

RECEIVED
APR 27 2026
SC Court of Appeals

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF PICKENS**

Case No. 2024-CP-39-00404

**Complete Heating and Air, LLC,
Plaintiff,**

v.

**Michelle Lindsay and Shaun Lindsay,
Defendants.**

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Defendant Shaun Lindsay, appearing pro se, hereby appeals to the South Carolina Court of Appeals from the Order entered on or about April 21, 2026, by the Honorable Jessica A. Salvini in the above-captioned matter.

The appealed Order denied Defendant's Motion to Vacate Judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b)(4), SCRPC, together with related motions and objections concerning jurisdiction, service of process, notice, enforcement, contempt-related proceedings, and due process concerns.

Defendant specifically asserts that the judgment is void due to lack of personal jurisdiction, lack of effective notice, unresolved service defects, disputed liability, disputed accounting, and denial of due process.

This appeal is taken on the grounds that the trial court committed reversible error and/or abused its discretion, including but not limited to the following:

1. Failing to vacate a judgment alleged to be void for lack of personal jurisdiction where Defendant asserts he was not properly served in accordance with Rule 4 and Rule 4(b), SCRPC;
2. Failing to adequately address evidence presented regarding improper service, including:
 - o Defendant's asserted non-residence at the alleged service address;
 - o Lack of personal service upon Defendant;
 - o Alleged service involving a minor;
 - o Lack of notice of proceedings;
 - o Evidence that the alleged service location was not Defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode;

3. Failing to meaningfully consider affidavits, witness statements, documentary exhibits, and evidence concerning residence, separation, notice, and service issues;
4. Failing to address Defendant's assertion that he was not a contracting party to the underlying HVAC agreement, did not personally authorize or enter into the contract, and did not incur the alleged debt;
5. Failing to address Defendant's argument that marriage alone does not create personal contractual liability for a spouse's alleged debt, particularly where Defendant asserted separation, independent finances, lack of contractual privity, and absence of any written agreement binding Defendant individually;
6. Failing to require or address accounting for payments alleged to have been made toward the claimed debt, despite Defendant disputing the balance asserted by Plaintiff;
7. Concluding that Defendant failed to establish a meritorious defense despite Defendant asserting:
 - o **improper service;**
 - o **lack of jurisdiction;**
 - o **disputed liability;**
 - o **disputed amount;**
 - o **lack of notice;**
 - o **due process violations;**
8. Entering or upholding rulings despite allegations that filings mailed to the Clerk of Court by certified mail and USPS tracking were not timely docketed prior to ruling;
9. Allowing continued enforcement of the judgment despite unresolved jurisdictional objections, disputed accounting, and alleged defects in notice and service;
10. Permitting contempt-related enforcement despite Defendant's assertion that he lacked notice of the relevant proceedings and was deprived of a meaningful opportunity to be heard.
11. Failing to provide Defendant a meaningful opportunity to appear, contest liability, challenge the amount claimed, and present defenses prior to entry and enforcement of judgment.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the South Carolina Court of Appeals review the Order entered in this matter and grant such relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Shaun Lindsay

Shaun Lindsay
Pro Se Defendant/Appellant
101 Rainbow Drive #14938
Livingston, TX 77399
shaun@shaunlindsay.com
Date: 4.22.2026

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Complete Heating and Air, LLC,
Respondent,

v.

Michelle Lindsay and Shaun Lindsay,
Appellants.

Appeal From Pickens County Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 2024-CP-39-00404

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY OF ENFORCEMENT PENDING APPEAL

Appellant Shaun Lindsay, appearing pro se, respectfully moves this Honorable Court for an Emergency Stay of Enforcement Pending Appeal pursuant to Rule 225, SCACR, Rule 241, SCACR, Rule 62, SCRCPP, and the inherent equitable authority of this Court.

In support thereof, Appellant states as follows:

1. INTRODUCTION

This appeal arises from an Order entered in the Pickens County Court of Common Pleas affecting Appellant's residence, property interests, and continued exposure to enforcement proceedings associated with property located at 196 Mistr Lane, Pickens, South Carolina.

Appellant respectfully seeks an immediate stay of enforcement pending appellate review because enforcement of the judgment threatens irreparable harm before substantial jurisdictional, constitutional, contractual, accounting, and due process issues are resolved.

Appellant seeks temporary appellate relief to preserve the status quo while substantial legal issues remain under review.

Absent appellate intervention, enforcement may continue while the validity and enforceability of the judgment itself remain under appellate review.

This Motion seeks only temporary preservation of rights and property interests pending review and does not request adjudication of the merits at this stage.

2. BASIS FOR APPEAL AND STAY REQUEST

This appeal presents substantial legal questions including, but not limited to:

1. Whether service of process upon Appellant Shaun Lindsay was legally sufficient;
2. Whether personal jurisdiction was properly established;
3. Whether the judgment is void pursuant to Rule 60(b)(4), SCRCP;
4. Whether Appellant was improperly included despite lack of contractual privity;
5. Whether marriage alone may create liability absent contractual obligation;
6. Whether material payment credits and accounting disputes were omitted from the judgment;
7. Whether due process rights were denied through lack of meaningful notice and opportunity to be heard;
8. Whether enforcement should proceed while substantial jurisdictional and constitutional questions remain unresolved.

These issues directly affect the validity and enforceability of the judgment.

2A. SUBSTANTIAL QUESTIONS PRESENTED ON APPEAL

Appellant's appeal is not frivolous and raises substantial legal questions appropriate for appellate review.

The appeal challenges the validity of the judgment itself, including whether the trial court possessed personal jurisdiction to enter judgment against Appellant.

Appellant further raises substantial questions regarding whether enforcement may proceed where:

- Service of process remains materially disputed;
- The alleged service location was not Appellant's dwelling house or usual place of abode;
- Appellant asserts he was not personally served;
- Appellant asserts he was not a contracting party to the underlying obligation;
- Material payment credits and accounting disputes remain unresolved;
- Appellant lacked meaningful notice and opportunity to appear prior to judgment;
- Filings transmitted to the Clerk of Court may not have been timely reflected in the record prior to ruling;
- Enforcement continues despite unresolved due process concerns.

These issues present serious legal questions warranting preservation of the status quo pending appellate review.

3. IRREPARABLE HARM

Absent a stay, Appellant faces immediate and irreparable harm because:

- The judgment may be enrolled or enforced as a lien against Appellant's residence and property interests;
- Appellant may be compelled to disclose private banking and financial information;
- Continued contempt-related exposure may occur despite unresolved jurisdictional objections;
- The property is secured by a VA-backed mortgage requiring continued carrying costs, taxes, insurance, and maintenance obligations;
- Appellant is a service-connected disabled veteran whose housing stability and financial predictability may be materially impaired;
- Continued restriction and enforcement may impair refinancing, sale, equity preservation, title clarity, and property value;
- Enforcement may cloud title or create encumbrances difficult to reverse should appellate relief later be granted;
- Harm caused by enforcement may not be fully reversible if appellate relief is later granted.

Additionally, enforcement of the judgment threatens substantial hardship to Michelle Lindsay, who resides at the subject property and is a single mother responsible for four children.

Continued enforcement, lien activity, contempt-related proceedings, or restrictions affecting the property may create instability in housing, financial security, and the ability to maintain the residence during ongoing construction and repair.

The property remains a primary residence, and enforcement prior to appellate review risks creating unnecessary hardship upon occupants while disputed jurisdictional, notice, accounting, and liability issues remain unresolved.

These harms are not readily compensable through monetary relief and may create permanent prejudice before appellate review can occur.

4. BALANCE OF EQUITIES

The balance of equities favors preservation of the status quo because:

- Respondent suffers minimal prejudice from temporary delay;
- Appellant faces substantial hardship if enforcement proceeds;
- The appeal raises serious jurisdictional and constitutional concerns;
- Temporary preservation prevents irreversible harm;
- Judicial economy favors maintaining stability until appellate review is complete.

Respondent's position is not materially harmed by temporary delay because the judgment, if ultimately upheld, remains enforceable following appellate review.

Temporary preservation merely prevents irreversible harm while legal questions are resolved.

4A. PRESERVATION OF STATUS QUO

The purpose of a stay pending appeal is to preserve the status quo until appellate review is completed.

Granting a stay would not determine the merits of the case but would merely prevent irreversible enforcement consequences while this Court evaluates whether the judgment was lawfully entered and may lawfully remain enforceable.

Preservation of the status quo is particularly appropriate where jurisdiction, notice, service, liability, contractual obligation, accounting, and due process remain actively disputed.

4B. PUBLIC INTEREST AND FAIRNESS

Granting a temporary stay serves the interests of fairness, judicial economy, and orderly appellate process.

A stay ensures that appellate review occurs before irreversible enforcement consequences alter property rights, financial obligations, or housing stability.

Temporary preservation promotes confidence that substantial legal questions will be evaluated before permanent enforcement consequences occur.

5. GOOD FAITH BASIS

This Motion is filed in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

Appellant seeks only to preserve property rights, prevent irreparable harm, and maintain stability while appellate review proceeds.

Appellant does not seek to evade lawful obligations.

Rather, Appellant seeks limited protection from irreversible enforcement while substantial legal and constitutional questions remain under review.

Absent a stay, enforcement may proceed before this Court determines whether the judgment itself is valid.

6. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Enter an immediate Stay of Enforcement Pending Appeal;
2. Prohibit execution, levy, garnishment, lien enforcement, contempt enforcement, or collection activity during appellate review;
3. Stay compelled disclosure of financial or banking information;
4. Preserve the status quo concerning the property located at 196 Mistr Lane, Pickens, South Carolina;
5. Stay restrictions affecting sale, transfer, marketability, or encumbrance of the property;
6. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Absent a stay, enforcement may proceed before this Court determines whether the judgment was lawfully entered and enforceable. Preservation of the status quo is therefore necessary to prevent irreversible prejudice during appellate review.

Respectfully submitted,

Shaun Lindsay

Shaun Lindsay
Pro Se Appellant
101 Rainbow Drive #14938
Livingston, TX 77399
shaun@shaunlindsay.com

Date: 4.22.2026

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Emergency Motion for Stay of Enforcement Pending Appeal was served upon counsel for Respondent by depositing same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and/or by electronic delivery addressed as follows:

David Wyatt, Esq.
1 Whitsett Street
Greenville, SC 29601

This 22nd day of April, 2026.

/s/ Shaun Lindsay
Shaun Lindsay
Pro Se Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the following filings:

1. **Letter to David Wyatt Attorney for Complete Heat and Air**
2. **Notice of Appeal**
3. **Emergency Motion for Stay of Enforcement Pending Appeal filed with the South Carolina Court of Appeals**
4. **Certificate of Service**

were served upon counsel for Plaintiff/Respondent by depositing same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and/or by electronic delivery, addressed as follows:

David Wyatt, Esq.
1 Whitsett St.
Greenville, SC 29601

This 22nd day of April, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

Shaun Lindsay

Shaun Lindsay
Pro Se Defendant/Appellant
101 Rainbow Drive
Livingston, TX 77399

RECEIVED

APR 27 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED
APR 27 2026
SC Court of Appeals

Re: Appeal – Case No. 2024-CP-39-00404
Complete Heating and Air, LLC v. Michelle Lindsay and Shaun Lindsay

Dear Clerk:

Please accept the enclosed filings for filing and docketing in the above-referenced appeal arising from the Orders entered April 20–21, 2026, in the Pickens County Court of Common Pleas.

Appellant Shaun Lindsay, appearing pro se, respectfully submits these materials to preserve appellate rights and to seek review of the denial of Rule 60 relief, related enforcement rulings, and the underlying judgment.

Enclosed please find:

- 1. Notice of Appeal**
- 2. Emergency Motion for Stay of Enforcement Pending Appeal**
- 3. Certificate of Service**
- 4. Copy of the April 21, 2026 Order denying Motion to Vacate Judgment**
- 5. Copy of the April 20, 2026 Order denying Motion to Strike / Stay Enforcement**
- 6. Copy of the March 2025 Master in Equity Order and Rule to Show Cause**
- 7. Copy of the August 2024 Default Judgment and related Judgment Form**
- 8. Partial filing fee in the amount of \$50.00**

The enclosed materials are submitted within the applicable appellate timeframe.

Appellant respectfully requests that these filings be accepted, clocked, docketed, and entered into the official appellate record.

Appellant further respectfully requests written notice confirming receipt and docketing of these filings, including notice of any remaining filing fee balance, deficiency, or additional procedural requirement so that any issue may be promptly cured.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Shaun Lindsay

Shaun Lindsay

Pro Se Appellant

101 Rainbow Drive #14938

Livingston, TX 77399

shaun@shaunlindsay.com

Date: April 23, 2026

RECEIVED

APR 27 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals

Re: Appeal – Case No. 2024-CP-39-00404
Complete Heating and Air, LLC v. Michelle Lindsay and Shaun Lindsay

To the Clerk:

Please accept the enclosed filings for immediate docketing in the above-referenced matter:

- 1. Emergency Motion for Stay of Enforcement Pending Appeal**
- 2. Certificate of Service**
- 3. Copy of Notice of Appeal**

This filing is submitted in connection with Appellant Shaun Lindsay's pending appeal from the Order entered April 21, 2026, in the Pickens County Court of Common Pleas.

Appellant respectfully requests expedited consideration because ongoing enforcement threatens irreparable harm while substantial jurisdictional, service, contractual liability, accounting, and due process issues remain under appellate review.

Please file and docket the enclosed motion accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

Shaun Lindsay

Shaun Lindsay
Pro Se Appellant
101 Rainbow Drive #14938
Livingston, TX 77399
shaun@shaunlindsay.com

PRESS FIRMLY TO SEAL

PRIORITY MAIL
FLAT RATE ENVELOPE
POSTAGE REQUIRED



PRIORITY MAIL

- Expected delivery date specified for domestic use.
- Domestic shipments include \$100 of insurance (restrictions apply).*
- USPS Tracking® service included for domestic and many international destinations.
- Limited international insurance.**
- When used internationally, a customs declaration form is required.

*Insurance does not cover certain items. For details regarding claims exclusions see the Domestic Mail Manual at <http://pe.usps.com>.

** See International Mail Manual at <http://pe.usps.com> for availability and limitations of coverage.

FLAT RATE ENVELOPE
ONE RATE ■ ANY WEIGHT

TRACKED ■ INSURED



EP14F October 2023
OD: 12 1/2 x 9 1/2

To schedule free Package Pickup,
scan the QR code.



USPS.COM/PICKUP



P	US POSTAGE IMI	819160422162210	2000392306
	\$16.90 SSK PR		
04/22/26		Mailed from 29640	02#W2310264
PRIORITY MAIL®			
SHAUN LINDSAY LIVINGSTON TX 77309		3.50 oz	
201 Rainbow Dr. #14938		RDC 03	
EXPECTED DELIVERY DAY: 04/27/26			
RECEIVED APR 27 2026 B012			
SHIP TO:	CLERK OF COURT SC Court of Appeals SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS PO BOX 11629 COLUMBIA SC 29211-1629		
USPS SIGNATURE® TRACKING NBR			
9510 8065 7551 6112 5479 41			

This package is the property of the U.S. Postal Service® and is provided solely for use in sending Priority Mail® and Priority Mail International® shipments. Mailpiece may be a violation of federal law. This package is not for resale. EP14F © U.S. Postal Service, October 2023. All rights reserved.

This package is made from post-consumer waste. Please recycle ♻️ again.