

South Carolina Court of Appeals
Honorable Jasper Cureton
Attention Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
1015 Sumter Street
Columbia, SC 29201-3726
803-734-1890

Nov. 29, 2013

RE: Blue Ridge Electrical Coop., Inc. vs. Gresham
2008-CP-23-5245
2009-141246

RECEIVED
DEC 04 2013
SC COURT OF APPEALS

Dear Justice Cureton,

As directed, I am enclosing a reduced Initial Brief excluding the transcript pages that gave the Initial Brief its length, which was objectionable. With all due respect, I believe that Appellants/Respondents did not make this objection in a good faith mode but to increase their billables to my Cooperative especially in light of their misleading, at best, position to this Court that the transcript was destroyed, apparently misdirecting this Court that it was destroyed in its entirety, and to try to annoy us in their continued frivolous proceedings that go on unchecked. The Transcript pages I attached were purposefully included to show the Court the extent of the Transcript upon which I relied in my Initial Brief and for ease to this Court in considering the issues of the Initial Brief. As the Transcript will have to be considered by this Court soon in the process, I respectfully request, as previously requested to you, that the Initial Briefs with the referenced Transcription pages be preserved and pulled out when needed as they were costly for me to copy for the Court in my efforts to be thorough and factual. Judicial economy and our own economy and fairness suggest the utilization of the Transcript pages previously submitted as necessary for review in this matter.


The Initial Brief was not excessive but only appeared voluminous due to the transcription pages being attached as I earlier noted to you, Sir. With the large and growing cast of attorneys on Appellants' side, which were not properly added according to Court rules as I have previously objected and moved before you, they can surely decipher the simple points I have raised in the Initial Brief supplemented by largely their own witnesses' testimony that implied was missing in transcript. It cannot be both ways, to be sure.

I have struggled to meet your deadline to reduce my previously submitted Initial Brief. I would also note as I hope you are aware, that we have met the final Deadline for Briefing directed by Chief Judge Few, as the Final Briefs were due by September 3rd, 2013. I was, of course, the only party who complied and nothing has happened to Appellants who continue to disregard the directives of this Court while the Pro Se parties, comply. It is frustrating that they continue to drag out this matter to our detriment and to the delay of this Court. My motions for improper substitution and addition of counsel and for sanctions are still pending before you.

My husband has undergone heart surgical procedures as I noticed you in the past several weeks and this past week, right before Thanksgiving, he had skin cancer surgery from his face and head, from which he is still recuperating. Nevertheless, we have struggled to comply with your order and have faced delays at Staples, which is backed up during this holiday printing season, which we are forced to use, as we have no office copy facilities at our home or at the hospital.

I hope you enjoyed a restful Thanksgiving. We have complied as best we could and in good faith with your Order.

Sincerely,



Kathleen Jennings

Captioned as Gresham

Steve Gresham

Pro Se Respondents/Appellants

1524 Hwy. 11, Landrum, SC 29356

Attachment

CC: Larry Brandt, Attorney of Record

P.O. Box 738; 3691 Blue Ridge Blvd.

Walhalla, SC 29691

864-638-5406

I certify that I shipped
the enclosed to Larry Brandt
Attorney at the address
given w/ proper shipping fees
paid by me.

Kathleen Jennings
12-2-13

Please send extra filed copies
to me in enclosed shipping
paid folder. Thank you.

RECEIVED
DEC 04 2013
SC COURT OF APPEALS