

RECEIVED

Apr 16 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM BEAUFORT COUNTY
R. Lawton McIntosh, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2026-000690
Case No. 2024-CP-07-00156

Queens Grant Regime, II, Inc., Horizontal Property Regime,Respondent,

vs.

Greenwood Resorts and Communities, Inc. d/b/a Palmetto Dunes Resort and
Callaway Brands, Inc. d/b/a Top Tracer Golf,..... Appellants,

**APPELLANTS GREENWOOD RESORTS AND COMMUNITIES,
INC. D/B/A PALMETTO DUNES RESORT AND CALLAWAY
BRANDS, INC. D/B/A TOP TRACER GOLF'S MOTION FOR
EXPEDITED REVIEW**

TO: THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 240 and 241 of the South Carolina Appellate Court
Rules, Appellants Greenwood Resorts and Communities, Inc., d/b/a Palmetto
Dunes Resort and Callaway Brands, Inc., d/b/a Top Tracer Golf, by and
through its undersigned counsel, submit this Motion for Expedited Review of
their Renewed Motion for Stay or Supersedeas of the trial court's Order(s)

Granting Temporary Injunctive Relief and Setting Rule 65(c) Bond, and in support thereof states the following:

In response to this Court's April 3, 2026, Order remanding the case to the circuit court for an expedited hearing, the trial court held a hearing on April 10, 2026, and on April 14, 2026, issued a Form 4 Order denying Appellants' Petition. (See 4/15/2026 Renewed Petition for Supersedeas.) The next day, Appellants filed with this Court a Renewed Motion for Stay or Supersedeas. (See id.) Appellants have greatly appreciated this Court's responsiveness in addressing their Petition for Supersedeas and respectfully seek further assistance in addressing the injunction entered against them by the trial court. The trial court has denied Appellants' Petition for Supersedeas and declined to revise the "low or nominal" bond. (See Exhibit 11 to Appellants' Renewed Petition, 4/14/2026 Order.) Consequently, Appellants are continuing to incur damages as a result of the injunction, without the protection required by Rule 65(c), SCRCF, and are in need of expedited review to prevent any further damages.

Rule 241(d)(6), SCACR, allows for expedited review of petitions for supersedeas, even going as far as to allow the issuance of supersedeas by this Court *ex parte* when:

(A) it clearly appears from specific facts shown by affidavits or included in the verified petition that immediate and irreparable injury, loss or damage will result before the opposing party can respond; and

(B) the moving party's attorney certifies in writing, as an officer of the court, the efforts which have been made to give notice, or the reasons supporting the claim that notice should not be required.

As shown by the previously filed affidavits and attachments thereto, Appellants have demonstrated that they are suffering immediate and irreparable injury, loss, and damage. Appellants project losses up to \$446,504.67 in the first 60 days of this injunction, not including court and other costs Appellants have and will continue to incur. (See (See 4/15/2026 Renewed Petition.) The severity of this deprivation is magnified by the trial court's refusal to issue a bond sufficient to protect Appellants, even when specifically directed by this Court to reconsider the bond amount.

Time is of the essence. Indeed, the very purpose of a petition for supersedeas is to halt the accumulation of damages until such time as this Court may review and issue a decision on the merits of the appeal. An expedited review of Appellants' Petition is necessary to address the injunction before it is too late.

Accordingly, Appellants respectfully request that this Court expedite the review of their Petition for Supersedeas.

Respectfully submitted,

COLLINS & LACY, P.C.

By: *s/Christian Stegmaier*
CHRISTIAN STEGMAIER
SC Bar No. 68648
cstegmaier@collinsandlacy.com
EVAN M. GESSNER
SC Bar No. 77704
egessner@collinsandlacy.com
ROBERT S. FORNEY
SC Bar No. 101923
rforney@collinsandlacy.com
Post Office Box 12487
Columbia, SC 29211
803.256.2660 (voice)
803.771.4484 (fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT
GREENWOOD RESORTS AND
COMMUNITIES, INC. D/B/A PALMETTO
DUNES RESORT AND CALLAWAY
BRANDS, INC. D/B/A TOP TRACER GOLF

**APPELLANTS GREENWOOD RESORTS
AND COMMUNITIES, INC. D/B/A
PALMETTO DUNES RESORT AND
CALLAWAY BRANDS, INC. D/B/A TOP
TRACER GOLF'S MOTION FOR
EXPEDITED REVIEW**

April 16, 2026
Columbia, South Carolina

RECEIVED

Apr 16 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM BEAUFORT COUNTY
R. Lawton McIntosh, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2026-000690
Case No. 2024-CP-07-00156

Queens Grant Regime, II, Inc., Horizontal Property Regime,Respondent,

vs.

Greenwood Resorts and Communities, Inc. d/b/a Palmetto Dunes Resort and
Callaway Brands, Inc. d/b/a Top Tracer Golf,..... Appellants,

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served **APPELLANTS GREENWOOD RESORTS AND COMMUNITIES, INC. D/B/A PALMETTO DUNES RESORT AND CALLAWAY BRANDS, INC. D/B/A TOP TRACER GOLF'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED REVIEW** upon all parties by electronic mail to the below listed counsel on April 16, 2026, at the following address:

Gregory M. Alford, Esquire
Alford Law Firm, LLC
Post Office Drawer 8008
Hilton Head Island, SC 29938
gregg@alfordlawsc.com
Counsel for Plaintiffs

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

Respectfully submitted,

COLLINS & LACY, P.C.

By: s/Christian Stegmaier
CHRISTIAN STEGMAIER
SC Bar No. 68648
cstegmaier@collinsandlacy.com
EVAN M. GESSNER
SC Bar No. 77704
egessner@collinsandlacy.com
ROBERT S. FORNEY
SC Bar No. 101923
rforney@collinsandlacy.com
Post Office Box 12487
Columbia, SC 29211
803.256.2660 (voice)
803.771.4484 (fax)

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT
GREENWOOD RESORTS AND
COMMUNITIES, INC. D/B/A PALMETTO
DUNES RESORT AND CALLAWAY
BRANDS, INC. D/B/A TOP TRACER GOLF

**PROOF OF SERVICE - APPELLANTS
GREENWOOD RESORTS AND
COMMUNITIES, INC. D/B/A PALMETTO
DUNES RESORT AND CALLAWAY
BRANDS, INC. D/B/A TOP TRACER
GOLF'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED
REVIEW**

April 16, 2026
Columbia, South Carolina