

Mary Gray et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Dominion Anderson Llc et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

RECEIVED
Apr 20 2026
SC Court of Appeals

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter was before the Court on 2/11/26 pursuant to the Plaintiff's motion to Confirm Award and to Enforce Terms of Offer of Judgment. After reviewing the file and hearing arguments of counsel. The Court DENIES the motion to apply the offer of judgment to the arbitration award. The Court rejects the argument that reservation of rights in the arbitration agreement allowed a parallel litigation to exist with the arbitration. All issues of the offer of judgment could have been submitted to the arbitrator. The role of the Circuit Court is to confirm the arbitration award provided it was entered into in accordance with the law. The arbitration award in this matter is CONFIRMED. Attorney Lehn is directed to submit a formal order outlining the procedural history, pertinent facts, and applicable law within ten (10) days, copying Attorney Mullman with same prior to submission to the Court.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 02/13/2026 .

Thomas W Cox Attorney in Fact

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Anderson Common Pleas

Case Caption: Mary Gray , plaintiff, et al VS Dominion Anderson Llc , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2024CP0401069
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

s/R. Scott Sprouse, Judge #2752

Tenth Judicial Circuit