

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

APR 09 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Deborah Brooks Duden, Administrative Judge

RECEIVED

Appellate Case No. 2025-000925 Apr 09 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Jarvis Johnson, #216908,

Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole
and Pardon Services,

Respondent.

MOTION TO REINSTATE APPEAL

April 31, 2026

sj Jarvis Johnson

Jarvis Johnson, #216908, Appellant

Kershaw Corr. Inst.

4848 Goldmine Hwy Syc B-42

Kershaw, S.C. 29067

Appellate Case No. 2025-000925

Appellant in the above mentioned case humbly motions this court to reinstate the appeal in this matter. A timely notice to appeal was filed with the Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk on May 5, 2025 and date stamped by Keishaw mail room on May 7, 2025.

On May 23, 2025, Appellant received a date stamped copy of the filed notice of appeal along with a letter from the Honorable Catherine S. Harrison, Chief Deputy Clerk with Appellate Case No. assignment and outlinings several deficiencies with Appellant's Proof of Service. See Appendix pgs. 1-13

Appellant responded with a letter to the Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk indicating date Appellant received Clerk's letter and requested that the ten (10) day time limit to correct deficiencies begin on May 23, 2025 - the day Appellant received and signed for Clerk's letter; Appellant also sent corrected Proof of Service for filing. See Appendix 14-22

Appellant requests that the corrected Proof of Service be incorporated by reference to the original filing of the appeal on May 5, 2025. Appellant corrected the deficiencies within the allotted ten (10) days and is not in violation of Rule 203(b)(6), SCACR. Failure to [inadvertantly] comply with rules of appellate procedure... does not require dismissal, but instead, appellant is required to serve and file... in compliance with rules. "[T]he South Carolina Appellate Court Rules are not mere technicalities but provide the parties and this

Appellate Case No. 2025-000925

Court with an orderly mechanism through which to guide appeals in this State. It is incumbent upon counsel to provide material that complies with the Rules and facilitates appellate review." *Heming v. Kaye*, 307 S.C. 436, 415 S.E.2d 794, (1992)

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Appellant, based on the foregoing, respectfully requests that the court grants Motion to Reinstate Appeal and further relief as the court deems just and appropriate.

April 3, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

sl. Jarvis Johnson

Jarvis Johnson, #216908, Appellant
Kershaw Corr. Inst.
4848 Goldmine Hwy Syc B-42
Kershaw, S.C. 29067