

RECEIVED

May 01 2026

SC Court of Appeals

**From:** [Andy Garsea](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Subject:** Case No: 2025-001299 Incorrect Date given for Initial Brief deadline for Respondents.  
**Date:** Friday, May 1, 2026 5:26:20 PM  
**Attachments:** [USPS Tracking \(2\) Brief proof of delivery DRH.pdf](#)  
[Correspondence \(2\) proof of delivery.pdf](#)

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Hello Caitlin,

We are writing to follow up on our conversation on Friday afternoon, May 1, 2026, at approximately 4:30 p.m., regarding the deadline for the Respondent, D.R. Horton, Inc., to file its response brief in Case No. 2025-001299.

After reviewing the official proof of service documents, we would like to clarify the correct timeline, as there appears to have been a mix-up between two separate filings.

The Initial Brief was served and delivered on April 11, 2026, as confirmed by USPS tracking and proof of delivery, which also reflects receipt and stamping by the Court of Appeals on April 13, 2026.

Accordingly, the Respondent's deadline to file its response brief runs from April 11, 2026, making the correct due date May 11, 2026.

The later date referenced during our call appears to be based on a separate service dated April 25, 2026, which pertains to the Notice of Corrected Caption, Motion to Supplement the Record, and Designation of Matter. Those filings are unrelated to the Initial Brief and do not affect the Respondent's briefing deadline.

For clarity and to ensure the docket reflects the correct timeline, we respectfully request confirmation that:

1. The Respondent's response brief is due May 11, 2026; and
2. The correct proof of service (April 11, 2026) is being used for calculating that deadline.

We are attaching proof of both service documents for ease of reference.

Thank you for your time and assistance in correcting this matter.

Respectfully,

Attia Elbadawy

and Lynne Chatlos  
Pro Se Appellant