

"ATTENTION"

DEC 11, 2013

NOTICE TO CLERK OF COURT OF SC
COURT OF APPEALS:

JENNY A KITCHENOS
V. CLAIRE ALLEN

APPELLANT
ANDREW BLACKMON
APPEAL CASE NO
2013-002440

PLEASE COPY ALL LETTERS & DOCUMENTS
AS WELL AS STAMP AND SEND BACK
THE SAME SO AS TO KEEP ALL MY
LEGAL DOCUMENTS & LETTERS IN MY OWN
PERSONAL FILE.

MAILING ADDRESS

ANDREW BLACKMON #357777
F3-121

KIRKLAND RECEPTION &
EVALUATION CENTER
4344 BROAD RIVER RD
COLUMBIA SC, 29210

RECEIVED

DEC 18 2013

SC COURT OF APPEALS

TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS	
CLERK OF COURT	THE "STATE V. ANDREW BLACKMON
JENNY A. KITCHINGS	APPELLATE CASE No. 2013-002440
DEPUTY CLERK	
V. CLAIRE ALLEN	

DEC. 11. 2013

I AM WRITING THIS HONORABLE COURT THIS LETTER'S TO INFORM THE HONARABIC COURT OF ALL THE ARGUABLE BASIS OF ISSUES PRESERVED FOR APPEAL. ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE THAT I ARGUE IS THE FACT THAT THE HONARABIC JUDGE R. FERRELL COTHMAN CONSIDERED THE SHOWING MADE IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION REQUESTING AN EVALUATION AND FOUND THE DEFENDENTS MENTAL STATE AT THE TIME OF THE AIEGED CRIME WILL BE AT ISSUE IN COURT PROCEEDINGS THE ORDER WAS ISSUED FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON =

DIAGNOSIS WITH MANIC BIPOLAR TYPE 2 DISORDER AND NOT TAKING MEDICATION. ON MAY 7-13 I ANDREW L BLACKMON WAS EVALUATED BY ONE DAWN WHETSTONE SHE BRIEFLY ASK SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT MY PAST & WHAT

(2)

I Remembered About THE day OF THE INCIDENT AFTER ANSWERING ALL QUESTIONS HONESTLY I WAS GIVEN ONE QUESTIONER TEST. I WAS MORE THAN HAPPY ABOUT THIS EVALUATION'S "OUTCOME" BECAUSE I TOLD THE TRUTH. AROUND MAY OR JUNE MIL KING CUTLER PUBLIC DEFENDER IN THIS CASE INFORMED ME THAT THE RESULTS DID NOT COME BACK IN MY FAVOR. I THEN INFORMED MIL KING CUTLER THAT I WOULD LIKE TO REVIEW THE RULES & DISCOVERY & DISCLOSURE. UPON REVIEW OF THE EVALUATION I PARTICIPATED IN I DISCOVERED MULTIPLE ERRORS SO WRONG THAT IT WAS CLEAR TO SEE THE EVALUATION WAS KNOWINGLY & PURPOSELY FRAUDULENT BY ALL ACCOUNTS. I HAVE CREDIBLE EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT MY CLAIM OF WRONGDOING FOR EXAMPLE ON Pg 7-12 OF THE (EVALUATION) IT IS MORE THAN CLEAR THAT I DID NOT ENDORSE ITEMS CONSISTANT WITH A DIAGNOSIS OF ANTISOCIAL DISORDER AS WELL AS INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO CONCLUSIVELY OFFER A DIAGNOSIS. ACCORDING TO THE EVALUATORS.

(3)

ALTHOUGH ON PG 11-12 WHEN IT STATES CLINICAL OPINION REGARDING CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY THE EVALUATOR'S STATE MR BLACKMON'S SYMPTOMS HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED BY THESE EXAMINOR'S AS INDICATIVE OF ANTI SOCIAL DISORDER. ON THE VERY SAME PG 11-12 THE EVALUATORS STATED THERE IS DATA TO INDICATE KNOWLEDGE OF WRONGFULNESS AT THAT TIME FOR EXAMPLE POLICE REPORTS INDICATE MR BLACKMON & HIS CO-DEFENDENT CHANGED THEIR CLOTHES TO EVADE DETECTION. ACCORDING TO THAT POLICE REPORT THAT IS ABSOLUTLY NOT TRUE THE EVALUATORS CLEARLY LIED ON BOTH ACCOUNTS CREDIBLE EVIDENCE WILL PROVE THIS. ANOTHER ARGUABLE ISSUE I PRESENT IS INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL I HAVE LEGAL DOCUMENTATION TO PROVE MR KING CUTLER DID NOT FULLFILL HIS DUTY AS EFFECTIVE COUNSEL AND SUBSEQUENTLY WAS NOT INTERESTED FOR MY LEGAL RIGHTS AS I REVIEWED THE DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED TO THIS HONORABLE COURT OF APPEALS MR CUTLER ONLY FILED NECESSARY MOTIONS ON THE DAY

(4)

before THE TRIAL OF WHICH I HAD NO IDEA OF WHICH IN TURN MADE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO BE DEFENDED IN ANY FORM. HE ALSO NOTES TO THE HONORABLE COURT OF APPEALS THAT THERE WAS SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE OF MY GUILT NOT TRUE ACCORDING TO THE DEFENSE THERE IS MORE EVIDENCE TO PROVE MR BLACKMON NOT GUILTY SUCH AS NO MOTIVE AS WELL AS NO PREMEDITATION WHICH CAN ALSO BE PROVEN. MR CUTTER STATES I REFUSED TO FOCUS ON POTENTIAL DEFENSES WITH THE TRIAL NOT TRUE MR CUTTER DID NOT PUT FORTH ANY EFFORT TO CONTACT CERTAIN WITNESSES SUCH AS BOSTON POLICE OFFICERS WHO WOULD TESTIFY ON MY BEHALF AS TO THE MOTIVE THE PROSECUTOR CLAIMS THEY HAVE. ALSO THE NOTE THAT WAS PRESENTED IN COURT WAS NOT THE NOTE THE TELLER SAID SHE READ ON THE DATE OF THE OFFENSE I SUBMIT THE NOTE TO THE HONORABLE COURT OF APPEALS AS THE SAME NOTE MR CUTTER PRESENTED TO JUDGE NETTELS OF THE THIRD

5

CIRCUIT COURT ON THE DATE OF MY BOND HEARING
AS EVIDENCE TO THE TELLER'S AGNOUAGEMENT AS
THE NOTE SHE RECEIVED ON THE DATE OF
THE OFFENSE. DIFFERENCE BEING THE NOTE
THE TELLER'S VERBLE STATEMENT AS WELL AS
WRITEN STATEMENT IS NOT THE SAME NOTE
PRESENTED AT TRIAL MR CUTTER REFUSED
TO ADDRESS THIS VERRY IMPORTANT EVIDENCE AT
TRIAL. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE NOTES ARE
INCREDIBLY DIFFERENT ONE CONTAINING
THREATS THE OTHER NOTE THE TELLER READ
WHICH I SUBMIT TO THIS COURT NO THREATS.
I DO AGREE WITH MR CUTTER STATEMENT
THAT I INSISTED THAT THE EVAL WAS COMPROMISED
AND ACCOMPANIED BY CONSPIRACY AND FRAUD
IN WHICH I INTEND TO PROVE. I
AND DETAILS
WE

I KNOW FOR A FACT THE ONLY REASON THIS CASE HAS GONE THIS FAR IS BECAUSE THE EVALUATORS FOR THE FORENSIC EVALUATION SERVICE DID NOT STATE THE TRUTH IN THEIR EVALUATION THERE IS CREDIBLE EVIDENCE FROM THEIR OWN DOCUMENTATION THAT WILL PROVE THEY LIED AND MISLED THE COURT'S WITH THEIR CONCLUSION THAT I KNEW RIGHT FROM WRONG. ALSO THERE IS SOLID PROOF BASED ON ACCURATE & RELIABLE RESEARCH AND ACCORDING TO A STATEMENT MADE BY ANDREW & RECORDED IN THE EVALUATION THAT ANDREW SUFFERED FROM ONE NERVOUS BREAK DOWN WHILE SUFFERING FROM MULTIPLE MENTAL ILLNESSE OF WHICH HE HAD NO MEDICATION IN AT LEAST 3-4 YRS ON JAN 24-25 THE DAY BEFORE THE ALLEGED CRIME AS WELL AS ON THE SAME DAY. ALSO BOTH THE PUBLIC DEFENDER & SOLICITORS OFFICE ARE AWARE OF THESE FACTS AND REFUSE TO ADDRESS THEM SIMPLY BECAUSE THE BANK IN QUESTION IS THE COUNTY OF CLARNDON. HALF THE JURY SELECTED IN TRIAL WERE ALL LOYAL CUSTOMERS !! TRULY CONSPIRACY !!

⑥

IN CONCLUSION MR & MRS BLACKMON WANT THIS HONORABLE COURT OF APPEALS TO BE ADVISED TO THE FACT OF THE MATTER WE BOTH SUFFER FROM MENTAL & PHYSICAL ILLNESSES AND DISABILITIES AND TRULY BELIEVE WE ARE BEING TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF WE ARE SO AFRAID OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS CASE THAT WE HAVE GONE TO EXTRAORDINARY LENGTHS TO GAIN THE ATTENTION TO ALL THE FOLLOWING

- ① OBAMA ADMIN WASHINGTON DC.
- ② INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

US ATTORNEY GENERAL
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONS
US MARSHALS = KELLY M NISBET NC
GOVENER MIKI HALEY SC
LT GOVENER SC
SOUTHERN CENTER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS GA
LEGAL ACTION CENTER NY NY
US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE WASHINGTON DC
NAACP
CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAM AND MANY OTHER GOVERNMENT & LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCYS

⑦

LAST BUT CERTAINLY NOT LEAST WE NOTIFIED
THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
AND ASK THE COURT FOR AN INVESTIGATION
INTO OUR CASE WE ARE STILL AWAITING
RESPONSES FROM EVERY ONE WE SUBMITTED ONE
DOCUMENTATION IN THE FORM OF A SINCERE
"CRY" FOR HELP. WE CAN HONESTLY SAY IN ANY
COURT PROCEEDINGS AS WELL AS PROVE IT THAT
WE ARE NOT LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR
THE CRIME WE ARE BEING CHARGED WITH
TO NOTE MR & MRS BLACKMON REQUESTED
FROM MULTIPLE AGENCY'S FOR INVESTIGATION
IN OUR CASE LONG BEFORE THE TRIAL
TOOK PLACE & WE STILL ADAMANTLY
SEEK HELP FROM ANY & ALL AUTHORITIES
SO AS TO HELP US FROM THIS UNJUST
ACT & GROSS MISSED CALLED OF JUSTICE

Truth Fully
Andrew L Blackmon

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the South Carolina Court of Appeals**

**APPEAL FROM CLARENDON COUNTY
Court of General Sessions**

W. Jeffery Young, Third Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2013-002440

The State of South Carolina,

Respondent.

v.

Andrew Lee Blackmon,

Appellant.

Letter to Andrew Lee Blackmon

Defendant, Andrew Lee Blackmon, appeals his negotiated guilty plea and sentence in this case. The following is the statement of facts required by Rule 203(B)(iv) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Date: December 5, 2013



L. King Cutter
Clarendon County Public Defender
PO Box 339
Manning, SC 29102
(803) 433-0188
Bar Number 100513

RECEIVED
DEC 16 2013
SC COURT OF APPEALS

ANDREW L BLACKMON 357777 F3-121 "CLERK"
KIRKLAND RECEPTION EVALUATION CENTER
1364 Broad River RD
COLUMBIA SC 29210

JENNY ABBOT KITCHINGS

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

PO. BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SC 29211

LEGAL
MAIL
ONLY

RECEIVED


DEC 13 2013

KIRKLAND RECEPTION
MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

DEC 16 2013

SC COURT OF APPEALS



FOREVER
USA

Bank Swallow

POSTNET
4

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
HAS NOT CENSORED THIS ITEM
THEREFORE THE DEPARTMENT DOES
NOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR
ITS CONTENTS



SUSTAINABLE
FORESTRY
INITIATIVE
Certified Fiber Sourcing
www.sfi-program.org

THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT 

© USPS 2012