

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Hill Family Trust 2008 of Arizona, and Yvonne Herold,  
Respondents,

v.

Teresa Hill, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2026-001185

**RECEIVED**

**May 27 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

---

## ORDER

---

In an order dated April 9, 2026, the Honorable Dale E. Van Slambrook ordered Petitioner to post a bond in the amount of \$265,074.48 within five days of the order or her appeal would be dismissed and the writ of ejectment previously issued would be immediately enforced. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 27-37-130 (2007) (requiring a bond to stay ejectment on appeal). This Court denied Petitioner's request for a writ of mandamus, a stay, and referral to the circuit court by order dated April 14, 2026. In that order, the Court stated a request for a stay must be made to the circuit court or the court of appeals, where the appeal was pending. The same day, Petitioner filed a petition for supersedeas and motion for stay with the court of appeals. The court of appeals denied the requests, and Judge Van Slambrook denied Petitioner's motion to reconsider his order setting bond.

Petitioner now asks this Court to issue a writ of supersedeas and stay Judge Van Slambrook's order pending her appeal. She seeks this stay without the requirement of a bond or with a nominal sum for the bond. Alternatively, Petitioner requests ninety days to transfer items in her law office to a secure location and a protective order prohibiting "Respondents, their attorneys, agents, or any non-lawyer" from accessing her client files and privileged materials. Respondents have a filed a return opposing the requests.

Although most orders are automatically stayed pending appeal, ejectment orders are an exception to the general rule. Rule 241(b)(10), SCACR. In those cases, a party may move for an order imposing a supersedeas of matters decided in the

order on appeal. Rule 241(c)(1), SCACR. In deciding whether to issue a writ of supersedeas, this Court considers whether it is necessary to preserve jurisdiction of the appeal or to prevent a contested issue from becoming moot. Rule 241(c)(2), SCACR. A writ of supersedeas may be conditioned on the filing of a bond or undertaking. Rule 241(c)(3), SCACR.

Because Petitioner has not shown she is entitled to a writ of supersedeas or that the bond set by Judge Van Slambrook is unreasonable, we deny the request for a writ of supersedeas without a bond or with a nominal bond. As to Petitioner's alternative request for ninety days to transfer her belongings to a secure location, we note that almost two months have passed since the order setting the appeal bond was issued. Petitioner shall, within ten days of the date of this order, either pay the bond or vacate the premises. No further extensions shall be permitted for Petitioner to comply with Judge Van Slambrook's order. Respondents have denied any desire or attempt to access Petitioner's client files and privileged materials. Therefore, we deny Petitioner's request for a protective order.

  
FOR THE COURT

C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina  
May 27, 2026

cc:

Jesse Sanchez  
Daniel Scott Slotchiver  
Anna Elizabeth Richter  
Teresa Hill  
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings