and back damage additionally all due to Court Elder Abuse and Exploitation and Disabling intentionally both P-Appellants so they are weaken and to punish them for not allowing themselves to have their award stolen, and by making the case extremely expensive to bring forth by the Court and Defense continuing to ring up the charges against them by refusing to rule and making up laws and rules on false narratives and so forth in this matter. The sleep deprivation is very difficult to fight through and Fink is experiencing Seizure symptoms that the Courts know all of this will happen by their Unconstitutional behavior and their continued Fraud on the Court by the Court to get their predetermined outcome for their LMCE.

P-APPELLANTS OBJECT TO ALL TOPICS THAT HAVE FORCED P-APPELLANTS TO AGAIN SPEND MONEY, TAKEN TIME AWAY FROM THEIR TRUE TASK AT HAND TO DEAL WITH MORE FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT SHOWING EXTREME BIAS AND FURTHERING THE CRIMES OF THE LOWER COURT RACKETEERS AND THE SUPREME COURT. ALL IS OBJECTED TO WHETHER MENTIONED AS AN OBJECTION OR NOT, AS THE WORD OBJECTION COULD BE USED ON ALL ISSUES RAISED.

COMES NOW Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.S., and Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A., (hereinafter, "Dr. Kennedy and/or Dr. Fink", P-Appellants", "we", "our", "us", and so forth), initially filing pro se in the underlying matter on March 17, 2022, then hiring an attorney on April 12, 2022, after P-Appellants filed their own Discovery Demands with the Court on that same date before hiring the lawyer, and then firing that same lawyer for RACKETEERING which was recorded on digital media and in writing, with other RACKETEER State Actors, in December 2023, and the firing entered and Unconstitutionally approved on January 11, 2024, when no approval is necessary through Constitutional rights of P-Appellants.

Ex b. 2

The P-Appellants come before this Appeals Court, after predetermined outcomes were entered in the extremely Unconstitutional underlying court case, where the Judges presided over their own guilt and liability from their underlying participation in the facts leading up to P-Appellants later filing of a suit in the 10th Cir., without knowledge of their involvement in the underlying facts, which truths are supported by some Defendant Appellees (hereinafter, "D-Appellees") and witnesses very own admissions and recorded statements and other valuable evidence not yet released to the pubic, because it is not yet time to do so. The issues are Fraud on the Court by the Court, including, but not limited to the final inappropriate and Unconstitutional Evidentiary Hearing the RACKETEERING Judges used to get rid of P-Appellants and their troubling facts and laws in their case, through predetermined outcome strategies no matter how Unconstitutional they acted, counting on the cover of this Appeals Court to never let the truth be heard, including ex parte meeting and hearings of the RACKETEERS, setting up narratives that had nothing to do with the case, to hi-jack the case and try to make the hearings about something they made up, using even Allianz as a participant, making P-Appellants defend their case, while the lawyer could pick and choose what he would argue, to try to save himself and throw the case to Defendant, collusion of all the attorneys including P-Appellants attorney to further assure the fixing of the case, the involvement of a Lawyer-legislator, Justin Bamberg, to steer a Judge Heath P. Taylor, not of the 10th Cir., onto our case to continue to try to fix it, when Bamberg's interest was regarding Murdaugh, predetermined outcomes proven by thwith Fraud on the Court by the Court, and much more. All of this demands an investigation and De Novo Review, and this Court also being part of the Legal Machine

Exh. 3

Criminal Enterprise in SC, (LMCE) is part of covering up the crimes of the Lower Court, for shared interest in preserving the LMCE, through show trials and show appeals in P-Appellants case and the Murdaugh case.

P-Appellants demand that this Court actually RULE on the ADA REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS IN THE ORDER WITHOUT VAUGE RESPONSES OR DECEIT, that P-Appellants ADA Reasonable Accommodations that comply with the ADA Statute, the C.F.R., THE SUPREME COURT MANDATE ON THEIR OWN CITE, AND THE CONSTITUTION IN AN ACTUAL ORDER, which has never been done nor clarifications made when demanded. These reasonable accommodations are similar to what the Courts freely gave Murdaugh's case, even when they ignored it and did something more, and without having disability issues at all.

To be clear, P-APPELLANT'S DEMAND FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS ARE: **90 day BLOCK OF TIME, and 200 pages,** that may need revisiting as the dates get closer to assess the individual needs of P-Appellants to give a meaningful full presentation of the Appeal, with due process. First and foremost for the Courts MUST comply with the ADA to grant Reasonable Accommodations which P-Appellants have supplied early in this Appeal but have been stonewalled by deceit and misdirection of the Appeals Court, not acting neutrally. This is not an abuse of discretion but a Fraud on the Court by the Court to try to tuck the Courts true intent not to rule and not to give P-Appellants meaningful due process, time/pages necessary to receive due process, and try to create a scenario where the Court doesn't hear Fraud on the Court by the Court, by limiting time/pages, which review is mandatory and brings forth, a De Novo review that opens the door to all the FRAUD ON THE

Ex 64

COURT IN THE LOWER, APPEAL AND SUPREME COURT ACTS OF DECEDPTION, AND ALSO BRINGS TO LIGHT THE MURDAUGH SHOW TRIAL AND SHOW APPEAL, as P-APPELLANTS CASE IS A MURDAUGH-STYLE INSURANCE FRAUD/INSURANCE RESERVE FRAUD CASE THAT MIRRORS THE MURDAUGH CASE PLAYED OUT IN THE 10TH CIR. THAT TRULY SHOWS THE EXTENT OF CRIMINALTIY IN THE SC LMCE, AND THE EXTENT OF ITS PARTICIPANTS COVERED BY THE COURTS DECEPTIONS AND STONEWALLING TO HIDE IT.

The Court must stop the Disability Discrimination and the Elder Abuse and Exploitation it is instituted against P-Appellants to strategically and deceptively refuse providing P-Appellants Constitutional full Appeal presentation as a part of meaningful due process in this matter.

This entire situation would have been avoided had the Courts and its LMCE settled this case as should have been done based on the true facts and law, with so much support by eye-witnesses/D-Appellees, admitting in writing and on recordings, that they were the proxies used by the Court/Judges, Allianz, State Actors and others that were tasked to create catastrophic injuries or death, upon P-Appellants for IF/IRF purposes initiated by these Judges presiding over P-Appellants case in the 10th Cir., in this Murdaugh-style scheme the Public is yet to learn. P-Appellants case is referred to as Murdaugh 2.0 and is the reason Bamberg, the lawyer of many victims of Murdaugh, needed to get P-Appellants case dismissed by many means when he should have no interest in P-Appellants case, if not for what this case will reveal about Bamberg and his much larger contingent of LMCE members/networks, all involved in these Murdaugh schemes of the LMCE.

Ex b.5

P-Appellants didn't know any of this when they eventually filed suit in the 10th Cir., but found out that the Trial Court Judges were actually presiding over their own guilt/liability, and needed this case buried quickly and completely, as testified to by some of their proxies, also even bringing in the State of Virginia Bar to assist. These are not P-Appellants words, but those of some D-Appellants in written admissions and on recorded interviews, before the Court shut all avenues to Discovery down for 3 plus years, and the Supremes assisted by refusing to allow Subpoenas, Subpoena Duces Tecums, or witnesses in the illegal Sua Sponte alleged evidentiary hearing which could not happen at that time, and could not be used to end a case. This Unconstitutional set of acts, that continued throughout the fixing of P-Appellants case to save the LMCE from being exposed as the Enterprise SC uses to steal P-Appellants and other people's awards, inheritances and other treasures for profit in the SC alleged legal system, criminal exploitation under color of law, and for other Unconstitutional Reasons See

P-Appellants are presenting per U.S Const. amend. I, and XIV and § 1; S.C. Const. Art. I, § 3; U.S. Const. amend. X ; Canon 3(B)(8); SCARC 221(c); ADA Title II: 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12165 (2024), 28 C.F.R. § 35.130, § 25.149, § 25.160, § 35.164, SC Administrative Order on ADA Accommodations, and Access to Justice for Individuals with Disabilities (May 3, 2012), ADA Accessibility Information, Administrative Directive (2011), S.C. Court Administration "Policy on Nondiscrimination and Reasonable Accommodations in Courts," S.C. Ct. Admin. (2013), The ADA Advocate letters to the Courts with several medical documented reports and pictures with more upon request; Elder law/Abuse/Exploitation, The Elder Justice Act (EJA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 1397j-1397m05 (2024), Elder Abuse Prevention &

Prosecution Act, 34 U.S.C. §§ 21701-21711 (2024); SC Omnibus Adult Protection Act, S.C. Code Ann. §§ 43-35-5 to 95 (2024), Financial Exploitatin of Vulnerable Adults, S.C. Code Ann. 16-3-1050 (2024); S.C. App. Ct. R 501 Canon 1 Integrity & Independence of Judiciary, Canon 2 Avoid Impropriety and Appearance of Impropriety, Canon 3 (E)(1) Impartial performance of Duties and Mandatory Recusal ; S.C. Supreme Court Administrative Order (2014).

THE COURT MUST FINALLY GIVE A CLEAR RULING ON THE SPECIFIC ADA REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS DEMANDED (90/200), WHICH THROUGH TRICKERY AND DECEIT IT HAS NEVER DONE AS IT TRIES TO DISMISS THE CASE FOR A PREDETERMINED OUTCOME USING LIES AND KNOWN FALSEHOODS INSTEAD, TO GIFT THE LMCE ITS PREDETERMINED OUTCOME.

This means the court must actually rule specifically in writing regarding the ada reasonable accommodation demand, and provide open discussions at a later date, as to the individual needs of p-appellants and grant those needs specified by mandate, not by discretion.

The court must state in writing it is ruling on ada reasonable accommodations that p-appellants are actually spelling out to the court, (see record, and see Pg 1-16 for Unconstitutional Orders and a letter with P-Appellants demanding clarity of the Orders that are refusing to rule on the Motions attached and ringing up the charges, Pg 1-16, Pg 12 for clarification of vague Order. The Court has never addressed the ADA Reasonable Accommodations demand, and has used deception to not address, refusing t or rule

Ex B.7

because it knows that page/time will allow p-appellants a better chance of receiving a full opportunity to present a full appeal via due process (with the additional demands to expand if needed), even after clarification requests were demanded and the court continues to refuse to rule.

THIS ORDER **MUST SAY THIS IS THE COURTS RULING ON THE ADA REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS** AND MUST GRANT THEM BY LAW AND OTHER EVIDENCE PROVIDED. AND IT MUST JUSTIFY ITS RULING IF NOT GRANTED, ON ALL THE LAWS, EVIDENCE, WRITTEN LETTERS FROM THE ADA ADVOCATE EDUCATING THE COURT, THE SUPREME COURT MANDATE ON ITS OWN SITE THAT TELLS THE COURTS THEY MUST COMPLY WITH ADA LAW AND MANDATING COURT COMPLIANCE, AND SO FORTH, WHERE DENIAL IS REFUSING TO LIBERALLY CONSTRUE THE ADA LAWS IN FAVOR OF THE P-APPELLANTS, AND DO NOT ALLOW FOR DISCRETION IN THIS MATTER, THUS REFUSING TO FOLLOW THESE LAWS AND MANDATES DO NOT CONSTITUTE AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION BUT MORE RACKETEERING AND FRAUD ON THE COURT BY THE COURT TO SAVE THE LMCE AND ITS PROFIT BASE, WHICH IS A CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE.

MOTION AND OBJECTION: P-Appellants are ADA Qualifying litigants (See Motions that thoroughly and repeatedly explain the entire ADA law as applied to the Courts under Title II and its arguments.

The Motions P-Appellants made regarding the ADA 90 days time/200 page extension are clear from the Motions, but the Orders in response are purposely vague, even when clarity is DEMANDED See Ex. Pg 12, because the Courts do not want to rule on the ADA

EX 6.8

Reasonable Accommodations, where they know they MUST grant P-APPELLANTS DEMAND FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS THAT ADDRESS THEIR INDIVIDUAL NEEDS, by law and by Supreme Court Mandate on their own website and by ADA Advocate instructing the Court as a part of her job.

Further, the Court MUST grant what is actually being demanded, and not use P-Appellants illustration of a standing order that P-Appellants used to show the absurdity of the Order received by the Court that used vague language to refuse to rule on the ADA issues, ignoring the ADA/Reasonable Accommodations Demand where the Court instead is using that illustration anyway to try to say that P-Appellants are not being reasonable, when that is not the demand that P-Appellants demanded, but to illustrate the Courts own unreasonableness in their vague nonsensical ruling in response to the P-Appellants actual ADA demands (90/200), which partial quote by the Court, is P-Appellants illustration of the absurdity of the vague Court Order. This purposeful misuse of the actual statements of P-Appellants ADA Reasonable Accommodation of 90/200, is done in bad faith as more Fraud on the Court by the Court to avoid ruling on the ADA/Reasonable Accommodations Demand that must be granted. This is more clear evidence that this process is rigged from the top down, and the LMCE is protecting itself and its own profits, not litigants.

TO BE VERY CLEAR TO THE COURT AGAIN, the real accommodations Demanded are for blocks of time (90 Days) and blocks of pages (200), with the understanding that these may have to be revisited later, but are a good start to assist P-Appellants budget time with work they can do even with the Disabiilties that the Court caused and exacerbated to weaken and punish two elderly cancer survivors, through physical punishment and

Ex 6.9

deprivation of sleep that amounts to torture and the Disabilities listed, See well documented record. P-Appellants are demanding these blocks to help them overcome these individual needs, due to ADA Disability, and this may be enough to complete the task.

To be clear, the tasks can be done, and done well, but the accommodations are necessary because of the disabilities the lower court purposely and intentionally created by its abuses of two Elderly women as Exploitation and Abuse, with malice causing P-Appellants Disabilities, stated elsewhere (See well developed record), can be overcome.

The LMCE Courts cannot again force a predetermined outcome in yet another circumstance, which this Appeals Court is attempting through ignoring the law that states they must grant the Reasonable Accommodations Demanded, and they cannot refuse to rule on the ADA/Reasonable Accommodations specifically, or make up lies about what is the Reasonable Accommodation requested, or intentionally hide they are not ruling on the ADA/Reasonable Accommodations at all, or use the wrong rules to enforce illegal opinions even if it was the right rule, where the exception would then apply however, rules do not trump the Constitution or Statutes like the ADA and Elder Abuse/Exploitation either.

The Court cannot purposely use the wrong Rule, in this case, the Court cites Rule 221(c), that does not even apply to the Appeals Court or P-Appellants. But, even then, the Rule gives and exception and when P-Appellants are facing dismissal, then the case can be Reconsidered anyway.

Exh. 10

Reconsideration Claims by the Court: **The Court uses the strategy they often use to avoid following the law, and that is the misuse of Reconsideration Claims by the Court and the Court just making up laws and rules as they go along regardless of the real law and rule:** (See Jason Boyle case that permits Judges to unlawfully imprison bystanders without a jury trial first, and without a case number, without a lawyer, and using Double jeopardy in order to hide the LMCE theft of an inheritance with the same RACKETEERS Judge Mcintosh, Maddox and Sprouse orchestrating the extreme illegality of protecting Court theft in the LMCE): The Court claimed, the non-law, non-rule "Extraodinary Circumstances" standard in the September 30, 2025 two sentence Order, and when that was challenged it went to the SCACR **Rule 221(c),** that "the appellate court will not entertain a petition for "rehearing on a motion or petition" **unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding** the party's appeal" when this is not even a rule to be used in Appeals Court cases, and even still supports P-Appellants demand for ADA REASONAL ACCOMMODATIONS REQUESTED WHICH ARE 90 DAYS AND 200 PAGES.

Although this rule being used by the Court is Unconstitutional on many grounds, including it is the wrong rule that applies to Trial Courts, not appeals courts or litigants of Appeals Courts, some arguments provided herein, the Court, **without ever ruling on the ADA Reasonable Accommodations through purposeful Vaguness that they refused to clear up in spite of P-Appellants demand to do so (See August 26, 2025 Court record entry**, (Ex. Pg 5-6), the Court also had strongly implied in its September 30, 2025 Order, Ex Pg 3-4), with a two sentence "opinion" that gave defense no time to respond, that it was

Ex B. 11

going to dismiss P-Appellants case in 30 days unless there were **Extraordinary Circumstances** raised to stop it on September 30, 2025, without any rule quoted. The Court was making up a law/rule on the fly and protecting Defense who without legal communication knew it did not have to wait for a reply from Defense. The Court is prosecuting its own case, like the Trial Court did.

ADA Disabilities do not have to be considered "Extraordinary" by the Court to be ADA disabilities that require reasonable accommodations, however, not being able to see or type, having seizures due the present conditions of overworking and depriving elderly P-Appellants of anything close to normal sleep, with all-nighters having to be strung in a row to try to comply with the Orders that are intentionally and maliciously inhuman and exploit abuse the elderly and disabled, to begin with, this means the Court's are attempting to permit its own discretion to override mandatory Statutes and the Constitution, so it can predetermine the outcome through creating impossibilities against P-Appellants. Please add that P-Appellants have suffered compression fractures from having to repeatedly and quickly transfer 20,000 pages of boxed documents and binders to meeting these ridiculous deadlines that defy logic unless one sees the intent of the Court to predetermine the outcome by any means, including making up laws/rules, using the wrong law, trying to change the narratives with fake claims or what P-Appellants are demanding as reasonable accommodations and so forth as described above.

The Court threat that the case would be dismissed if not for extraordinary circumstances means the case would not be continued otherwise, which means no disabilities for reasonable accommodations would EVER be addressed or EVER considered

Ex 6.12

as Extraordinary, which does not Constitute the ADA laws/Constitutional law or constitute the meaning of a "REHEARING: if it is never heard.

Under the ADA mandatory court compliance laws, Title II, **ADA Disabilities have to be heard and ruled upon without vague or other discretionary rulings and are NOT under a fake "Extraordinary Circumstances" standard.** The Courts making up their own law on the fly that they pretend overrides the Constitution and Statue, is a Constitutional violation of due process and equal protection on its face as it breaks the mandatory ADA compliance laws as indicated above and below ON ITS FACE! ADA compliance is not discretionary and does not invite a state court to rewrite it for its own financial gain and other self-interests to protect the LMCE in SC. The ADA laws must be liberally construed for the P-Appellants. This becomes a Constitutional Question and is not analyzed legally as a mere abuse of discretion. There is no Discretion in the ADA Statute permitted, and the law must be liberally construed for P-Appellants.

Further, there is no rehearing when there is no hearing. The **"Re"** prefix means something already happened and is happening again. No ruling on the ADA Reasonable Accommodation was ever made by the Courts deceptively making vague rulings and other trickery to mislead P-Appellants, overwork P-Appellants on issues where P-Appellants are clearly follow the law, and are intended to predetermine the outcome for its LMCE. . .the same strategies used in the lower court that this court is supposed to review De Novo. This is not a new trick of deceptive Courts/Judges trying to get a predetermined outcome and bury a troubling case.

Ex 6, 13

P-Appellants even requested an ADA Advocate to review and write her second letter for ADA compliance by the SC courts. . .one in the underlying case, and one recently in this alleged appeal, incorporated by reference in the record in its motions, because of the Courts insistence that Disabilities would not be considered as extraordinary, since Disabilities have been presented already to an intentional refusal to follow the law in order to get a predetermined outcome.

In the December 3, 2025 Order (Ex Pg 1-2), the Courts realized that their prior Order, making up new standards that they could not do, cannot withstand any kind of real review on its face, so wrote an actual opinion this time, that had to take a partial sentence out of context to try to make it look like it is ruling on the ADA and it is ruling on a "RE" hearing aso, without ever saying so, and is instead using an illustration that P-Appellants made and meant as an absurd illustration against the Courts refusal to address the ADA Reasonable Accommodation Demands by law, that the Court must grant.

With this Courts twisting of the rule that does not apply to Appeals, the SC Rule 221(c) was preached by the Court, which is a rule that applies to Trial Courts, not Appeals Courts, thus the opinion is not supported by Constitutional law, State and Federal Statutes, the Supreme Court mandate that all SC Courts must comply with the ADA, risking losing their federal funding, or the rules of Court they created that would not trump the ADA Statute or Constitutional Fraud on the Court by denying due process and access to the court and so forth. This is becoming more of a Constitutional Crisis than just a mere Constitutional issue. Either way, Abuse of Discretion does not apply in these matters as already stated in the ADA Motions section of the record.

This Court makes fraudulent reasoning, intentional misapplications of laws/rules, and misstating laws/rules and facts, in their need to get the predetermined outcome that saves their financial cash cow and shuts down the LMCE exposure P-Appellants are bringing as a reason this case, and the Murdugh case were staged trails and appeals as opposites, where P-Appellants are the victims of the LMCE and Murdaugh is the LMCE, played by the 10th Cir/State Actors and Allianz and their agents. All this was discovered by P-Appellants because greedy LMCE members didn't want to pay what was owed to P-Appellants before P-Appellants learned of all this other illegal action determining their case so ridiculously and obviously, with some as eye witnesses quoting they were the proxies used by the State to catastrophically injure or kill P-Appellant whistleblowers against the LMCE to initiate the IF/IRF scam with Allianz mimicking the Murdaugh frauds the public does not Yet know about.

Disabilities under the ADA will never stop being disabilities without medical intervention if at all. This was explained as a part of the out of context quote the Court is using on standing orders, to deceive the readers and hearers with a hi-jack attempt by the Appeals Court. And Courts cannot consider how surgeries may help. The reading of the law is to be construed liberally for the Disabled. P-Appellants have many, but mostly report the Disabilities the Court itself intentionally created and continued to exacerbate, which the Appeals Court now **WEAPONIZES** for its attempted final nail in the coffin no matter how illegal it is to do so.

Ex B.15

The P-Appellants supplied just some of the many medical reports supporting the ADA Disabilities and no objections were made. The Court is saying that ADA disabilities P-Appellants described in motions that need to be specifically ruled upon by the Court with clear opinions that say they accept or deny them and grant or deny the Reasonable Accommodations without taking individual needs into account, give P-Appellants more reason to call this process a LMCE. The latter rulings gives P-Appellants immediate right to have the Appeals Court overruled, and start the Fraud of the Court by the Court investigations from **outsiders**, including those who review the actions of CARRIERS as all of these illegal actions are also shedding light on Allianz frauds/participations beyond P-Appellants complaints to SIU at Alianz, that they have already been reported to Allianz and are now going to be reporting in English and German and other languages as a part of this RACKETEERING that continues now.

P-Appellants clearly qualify under the ADA but the Courts will not rule which ruling is a "gimmie." The Court uses the tricks described NOT to rule but hide they didn't rule, because they MUST grant the real demand of 90 days/200 pages otherwise.

Further, with the new and attempt to improve their vague nonOrder on ADA Accommodations demanded since early in the case, the December 3, 2025, P-Appellants inability to meet that requirement of 30 days to submit without blocks of time/pages demanded, knowing and stating their physical limitations clearly with proof, that requires the very least restriction reasonable accommodations possible to request for page blocks and greater page limits demanded, it is clear the Court is doing all it an NOT TO RULE but pretend and disguise that it did rule on these specifical 90/200 demands by all tricks

Ex 6.16

possible. Due to the Judges intentionally causing the injuries themselves (see your own videos in the Courthouse that P-Appellants demanded be preserved), and these Appeals Judges and the Supremes before them are continuing exacerbate them to weaponize these Judicial intentional and malicious disabilities and exacerbations of same against P-Appellants for their own financial and vengeful interests and to hide their LMCE, that they intend to use to lead to P-Appellants dismissal/judgment in this long awaited predetermined outcome, this is the exact reason why the Rule 221(c), SCACR, exception exists, and that it clearly applies, if the rule is going to be wrongfully used on purpose, and P-Appellants object to its usage. In addition to all the other reasons this Order of December 3, 2025, is not in any way proper, is intentionally fraudulent and , is Unconstitutional and is thus repugnant and void. Either way, the wrong rule or any rule, or made up standard does not trump the Constitution nor the ADA Statue.

Unless Disabilities are corrected by medical intervention or other measure, if possible, Disabilities do not change for the better with time. These are disabilities all the time, per ADA, and can get worse if exacerbated, and unless a surgery or other fix is available they will stay as is or get worse. Those fixes, however, like surgery, cannot be a part of any finding by the Court to make a decision on disability qualifications under the ADA, but P-Appellants merely describe the difference between Disabilities and injuries that may heal with time.

No matter what this court tries to manipulate to get its predetermined outcome, the Disability must be honored and the reasonable accommodations must be granted under the Courts own cited rule, Rule 221(c), SCACR, intentionally incorrectly applied by ignoring

Ex B, 17

its exception: ". . . **unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding** the party's appeal" And obviously the rule itself doesn't even apply to Appeals, but if it did, the Rule supports P-Appellants not the court. The Order regarding no continuances but for Extraordinary Circumstances, and the December 3 Order that says provide the appeal or get dismissed, has at the very least "in effect" doomed P-Appellants unlawfully and Unconstitutionally, because a default on the 30 days they cannot meet without the accommodations demanded, are not given in this latest Court rewrite of the ADA Statute. In effect they will be dismissed as predetermined outcome is the Courts goal, showing they are extremely bias and need to take self-action to recuse from the case, or properly act in all ways, where P-Appellants are giving the Court every opportunity to not go down with the rest of the RACKETEERS who will be criminally charged, and not necessarily by the State to further shame SC LMCE, coming into view for the public even without P-Appellants case, but even more so with it.

The Laws are Clear Federally and in SC: The Federal and South Carolina Law and Rules are substantively identical:

Motions must be decided: A court has no discretion to refuse to rule on the motion.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b)(1): A request for a court order must be made by motion." Once made, the court must rule. There is no rule permitting silence or indefinite delay, nor to speed up the process to not allow P-Appellants to have time to further protect their case from Fraud on the Court. .

EX 6, 18

Fed. R. Civ. P. 52(a)(1): Requires the court to find facts and state conclusions of law on matters tried or decided, and not two sentence rushed opinions not based on anything but refusing to rule on the ADA Disabilities.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b): Requires adjudication of claims and issues—not selective avoidance, like the ADA and Fraud on the Court Claims.

In South Carolina: Rule 7(b)(1), SCRCP – identical to federal rule,

Rule 52(a), SCRCP – court must make findings and conclusions, including on ADA rulings,

Rule 6(d), SCRCP – motions are set for hearing and determination and cannot be bypassed.

A judge cannot accept a filing and then refuse to act. Doing so denies access to the court. This not only goes for all the motions in the underlying case that were never permitted to be heard, but in the Supreme ruling that only a RACKETEERING Judges could allow for a filing made, to be put into the record, the collusion and RACKETEERING claims and P-Appellants new suit, the Motion for Consolidation, Motion for Supplementing the complaint, and the Appeals ignoring and twisting the ADA Reasonable Accommodations and then twisting and taking out of context what P-Appellants demanded by using an aburd example that was meant to be absurd to show the Court's reasonable and refusal to rule was absurd.

JUDICIAL ETHICS (MANDATORY, NOT ASPIRATIONAL), South Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct: Canon 3(A)(5): "A judge shall dispose of all judicial matters **promptly**, efficiently, and fairly, " which means they have to read it and give it thought and research

EX 6.19

and not prosecute for the Defense or blanketly deny without the opinion or clarity, like not ruling on the ADA claims and pretending the court did with a false and twisting of what P-Appellants actually listed as Reasonable Accommodations, that are given to Murdaugh and the AG who have no disabilities.

Failure to rule = **ethical violation**, and Fraud on the Court as the Appeals and Supremes are further engaging in RACKETEERING with the Trail Court. This is not discretion

Further, CONSTITUTIONAL LAW is where ignoring motions becomes reversible.

Objection are to all of the findings by the Court, that P-Appellants must address on these fraudulent Orders including, but not limited to the ADA failure to rule and deceive. In regard to the Deadline of 30 days from December 3, 2025 because the ADA Ruling has never been made and is now being partially/taken out of context and still not made. Due Process Clause – 14th Amendment: A litigant has a **property and liberty interest in access to courts and adjudication. Logan v. Zimmerman Brush Co., 455 U.S. 422, (1982).** "The State **may not terminate a claim** through procedural inaction or refusal to adjudicate." This goes for Appeals courts attempted dismissals and not ruling on the ADA mandatory claims they must grant when they tell the truth about what P-Appellants have demanding and not use absurd examples given. Further, **Silence = deprivation.**

Fuentes v. Shevin, 407 U.S. 67 (1972), Due process requires "**the opportunity to be heard at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner.**" A motion never ruled on is **no hearing at all**.

Ex 6.20

Armstrong v. Manzo, 380 U.S. 545 (1965). A hearing that occurs **after the decision is effectively made** is unconstitutional. "Partial hearings" that dodge dispositive issues violate due process.

JUDGES MAY NOT AVOID RULING TO SHIELD THEMSELVES.

All of the above are a part of P-Appellants Recusal. In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133 (1955), "No man can be a judge in his own case."

When a motion **implicates the judge's own conduct, bias, or jurisdiction**, failure to rule is **self-interested adjudication**. This goes for Appeals and Supreme Judges and those in the Trail Court.

Tumey v. Ohio, 273 U.S. 510 (1927), A judge violates due process when acting under **institutional or personal incentive**.

Avoiding a ruling to prevent review is an impermissible interest. These motions force review. The Court refusing to address them is more of their manipulative fraud.

DUTY TO RULE — APPELLATE & MANDAMUS AUTHORITY: Ex parte United States, 287 U.S. 241 (1932). Courts have a **duty to exercise jurisdiction once it is invoked**. Refusal to rule = **refusal to exercise jurisdiction.**

Will v. Calvert Fire Ins. Co., 437 U.S. 655 (1978), Mandamus is appropriate where a judge **refuses to proceed or rule.**

Dietz v. Bouldin, 579 U.S. 40 (2016), Judicial discretion **cannot be used to contradict procedural rules or due process.**

Exh. 21

SOUTH CAROLINA–SPECIFIC AUTHORITY: Jean Hoefer Toal, C.J., State v. Needs, 333 S.C. 134 (1998), South Carolina courts must: "Follow the law as written, not as preferred." This means that the court cannot determine the law based on what the litigant is going to do about it if they don't. Ignoring motions = **lawlessness**, not discretion.

S.C. Const. art. I, § 9: Guarantees **open courts** and remedy by due course of law. A court that does not rule is **not open**.

WHAT IS *NOT* PERMITTED (CLEARLY)

A judge **may NOT**: Ignore motions, **Delay rulings indefinitely like the ADA Reasonable Accommodations of this and the lower courts, and all the motions Judge Mcintosh and his RACKETEERING colleagues intentionally ignored that the Trial Scheduling Clerk would not schedule some ignored for years and then the Courts try to do a speedy evidentiary hearing, further abusing the elderly and further harming their ADA disabilities without reasonable accommodations, on why the motions paid for were not frivolous without even stating what was frivolous about them they needed to address all in 20 pages with exhibits on over 162 issues/documents and 10 days, while taking P-Appellants jury away, after ignoring them and not ruling on them for all that time.** Further, this is like this Court not hearing the fraud on the court issues and intentionally keeping the page minimums so P-Appellants cannot raise them. Hear only "safe" issues, Decide tangential matters while avoiding dispositive motions, Refuse to rule

Ex D. 22

on jurisdiction, disqualification, or constitutional motions, Use silence to prevent appellate review, like this and the underlying courts.

Each is: a **Due process violation**; an **Abuse of discretion**; **Structural error**; **Grounds for mandamus and reversal; It goes beyond an abuse of discretion when there is fraud on the Court especially by the Court, when it involves RACKETEERING and other Frauds by the Judges and their agents.**

A judge has a mandatory duty under the Rules of Civil Procedure, the Code of Judicial Conduct, and the Due Process Clause to ***timely hear and rule on all motions****; refusal, delay, or partial adjudication constitutes a denial of access to courts, an abuse of discretion, and structural constitutional error. When Fraud on the Court is involved by the Court, the standard is no longer error, but intentional acts to commit criminal Rico Crimes and the investigation and review will be criminal not civil, by those outside the SC LMCE, as they are being contacted in different language translations to be very clear to them that the SC Courts have created a LMCE and are exploiting and stealing public awards, inheritances and other property of the public under color of law that involves various insurance carries and others being identified.*

As to the Government refusing to allow filings against itself including but not limited to a suit against the government for RACKETEERING and so forth, and filing motions to protect their underlying case from illegal dismissal based on Fraud and a Sua Sponte Action not permitted by law. Ignoring the ADA Motions, equals denying access to the Courts.

Ex 6, 23

Objecting to any narrowing or limits on filing against the Government through Judicial Pretext in these Orders to protect the LMCE. Very Rarely and under very limited, narrow circumstances can government deny litigations from filing suits and motions against government, consistent with the Constitution. Any narrowing or limits are Unconstitutional.

A core constitutional principle and fundamental right that belongs to the People, is access to courts.

Government **may not deny a litigant the right to file suit against the government** as a general matter, and P-Appellants argue they never can do so as a corrupted government will use this limitation on fundamental rights to stop its exposure, and allow it to be a LMCE without challenge.

U.S. Supreme Court: First Amendment displays there is a fundamental right "to petition the Government for a redress of grievances." **Due Process Clause** (5th & 14th Amendments) *guarantees* a **meaningful opportunity to be heard. Equal Protection Clause** – bars discriminatory or arbitrary denial of court access to include ADA Disabilties caused by the Judges and their Elder Abuse and Exploitation that has caused permanent injury and less than normal abilities that need reasonable accommodations that the Court will not rule upon.

"The right of access to the courts is one of the fundamental rights protected by the Constitution." **Bounds v. Smith**, 430 U.S. 817, 821 (1977). Government may not erect

barriers that "**impede access to the courts**." **Boddie v. Connecticut,** 401 U.S. 371, 379 (1971).

Sovereign immunity ≠ denial of filing. P-Appellants can file as their right and only a hearing after notice for meaningful due process can decide and P-Appellants can appeal or use other rights outlined in their books that are legal and nonviolent ways to demand justice through orchestrated exposure of the Frauds on the Court. Court paper rulings must comply with all laws cited, and they must be real laws, and not made up laws or twisting of irrelevant or laws/rules that do not even apply to avoid ruling according to the laws that trump rules.

Sovereign immunity does NOT authorize the government to prevent the filing of a complaint, motions that expose it, and motions that destroy its attempts to hi-jack the case into something appearing to be different than the actual case at hand, all to predetermine the outcome.

For all the ADA laws, rules and Motions and objections that were ignored by the Court in defiance of their duties to follow the law and answer all motions completely, please see herein and in all the record where P-Appellants have repeatedly demanded these reasonable accommodations 90/200 and there has been no ruling on that even now. See Appeal Record as it is full of these motion regarding ADA Accommodations and unresponsive Orders never ruling on Reasonable Accommodations. Repeating is Cumulative and unnecessary for the point to be made, documented thoroughly by the Courts own deceptions.

EX. 6 25

These Court Deceptions have repeatedly made P-Appellants continually ask for 30 day extensions and pages, that do not address their individual needs per ADA Statue, but it is the best P-Appellants can do when Courts are not going to follow the law for other agenda purpose. These bad acts by the Court have purposely cost Elderly women $50 each time, intentionally further exploiting and abusing P-Appellants and using their finite time, finite usage of disabled body parts, taking them away from the Appeal, and all is intended to wear out elderly woman with ADA Disabilities to get a predetermined outcome as part of this latest set of Fraud on the Court by the Court scam being addressed herein.

Plaintiffs–Appellants are not incapable of providing their well thought out Appeal with proper record, as their ADA Advocate has also stressed, with letters incorporated by reference from within this Court Record and already submitted; but the Court is continuing to obstruct justice, instead, and P-Appellants are being systematically blocked from receiving their REAL ADA REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST THEY DEMANDED, WITH THE COURTS TWISTING AN ALTERNATIVE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION P-Appellants gave the Court in response to the Court's denial and insistence that they have to reapply every 30 days with no extension of pages, that showed the absurdity of the Courts Ruling. This "Standing Order" illustration WAS MEANT TO BE ABSURD TO EXPRESS THAT THE Court's ignoring P-Appellants least restrictive opinion of REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION IS LEGALLY MANDATORY TO GIVE AND IS REASONABLE and the Courts Order saying otherwise, is not.

Further, in all these Motions including December 3, 2025 Order stating give Appeal in 30 days or default, is still defiant of the ADA TITLE II Mandate given by the Federal and

state Law with the Supreme Court Guidelines warning the Courts they MUST follow these laws. But in the Opinions, the Court is using other tricks beside partial quotes giving the statement a different meaning out of context, AND EVEN MORE, REFUSING TO ACTUALLY RULE ON THE ADA REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS BUT JUST GIVES A GENERAL RULING THAT DOES NOT ADDRESS THE ORDER WHICH IS NOT PERMISSIBLE AND IS JUST WASTING P-APPELLANTS FINITE TIME AND FINITE ENERGY ON SUCH NONSENSE AS A PART OF FURTHER ABUSING THE DISABLED AND ELDERLY AND EXPLOITING THE ELDERLY ALSO AS BOTH P-APPELLANTS ARE OVER 60 YEARS OLD.

PLAINTIFFS WROTE THE COURT REGARDING OBJECTIONS, ON AUGUST 25, 2025, WHILE GOING THROUGH A HEALTH EMERGENCY, AND DEMANDED CLARITY OF THE ORDER WHICH WAS VAGUE Ex Pg 12). THE COURT HAS CONTINUED TO REFUSE TO RULE OR TO CLARIFY EVEN IN SUBSEQUENT ORDERS, WHERE THE ORDERS CONTINUE TO BE VAGUE BECAUSE TO CORRECT THAT THE COURT WOULD HAVE TO ACTUALLY RULE ON THE ADA REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS THAT ARE DEMANDED IN THESE MOTIONS, WHICH THE COURT IS TRYING TO HIDE FROM AND NOT RULE BECAUSE THE LAW IS NOT DISCRETIONARY. THE COURT MUST RULE ON THE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS AND THEY MUST BE GRANTED BY LAW AND THE SUPREME COURT'S OWN INTERNET FILINGS ALREADY SUPPLIED TO YOU, THAT THE ADA ADVOCATE ALSO SUPPLIED TO YOU AND INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The Courts, continue to FRUSTRATE THE INTENTIONS OF P-APPELLANTS TO BRING FORTH A FULL AND ACCURATE PRESENATION OF THEIR APPEAL, WHICH IS THEIR RIGHT WITH REASONABLE DUE PROCESS AND THROUGH REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS SO

Ex 6n 27