

**RECEIVED**

**May 01 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

**FORM 13  
BRIEF OF APPELLANT\***

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals  
[In The Supreme Court]

APPEAL FROM YORK COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

William McKinnon, Circuit Judge

Case No. 2025CP4604437

William McKinnon,

Respondent,

v.

Tanya Murphy,

Appellant.

[INITIAL] BRIEF OF APPELLANT

Tanya Murphy  
Post Office Box 292  
Rock Hill, South Carolina 29731  
(803) 374-7461  
Pro Se Litigant

\* Under Rule 267(e), SCACR, the cover of the final briefs should be the following colors: brief of appellant - blue; brief of respondent - red; reply brief - gray; and amicus curiae or intervenor -green.

**RECEIVED**

**May 01 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

**FORM 13  
BRIEF OF APPELLANT\***

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals  
[In The Supreme Court]

---

APPEAL FROM YORK COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

William McKinnon, Circuit Judge

---

Case No. 2025CP4604437

---

Thomas Roper,

Respondent,

v.

Tanya Murphy,

Appellant.

---

[INITIAL] BRIEF OF APPELLANT

---

Tanya Murphy  
Post Office Box 292  
Rock Hill, South Carolina 29731  
(803) 374-7461  
Pro Se Litigant

\* Under Rule 267(e), SCACR, the cover of the final briefs should be the following colors: brief of appellant - blue; brief of respondent - red; reply brief - gray; and amicus curiae or intervenor -green.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities ..... ii

Statement of Issues on Appeal..... 1

Statement of the Case..... 1

Standard of Review ..... 1

Facts ..... 1

Arguments

1. BECAUSE RESPONDENT AND JUDGE COULD HAVE RAISED FRAUD IN NOT ALLOWING APPELLANT TO GIVE TESTIMONY OF CORRUPTION WITH SUPPORTING EVIDENCE AND THE CASE NOT RECORDED ..... 2

2. BECAUSE FRAUD MUST BE PROVED BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE, THE COURT ERRED WHEN IT REFUSED TO DISMISS A JUDGE THAT COULD BE BIASED IN THIS CASE BECAUSE OF AFFILIATION TO A PREVIOUS CORRUPT CASE ..... 2

Conclusion..... 2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES\*

CASES

Mallett v. Mallett, 473 S.E.2d 804 (1996) ..... 2

Caperton v. Massey, 556 U.S. 868 (2009) ..... 2

Matter of Ferguson, 437 S.E. 2d 72 (1993)..... 2

STATUTES

S.C. Code Ann. § 14-7-1650 (2025)..... 2

S.C. Code Ann. § 16-17-735 (2025)..... 2

U.S. Code 455- a b (1)(2)(3) iii c.....2

OTHER AUTHORITIES

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF CONTRACTS Section 100 (1981).....2

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS Section 300 (1981) .....2

\*The authorities cited are fictitious and intended to show the form of citation only.

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR IN FAILING TO INQUIRE/INVESTIGATE IF THE LOWER COURT JUDGE WAS FRAUDULENTLY PRACTICING?
2. DID THE TRIAL COURT ERR IN NOT ALLOWING APPELLANT TO GIVE TESTIMONY AND SHOW EVIDENCE TO PROVE FRAUD?

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On November 18, 2026 Appellant filed an appeal on a lower court decision against Best Way Inn. The appeal had been dismissed (requested by appellant) by the lower courts in which the Respondent and alleged two Judges agreed to allow the case to be heard by a Higher Court. Appellant was not notified by any party including Mr. Roper that if her case was to be dismissed, she would have to pay Attorney fees, or the case would have been heard along with the Respondent. The emails were with Tea Hoffmann, Attorney Roper and Judge Colton with others cc: in on the correspondence.

The Judge whom stated she was Tea Hoffmann not Judge Telisha Hoffmann, allowed the Respondent to give testimony and disregarded my testimony, the Judges statement was my case is dismissed and being filed with a Higher Court so she cannot here my testimony or look at my evidence.

The Judge proceeded to award a judgement to the Respondent for Attorney fees. After awarding the fees, I am alleging a bribery attempt was made to work out something with the other party, the case had already been ruled upon, therefore this was an inappropriate statement.

Upon going to file the appeal, The Judges jurisdiction had to be noted, the search results were the Judge in question was not a Judge but a Lawyer and I attached this evidence on November 18, 2025, therefore, the Judge was well aware of my suspicion.

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

[The Judge erroneous behavior for denying The Alter and Amend, when the Appellant explained the damages it would cause, had little to no regard for the allegations that were made. Prolonging the case and Abused his Power which punished the Appellant for bringing suit and refusing to comply with the cult. In which she had substantial evidence makes him appear stupid and needs to be reviewed.]

## FACTS

[The Appellant wish to set out facts that she asked the Judge to recuse himself because this may interact with other cases that may be under investigation. The Judge Abused his Power and was biased in his decision making. Refusing to hear testimony defeated the purpose in filing with a Higher Court. The appellant went for relief and ended up owing a judgement. For this erroneous action the courts need to be held in the highest accountability the law allows for carrying out this scheme until the very end. Record on Appeal is filed on my Designation of Matter.]

## ARGUMENTS

- I. BECAUSE RESPONDENT AND JUDGE COULD HAVE RAISED FRAUD IN HIS PRIOR RULING BY NOT RECUSING HIMSELF LED TO ABUSE OF POWER, BIASED DECISION, CORRUPTION AND CONSPIRACY.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

- II. BECAUSE FRAUD MUST BE PROVED BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE, THE TRIAL COURT ERRED WHEN IT REFUSED TO HEAR EVIDENCE AND GIVE TESTIMONY. FURTHERMORE, THE RECORDINGS WERE SABATOGED TO COVER UP TRUE STATEMENTS.

[Set out discussion and citations of authority.]

## CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should reverse the judgment of the circuit court.

Respectfully submitted,

May 05, 2025

/s/ Tanya Murphy  
Tanya Murphy  
G.E.D.  
Post Office Box 292  
Rock Hill, South Carolina 29731  
(803) 374-7461  
Pro Se Litigant