

RECEIVED

May 05 2026

May 5, 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Motion to recuse Judge Vinson, Alleging this may interfere with his Cult Membership

To whom it may concern, My name is Lanya Murphy. Ms. Murphy wishes to report fraud, My appeal was filed on 2/10/2026 and the proceed in forma pauperis was filed on 2/10/2026. A deficiency letter was issued on 2/20/2026 in which the Appellant corrected on 2/23/2026 and 2/24/2026. The Appellant has called and spoken with Stormy whom I was told is a Supervisor, I made her aware I had filed my Designation of Matter and Brief on 5/1/2026 and wanted to know when the forma pauperis would be approved or denied since it was a few months ago was concerning to me. On this same day she told me it could take months, to turn around and deny it the same day. Judge Vinson I am alleging is leading the Cult at the Appeals Court and is Biased, Prejudicial and Abuse of Discretion is present being that he is the same Judge that dismissed a child support case that is listed on

the Designation of Matter, which is an Exhibit that is not showing on the York County Public clnder along with other filings. Ms. Murphy wishes another Judge to look at the Forma Pauperis that I have & income and Judge Vinson has information as to why I don't have income. Judge Vinson denied a Child Support Forma Pauperis in which the lower Court Judge admitted she's taking a lawyer's word over my evidence. Please excuse Judge Vinson, I am alleging this would interfere with his Cult Membership. Ms. Murphy is showing proof I submitted Designation of Matter & Brief and it is not showing in the documents.

Tanya Murphy



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF York  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE  
CASE NO. 2025CP4604437

Tanya Murphy  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Best Way Inn  
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court.

Plaintiff has filed a number of documents without making a formal motion. In the event these filings were intended as a second Motion to Alter or Amend, that motion is DENIED.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 03/26/2026.

Tanya Murphy for Tanya Murphy  
Tanya Murphy for Tanya Murphy

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025 Mar 26 10:37 PM - YORK - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP4604437

*Lower Courts  
Continues to say  
does not end case  
after I petitioned  
the courts.*

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2025






Jan 15, 2025 >



4:41 PM

Back up now



*Fired Targeted  
on the job w/ have  
& income.*



Share



Edit



Ask



Add to



Trash



(803) 374-7141  
Oct 20, 2025 >  
May 21, 1:33 PM 10:37 AM

★ Edit

☁ Back up now

On April 5th, 2025 Officers responded to 825 Riverview Rd which is located within the city limits of Rock Hill, South Carolina in reference to a civil issue.

Officers arrived and spoke to Tarrya Murphy who stated someone came into her room unwanted when she put a handmade sign on the door stating do not enter. The Bestway Inn manager stated they only went into the room for pest control to stray as they were doing in every room. stated then Murphy came to the office yelling at them for them entering the room. states Murphy should have explained she didn't want anyone to enter at check-in. then told Murphy she needed to today by 11:00am. returned \$70.00 back to Murphy's card for making her leave early. Murphy states she had the room booked until the 04/09/2025 and she should receive more money back. Officers advised this was a civil issue and she would have to take it up in court. Murphy advised she wanted to police report.

This report is for documentation purposes only.



Share



Edit



Ask



Add to



Trash

*This Case Has  
Merit and the Courts  
Refuse to hear this  
Case, I am alleging  
Cult affiliation.*






# Brief of Appellate



Me



May 1 ☆ ...

To: Court Of Appeals Filings

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

1 Attachment



Chester Library\_20260501\_152457.pdf



Court Of Appeals ...

May 4 ☆ ...

To: Me & 1 more

The Court has received your filing. A stamped copy is attached for your recor...

*The Courts  
Denied the forma  
pauperis after reading  
Brief & Designation of  
Matter*



Delete



Reply



Forward



Move



More





May 5 >

12:11 PM



Back up now

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

South Carolina Department of Social Services,  
Respondent,


v.  
Artavius Rice, Defendant,

of whom Tanya Murphy is the Appellant

Appellate Case No. 2025-000727

ORDER

The motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied pursuant to *Ex parte Martin*,  
321 S.C. 533, 471 S.E.2d 134 (1995). The filing fee must be paid within fifteen  
days of the date of this order

  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:  
Tanya L. Murphy  
Jennifer Marie Clinkscales, Esquire

FILED  
Jun 11 2025



Share



Edit



Ask



Add to



Trash

