



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

May 5, 2026

The Honorable Angie Bryant
PO Box 649
York SC 29745-0649

RECEIVED

May 05 2026

SC Court of Appeals

REMITTITUR

Re: Joe Adams Jr. v. Betty Ogbuneke
Lower Court Case No. 2021CP4602764
Appellate Case No. 2022-000357

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy
CLERK



Enclosure

cc: Joe L. Adams, Jr.
William Cyrus Corbett, Esquire

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

Joe L. Adams, Jr., Appellant,

v.

Betty Ogbuneke, Respondent,

In the Matter of Joe L. Adams, Sr.

Appellate Case No. 2022-000357

Appeal From York County
William A. McKinnon, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2025-UP-366
Submitted October 23, 2025 – Filed November 5, 2025

AFFIRMED

Joe L. Adams, Jr., pro se, of Rock Hill.

William Cyrus Corbett, of Cyrus Corbett, Attorney at
Law LLC, of Chester, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Joe L. Adams, Jr., appeals the circuit court's dismissal of his appeal from a probate court order which directed a verdict in favor of Betty Ogbuneke, the personal representative of the Estate of Joe L. Adams, Sr., on Adams's application for restraint and performance of the personal representative.

Adams raised fourteen issues on appeal. He argues (1) the circuit and probate courts erred in failing to make complete findings of fact in violation of Rule 52(a) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, (2) the circuit court erred in failing to make "additional" findings of fact after Adams told the circuit court he did not have the opportunity to testify before the probate court, (3) the circuit court erred when it failed to remove Ogbuneke as personal representative of the estate or assign co-personal representative rights to Adams when Ogbuneke allegedly "misrepresented" and "falsified" information on her personal representative application, (4) the circuit court erred by failing to consider allegations of credit card fraud and credit reports related to Ogbuneke, (5) the probate court erred by failing to allow Adams to present evidence in violation of Rules 19, 50 and 52 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, (6) the circuit court erred by failing to award Adams co-personal representative rights when Ogbuneke failed to file certain documents related to the estate, (7) the circuit court erred when it failed to grant Adams relief for harassment he experienced from Ogbuneke after the probate court hearing, (8) the circuit court erred by failing to make findings of fact based on Adams's assertion that he was not allowed to testify at the probate court hearing, (9) the probate court erred when it directed a verdict in favor of Ogbuneke because Adams was not provided an opportunity to testify, submit evidence, or present a closing argument, (10) the circuit court erred by failing to make findings of fact based on Adams's assertion that the probate court refused to allow Adams to submit evidence, (11) the circuit court erred by failing to "review the case and see all the evidence," (12) the circuit court erred by accepting Ogbuneke's "explanations" regarding what occurred at the probate court hearing, (13) the circuit court erred because it was unfamiliar with the process for appealing a probate court's decision and with the specific documentation related to Adam's appeal, and (14) the circuit court erred by not investigating Adams's claims and not making findings of fact related to his claims. We affirm, pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR.

The circuit court dismissed Adams's appeal from the probate court, finding he failed to (1) file a statement of issues on appeal as required by section 62-1-308(b) of the South Carolina Code (2022) and (2) state a claim based on an appealable issue. On appeal to this court, Adams has not challenged the circuit court's dismissal of his appeal on the basis that he failed to comply with section 62-1-308(b). Because Adams does not appeal this finding, it is the law of the case, and we affirm. *See Dreher v. S.C. Dep't of Health & Env't Control*, 412 S.C. 244, 250, 772 S.E.2d 505, 508 (2015) ("[S]hould the appealing party fail to raise all of the grounds upon which a lower court's decision was based, those unappealed findings—whether correct or not—become the law of the case."); *Shirley's Iron*

Works, Inc. v. City of Union, 403 S.C. 560, 573, 743 S.E.2d 778, 785 (2013) ("An unappealed ruling is the law of the case and requires affirmance."); Rule 220(c), SCACR ("The appellate court may affirm any ruling, order, decision or judgment upon any ground(s) appearing in the [r]ecord on [a]ppeal.").

AFFIRMED.¹

WILLIAMS, C.J., and VINSON and CURTIS, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.