

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED

Apr 13 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The State, Respondent

V

Richard Alexander Murdaugh, Appellant

See three motions below each

Listed separately on the record.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000576 (cons)

Appellate Case No. 2023-000392 (cons)

Movants Trial Court Case No. 2022 CP 0400592

(Kennedy and Fink v. Lake Hartwell RV Resort and Cabins, LLC., et. al...record tampered with, and objection to style of the case)

Three Motions needing to be listed individually:

1. "MOTION FOR A NEURAL COURT TO PROVIDE A CLEAR ORDER"
2. "MOTION FOR A NEUTRAL COURT TO RULE MOVANTS HAVE STANDING IN THIS CHALLENGE. . .NOT AN APPEAL, MURDAUGH'S CASE IS VOID AB INITIO BY STRIKING AND VACATING ANY SUPREME ACTIONS, AND FIND THAT ATTORNEYS HAVE DEFAULTED ALSO"
3. "MOTION FOR MOVANT'S OWN CASE TO BE ASSIGNED A NEUTRAL TRIBUNAL TO ENTER JUDGEMENT FOR THEM AS SANCTIONS AGAINST THOSE FEIGNING THEIR TRIAL AND APPEAL COURT CASE"

Disclaimers: ***Not proofread*** due to ***ADA Qualifying Disabilities intentionally and maliciously caused and weaponized by the SC Judges with financial and other interests in their case***, making it hard for litigants to see and (along with other physical disabilities handicapping P-Appellant's without Reasonable Accommodations granted). These ADA Qualified Disabilities cause P-Appellants great disadvantages and lack Equal Protection and violate the ADA Laws that **MANDATE** Courts to comply, and cause P-Appellant's great pain and lesser functions without reasonable accommodations granted by law, due to these same judges. P-Appellants briefs and Motions since 2024 have mainly

been cut/paste from other documents written or submitted with few corrections due to these Disabilities caused and weaponized by these Judges and their refusal to honor ADA Reasonable Accommodations by law for their own advantages in spite of ADA Advocates submitting letters to guide them in the Mandatory compliance laws they ignore. P-Appellant's are more than capable when they have the reasonable accommodations which are least restrictive and granted to the Murdaugh lawyers when no disabilities are even claimed through Selective Prosecution of these cases as seen herein. P-Appellant's case tells on what really happened in the Murdaugh and the Prosecutor/Defense/Murdaugh/Supremes all want to free or greatly reduce Murdaugh's punishment as a fellow Aristocrat in this Aristocratic/Groomed Elite, Entrenched Oligarchy, where the law is a mere suggestion, while they are running a Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE) as seen herein through the Murdaugh/Laffitte cases, P-Appellants case, and many others (**See Asbestos Docket as one example**, where this is the other reason why the Courts want to bury P-Appellants case, because they describe and show Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud in P-Appellant's case, Murdaugh/Laffitte's cases, and in the Asbestos Docket, and how this Entrench Aristocratic/Groomed Elite are able to run a Legal Machine Legal Enterprise (LMCE) out of the State, under color of law). This Appeals Court is taking cases handed off to them from Trial Courts, to continue the same bad faith tactics to refuse and obstruct their appeals, and the court can because they purposely do not analyze SMJ Adjudication as a Condition Precedent to receiving Constitutional power to hear a case, so they can cover for each-others crimes, and instead treat Constitutional guarantees as mere suggestions, and because the Supremes oversee all secret discipline of lawyers and judges to make sure there is no punishment for ignoring the Constitution, they have crated quite a tax-free, for profit LMCE for themselves and their Aristocratic/Groomed Elite Colleagues).

Anyone who wants to complaint of length, repetition, lack of organization, spelling and so forth, get the courts to follow the law so P-Appellants can get mandatory (not discretionary) Reasonable Accommodations that are least restrictive and require less than the Court gave Murdaugh without disabilities, especially when the 10th Cir Judges caused and weaponized these disabilities against two elderly cancer fighting survivors with physical health issues. These Judges need to try to write/type when these Judges can't see screens or type when they cannot use their hands thumbs, wrists arms and elbows because their fellow judges physically abused them. .. where both need surgery to fix due to the abuse P-Appellants have endured by these Judges to try to save their meritorious case. Then complain. This is P-Appellants best when one of the two is having seizures also for lack of sleep, again, caused by the same Judges and weaponized also by these court protecting the LMCE who are trying to win on taking advantage of the Elderly and Disabled in Abuse and Exploitation bad acts.

**1. "MOTION FOR A NEURAL COURT TO PROVIDE
A CLEAR ORDER"**

(regarding a Letter by the Clerk on 3/31/26, Unconstitutionally Determining Merits of the SMJ Challenge, she has no authority to rule upon, or the Rejection of such an Unconstitutional letter as there are legal arguments created from this letter about standing).

2. "MOTION FOR A NEUTRAL COURT TO RULE MOVANTS HAVE STANDING IN THIS CHALLENGE. . .NOT AN APPEAL, MURDAUGH'S CASE IS VOID AB INITIO BY STRIKING AND VACATING ANY SUPREME ACTIONS, AND FIND THAT ATTORNEYS HAVE DEFAULTED ALSO"

(regarding the granting on Murdaugh's appeal, of Movant's **CHALLENGE (not appeal)** for SMJ/Fraud on the Court, and that Murdaugh serves out his entire sentence as per the Jury's guilty verdict; and that attorneys failed to answer in time to be considered and that the Court cannot be adversary but only neutral and Movants win by this default).

3. "MOTION FOR MOVANT'S OWN CASE TO BE ASSIGNED A NEUTRAL TRIBUNAL TO ENTER JUDGEMENT FOR THEM AS SANCTIONS AGAINST THOSE FEIGNING THEIR TRIAL AND APPEAL COURT CASE"

(for LMCE Financial and Retaliator Appeals Court Orchestrating Movant's case with these Feigned cases, mentioned herein, in a Predetermined Outcome through absurd findings and non-findings using the mechanism discussed herein and in the SMJ/Fraud Challenge)

COMES NOW, DR. LINDA KENNEDY, AND DR. MARSHA FINK, (hereinafter "Movants"), pro se, jointly, per Rules of the Supreme Court Judicial Branch, Due Process, and other Constitutional Guarantees, and the Canons 1-5 , demanding a CLEAR Order AND Opinion from the Supreme Court on failure to Rule upon the 2/11/26 Subject Matter Jurisdiction (SMJ) Challenge and Motion summarized as Constitutional Issues regarding "Fraud on the

Court (Fraud).”¹ All are hereinafter, “SMJ/Fraud.” These very important Constitutional Issues MUST be given an Order and Opinion, not ignored, hidden, confused and purposely labeled wrongly in the record, filed two days late, **on purpose**, to hide the severity and responsibility of the Court to act within the Constitutional law . . . as an alleged Strict Constructionist especially.

SMJ/Fraud by the Court itself, are the Granddaddy of all legal filings as this court knows, which is why it hid them, because it does have an interest in the case as do all attorneys of

¹ **#1) 2/11/26: “MOTION TO CHALLENGE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION”**

#2) “MOTION RAISING CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL BIAS, FRAUD ON THE COURT, DENIAL OF ACCESS TO THE COURTS, THAT CANNOT BE HEARD OR RULED UPON BY THE SUPREME COURT IN ISSUE, INCLUDING THE MURDAUGH/LAFFITTE/FLEMING/SUPREME COURT”

#3) MOTION TO PROVIDE ADA DISABILITY REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS SINCE THE CRIMINAL IS IN PRISON FOR A LONG TIME, NO MATTER WHAT IS DECIDED, AND THE COURTS MUST COMPLY WITH ADA QUALIFYING DISABILITIES, RIGHTS TO THESE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS WHERE THE DEFENDANT IS STILL IN PRISON, See, Title of the ADA, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C., Sec 794; The Due Process Clause, U.S. Const. amend. XIV; The right of meaningful access to courts, *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977). This is another chance for the Supremes/Appeals Court to actually follow the law on the ADA.

#4) In the alternative only, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIE BRIEF OUT OF TIME.”

The Judges/Clerk eventually called these all the #4 alternative Motion: “Motion-Appeal as an Amicus Curie (Kennedy and Fink)” This was not an appeal it was a challenge. They are not the same.

something would have been said to stop the hearing and preserve each one's integrity and ethical responsibilities, instead of feigning the case for a fellow Aristocrat of the LMCE.

This Granddaddy of all legal filings, forces the Court to stop and, announce the issues, because they have nothing to hide, and recuse, especially if they are the subject of the filings, where mandatorily recusal is necessary and a neutral tribunal needed to be appointed, not selected by the recused, to completely review the SMJ Challenge/Fraud, and perhaps hearing the Murdaugh case at another time, or void it ab initio as never having SMJ to even file the appeal, and the neutral tribunal then could also make other such rulings as this was a feigned Appeal/Trial, and the predators of such, cannot benefit from any actions that would give them an advantage for their engaging in such nonjusticiable and unconscionable conduct. The Trial Court jury findings then would AND SHOULD stand.

Instead, the same Court Judges that are issues in the SMJ/Fraud writings, still reviewed and obstructed justice to delay the filing of the SMJ/Fraud, legal documents filed for two days, while this same Court Judges heard the Murdaugh Appeal, boasting of an open and transparent Court in front of the lawyers who knew this was not true, as all the State ACTORS in this matter, including its agents, knew it was deceiving the reporters and other persons and the public listening and watching for the benefit of overturning Murdaugh and giving him a chance to do a "Laffitte Strategy" explained in other filings, that are known to all the persons involved in this charade.

In further, deception, once Movants very important documents were not filed until two days later, even though the Court reviewed them before hearing the Murdaugh case, which is absurd, even more trickery and deception was perpetrated by the Supreme Court and the Clerk to further hide the fact that a SMJ/Fraud Challenge, with the Clerk purposeful mischaracterization the SMJ/Fraud filed, calling it an "Amicus Brief Alternative, which was the 4th of four documents filed ONLY to be used in the alternative further hiding the SMJ/Fraud filing from the People. When the court heard the Murdaugh case anyway on 2/11/26, while hiding the SMJ/Fraud filings from the people, Movant's filed a withdraw of the Amicus (alternative motion) with the Court Clerk, as the Unconstitutional hearing of the Murdaugh case by those forced by law to cease and desist from hearing that Appeal, made the Amicus Brief alternative motion moot, due to the deceitful late filing and mislabeling of the real list of Challenge/Motions filed but not recorded by the Clerk, and Unconstitutional hearing of the Murdaugh case occurred for illegal financial and other interests in these predetermined outcomes.

The Court Clerk called the Movants' SMJ/Fraud filings, an "Amicus" record, and then even though Movant's withdrew it on 2/18/2026 because of court malfeasance, The Supreme Court finished the fraud against the People, further hiding the SMJ/Fraud, by actually ruling on the withdrawn Amicus, which was only filed as an alternative, on 3/11/26, deny the Amicus Brief that was already moot and had been withdrawn on 2/18/26, due to this deceitful filing and mislabeling, which made the Amicus (alternative motion), unripe and moot, because it lost ripeness due to the malfeasance of the Court and Clerk of Courts obstructing justice as described, with the Attorneys on both side being aware and allowing

such illegality, and not reporting it to the law, even though the AG is the law, and to the ethics departments and SLED and so forth. . .because, allegedly, nobody is above the law.

Further, on that same 2/18/26 submission by Movant's to the Supreme Court Clerk, withdrawing the Amicus motion, due to the Court hearing the Murdaugh case while hiding the SMJ Challenge etc., Movant's also notified the court that the Court needed to correct the Record and list the SMJ Challenge and Fraud on the Court filings on the Record and not call the record an Amicus Brief alternative filing to further hide from the public that a SMJ/Fraud Challenge was made and ignored by the Court/Judges that was the issue in the SMJ/Fraud Challenge.

No **LEGAL** corrections were made. However, instead, another deceitful act by the Judges and Court, strait out of Orwell's Ministry of Truth, was the court's trying to erase a structural wrong-doing, by sneak the file date, back to **2/11/26, from the 2/13/26 date they initially used to record, back-dating the recording by the Judges/Clerk .**

Movant's filed the Legal 3rd party collateral SMJ challenge on 2/11/26, at 3:40 a.m. by email filing, which was confirmed received by the Clerk who said it was being reviewed BEFORE the Murdaugh case, which Movant's objected to repeatedly as it had to be filed and then neutrally reviewed as the Supreme Judges and their agents therein (all attorneys), were the reason for the challenge. See FN 1, for quoted names and corresponding #'s for each listed on the filed documents. See FN 1, **Note this is not an appeal, as the Judges/Clerk called it, but a SMJ Challenge, that can be raised by 3rd Parties under conditions Movant's raised and explained in detail.**

The recording by the Clerk of Court of Movants filing was delayed two days (2/13/26), so that the Court could Unconstitutionally, hear the Murdaugh case without announcing the SMJ challenge and stopping the case as they must, by law. This would alert all there or watching by public access, to the record, and they would read the challenge/fraud to raise their own questions of whether the case was feigned and the Court had no subject matter jurisdiction, and look more into the Aristocratic/Groom Elite that explains why SC is operating under their own kind of Jurisprudence, not permitted by a Constitutional Government and so forth.

After hiding the filing of Movant's and with the judges knowledge, they continued to ignore the SMJ, to hear the Murdaugh case without the power to do so, which is more proof that the case is feigned and lacked SMJ Authority to hear, by their Supreme Court's own actions on that day showing they had extreme bias, impropriety, committed fraud on the Court BEFORE even hearing Murdaugh in a feigned case.

In Ex., A1-2, the record shows, on 2/11/26, at 6:21 p.m., the Judges/Clerk still had not recorded Movant's filing their SMJ/Fraud/other on 2/11/26 at 3:40 a.m. (about 15 hours later). This was long after the **court closed**, with the court hiding this filing and going forward with the Murdaugh hearing anyway, Unconstitutionally and even claiming they were holding an Open Court, while hiding the SMJ/Fraud/Other filings from the record and the public announcement required to stop the hearing.

There was still no Clerk recording of Movants SMJ/Fraud Motions nor of the others on 2/12/26, at 10:36 a.m., Ex., B1-2, the next day, as the Judges/Clerk were still

intentionally withholding Movants SMJ/Fraud/Other filings made early on 2/11/26. Since then, however, on 2/13/26, at 5:26 p.m., the Court had snuck the recording of the SMJ/Fraud/other filing, through illegal back-dating to 2/11/26 date, Ex., C 1-2, and of course then calling it an “Amicus”. . .gaslighting Movant’s, the honest reporters among them, and the public, and continuing to hide the SMJ Challenge/Fraud/other from all, including the proof within those writings showing a feigned Murdaugh case by the SC Government/Courts, led by the Supreme Court, which arguments and facts provided are very relevant and persuasive regarding the incredible amounts of Aristocrat Kin/Groomed Elite entrenched in the case and the background of the suits and hearings, and which the Judges were involved in the underlying feigning and the appeal feigning as seen in the evidence presented. What is presented by Movants is far more than needed to show extreme Bias, extreme impropriety and Fraud/feigning before any of these cases were ever heard.

The subsequent behavior by the Court/Clerks around this filing are self-evident of corruption and the Courts having a financial interest in this case, through other facts also, called the Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise (LMCE), where the Murdaugh case, Asbestos cases and Movant’s case tell quite the story of the strategy of SC’s Oligarchy of Aristocratic/Groomed Elite, in their Grand Model’s Ghost being lived out today as it was centuries ago, to give great power, money and privilege to these entrenchments working together for one goal, under color of law.

When Movant’s still heard nothing about their original SMJ Challenge/Fraud filed on 2/11/26, but recorded on 2/13/26, with no LEGAL corrections made on the mislabeling of

the name, to hide the SMJ/Fraud, Movants filed a Motion to Strike, Vacate, and Void ab initio and deny the Murdaugh Appeal as a Feigned void case, which was listed by the Clerk only as a “motion to strike and vacate,” . . .nothing about SMJ/Fraud, in the Record, to further hide the SMJ Challenge from the public eye.

On 2/18/26, Movants withdrew the #3 and #4 Motions on ADA and Amicus Curie² since those filings became moot once the Court/Clerk conspired to force the Murdaugh case forward in Structural Constitutional violations. The withdrawal gave a copy of the red-line cross outs so their would be no “convenient” confusion by the Courts as to what Movant’s were and were not withdrawing. In spite of the clarity, on 3/11/26, 21 days after the withdrawal, the Judges furthered their fraud and cover-up of the SMJ/Fraud filing, by ruling on this Moot #4, Amicus Motion that was only filed in the Alternative, not even before the court any longer due to court malfeasance.

² ~~#3) MOTION TO PROVIDE ADA DISABILITY REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS SINCE THE CRIMINAL IS IN PRISON FOR A LONG TIME, NO MATTER WHAT IS DECIDED, AND THE COURTS MUST COMPLY WITH ADA QUALIFYING DISABILTIES, RIGHTS TO THESE REASONABALE ACCOMMODATIONS WHERE THE DEFENDANT IS STILL IN PRISON, See; Title of the ADA, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C., Sec 794; The Due Process Clause, U.S. Const. amend. XIV; The right of meaningful access to courts, *Bounds v. Smith*, 430 U.S. 817 (1977). This is another chance for the Supremes/Appeals Court to actually follow the law on the ADA.~~

~~#4) In the alternative only, MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIE BRIEF OUT OF TIME.”~~

The Judges/Clerk eventually called these all the #4 alternative Motion: “Motion-Appeal as an Amicus Curie (Kennedy and Fink)” This was not an appeal it was a challenge. They are not the same.

Of special concern is on the 3/11/26 fraudulent Order by Judge Kittredge, he is not only ruling on the Amicus Alternative Motion that was withdraw 21 days ago CLEARLY, but through this Trojan Horse Order, it was also necessary for the Judges to t make it look like there was only one motion filed when their were 4 with one being the actual SMJ Challenge on which the others were based. Judge Kittredge issue a feigned Order, with out identify, on only motion filed (singular Motion not Motions), still hiding the SMJ/Fraud filings (#1, #2). Also, even more of a fraud is he references the Motion (singular), that Movants filed on 2/11/26. . .when the Judges/Court recorded it on 2/13/26 and back-dated its recorded date to reflect the filing date after the fact, trying to hide the obstruction they made by purposely withholding the SMJ/Fraud, and continued to hide it under the "Amicus" clerk false labeling claim, which apparently is common practice in SC Courts, and Movants continually have to fight this in all three courts by compromised Clerks of Court. These Constitutional Record manipulations are all provided to make it appear that no SMJ/Fraud filings occurred, and just one Amicus motion was filed (that was also withdrawn 21 days before this feigned Order". See below for Judge Kittredge's feigned Order signing on the Supreme Court Judges behalf:

"ORDER

Dr. Linda Kennedy and Dr. Marsha Fink's **motion** filed on **February 11, 2026** is denied. John Kittredge C.J. FOR THE COURT" (**Emphasis added**). What Order? There are 4 motions. . .and two were withdrawn (#3-4).

Of course, the 2/11/26 filing by Movants was labeled by the Court and filed on 2/13/26, as the **“Amicus Brief” in the 2/11/26 deceptive BACK-DATED RECORDING by the Clerk.** **No mention of any other filings they were hiding, with #3 ADA, and #4 Amicus Brief long ago already withdrawn for Court malfeasance.**

Movants, not fooled by the feigning and hiding of the SMJ/Fraud filings, at least this part, of it, as the continuous fraud runs deep in the SC Courts, decided on 3/30/26, to follow up with a Murdaugh Appeal – “SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION MOTION TO STRIKE, & VACATE, AS VOID AB INITIO; DEMAND FOR RIGHT TO BE HEARD IN COURT & MANDATORY RECUSAL”. “Where The allegations and evidence demonstrate that the judiciary itself is implicated in the underlying misconduct continued adjudication by the same system creates A constitutionally intolerable probability of bias under *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868, At 876 (2009).

Movants were demanding that the feigning stop and a neutral tribunal deny the appeal and uphold the jury findings based on the #1 SMJ Challenge and the #2 group filings being called Fraud herein (SMJ/Fraud). The Court cannot hear its own case, and Oral Argument was necessary to try to continually address the frauds of the court organically, which were clearly not going to stop through filings and feigned Orders.

The very next day, with no time to review the presentation, and certainly not by neutral judges, on 3/31/26, a “Letter” from the Clerk of Courts, was filed on the record:

“This responds to **your ‘Murdaugh Appeal - Subject Matter Jurisdiction Motion to Strike & Vacate’** received on March 30, 2026. Because you are not parties to this

appeal, no action will be taken on your motion. Sincerely, Patricia A Howard,
CLERK”

This letter is Unconstitutional for many reasons. First it is not an Order written by a judge who must make an Order and opinion so Movant’s can appeal the Order. . .there is no Order. This is yet another trick all three levels of SC Courts are using as they are adversaries to litigants due to their LMCE interests, which is also Unconstitutional. Orders being presented in letters by clerks is something Movant’s have been bombarded with and punished if challenged. Court Clerks have no power to make rulings. The letter here is being used to deny or obscure the SMJ Challenge/Fraud and due process rights while the Supreme Court is refusing to rule. Please provide as described, even if in violation of the Constataion as the court has already shown they are willing to do. See State v. Mallett, 341 S.C. 300, 334 S.E.2d 318 (1985); see also Ex parte McLeod, 275 S.C. 488, 272 S.E. 2d 664 (1980). Clerk Letters masquerading as orders can violate due process, because it is trying to trick the Movant into thinking the court has ruled, when it has not, as an Order does not exist.

If the Supremes choose not to supply a real Order with Opinion on the SMJ Challenge and Motion to Strike, Vacate, and rule Void ab initio, BY A NEUTRAL TRIBUNAL, EVEN AFTER IT HAS VIOLATED MAJOR CONSTITUTIONAL LAW HEARING THE MURDAUGH CASE AND HIDING THE CHALLENGE/MOTION AND MANIPULATING AND OBSTRUCTING THE RECORD AND JUSTICE, then Movants will consider this a Constructive Denial and will proceed forward with the other Federal Questions that raise very damaging Evidence against SC Government, and their processing clearing house, the SC Courts where all serve

the LMCE and are entrenched Aristocrats/Groomed elite focused on Unconstitutional goals as one Oligarchy.

Judges and their agents herein, cannot lawfully use procedural tricks to avoid compliance with statutory or constitutional duties, particularly where that avoidance serves to conceal systemic misconduct, such as RACKETEERING. "Due process demands not only an absence of actual bias, but the absence of even the probability of unfairness." *In re Murchinson*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955).

Second, the hearing is identified by Movant's in regard to the Murdaugh Appeal. . .it is not a "Murdaugh Appeal" and any such continuous twisting of the words in bad faith shows the amount of dishonesty involved here, due to serving the LMCE and profiting from its bounty. **The SMJ Challenge is not an Appeal in any way as the Court well knows. . .but a challenge that any and all U.S. people can make**, and the Judges even must challenge himself, Sua Sponte because SMJ is so important to the honesty disposition of the cases it hears. The moment the SC Supremes were confronted with Movant's Challenge they had to announce the challenge, call off the hearing, get the authorities to find real neutral judges, if their be any, and challenged themselves. The lawyers, AG's office and the Defense attorneys, also had to acknowledge what they all already knew and disqualify as this is a feigned case, staged and played out by State ACTORS and their agents herein. . . the attorneys and their agents. It is not only unethical, but Unconstitutional and violates the Criminal Code to bring forth such a fraudulent appeal, from its feigned beginning, before a trail court was ever assembled, until and continuing today and as long as this staging continues and whatever predetermined results were created long ago, and made

known to the public in this feigned appeal. Movants and Asbestos victims are suffering likewise by these unconscionable, Unconstitutional stagings.

The Murdaugh Appeal is not justiciable, and all involved know this, which is a big reason why Movants are going through such manipulation in their own case, and punishment in this and their own case, as their own case must be dismissed (as told in the SMJ Challenge), and is in the process of being dismissed now, with more tricks by the Appeals Court, just like herein as these are SC Court strategies and no isolated to a certain court. There is also much more feigning going on in Movants Appeal due to the information Movants have and are continuing to expose that shows the Murdaugh, Asbestos and their own cases are feigned.

Movants are guessing that the Appeals Court won't answer the reconsideration and while they are waiting for this answer, their time for cert will run out to try to stop a federal Appeal and Federal Suit coming next. The games to frustrate all avenues of justice by the Courts, shows the absolute disdain the Courts have for the law, and those who demand the law be followed with appropriate equity and not used to feign cases and collect awards and other property and assets that do not belong to its LMCE for tax-free profit of its predators.

Therefore, in regard to standing and being a party (which his not a requirement), Movant's have expressed some of how much they and their case have suffered, with great damage to their case and themselves, as in order for SC LMCE and their stragies to be safely hidden, all of Movants case/person must be destroyed by any means available by the Trial/Appeals/Supreme Courts and their agents, to carry out the feigning for profit and to

save a fellow Aristocratic LMCE perpetrator, in the other two cases (Asbestos, Murdaugh) for profit, one in favor of Aristocratic Murdaugh saved by his Aristocratic Kin, Becky “Hiers” Hill/Supremes, and the other (Asbestos) against the companies being stolen by the Supreme Courts through Justice Toal and Mullen, from their Boards, stock holders, and CEO’s even internationally, by Unconstitutional acts of the SC Government/Courts feigning Unconstitutional processes described in detail by Movants, using Entrenched Aristocrat/Groomed Elite with one common goal. . . the feeding of the LMCE.

As described in the SMJ/Fraud/Strike Challenge, SC Courts are using the **Hegelian Dialectic strategy** to destroy meritorious cases, to shuffle off mere skeletons of the case to the Appeals Court after Judges colluding with Attorneys, use all tools available to clean the merits to the bone, including creating ADA Disabilities to weaken the target then weaponize it.

In the Appeals Court, the LMCE use the same tactics to stop any Constitutional issues that survived the lower court, that must be heard De Novo, and then deny those opportunities to plead them, sometimes by limiting ADA Reasonable Accommodations like block time/page number availability no matter how voluminous and complex the case, so the government wrongs cannot be revisited or reviewed, and the merits were also stripped away at the feigned trial court, creating such a procedural morass that makes the meritorious case unrecognizable, through the Hegelian problem, reaction, solution strategy that repeatedly makes the issue chaotic and too multi-layered for the targeted litigant to address. This Unconstitutional process between Judges and Attorneys in Trial Court and then on Appeal, erase the meritorious case into unrecognizable procedure, while stealing

the jury and the Award from the targeted litigant using the problems they create, that the litigants have to respond to, and then the Judges blame the litigants for the response and dismiss them with evidentiary-sanctions or Discovery-sanctions or other such feigned creations of the State.

Within this overall scheme using the **Hegelian Dialectic**, to create procedural morass from a meritorious case and in doing so, stealing the jury right away and award also, the Trial Court Judges, lawyers/firms, Allianz or other carrier, state ACTORS, and others, involved in some types of cases described, as in the three cases described herein, the specific **Insurance Fraud/Insurance Reserve Fraud scheme(IF/IRF)** or using its structure, to steal the litigants awards and jury, and/or the company victims and other treasure trove also, for LMCE tax-free, profit at the exploited cash-cow public's expense, under color of law, (see Movant's admissions from Defendants that they were hired as proxies in a murder-for-hire plot against Movants by the 10 Cir. Judges, Allianz and others long before a suit by movants was even contemplated, creating catastrophic injury or death in Movant's, for the opportunities of the State to collect the awards from Allianz and use Allianz as a money laundering machine through Allianz Insurance Reserves for laundering the awards and other stolen profits from other state crimes against the people, and then redistributing that wealth to LMCE perpetrators like those involved in these Murdaugh-style frauds, and others).

Although the law does not matter or control criminals, the law speaks against such procedural manipulation to be used by the Courts to gain advantage and avoid compliance with statutory or constitutional duties. *In re Murchinson*, 349 U.S. 133, 136 (1955). "Due

process demands not only an absence of actual bias but the absence of even the probability of unfairness.”

Then, as a real absurdity, these same Judges/lawyers involved in the underlying crimes against Movant’s then presided over/took part over Movant’s case working as one RACKETEERING Plan/Pattern that keeps repeating itself in Movant’s case as it moves up the feigned appeals court script, in a RICO Action, and in other cases such as the two others mentioned plus Movants herein, where Movants have Challenged SMJ/Fraud through feigning and other acts making the case void, where the RACKETEERS must FEIGN legal proceedings to help steal awards/juries, in a bigger RICO action, with each case’s RICO Acts, being a plan and pattern of the bigger RICO ACTS. This is a Rico within a RICO and a real blockbuster that dwarfs “**Operation Greylord**” and other Judicial RICO cases.

“Operation Greylord,” was a mammoth sting operations, which was one of the biggest public corruption investigations that occurred in 1984 in Chicago’s **Crooked** Cook County, so appropriately given its under cover name from the curly wigs worn by British entrenched, Aristocratic Judge schemes, that have made their way to SC as through historic Lord Proprietors and their Grand Model Scheme, and as the Royals thereafter, where they never left)! In that Operation of Greylords, there were also, Clerks, Judges, lawyers, and so forth scheming together to fleece the public for many years before apprehended in this operation.

With the help of undercover Judges, lawyers and others, perhaps in plea deals to save themselves, like in **Operation Lost Trust**, a total of 92 officials were indicted, including 17

judges, 48 lawyers, 8 police, 10 sheriffs, and 8 court officials and one state legislator. There are more that Movants have already identified specifically, as being the Aristocrat/Groomed Elite entrenched in SC politics/courts, for the purpose of funding and feeding off of this LMCE that feeds on the public, under color of law.

These continued absurdities that so many media, local, regional national, and international sources have repeatedly called out for major, insular and institutionalized criminal activity, under color of law, are seeing accurately, but do not understanding its inner workings, as described herein by Movants who have been eye-witnesses to it, as just a few of the many types of schemes the State is using to exploit the People as cash-cows, for their own LMCE profits and power, and are seen when these continuing DEFIANT State ACTORS, openly ignore and twist the law, thinking nobody will stop them, and who lack common morality and decency that were sold generations earlier, for profit, no matter the law or harm it causes to people/families, as the Entrenched have learned to draw the emotional line between profit and any concern for others, choosing profit where no amount is enough.

“The Murdaugh’s have been trying to teach South Carolina a lesson for 70 years.”
Opinion By David Lauderdale, The State, December 3, 2023; “Decades ago, a **Murdaugh** patriarch was the **‘brains’ behind a Lowcountry criminal enterprise.**” By David Lauderdale Special to The Island Packet and Beaufort Gazette Updated May 18, 2022.

“Because some South Carolinians have tolerated law breaking, winked at it **or worse**, the federal government had to clean up the mess. The conditions are a **shame on the state.**”³

Buster Murdaugh was able to create sufficient chaos in Judge Hoffman’s Court, in 1956, with the help of co-conspirators, like Becky Hills grandfather, Felder Hiers, Kin to Murdaugh, to save Murdaugh in 1956 Public Corruption Trial. Beckey Hill, also KIN to Alex Murdaugh was able to give Alex Murdaugh Appealable Issues in this feigned matter presently. . .state actor Aristocratic Entrenched kin helping Aristocratic entrenched Kin to escape the jury, in the LMCE through planned chaos to hi-jack the case by Hegelian Dialectic Strategies. **THUS THE LEGAL MACHINE CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE THAT NEVER DIED.**

Besides the harm these Feigned cases and plans and schemes of the State have caused on Movants’ and the need to silence them and their case, Movants have a sincerely held belief proven over years of trying to help the public who are sucked into this Litigation Vortex, never to be seen again with money. That history of Movant’s work is not a secret and why the Virginia State Bar has joined in the SC RACKETEERIG in this matter, according

³ <https://www.thestate.com/opinion/article282526808.html#storylink=cpy> ;

<https://www.islandpacket.com/news/state/south-carolina/article261333422.html#storylink=cpy> ;

<https://www.islandpacket.com/news/state/south-carolina/article261333422.html#storylink=cpy> ;

to the proxies written and recorded statements. Movants have Dr. Kennedy's recorded Supreme Court Argument where she demanded to be disbarred, if the Court really felt that hiring fake witnesses and changing transcripts and other such dirty deeds were appropriate behavior for the Virginia legal system (LMCE). She didn't want that license if she could not assure her clients safety in their pursuit of justice in this LMCE. It is on tape!

So any claim that Movants do not have standing, that this letter is an Order, that the Judges acted properly by hiding the SMJ/Fraud and hearing the Murdaugh case, and so forth, claiming they are not parties and so forth, when SC and the Federal Government both allow such exceptions to any standing rules that may otherwise exist (even Amicus Briefs are without standing, so certainly SMJ Challenges would be all the more).

SMJ cannot be waived and third-parties are permitted to Challenge, and do not need official standing as parties, just as Amicus Brief filings do not need standing. Further unlike Amicus Briefs, the submitting of filings do not need Court permission. Once Judges are made aware of (or exposed), must sua sponte step down and neutral judges review and in this case, they have to take over the feigned case and void it as well as taking several other corrective measures to try to establish justice. Otherwise, this becomes a Structural Constitutional matter created by "**Greylord-scams**" in SC involving the entrenched Government/Courts. See also Rule 2.11, South Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct.

The Court/Judges/Attorneys, because they are in issue, must mandatorily recuse and disqualify and cannot be a part of selecting Neutral Judges, or other lawyers, as they are

compromised by the SMJ Challenge. In spite of the Court and attorney actions thus far, the clear law has not been practiced and instead ignored and covered-up.

This extremely Unconstitutional handling of Movants very meritorious SMJ Challenge/fraud, and follow ups, showing feigning, Aristocratic entrenchment into the LMCE, using Hegelian Dialectic strategies and the IF/IRF scheme in these three cases mentioned, is why there is so much fraud involved in the handling of the SMJ Challenge in the first place. The Hegelian is called upon again to lie, cheat and steal and create deceptions and other chaotic acts to try to trip up, tire out, or otherwise create a fake "in" to Movant's good faith actions, to leverage or lie their way out. There are a lot of people who are tired of all this. The Court's, their Court agents, and the attorney involved, have shown conduct that supports an intent to obstruct Justice and facilitate a continuous line of Racketeering that has never ended in Movant's case until this day.

A Court MUST rule upon all Motions and since the Court is an issue in the SMJ Challenge was never ruled upon by this court or by law, a Neutral Tribunal, if there be one.

A Court and its Clerks must record on the record accurate filings and descriptions at the time of filing or during the opening office hours, if filed electronically when the Court is closed.

Since as this court fully knows, and why the Challenge and Motion was handled so unconstitutionally already, nonparties are permitted to file Subject Matter Challenges/Fraud on the Court by the Court Challenges and Motions, where Movant's have met the conditions, for filing. Movant's listed those therein and many others, in their

Challenge/Fraud on the Court and Motion to Strike and Vacate any Orders on the Murdaugh case and dismiss his appeal as a Feigned case that cannot be justiciable under the full explanation given with examples in the Challenge/Fraud on the Court.

Movants have provided more than enough legal and accepted reasons in their SMJ/Fraud, and SMJ Strike/Vacate/Void ab initio submissions.

Clear, not Vague Order/Opinion must be issued by a neutral Judges/Tribunal: Further, Movant's must receive an official Order/Opinion from a neutral Court, or this Court if it continues to ignore and defy its obligations to follow the law/Constitution (which Movants object to). The Order that will need to be part of Movants appeal, as the most important appeal topic of them all is going to be raised as a Federal Question, and these matters are also going to be tried elsewhere with specifics of the opinion necessary and required, and the Courts know a letter is not an Order, but a trick. Court Clerks have no power to make rulings. The letter here is being used to deny or obscure the SMJ Challenge/Fraud and due process rights while the Supreme Court is refusing to rule. Please provide as described, even if in violation of the Constataion as the court has already shown they are willing to do. See State v. Mallett, 341 S.C. 300, 334 S.E.2d 318 (1985); see also Ex parte McLeod, 275 S.C. 488, 272 S.E. 2d 664 (1980).

Court Orders must be clear, purposeful, and issued by the Court. A proper court order is not satisfied by a letter from a clerk. South Carolina law requires that the order declare that it is an order, state its purpose clearly, direct the parties regarding rights and obligations, and be reviewable for enforcement and appellate purposes and review. None

of these Orders were clear as to what motion they were reviewing, and the letter of the clerk was providing rulings on the merits, which must be done by a Court as it must be reviewable using the Order and Clear opinion. "An appellant court's opinion or order must be sufficiently clear to permit meaningful appellate review and to inform . . . the parties of the basis for its decision *State v. Mallet*, 341 S.C. 300, 334 S. E. 2 D 518 (985). The Courts use of vague or non-orders through Clerk letters determining the outcome, to stand as orders violates due process and access to the courts, particularly where the order's ambiguity hinders documentation and building of an appealable record for the Federal Court on many Federal Question violation by these SC Courts and their attorneys, whether state actors or not.

This SC Court, the Appeals Court and the Trial Court seem to all use this same trick, to try to block further appeals by purposely using deceit and obstruction strategies against Movant's to make an appeal impossible. These moves by the Government so clearly show their complete bias, partiality, and disdain for anyone, but especially Movant Legal Reformers, that challenge the Courts right to hear a case or proceed as they wish, legal or not. There would be no such disdain shown, and no such need for these schemes, if the court were truly neutral. The bias and impartiality and other "hidden" interests that Movants have identified as just some of the hidden interests, make Government behavior Unconstitutionally, and sometimes criminally, and this SC Government, Judges, Bars and disciplinary and judicial selection committees, and lawyers are not even close to acting within the limited Constitutional powers granted to Government and through their agents, authorized or not, which again, shows that the Court does not have SMJ and will bring great

public concern as to why the Court so needs to win this matter for a fellow Aristocrat Murdaugh, rather than just being neutral as commanded by the Constitution. On top of this Judge Kittredge claims he is a strict Constitutional constructivist, when in fact he is the worst at adhering to the Constitution, and is in charge of the entire legal system, as this writing shows. The lower Courts behaving likewise shows the behavior is Orchestrated for a common goal, that doesn't benefit, but greatly harms the People allegedly able to oversee them. . .which is not so. This is the LMCE Oligarchy Movants have identified.

Courts cannot be prosecutors, investigators, jury and adversarial to one side of the matter. The matter of Unconstitutional entrenchments running a legal enterprise of which Murdaugh is a LMCE predator temporarily caught in the view of the public trap, brings to the for-front the fact that the Court is arguing a case in favor of a right to a Murdaugh appeal (with obvious predetermined outcome), rather than being a neutral tribunal and letting the attorneys paid to advocate WITHIN THE LAW from arguing their own positions. When the opposition does not answer for themselves, they default. The opposition has not answered P-Appellants SMJ/Fraud Challenge; thus, they defaulted, and an Order denying the right for Murdaugh to Appeal must be denied/voided and Murdaugh must serve the guilty finding and his sentence must continue to run with him in prison. Feigned cases can never be permitted in a Constitutional Republic.

A prosecutor must be disinterested, and courts cannot assume the role in a way that compromises neutrality, In *Young v. United States ex rel. Vuitton*. See *In re Murchinson*, No man can be a judge in his own case . . . nor can he be in the very nature of things both

accuser and adjudicator.” Among RICO and other things, these are Civil Rights Violations by the Court and the lawyers colluding with them.

Movants have stated and proven beyond the standards of the law, and any doubt one might claim, was taken away when Movants further proved numerous examples and methods as to why they have standing.

Not being parties is not a reason for dismissal of a SMJ Challenge, and dismissal without an official Order and justification in an Opinion is also not permitted. First, for the standing issue, about not being a party. The Courts know this finding is not true, and is another way to fraudulently stop its own exposure, rather than deal with the challenge which they cannot lawfully win. The fact it was raised puts all Judges and lawyers and Clerks taking part in this to self-evaluate and stop the proceeding to not be implicated in the overall consequences an in their own professions if there was real accountability in SC, which there is not of course. And the Court calling this an appeal when it is a challenge is meant to further paint a false narrative on purpose, against showing the absolute proof at this point, that the Court is protecting its attorneys and Murdaugh who want the Murdaugh case overturned as fellow Aristocrat/Groomed Elite entrenched LMCE predators protecting their own. This is a part of being a member of the LMCE. . .not Aristocrat left behind.

SC has adopted Federal Doctrine, to provide various different ways to participate in another case without independent standing.

The Hindrance / Impracticability Exception exists, without a “special” relationship between parties, courts have recognized and allowed third-party standing when the third party is **unable, unlikely, or practically barred** from asserting their own

rights. Hinderances include cases where the entire case is feigned and the parties harmed do not understand their own side is not their side, and Movants are in the middle of fighting the same issues, where Murdaugh has been called a Murdaugh mirror image case, or a Murdaugh 2.0 case as the same scam is running in Movants case as Murdaugh and his “fixers” are now covering for him to help reverse the Jury verdict and help their own who stole from the victims like this same LMCE has stolen from Movants as outlined over and over again. Other hinderances is Mootness, where the case is over for those victims who were vitaminized again and again, by those supposed to protect them. . .like Mullen, Murdaugh, Laffitte, Fleming, Lay, McMaster, Trial, Appeal and Supreme Courts, SLED, Bamberg, Bland, Tinsley, Westendorf, Newman, Toal, Kittredge, Waters, Wilson, and many others on the list. For the same reasons there are Procedural barriers for victims to act or even understand. Movants do not represent any of them, but they are also victims of this strategy and structure as McIntosh, Sprouse, Maddox, Dr. Maddox, Deloach, Taylor, Bamberg, the Trial, Appeals and Supremes and Laffitte’s have been turned loose on them too, and are ruining their case, to carry out the theft of Movants, and to silence them and their exposure about what is going on in their case and the Murdaugh/Asbestos cases also. The larger picture is also seeing Lay, Protopapas, Murrell, and all theses Aristocratic/Groomed Elite entrenchments acting as one, and making no effort to follow any law. . .and even defiant of the law and anyone who defends themselves against what is supposed to be neutral courts who ALL HERE KNOWN is not true. SC courts have applied similar logic in cases involving minors, incompetents, and situations where the real party in interest cannot practically litigate.

Further, there is an Overlapping or Interdependent Rights Exception described in the above paragraph. This applies because the victims of Murdaugh/Fleming/Laffitte/OTHERS, own injuries are **inextricably intertwined** with the rights of the third party Movants, so that adjudicating one necessarily adjudicates the other. And in Movants case, to bring froth Murdaugh and Asbestos feigning, the LMCE must destroy Movants and their case that tells the truth about what has and is happening in Murdaugh and the Asbestos case that will not help the LMCE and is actually exposing it.

Such other examples as First Amendment overbreadth, Associational standing, Cases where the challenged action affects both parties in the same way, as does it happen in Movants case. South Carolina recognizes **associational standing** and **representational standing** under similar logic.

South Carolina does not have a radically different doctrine from federal courts, but it has **three state-specific avenues** that function as third-party standing:

Another example of an Association Standing that SC Supremes have recognized is when an organization may sue on behalf of its members if. Although this is not exactly the same. . .it shows that not being parties is not the proper reason and has no bearing on the Challenge as this is not an appeal, nor even an Amicus Brief but a specific challenge to SMJ which now the Courts AND LAWYERS INVOLVED MUST ACT. These are explicitly recognized in SC administrative and constitutional cases, showing the Supremes are just trying to get rid of the Challenge, which Movants will not agree to but for a meeting that discusses how to correct the violation so innocent people are not being hurt, such as Movants. But also

others who they have uncovered in their research due to staying a good citizen concerned for the people, which sympathy or empathy for others is not illegal.

The “Public Importance” Exception, is one that cannot be denied as Movants have spent their adult lives trying to assist the public and protect the public when they were acting as attorneys and then after, in such ways that helps the people represent themselves and understand what they are really getting into with the LMCE. What has happened to Movants was not a surprise. . .it was written about for the last almost 30 years in books, literature, documentaries, songs, teachings, broadcasting and so many other ways. . .all for free to help the public.

SC courts have recognized this prudential standing when, the issue is of **public importance**, which how can the LMCE/Oligarchy/controlling laws and people for entrenchment Profits not be of great concern and importance TO THE PEOPLE. According to State Proxies involved, they were used to catastrophically injury or wrongfully kill Movants in order for the 10th Cir., Judges, State Actors, lawyers, and Allianz to create a claim that could be filed with Allianz to separate and steal the award from Movants or their families if they died, and then money launder it through Allianz Reserve Accounts, along with other dark money the LMCE predators are making in other scams and shams against the people, and using the Courts as the Redistribution of Wealth Clearing House for such crimes. . .at the expense of the People. How is this not important to the People? Even those involved know the truthful answer to that question.

And according to the proxies, the Virginia State Bar also being involved after around 25 years of Movant no contact, should be even more concerning to the public to see how much the attorney are under the black boot of the government. . .although they have a choice and chose to go along to get along, where Movant's will not do that. Further, it shows how much damage did to the VSB when they would not work for a good solution for the people and wanted to force Movants into compliance which didn't work. There is of examples of this, but for another time.

Further, in SC, they recognize when some third parties can be appropriate challengers, and, which who better than those teaching on the identity of these very strategies, where someone had the bright idea to perform the LMCE predator crimes against Movants anyway. That was extremely unwise, as this is just the record setting phrase of the exposure which does not need a court to bring forth major changes into SC and end this LMCE by all legal and nonviolent means and expose the "**Greylords**" of this entrenched State with this and many other records built up to support it. Even the songs are written. No one else is likely to bring this issue like Movant's with many years of insider personal experience will.

This doctrine is broad and Movants fit in it like a glove as their sincerely held beliefs are in their actions in their own histories putting people over their own career success when they were challenge with the same go along to get along that many of these readers have been.

Although not relevant in this matter, Guardian / Representative / Statutory Standing, have SC statute support that allow other pathways like Guardian ad litem,

personal representatives, Trustees, Partners not to argue here, but for the Courts throw out all their ways to stop or trick Movants into not demanding justice is to show “doesn’t have standing” is not even the law in SC.

Other accepted reasons to have third party standing where all three cases mentioned on **are on their own appeal in SC at the same time**, the **“Injury-in-Fact attacking of the Murdaugh case through Collateral Consequences”** applies where Movants have standing if: 1. Another case’s outcome **directly injures** their legal interests, 2. Even if the injury is mediated through a third party.

This is recognized in Preclusion cases, Cases involving intertwined judgments, Cases where one proceeding undermines another’s rights. . .all and especially the last one has been claimed throughout this matter as they Murdaugh Mirror Case, and the Murdaugh 2.0 case is a feigned trial/appeal case that will win because of the mutual Entrenchment of the Court, lawyers, State Actors and their agents, and Murdaugh/Laffitte and the many other Judges like Toal, state Kin ACTORS like Becky Hiers Hill, and even Judge Gerge/Limehouse/McMaster/Laffitte/Kittredge/Dr. Maddox/Jasmin Smith/Emily Laffitte connections are all intertwined with McIntosh/Sprouse and McIntosh/Taylor/Bamberg/Deloach and so forth all involved along with MGC, GCC, GWB, RGSL, and other firms and lawyers. This is not coincidence nor is it that the lawyers from the Murdaugh and Asbestos case are now appointed to the JMSC. . .one chosen by McMaster. It is way too much to be anything but Orchestrated.

When a “Party is Aggrieved by Judgment in Another Case” the Courts Permit standing exceptions when: **a “Party Aggrieved by Judgment in Another Case.”**

A judgment coming to overturn Murdaugh’s Feigned Case has **impaired** the Movant’s rights in their Murdaugh 2.0 case as described in detail in their SMJ Challenge and in their Motion to Strike, Vacate and Void ab initio Murdaugh’s right to appeal, especially with the same State Actors orchestrating Movants predetermined outcomes in the most obvious ways using this writing just as an example of Orwellian-type State Actor behavior that can only show self-evidence of everything Movants have claimed throughout their case from feigned Trial, to feigned Appeal to these feigned challenges to the same feigned Government of of the people “RULING” by oligarchical principles because they closed off all avenues to accountability for the moment, through entrenchment placement and monetized it through the LMCE.

This impairment is **direct, concrete, and non-speculative, as Movants have shown so much proof that the Courts are hiding their SMJ Challenge/Fraud filing in the record and in person as described.**

“Non-party Preclusion / Virtual Representation” exceptions also apply, to where movants are effectively bound by another case, and courts recognize they can still challenge the proceeding that binds them, i.e. Movants in the Murdaugh and Asbestos cases.

Movants injury is **direct, and nonspeculative, is affected beyond precedent** from Murdaugh, but the LMCE must force them to lose through Appeal Judges Orchestration, to

give Murdaugh the win. There are no other third party's that **could** assert their own rights. Movants have their own case with a recognized legal relationship (Murdaugh 2.0 with many of the same feigned actors in both and same schemes in both).

On no Order being submitted, even by mandatory Recusal of the Judges instructing the Clerk to write a letter as an order, Movants demand an Order of Neutral Judges, not the Judges that were the issue of the Challenge, which must have recused and never heard, nor coached the Clerk to hide and two days later misfiled the Order, and then rule on a nonissue long ago withdrawn to further deceive the public reviewing the record just to avoid ruling and providing an opinion on the SMJ Challenge/Fraud on the Court, Move to Strike,

Vacate, and pronounce Void. . .any Murdaugh Appeal as the case is proven to be feigned as is Movants case now receiving retaliation and dismissal, just as Movants' claimed as one of the reasons why they had standing, i.e. that their case had to be buried as it was explaining the Hegelian Dialectic strategy of these Feigned cases, and the structural Insurance Fraud and Insurance Reserve Fraud (IF/IRF) schemes all used in all three cases for the same goals. . .to protect the SC Government's Legal Machine Criminal Enterprise operating through the Government, and the methods used to hijack any case challenging the feigning of cases in advance of any suit filed, like in Movants and Asbestos cases (to steal Awards and even companies), and in the Murdaugh cases (to help a fellow LMCE Aristocrat).

A court giving a vague order that is not clear what it is attached to or why it is ordered and refusing to clarify whether the court has granted denied or considered the SMJ/Fraud,

even if it were neutral, is illogical and unlawful as the court could resolve the issue in one clear statement the lack of clarification and intentional deception demonstrates intent to obstruct access to the courts and conceal trial court and appellate court misconduct

The fact that the court is working far harder to avoid a simple Order/Opinion, if they knew they acted properly, which they did not, demonstrates an intent to obstruct due process, quash meaningful SMJ/Fraud Challenged geared toward keeping the Legal Industry honest, and to gain advantages through litigation. This is precisely the type of judicial misconduct and racketeering being documented up through the Courts handling of Movants case, that collectively is exposing the LMCE. The Supremes like its oversight of its underlings, is avoiding its mandatory duty to rule in direct conflict with applicable law, See *Ex parte, McLeod*, 275 S. C. 488, 490, 272 S.E.2d. 664, 665 (1980). This is Movant's attempt to receive a real Order in good faith or they will call this a Constructive Dismissal and file appropriate documents to preserve their right to an Order and a Hearing on the SMJ/Fraud on the Court by the Court and others, from Neutral Tribunals, if there be any in SC. "A court must pass upon and decide the questions presented to it a failure to do so constitutes reversible error." *S. C. Department of Social Services v. Doe*, 370 S.C. 93, 101, 634 S.E.2d 650, 654 (2006). It is more than reversible in this instance where Fraud on the court by the Court is involved in a SMJ Challenge.

A judgment rendered by a court without jurisdiction is void and may be attacked in any proceeding in which it is sought to be enforced *Valley v. Northern Fire & Marine Insurance Company*, 254 U. S. 348, 353 (1920). Judges and lawyers and clerks and other agents. .Murdaugh is a void case. All your work and time feigning it is wasted time because

eventually the challenge will stick, and all will be revealed even if Movant's die first. The plan for legal, nonviolent steps to make sure this truth comes out are already in place. An Operation Greylord awaits SC Oligarchy/LMCE and all its predators taking part to destroy Movant's case, the Asbestos assets, while prop up Aristocratic Murdaugh to get his release or great reduction in punishment.

Judge Kittredge was good enough to list all the copies of every last person who has anything to do with this matter, so you are all on NOTICE, that this RACKETEERING and Greylord operation involves you too. Movants are open to discussion if any of you believe you may need to protect yourselves at this point, as Movants are more interested in working as one to clean up SC in key areas, that will benefit the people, and to receive their full damages to make them whole, and because they now know of other victims, that they be made whole also even though Movants are not representing anyone but themselves.

Movants in this matter, have standing on many grounds, need the Court to have Neutral Judges grant their SMJ Challenge, Void the Murdaugh Appeal, Provide honest Orders with integrity, stop the Appeals Court from fully executing there Orchastrated plan with the rest of you and restore Movants underlying Motions for Entry of Judgment which Movant's can supply, along with other demands that will make them whole, and then leave them alone, help the victims of Murdaugh and use the \$30 Billion made available to Asbestos claims, and stop acting predatorily against anyone with money or access to money, stop feigning case and meet with Movants in a good faith effort to get SC acting more like a Constitutional Republic that protects the people, rather than a winner take all dirty battle that is occurring from the courts now, where long after

Movants are dead and buried, as long as this little season continues, Movants work has and will continue to teach the people what is happening in U.S. and S.C. Courts and government so they can continue to protect themselves in all legal nonviolent ways. This court has seen the resolve of Movants, two older ladies with physical health issues that the Courts purposely targeted to make them worse and then weaponized them. Movants have suffered greatly, but will not give up as probably is clear by now. Sometimes there are issues greater than two people and their suffering. This is one of those times and Movants rely on their Most High Creator who comforts them in their time of pain and suffering and He has.

Now the Court need to stop fighting the Movants and the People who each are protecting their own rights from the onslaught of the courts acting as adversarials because they are adversarial with Unconstitutional, adversarial interests. Obviously this needs to change and then Movants can go on their way to projects that do not have anything to do with SC as they have no interest in staying in this state other than to continue this fight if an agreement that helps Movants become whole, helps the victims and future victims and so forth can be put in place, so Movants' can leave, whole and with clean hands.

WHEREFORE, for all the reasons mentioned, the above three motions are demanded to be granted for the best interest of Justice in SC, and Movants and all who live in SC or are having significant contacts in SC. Movants do not legally represent anyone but themselves, but sympathy and empathy in SC is much needed in their government to care

about the affect their actions are taking on innocent people which is a long engrained characteristic of SC Entrenched Government which needs to change.

Submitted and not proofed due to ADA violations by the Supremes, Appeals and Trial courts throughout this long court history.



Dr. Marsha Fink, J.D., B.A
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: April 13, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Sosofunny1959@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.



Dr. Linda Kennedy, J.D., B.S., B.A.
P.O. Box 433
Townville, SC 29689
954-279-3785

Date: April 13, 2026

PLEASE USE EMAIL FOR EASE AND TO GET THE ATTENTION OF P-APPELLANTS AND HARD COPY AS A COPY OF THE EMAIL. Sosofunny1959@gmail.com, and please keep the tricks of mailing elsewhere to obstruct justice away from this case and P-Appellants, who know these tricks occur in SC government.