

RECEIVED

APR 27 2026

SC Court of Appeals

NO. _____

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT

ARMANDO DESPAIGNE ZULVETA, Petitioner,

v.

AUGUSTA LAWN CARE OF GREENVILLE, Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the South Carolina Court of Appeals

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

Armando Despaigne Zulveta, Pro Se

606 Pendleton St., Greenville, SC, 29601.

Phone No.: (864) 371-0573

QUESTION PRESENTED.

WHETHER a Plaintiff, Pro Se, should be allowed to proceed in Forma Pauperis defending his case against an openly unconstitutional Order [Judgement] rendered by Court that deprives him of his Substantive Rights?

WHETHER the American Rule should be applied in this Case allowing Petitioner to proceed In Forma Pauperis.

WHETHER EX PARTE MARTIN v. THE STATE 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E. 2d 134 (1995), applies to Petitioner's case.

WHETHER an alleges Order that is not filed with the Clerk of Court and therefore is not showing in Court's Docket Sheet is valid and enforceable.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED.....	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iv
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.....	1
DECISION BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION	1
FEDERAL RULE INVOLVED.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
EX PARTE MARTIN v. THE STATE 321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E. 2d 134 (1995).....	12
The American Rule principle.....	12

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

Petitioner Harold Arthur Henthorn respectfully requests the issuance of a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.

DECISION BELOW.

The decision of South Carolina Court of Appeals' Order [Judgment] March 20, 2026 for Case entitled Armando Despaigne Zuveta, Petitioner v. Augusta Lawn Care of Greenville, LLC, Case No. 2025-001480.

JURISDICTION.

This Court's jurisdiction is invoked under SC Code § 14-3-310.

SOUTH CAROLINA RULE INVOLVED

Rule 501: Code of Judicial Conduct

Canon 1

Canon 2

Canon 3

Rule 24(a)(C)(3) Prior Approval. Proceeding in Forma Pauperis

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

1) The matter of the Case is at the time “Augusta” Owners James R. Richards, (“Mr. Richards”) and Damien Padgett (“Mr. Padgett”) all three individuals collectively known as (“the Employers”) allegations are of Breach of Contract, Duty, Unfair Methods and Deceptive Practices, among other things, while unjustly enriching themselves out of Petitioner’s ideas, innovation, and expertise that is conceded by the fact that under his guidance the entity came out of bankruptcy and made more money for first time of more than eleven years of existence under the name of Tyger Lawn Management & Landscaping, Inc., (“Tyger Lawn”) and after, under the name of “Augusta”.

2) Prior to the occasion Petitioner had worked for “Augusta“ by the time that his landlord “Mr. Richards” co-owner of “Augusta“ along with at the time also co-owner “Mr. Padgett” made a Verbal Implied Contract taking effect for One (1) Year consisting of he is bringing his experience, expertise, ideas and dynamic brought prior into “Augusta”.

from May 31, 2023, date of hiring. Oral Contract Agreement main goal was getting the company out of Bankruptcy If successful, the “Employers” would provide Petitioner a bonus with no Total Amount calculated nor included but the clause, waiting if business became successful and after, calculating it from a company that has been in bankruptcy for more than Eleven years under two different names. See Court’s record Entry 1, p.2-3, paragraph 7.

Armando Despaigne Zulueta
606 Pendleton St,
Greenville, SC
29601

RECEIVED

APR 27 2026

SC Court of Appeals



FOREVER / USA

To Clerk: ENVELOPE # 1
This is LETTER # 1 that
goes with documents in
ENVELOPE # 2, and # 3

CLERK OF COURT
SC COURT OF APPEALS
1220 SENATE ST,
Columbia, SC
29201

2920133768 0075

