

# Certificate of Electronic Notification

## Recipients

**Jacob Biltoft** - Notification transmitted on 05-08-2026 02:19:04 PM.

**RECEIVED**

**May 12 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

\*\*\*\*\* IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION \*\*\*\*\*

NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING [NEF]

**RECEIVED**

**May 12 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: 2026CP4000645

Official File Stamp: 05-08-2026 02:18:56 PM

Court: CIRCUIT COURT

Common Pleas

Richland

Case Caption: Ubong Christopher Ubokudom , plaintiff, et al vs University Of South Carolina

Document(s) Submitted: Form 4 Plaintiff's Motions were heard in-person on May 4, 20 Form 4 Plaintiff's Motions were heard in-person on May 4, 2026. After hearing arguments presented by the parties, Plaintiff's discovery motions are DENIED because the Court finds the De...

Filed by or on behalf of: Daniel Coble

This notice was automatically generated by the Court's auto-notification system.

The following people were served electronically:

Jacob Alan Biltoft for University Of South Carolina

The following people have not been served electronically by the Court. Therefore, they must be served by traditional means:

Ubong Ubokudom

Ubong Christopher Ubokudom for Ubong Christopher Ubokudom

Ubong Christopher Ubokudom for Ubong Christopher Ubokudom

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Richland  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2026CP4000645

Ubong Christopher Ubokudom et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

University Of South Carolina  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRCP;  Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRCP;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Plaintiff's Motions were heard in-person on May 4, 2026. After hearing arguments presented by the parties, Plaintiff's discovery motions are DENIED because the Court finds the Defendant has complied with discovery.  
See page 2


**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 05/08/2026 .

Ubong Ubokudom  
 Ubong Christopher Ubokudom for Ubong Christopher Ubokudom  
 Ubong Christopher Ubokudom for Ubong Christopher Ubokudom

  
**May 12 2026**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

---

To obtain an injunction, the plaintiff must allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action for injunction and demonstrate the injunction is reasonably necessary to protect the legal rights pending in the litigation. To establish a cause of action for injunction, the plaintiff must show (1) it would suffer irreparable harm if the injunction is not granted; (2) it will likely succeed on the merits of the litigation; and (3) there is an inadequate remedy at law. *Peek v. Spartanburg Reg'l Healthcare Sys.*, 367 S.C. 450 (Ct. App. 2005).

As such, the Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Injunction is DENIED as the Plaintiff does not meet these elements.



Richland Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Ubong Christopher Ubokudom , plaintiff, et al vs University Of South Carolina

**Case Number:** 2026CP4000645

**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Daniel Coble, 2774