

**FORM 11**

**LETTER ORDERING TRANSCRIPT FROM COURT REPORTER(S)**

April 30, 2026. (attn.: Court Reporters)

CLERK OF COURT 223 N. Live Oak Dr. Moncks Corner, South Carolina 29461

CLERK OF COURT 100 Broad Street Charleston S C 29401

CLERK OF COURT 5200 Jim Bilton Blvd St George S C 29477

CLERK OF APPEAL COURT P O Box 11629, Columbia S C 29211

**RECEIVED**

**MAY 04 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

RE: State of South Carolina, as Deputy Hannah Reed was Personal Representative of the Estate of Florence Bland Smith Bennett (deceased) , Respondent, v. Wesley Edward Smith III Appellant , Case 2026-000852

Dear Court Reporter,:

1. On 20 April 2026, the above case was tried before the Honorable Kristi Curtis of the South Carolina Court of Appeals in Columbia. South Carolina of which in twenty (20) days to willingly supply the requested information for discovery and court determination usages.

2. I, Wesley Edward Smith III request as the court reporter for this case. that you provide me with the complete transcript of the proceedings. Please transcribe the entire record, especially the following parts: (1) (2) (3) Selection and swearing of the jury; Opening statements of counsel for defendant and respondent; including the closing arguments of counsel for Respondent which as of this date still not disclosed

3. All the prior records related to Ms. Florence Bland Smith Bennett (deceased) , the charging administration agency investigated and confirms by writing and or as seal stamp of approval, called for the produced Orders, Conviction, Arrest, Executions of Judgment(s) under the State of South Carolina rule of law, which finality of judgment coincide with this case action were to be in strict compliance with S C Code 17-25-10 and the rule of law under S C Rule of Criminal Procedures rule 5.

4. Involved most recently to case of magistrates Court that was under appeal 2024-CV-0810400985 (et al) Case assigned No. 2024-CP-08-3362 clarifying" The STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA for the NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT case being IN THE CITY OF MONCK'S CORNER BUT intervened as remanded with magistrates instruction as third parties as filed assigning cases related to state under Case 2024-CP-08100400368 REF consolidated per ticket number 81090665792 as adjudicated by Magistrate Judge Victor B Whilden, as persons captioned named above Respondent v Appellant/Defendant action were to contest the results and findings of the magistrate judgments under S C Code 1-23-600(D) for error perceived under the judgment determinations: on right to appeal a final court administrative decision or

reconsiderations under rule 40, grounds for a subsequent hearing under rule 60 and to determine if proper jurisdictions existed for totality of magistrate judges actions in rulings under the South Carolina Court (Administrative Law Court absent the result of the South Carolina Procedural Act, as was forwarded or handed down to the Berkeley County Circuit who serves as the Appeals Court authority,

5 With the understanding that in the municipality and county of this court to make determinations such action to be involved with by this subdivision of the State as a local court jurisdiction of matters, involved subject matter of county or local 1) ordinance violation, 2) a traffic stop violation or 3) issue of local magistrates pretrial conformance rules and procedures, but these person acted as the court of general assembly, the probate court and act the authority of court on family matters. Regardless, the Ninth Judicial Circuit Court still allowed justice to proceed in unfair and unequal treatments against this person Wesley Edward Smith III while operating both under the color of law and color of law authority, as a Berkeley County court, which remanded the action back into the same magistrates court judges, who made unripe determinations, as errors were perceived upon which a reconsideration was requested for review in violation of this United State of America Citizen under protected activity contract with Constitutional due process rights (as no prior case or reports and recommendations notes to object in a timely manner of rights to appeal the administrative officer or administrative investigating agency agent(s), involved with the adjudication determination process information the civil or constitutional wrong I, Wesley Edward Smith III committed while in the performance of my job duties under S C Coe 41-7-10 RIGHT TO WORK POLICY while at work which injuries sustained by anyone of the listed State of South Carolina Chief Employers or other employee under the contracts, as person claimed to have been an injured eye witness as seen on the requested records, but still being denied discovery under rule 26.01

6. Unresolved and not formally contested are issues of rights for post conviction reliefs and remedy and subsequent cross claims under a Civil Rights Action Lawsuit S C code 1-13-10 (et seg) South Carolina Human Affairs Civil Rights Law S C Code 1-13-80 claims is absent, Magistrates non-conformances under 73.02(b) Pre trial conferences, scheduling, or to allow Settlement agreement between parties, the Circuit Court discovery pursuant local rule 5.1 and FAST TRACT with State of South Carolina matters for a Pro Se Party as such other action too were overlooked of Wesley Edward Smith III on cause of action arises as the State and third party allegedly violated the Administrative Procedural Act and South Carolina Human Affairs S C Code 1-13-10 (et seg), as violated regarding situation as involved with the **Law enforcement and procedural misconduct was 1) Excessive and unnecessary force 2) False arrest or imprisonment** as Arresting Wesley Edward Smith III as a tenant without shown established evidence and without establishing the state burden of proof beyond reasonable doubt **Unlawful search and seizure** abusing Wesley Edward Smith III by putting hands on (a crime by committing abuse and battery) searching this person or ransacking Wesley Edward Smith III as a private resident and tenant on property without a warrant or showing probable cause, which violates Fourth Amendment rights, a **cultural Racial Animus (envy unexplained) as profiled**

by being unjustly targeted by these state and other third party individuals for stops or arrests based on their race, ethnicity, or national origin in opposition of my United States Constitutional Due process rights as a private civilian, verified by Henry McMasters which authorized my reside be in peace and privacy as denoted by law

6. If not afforded transcripts at the government expenses (as herein requested), I Wesley Edward III agree to pay the per page charges for these transcripts as provided by Rule 607, SCACR.

Sincerely,

  
Wesley Edward Smith III

Post Office Box 294

Moncks Corner, S C 29461

email: [wsmittdy4@gmail.com](mailto:wsmittdy4@gmail.com) Appellant

cc: S.C. Clerk Supreme Court. cc South Carolina Court of Appeal CLERK  
Cc Ninth Judicial Circuit Court Courts CLERKS ((ie Charleston, Dorchester and Berkeley Counties) respectively for productions of document) unless all the parties to the appeal agree, a transcript of the entire as proceedings must be ordered, as Form 10 which contains a sample agreement to order less than the entire transcript will be provided, if needed

Assoc. Edward S. ...  
P.O. Box 294  
Moncks Corner SC 29461

Retail



29211

U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
FCM LETTER  
MONCK'S CORNER, SC 29461  
APR 30, 2026

\$1.07

S2323Y501469-08

RDC 99

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS  
CATHY (LORILL) ...  
P.O. BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA SC 29211

RECEIVED

MAY 04 2026

SC Court of Appeals

2921181629 8012

