

**EXHIBIT
B**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE

Pawnee Leasing Corporation

Plaintiff(s)

vs

Omega Laser & Skin Care, LLC, and Anacorita
Ellisor, Guarantor

Defendant(s)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JR No. 2025-CP-23-01056

ORDER FOR JUDGMENT

RECEIVED

May 12 2026

SC Court of Appeals

This matter came before me at the Greenville County Courthouse on March 5th 2026 on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to Rule 56 S.C.R.C.P. Present before me was John-Paul Baum of Campbell Teague LLC, representing the Defendants, Omega Laser & Skin Care, LLC, and Anacorita Ellisor, Guarantor, and also present before me was Anthony D. Hoefler of Levi, Wittenberg, Harritt, Hoefler & Davis, P.A., representing the Plaintiff, Pawnee Leasing Corporation. Both counsel made persuasive and compelling arguments in support of their respective positions.

The Plaintiff instituted this action seeking a money Judgment against the Defendant in the principal amount of Sixty-Six Thousand, Three Hundred Seventy-Two, and 10/100 Dollars (\$66,372.10) together with interest, attorney fees, and court costs. The Defendants timely served and filed their Answer generally denying the allegations of the Plaintiff's Complaint. Based upon the pleadings in this case, and particularly the Affidavit of Plaintiff's Employee Kenny Fitzgerald, filed in support of the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, I find as follows:

The Plaintiff is the assignee of an Equipment Finance Agreement ("EFA") initially entered into by and between the Defendants and Tandem Finance Inc., under which it financed the purchase of the equipment described as a Spectrum Laser System with accessories, a BodyTone, and an UltraLight LED System, for which the Defendants were to pay Six (6) payments of Ninety-Nine Dollars (\$99.00) and Sixty (60) payments of One Thousand, Six Hundred Fifty-Eight, and 88/100 Dollars (\$1,658.88), for a total of One Hundred Thousand, One Hundred Twenty-Six, and 80/100 Dollars (\$100,126.80). The Defendants failed to make payment as required under the EFA, and pursuant to its perfected security interest, the Plaintiff recovered possession of a portion of

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Mar 13 3:27 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 May 11 3:04 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056

the collateral, for which the Defendants were given credit. Attached to the Plaintiff's Complaint is a Payoff Quotation which itemized the balance remaining due under the EFA after providing all credits due, including credit for return of the collateral.

The Plaintiff served and filed the Affidavit in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment by Kenny Fitzgerald, Vice President of Legal and Asset Management for Pawnee Leasing Corporation, averring that the allegations of the Plaintiff's Complaint were true and correct. This Plaintiff's Affidavit of Mr. Fitzgerald was not controverted by Affidavit on behalf of the Defendants, and I find that the averments in Mr. Fitzgerald's Affidavit establish that the equipment described above was accepted by the Defendants in satisfactory condition and that the Defendants defaulted in payment. The Defendant Anacorita Ellisor conceded that it was her DocuSigned signature on the EFA, but denied the identical DocuSigned signature on the guaranty. I find that the admitted signature is, in fact, identical.

As an additional sustaining ground for granting the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, I find that the Defendants' response and supplemental response to the Plaintiff's Requests for Admission establishes Plaintiff's entitlement to Summary Judgment.

The purpose of Summary Judgment is expediting the disposition of cases which do not require the services of a fact finder (*Dawkins v. Fields*, 354 S.S. 58, 580 S.E. 2d 433 (2003)). Summary Judgment is appropriate where the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories and admissions on file, together with Affidavit, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to Judgment as a matter of law. *Russell v. Wachovia Bank, N.A.*, 353 S.C. 208, 578 S.E.2d 329 (2003), wherein the party moving for Summary Judgment meets the initial burden of showing an absence of evidentiary support in the opponent's case, the opponent simply cannot rely on mere allegation of denial contained in the pleadings (*Regions Bank*, 354 S.C. at 660, 582 S.E.2d at 438). Rather, the nonmoving party must come forward with specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial (*SSI Med. Servs., Inc. v. Cox*, 301 S.C. 493, 392 S.E. 2d 789 (1990)).

Based upon the foregoing, I find that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the Plaintiff

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Mar 13 3:27 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 May 11 3:04 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056

is entitled to Summary Judgment.

IT IS ORDERED, DEJUDGED AND DECREED, that the Plaintiff be and hereby is granted Judgment in the principal sum of Sixty-Six Thousand, Three Hundred Seventy-Two, and 10/100 Dollars (\$66,372.10) together with interest of Twenty-Four Percent (24%) per annum, as provided for in the EFA, from September 6th 2024 through March 9th 2026 in the sum of Twenty-Three Thousand, Nine Hundred Fifty-Eight, and 36/100 Dollars (\$23,958.36), together with attorneys fees, as provided for in the EFA and based upon the Affidavit of Attorneys Fees filed contemporaneously with this Order, in the sum of Nine Thousand, Nine Hundred Fifty-Five, and 00/100 Dollars (\$9,955.00), together with court costs in the sum of Two Hundred Sixty-Two and 06/100 Dollars (\$262.06), for a total Judgment to be entered in the sum of One Hundred Thousand, Five Hundred Forty-Seven, and 52/100 Dollars (\$100,547.52).

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Judge G.D. Morgan, Jr.
Presiding Judge, Greenville County

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Mar 13 3:27 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 May 11 3:04 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056

[JUDGE'S SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW]

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2025-CP-23-01056

Pawnee Leasing Corporation

Omega Laser & Skin Care, LLC, and
Anacorita Ellisor, Guarantor

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

RECEIVED

May 12 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Submitted by: Anthony D. Hoefler

Attorney for : Plaintiff Defendant(s)
or
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED** (*CHECK REASON*): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN** (*CHECK REASON*): Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*):
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : _____

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount to be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
Pawnee Leasing Corporation	Omega Laser & Skin Care, LLC, and Anacorita Ellisor, Guarantor	\$100,547.52

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.**

Circuit Court Judge

Judge Code

Date

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Mar 13 3:27 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 May 11 3:04 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the _____ day of _____, 2026 and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this _____ day of _____, 2026 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Levi, Wittenberg, Harritt, Hoefler & Davis, P.A.

Post Office Drawer 730

Sumter, South Carolina 29151

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Mar 13 3:27 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056
ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 May 11 3:04 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2025CP2301056



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Pawnee Leasing Corporation vs. Omega Laser & Skin Care Llc ,
defendant, et al
Case Number: 2025CP2301056
Type: Order/Judgment and Form 4

So Ordered

G.D. Morgan Jr.

Electronically signed on 2026-03-13 15:00:07 page 7 of 7