

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM HORRY COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Dale Van Slambrook, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2024-001734

Olga Teslenko, Appellant,

v.

Joe Kocsis and Carpet King & Flooring, Respondents.

APPELLANT’S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT, FOR RULINGS ON PENDING MOTIONS, AND TO CORRECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD.

Appellant, appearing *pro se*, respectfully moves this Court pursuant to Rule 208(b)(1), Rule 211(b), and Rule 263(b) SCACR, for (1) leave to exceed the page limit for her Final Brief, (2) rulings on her pending Motion to Supplement the Record on Appeal and pending Motion for Extension of Time, and (3) correction of the administrative record..

This motion is filed on an emergency basis in light of the April 13, 2026 filing deadline.

I. LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT.

1. **Size and Structure of the Record.** The Record on Appeal consists of 562 pages, in addition to a physical flashdrive containing multiple evidentiary files.
2. **Necessity of Precise Record Citations.** The record includes numerous multi-page documents without line numbering. As a result, citation by page number alone does not identify the specific factual material at issue. Without limited direct quotations, the Court would be required to search through dense text documents and guess at the intended evidence.
3. **Inability to Comply with Page Limit While Maintaining Record Support.** To comply with Rule 208, Appellant must provide accurate citations to the Record. In this case, that requires limited verbatim quotations to identify specific material facts within dense text documents. Without leave to exceed the page limit, Appellant must either:

- omit necessary record support, or
- provide citations that are insufficiently specific to permit meaningful review.

Either result would impair the Court's ability to evaluate the issues presented and fatally prejudice Appellant's appeal.

4. Requested Relief. Appellant seeks leave to file a Final Brief not exceeding 85 pages.

This request is narrowly tailored to allow limited accurate citations to the Record and does not include unnecessary citations.

II. CORRECTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD.

Appellant's pending Motion for Extension of Time, filed January 28, 2026, was characterized as a "6th" request on the docket.

That characterization is incorrect. The January 28 motion constitutes the fifth request for extension—and the first request made after the trial record was transmitted to this Court. The prior extensions were necessitated by the absence of the trial record and occurred before the record was transmitted. Correction of this designation is necessary to accurately reflect Appellant's procedural posture.

III. REQUEST FOR RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS.

1. Unresolved Motions Prejudicing the Brief. The following motions directly affect the content and structure of the Final Brief yet remain pending today with the current deadline imminent:

- January 28, 2026 Motion for Extension of Time;
- February 3, 2026 Motion to Supplement the Record on Appeal;

2. Inability to Finalize Brief Without Rulings. Appellant cannot finalize a compliant brief while these motions remain unresolved. In particular:

- The **Motion to Supplement** determines whether critical Record evidence will be reviewable;
- The **Motion for Extension of Time** determines whether Appellant, a disabled pro se litigant, will have a meaningful opportunity to prepare a compliant brief properly supported by the Record.

3. Substantial Prejudice. The identified pending motions remaining unresolved today compel Appellant to proceed under conditions that prevent the preparation of a compliant brief fully supported by the Record. Barring the necessary supplementation

and direct quotations would result in the exclusion of material issues from consideration, which would effectively deny meaningful review.

4. **Issue Preservation.** To the extent this Court declines to rule on, or denies, the pending motions identified herein, Appellant respectfully preserves for further appellate review all issues arising from such rulings or omissions, including any resulting limitation on access to Record evidence and the impairment of meaningful appellate review.
5. **Requested Relief.** Appellant respectfully requests that the Court rule on these motions prior to requiring final submission of the brief, to ensure that the Final Brief is supported by and considered on a complete Record. Appellant renews her requests as follows:

A. Request for Ruling on Pending Motion to Supplement.

- i. **Appellant's February 3, 2026 Motion to Supplement the Record on Appeal** remains pending today, two months after filing and a week prior to the current final briefing deadline.
- ii. **The Necessity of the Supplemental Materials.** The requested supplementation arose from the need to ensure that critical evidence already contained in the Record is reviewable. These materials do not introduce new evidence but provide transcription and foundational context for evidence already in the Record, the presentation of which was not permitted in the proceedings below and therefore does not appear within the Record as transmitted. Appellant has requested this supplementation to preserve her claims and ensure that all Record evidence is fully considered by the Court. Without such supplementation, the existing Record would not permit meaningful review of her claims.
- iii. **No Return Filed.** No return has been filed in opposition to Appellant's motion.
- iv. **Requested Relief.** Because the requested supplementation directly determines whether the existing Record will be reviewed in its entirety, Appellant respectfully requests that the Court rule on her pending Motion to Supplement prior to requiring final submission of the brief.

B. Request for Ruling on Pending Motion for Extension of Time

- i. **Appellant's January 28, 2026 Motion for Extension of Time** to serve and file the Final Brief and Record on Appeal remains pending today, more than two months

after filing and a week prior to the current deadline.

- ii. **First Extension After Transmission of Trial Record.** The motion is the **first** request for extension made after the trial record was transmitted to this Court.
- iii. **Practical Necessity of Extension.** Absent rulings on the identified pending motions, Appellant cannot prepare a final brief that complies with the Rules and accurately reflects the Record. In order to ensure that the Final Brief is fully supported by the Record, Appellant must have time to incorporate limited quotations and proper references after the Court has ruled on the pending motions.
- iv. **Medical Considerations.** Appellant is a disabled pro se litigant with a neurological disability affecting vision. After the pending motions have been ruled on, Appellant requires additional time to incorporate proper references and quotations, and respectfully requests this extension as an accommodation for her disability.
- v. **No Return Filed.** No return has been filed in opposition to Appellant's motion.
- vi. **Requested Relief.** Appellant respectfully requests an additional thirty (30) days from the Court's ruling on the above-identified motions, to serve and file her Final Brief and Record on Appeal.

IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully submits that:

Absent a timely ruling on the present Motion to Exceed, she will be required to omit necessary record support or provide insufficiently specific citations, which would impair the Court's ability to review the issues presented and substantially prejudice Appellant's appeal.

Absent a timely ruling on the pending Motion to Supplement, critical evidence already in the Record will remain functionally inaccessible for review and Appellant will be required to proceed without access to this evidence, creating a substantial risk that central claims—despite being in the Record—will be excluded from review.

Absent a timely ruling on the pending Motion for Extension of Time, Appellant will be required to file a brief that cannot fully and accurately present the Record.

Appellant therefore seeks relief sufficient to ensure that the Final Brief is supported by and considered on a complete Record already before this Court.

To the extent any issue raised herein is not expressly addressed, Appellant respectfully preserves all such issues for further appellate review.

Appellant respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Grant leave to exceed the page limit and permit a Final Brief not exceeding 85 pages;
2. Rule upon the pending Motion to Supplement the Record on Appeal;
3. Grant an extension of thirty (30) days from the date of those rulings to serve and file the Final Brief and Record on Appeal;
4. Correct the administrative record to reflect that the January 28, 2026 motion is Appellant's fifth request for extension.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Olga Teslenko

Olga Teslenko, Appellant, Pro Se

100 Fountain Pointe Ln Unit 103

Myrtle Beach, SC 29579

Email: osenochen@gmail.com

Tel: (510) 388-2780

Dated: April 6, 2026.

RECEIVED

Apr 06 2026

SC Court of Appeals

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals**

APPEAL FROM HORRY COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Dale Van Slambrook, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2024-001734

Olga Teslenko, Appellant,
v.
Joe Kocsis,
Carpet King & Flooring, Respondents.

PROOF OF SERVICE OF APPELLANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE
LIMIT, FOR RULINGS ON PENDING MOTIONS, AND TO CORRECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE
RECORD

Appellant hereby certifies that she has served her Emergency Motion for Leave to Exceed Page Limit, for Rulings on Pending Motions, and to Correct the Administrative Record upon Respondent, Mr. Joe Kocsis, who at all relevant times represented himself the owner of Carpet King & Flooring. Service was made by depositing a true copy thereof with Federal Express, postage prepaid, on April 5, 2026, addressed to: Mr. Joe Kocsis, Carpet King & Flooring, 532 Broadway Street, Myrtle Beach, SC 29577.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Olga Teslenko

Olga Teslenko, Appellant, Pro Se
100 Fountain Pointe Ln, Unit 103
Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29579
Email: osenochen@gmail.com
Tel: (510) 388-2780

Dated: April 5, 2026