

Renee Wicks
PLAINTIFF(S)

Er Construction Llc et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Defendant Kenneth Edwards' Motions for Relief from Default (filed on 10/22/25 and 12/2/25) are DENIED.

Edwards argues that the judgment was based on fraud, but he has not made the requisite showing. See Sanders v. Smith, 431 S.C. 605, 613, 848 S.E.2d 604, 608 (Ct. App. 2020) ("A claim of fraud upon the court requires proof by clear and convincing evidence."). He has shown only that his address had no mail receptacle (i.e., "NMR"), a problem of his own making. His claim of mistake similarly fails, as no explanation for the entry of default is offered. Finally, Edwards does not challenge the judgment amount, only the entry itself which happened on 9/24/24. Thus, the motion is not timely as to the entry of default.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 04/21/2026 .

Kenneth L Edwards for Kenneth L Edwards
Kenneth L Edwards for Kenneth L Edwards

RECEIVED

MAY 18 2026

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL




Charleston Common Pleas

Case Caption: Renee Wicks VS Er Construction Llc , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2024CP1003531
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Jocelyn Newman

Electronically signed on 2026-04-21 14:33:02 page 3 of 3

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG (SEAL)
CLERK, C.P., C.S. & F.C.
By 
DEPUTY CLERK

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Apr 22 10:59 AM - CHARLESTON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2024CP1003531

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.
