



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

May 8, 2026

Rhonda Meisner
Post Office Box 689
Blythewood SC 29016

Re: Rhonda Meisner v. Grant Meisner (14)
Appellate Case No. 2025-000164

Dear Ms. Meisner:

Enclosed is a copy of an order of the panel denying your petition for rehearing. Your petition for rehearing en banc was distributed to the judges, but it has been rejected. *See* Rule 219, SCACR.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy". The signature is fluid and cursive.

CLERK

cc: Stephanie Holmes Burton, Esquire
Michael C. Tanner, Esquire
James Edward Bradley, Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Rhonda Meisner, Appellant,

v.

Grant Meisner; Grant Meisner, MD, LLC; Sheila Robinson; Erwin Mangubat, MD; Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A.; Moore Taylor Law Firm P.A.; Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, PA; Tricia L. Flowers; Flowers Consulting, LLC; Flowers Consulting, LLC; Richard G. Whiting, Esquire; Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, PA; John Doe (1-10) a fictional name assigned to identify parties that are not yet known or not yet determined, Defendants,

of which Grant Meisner, MD, LLC; Sheila Robinson; Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A.; Moore Taylor Law Firm P.A.; Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, PA; Tricia L. Flowers; Flowers Consulting, LLC; Flowers Consulting, LLC; Richard G. Whiting, Esquire; Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, PA; John Doe (1-10) a fictional name assigned to identify parties that are not yet known or not yet determined, are Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2025-000164

ORDER

On December 2, 2025, this court dismissed this appeal as to Respondent Erwin Mangubat, MD and Respondent Grant Meisner. On December 17, 2025, Appellant filed a petition for rehearing requesting this court rehear the dismissal. After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded,

and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

Appellant also asked this court to rehear its order denying her motion to consolidate her appeals. After careful consideration, we take no action on this portion of Appellant's petition because this court's denial of her motion to consolidate did not finally decide the appeal. *See* Rule 221(c), SCACR ("The appellate court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal."); Rule 240(i), SCACR ("The court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal.").

Further, Appellant asked this court to rehear its refusal in its December 2, 2025 order to reinstate an appeal this court dismissed on June 6, 2023. After careful consideration, we take no action on this portion of Appellant's petition because this court's December 2, 2025 order did not have the effect of dismissing or finally deciding Appellant's prior appeal. *See* Rule 221(c), SCACR ("The appellate court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal."); Rule 240(i), SCACR ("The court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal.").

Finally, Appellant requested permission to file a motion pursuant to Rule 60(b) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure. After careful consideration, we take no action on this portion of her petition in light of our decision to deny Appellant's petition to rehearing. *See* Rule 60(b), SCRPC ("During the pendency of an appeal, leave to make the motion must be obtained from the appellate court.").



J.



J.

Kristi Curtis

J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Rhonda Meisner

Stephanie Holmes Burton, Esquire

Michael C. Tanner, Esquire

James Edward Bradley, Esquire

FILED
May 08 2026