

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

Jeffrey Johnson and Kristina Johnson, Respondents,

v.

Beaufort County, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-206486

---

Appeal From Beaufort County  
Michael G. Nettles, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2013-UP-473  
Submitted November 1, 2013 – Filed December 18, 2013

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Marshall H. Waldron, Jr., of Griffith, Sadler & Sharp,  
P.A., of Beaufort, for Appellant.

Karl Stephen Brehmer and L. Darby Plexico, III, both of  
Brown & Brehmer, of Columbia, for Respondents.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *McBride v. Sch. Dist. of Greenville Cnty.*, 389 S.C. 546, 558, 698 S.E.2d 845, 851 (Ct. App. 2010) ("In ruling on a directed verdict motion, the trial court must view the evidence and the inferences reasonably drawn from the evidence in the light most favorable to the party opposing the motion. The trial

court must deny the motion[ ] when the evidence yields more than one inference or an inference is in doubt." (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)); *Parrish v. Allison*, 376 S.C. 308, 319, 656 S.E.2d 382, 388 (Ct. App. 2007) ("When considering directed verdict motions, neither the trial court nor the appellate court has authority to decide credibility issues or to resolve conflicts in the testimony or evidence."); *Moriarty v. Garden Sanctuary Church of God*, 341 S.C. 320, 337, 534 S.E.2d 672, 680 (2000) ("The law makes absolutely no distinction between the weight or value to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence." (internal quotation marks omitted)); *Mahaffey v. Ahl*, 264 S.C. 241, 248, 214 S.E.2d 119, 122 (1975) ("A driver's failure to keep a proper lookout and maintain his vehicle under proper control are normally questions to be resolved by the jury.").

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**HUFF, GEATHERS, and LOCKEMY, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.