



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

June 10, 2026

Ms. Melody Jane Brown, Esquire  
PO Box 11549  
Columbia SC 29211-1549

Ms. Lara Mary Caudy, Esquire  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia SC 29211-1589

Re: The State v. Seuvarrgio S. Rector  
Appellate Case No. 2023-001513

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,

Handwritten signature of Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy Clerk, in blue ink.

CLERK

cc: Seuvarrgio Senwuez Rector, 00392088  
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
Cynthia Smith Crick, Esquire  
The Honorable Alex Kinlaw, Jr.

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Seuvarrgio Senwuez Rector, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2023-001513

---

Appeal From Greenville County  
Alex Kinlaw, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2026-UP-290  
Submitted May 1, 2026 – Filed June 10, 2026

---

**APPEAL DISMISSED**

---

Senior Appellate Defender Lara Mary Caudy, of  
Columbia, and Seuvarrgio Senwuez Rector, pro se, both  
for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior  
Assistant Deputy Attorney General Melody Jane Brown,  
both of Columbia; and Solicitor Cynthia Smith Crick, of  
Greenville, for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Dismissed after consideration of Appellant's pro se brief and review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.

**APPEAL DISMISSED.**<sup>1</sup>

**GEATHERS, HEWITT, and CURTIS, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.