RECEIVED
Jun 12 2026
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
[In The Supreme Court]

APPEAL FROM LEXINGTON COUNTY
Master-In-Equity
Case No. 2022-CP-3200784
James O. Spence, Master in Equity Judge
Walton J. McLeod, Circuit Court Judge

Appeal Case No. 2026-001149

US Bank National Association, Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union, Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission, Lexington County Assessor's Office, Sunrun, Incorporated, Worldwide Enterprises 803LLC, Nayeli Gomez, Corey Short, Emily Short, John/Jane Doe, Respondents

v.

Tracie L. Green, Cardinal Pines Homeowners' Association, Inc, Defendants
Of which Tracie L. Green (aka Tracie L. Mitchem-Green) is the Appellant.

Appellant Tracie L. Green
MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 204(b), SCACR

> ***Rule 204(b) Certification by Supreme Court.*** *In any case which is pending before the Court of Appeals, the Supreme Court may, in its discretion, on motion of any party to the case, on request by the Court of Appeals, or on its own motion, certify the case for review by the Supreme Court before it has been determined by the Court of Appeals. Certification is normally appropriate where the case involves an issue of significant public interest or a legal principle of major importance. The effect of such certification shall be to transfer jurisdiction over the case to the Supreme Court for all purposes.*

In accordance to Rule 204(b), SCACR, Tracie L. Green (aka Tracie L. Mitchem-Green) ("Appellant") humbly requests the Court to certify this case for review before ruling by the Court of Appeals. As indicated in the Rule, this case involves issues of significant public interest or legal principle(s) of major importance qualifying it for review by this Court. The issues are whether the final judgement order (which also encompasses preceding orders) should be overturned due to unparallelled tampering (perjury; and interfering with the docket, attorneys, and other parties) by state official(s)—specifically the Clerks of Court at Lexington County Courthouse—as well as evidence of colluded activity with other parties to secure a favored verdict. Additionally, the legal principle of major importance is whether it is presumptively prejudicial for state officials to secretly promote the advancement of certain parties during a civil trial—through acts of perjury and tampering aforementioned—ultimately skewing justice for the unfavored party.

I. Background

The background as stated in the Appellant's Initial Brief submitted to the Court of Appeals on June 5, 2026 is here now presented:

> Tracie L.Green (aka Tracie L. Mitchem-Green) ("Appellant") is an African American female who possesses a doctorate degree in nursing (DNP). Since obeying the law by reporting evidence and patient reports of abuse in 2017, the Appellant and her family has been subjected to targeting of various forms. In a federal related action, the Appellant wrote this state:
>
> > Currently, I live in a Homeless Shelter. Due to terrorism experienced since 2017, I exited Nursing (direct patient care) to keep the public safe, which severely affected my finances. Now that the government has been made aware of the terrorism, the terrorist are less likely to hurt anymore of my patients. However, the financial targeting against me is intense (i.e. high vehicle insurance, etc). The terrorism, coupled with the suspected murderous attempt on my lief [and my child if present] has taken a toll on my health and income, though I remain at functional capacity….
>
> The Appellant believes the foreclosure of her home—initiated by US Bank National Association on March 4, 2022— is an extension of the terrorism. (The Lis Pendens submitted to this Court provides details of the Appellant's home, at 123 Cardinal Pines Drive, Lexington, South Carolina 29073.) The case was officiated by the Lexington County Master-In-Equity, the Honorable James O. Spence, after an Order was entered by Deputy Clerk Mona Huggins on July 5, 2022. In summary, after multiple years of state and federal legal activity, on June 2, 2025, with a federal stay in place pending ruling with the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit since May 29, 2025, Appellant's home was sold to Worldwide Enterprises 803 LLC (Nayeli Gomez), despite parties and Court officials being provided verification of the federal stay. Then, while federal action was in progress at the Middle District of Florida, the Appellant's home was sold a second time,

to Corey and Emily Short, on either October 24, 2025 or October 25, 2025, with a timely submitted state stay and motion to reopen case pending with Lexington County Courthouse (Hon. James O. Spence) since July 26, 2025 (the case was closed on July 16, 2025). Again after federal and state related actions, including in this Court (2025-000179) and the Supreme Court of South Carolina (2025-001796), Hon. James O. Spence submitted his final order, disbursing the final surplus funds on April 30, 2026, despite multiple Appellant filings remaining unaddressed, including the July 26, 2025 Motion to reopen and stay. A Motion for Relief from Judgement was timely submitted on May 6, 2026, but remained unprocessed and could not be located on the docket, as with six other previously submitted documents. Changes were not readily apparent despite Court notification. The original notice of appeal to The Supreme Court of South Carolina was submitted to parties and the lower Court on May 7, 2026, given that this Court dismissed the case March 18, 2025 and remitted to lower Court on April 25, 2025 prior to the lower Court's final ruling issued on April 30, 2026 (Rule 203(d)1, SCACR). However, the Supreme Court transferred the case to the Court of Appeals on May 21, 2026. Additionally, given that The Supreme Court of South Carolina issued case number prior to case transfer, it is expected that the informa pauperis application was approved as filing fee is required before a case number can be issued. (The Supreme Court of South Carolina docket of this case could not be readily located.), However, Appellant continues to service respondents/defendants served since initiation of case until further instructions are received. However, newly named respondents will require Court servicing.

On July 5, 2022, Mona Huggins Deputy Clerk Common Pleas for Lisa M Comer Lexington County Clerk of Court, entered a Referred to Master or Special Referee Order without mutual consent being obtained. Despite being notified on July 6, 2022 the Order was not amended.

Despite being **filed on July 6, 2022**, one day after the July 5, 2022, Clerk order, Referred to Master or Special Referee Order, Motion: Trial by Jury (Second Request) with Certificate of Service (which indicated this Defendants dissent to referral to Master) the error was not corrected.

As a second example of delayed filing, Notice of Home Acquisition, dated August 22, 2022, was not filed until September 1, 2022, being addressed by the trial court on addressed in part on January 29, 2025 (discussed in detail later in this filing).
On February 6, 2024, Appellant submitted a Motion to Move to Inactive Roster to Clerks Lisa Cromer and/or Mona Huggins, due to active federal appeal. Even with a follow-up on February 7, 2024, inquiring when the motion would be docketed, the motion was docketed two days later (a total of three days after being submitted), on February 9^{th} and then again on February 12, 2024. This is in stark contrast to the Respondent US Bank National Association submitting a Motion and Order for Stay Due to Foreclosure Intervention, dated September 14, 2022 with the subsequent Order/Strike Case From Active Roster being signed by Hon. Walton J. McLeod at "22:43:00" the same day, without awareness or inclusion of the Appellant.

According to the Introduction of the South Carolina's Clerk of Courts Handbook, Clerk's Lisa Cromer and/or Mona Huggins are tasked with ensuring filed documents are presented to the Court; therefore, for the Order to have been signed by a substitute judge so expeditiously is evidentiary of involvement of Clerk's Lisa Cromer and/or Mona Huggins. The Motion and Order were docketed early morning the following day, September 15, 2022 at 9:39am. Additionally, Clerk's Lisa Cromer and/or Mona Huggins did not issue an Order dismissing the case due to non-compliance with Hon. Walter J. McLeod's order (nor is there any indication Clerk's Lisa Cromer and/or Mona Huggins made the Court aware of the violation):

> Failure to submit Motion and Order described in (1) – (3) above within 180 days from the date of the filing of this Order shall result in the case being dismissed without prejudice for non compliance. So dismissed, the case shall not be restored, but must be refiled.

Despite the Court receiving a copy of the documents submitted for filing, an error occurred when a final Order was issued on April 30, 2026, with multiple pleadings being unaddressed. On May 7, 2026, seven previously submitted documents could not be located on the docket—with submission dates as far back as February 2026. A response was not received to the Appellant's inquiry regarding the missing documents. The missing documents include (in descending order):

- May 6, 2026 Petitioner's Motion for Relief from Judgement (17 pages + Appendix [14 zip files])
- April 29, 2026 Petitioner's Motion for Sanctions by Jury Trial (27 pages, includes Appendix)
- April 27, 2026 The Supreme Court of the United States, Supplemental Brief for the Petitioner To Petitioner's Motion to Supplement Pleading for Stay Pending Appeal (50 pages)
- April 18, 2026 Petitioner's Motion to Supplement Pleading for Relief from Judgement (To Reopen Closed Application) (With Full Member Court Requested) (35 pages, Proof of Service 1 page)
- April 18, 2026 Petitioner's Motion to Supplement Pleading for Stay Pending Appeal (With Full Member Court or Justice Samuel Alito) (17 pages)
- April 10, 2026 Motion for Clarification of Case Status with Certificate of Service (7 pages, includes Appendix)
- February 27, 2026 Letter to Court (1 page)

The Appellant's January 20, 2026 Emergency Motion to Correct Public Record—which notified the Court July 16, 2025 is inaccurately listed as the sale date instead of June 2, 2025 on

Lexington County, South Carolina public website—was docketed the following day on January 21, 2026.

Again, delayed filing and docketing of Appellant's submitted documents reoccurred unopposed by the Court. For example, after the case was closed on July 16, 2025, several motions were timely filed on July 26, 2025 including but not limited to a Motion to Reopen Case; however, according to the docket, July 26, 2025 documents were docketed on August 11, 2025.

Evidence seems to indicate that the Respondents—US Bank National Association, Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union, Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission, Lexington County Assessor's Office, Sunrun, Incorporated, Worldwide Enterprises 803LLC, Nayeli Gomez, Corey Short, Emily Short, John/Jane Doe—have colluded and/or participated in the unlawful activity (including outside of court proceedings) as detailed in documents submitted to this Court.

As referenced in State v. Murdaugh, (page 7, footnote):

> …the State has objected to referring to former Colleton County Clerk of Court Rebecca Hill as a "state official." Mr. Murdaugh does not mean that her improper motives or conduct should be imputed to the prosecution or law enforcement in this case. But it is inarguable that Ms. Hill acted in this case as an official of the State of South Carolina: She held an elected office created by Section 24 of Article V of the South Carolina Constitution…

The same is true for Clerk's Lisa Cromer and Mona Huggins, they acted as officials of the State of South Carolina in the lower court case. In January 29, 2025, Hon. James O. Spence wrote in is order denying Appellant's Motion to Vacate:

> Allegations of Clerk of Court Fraud. Defendant argues that the Lexington County Clerk of Court has improperly filed, mislabeled or not filed certain un-specified documents, while filing all of Plaintiff's documents as submitted…. The court finds no persuasive evidence that indicates Clerk of Court fraud or any other improper activity.

The lower trial Court remains silent regarding the May 6, 2026 notification of submitted documents from as far back as February 2026 not being located on the docket. The Appellant located the final Order issued on April 30, 2026 by reviewing the docket. A communication with Clerk's Lisa Cromer and/or Mona Huggins regarding not receiving the mailed copy of the final order still remains unanswered.

II. Legal Statue

Rule 204(b) is the legal statue upon which this motion for certification is based.

> *In any case which is pending before the Court of Appeals, the Supreme Court may, in its discretion, on motion of any party to the case, on request by the Court of Appeals, or on its own motion, certify the case for review by the Supreme Court before it has been determined by the Court of Appeals. Certification is normally appropriate where the case involves an issue of significant public interest or a*

legal principle of major importance. The effect of such certification shall be to transfer jurisdiction over the case to the Supreme Court for all purposes.

III. Argument

During the construction of this motion, Respondent US Bank National Association's Motion to Dismiss, postmarked June 5, 2026 was received via mail after Appellant emailed a status update request on June 9, 2026 at 1232pm.The Appellant will use US Bank National Association's motion as a framework for the argument.

<u>Lack of Compliance with Court Rules and Procedure</u>. Respondent US Bank National Association alleges Appellant federal cases were mere attempts to delay the foreclosure initiated by said respondent on March 4, 2022; however, none of the federal cases were dismissed due to frivolity.

<u>November 14, 2024 Foreclosure Judgement in favor of US Bank National Association.</u> Respondent fails to address it's motion for summary judgment was filed on February 7, 2026 due to docket tampering by the Clerk's Office (Hon. Lisa Cromer and/or Hon. Mona Huggins) in which Appellant's motion to Move to Inactive Roster (due to active federal appeal), being submitted February 6, 2024 was not filed by the said Clerk's until February 9, 2024 and again on February 12, 2024. The Appellant is not aware of US Bank National Association ever attempting to rectify or even declining to participate in the unlawful actions of the state official(s).

<u>Court of Appeals, Appellant Case 2025-000179.</u> Respondents US Bank National Association fails to mention that the 2025 Appellant case was removed to federal court on February 7, 2025, **prior** to the appellant court issuing a case number on February 18, 2025, which appears to be a clerical error. Thus, the case was removed and under federal jurisdiction after the February 7, 2025 notice of removal was submitted. Here is an excerpt from February 22, 2025 communication with the Court of Appeals, Lexington County Courthouse, and parties (including Respondent US Bank National Association):

> **SC Court of Appeals is the February 18, 2025 directives redacted, including the pending February 28, 2025 dismissal due to this case still being under federal jurisdiction (since February 7, 2025)?**
> It has come to my attention that mailed documents are not reaching their destinations [emailed delivery is largely excluded from this discussion EXCEPT 2.19.25 emailed response] . In addition, mailed items (some express and/or signature required) are not being picked up. As of today, February 22, 2025, this case still active in Federal jurisdiction. Moreover, after this email, I will only communicate with the associated parties—US Bank National Association (Hutchen's Law Firm) and Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union (Richardson Plowden)—and the current court with jurisdiction (currently, US Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

Additionally, US Bank National Association fails to acknowledge participating in a preliminary auction of Appellants home on February 3, 2025 despite a state level stay being submitted the day prior, on February 2, 2025, which was unlawful. (There appears to be discrepancies in some dates documents were submitted to the appellant court and the date stamped received.)

<u>June 2, 2025 vs July 16, 2025 Sale of Property.</u> Respondent US Bank National Association appears to cover the fact that the Appellant's property was sold on June 2, 2025 with federal stays in place (as detailed in the May 6, 2026 Relief from Judgement submitted to Lexington County Courthouse, but was not docketed by the Hon. Lisa Cromer nor Hon. Mona Huggins prior to filing the Notice of Appeal.) The initial disbursement of funds were issued on July 16, 2025 at which time the case closed. [Of note, the motion for relief from judgement was ***appended*** to the Motion for Additional Defendants submitted to the Appeals Court and not as a motion to be ruled upon as purported by US Bank National Association.)

<u>Objection and/or Appeal to Surplus Fund Orders.</u> Respondent US Bank National Association alleges Appellant did not object to the September 15, 2025 Order to disburse surplus funds. In stark contrast, not only did the Appellant object to the Order but on September 19, 2025, the motion, *Request for Immediate Disbursement and Rescission of Property* was filed in related

Writ of Mandamus action, Case No. 2025-001796, in this Court, having been initiated on August 31, 2025 due to the unlawful sale and court officials docket tampering in which the Appellant's Motion to Reopen Case and other associated documents were not docketed as per standard procedure. Here is an excerpt:

> *In response to Lexington County Courthouse continual case activity—despite this active state Supreme Court case to address the fraudulent foreclosure of Plaintiff's home, in which this Superior Court notified Hon. James Spence of on September 12, 2025—the Plaintiff request immediate disbursement of the full amount due as indicated below and immediate rescission of her property, located at 123 Cardinal Pines Drive, Lexington South Carolina, 29073 pursuant to SCRCP 60. Plaintiff declines any and all disbursements from the surplus funds as IT IS FRAUD; thereby, please cancel the check addressed to Plaintiff.*

US Bank National Association was keenly aware of the Supreme Court of South Carolina case but denied it's existence to Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission Attorneys on November 5, 2025, though the Supreme Court stated otherwise.

<u>September 15, 2025 and April 30, 2026 Surplus Orders Objected to by Appellant.</u> Respondent US Bank National proports they are identical. However, there is a major difference, in additional to the sale being fraudulent with stays in place. Here is an excerpt from the May 6, 2026 Relief from Judgement not docketed by the Lexington County Courthouse Clerk's office:

> *IV. The final order was issued without resolution of lawful, timely pending motions.*

Several motions remain pending before this Court. Most important, pursuant to Rule 59, a motion to Reopen (New Trial) was timely submitted on July 26, 2025, exactly ten days after case closure, with a copy provided directly to the judge on the same day. Pursuant to this law, ruling is required.

> *The time for appeal for all parties shall be stayed by a timely motion under this Rule and shall run from the receipt of written notice of entry of the order granting or denying such motions.*

Additionally, an amended stay was filed on July 26, 2025, and is still pending before this Court. The April 29, 2026 motion for sanctions by jury trial also remains pending before this Court. Contained therein is evidence of collusion to defraud Petitioner amongst Defendants US Bank National Association, Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union, and/or Sunrun. Additionally, evidence of attempt to defraud Petitioner has been presented to this Court regarding Defendant Joint Municipal Water and Sewer, but the processing of the evidence remains pending before this

Court. Additionally, on April 13, 2026, this Court stated the case status was unchanged pending response from the South Carolina Supreme Court (Appendix A). Therefore, Worldwide Enterprises 803LLC sale of the property to Corey and Elizabeth Short on October 24, 2025 with the associated case pending before the South Carolina Supreme Court—inclusive of a Lis Pendens submitted August 31, 2025 via mail and then again electronically on September 4, 2025; and a stay pending ruling submitted October 4, 2025)—doubly renders the second sale between Worldwide Enterprises (Nayeli Gomez) and Corey and Emily Short unlawful as Nayeli Gomez was fully aware of the litigation in process regarding the property, before and after the second sale. Moreover, since the state Supreme Court ruling was not issued on April 22, 2026, the stay pending federal appeal and the Lis Pendens were in place pending ruling at the time of the October 2025 sale. Furthermore, an additional motion was filed by this Defendant on April 29, 2026 with ruling pending.

In the April 30, 2026 Final Order, $13,042.41 was disbursed to Richardson Plowden & Robinson Law Firm on behalf of Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union. However, this Court was notified on April 29, 2026 that the debt was no longer existent due to "shave off" initiated by Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union. Hence, the "shave off" voids the judgement and disbursement is not applicable. (Again, foundationally the surplus funds are not legally claimable due to June 2, 2025 sale with federal stays pending ruling in place) and the debt being resolved by Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union. Despite this Defendant not being in agreement with the "shave off" that was completed without this Defendant's knowledge or consent, the , $13,042.41 judgement issued by this Court is void and must be redacted. Moreover, since this Defendant, Sunrun, and Joint Municipal Water and Sewer did not comply with the Court's July 16, 2025 and amended July 23, 2025 Order to claim surplus funds, the said funds are abandoned:

> *Please take notice that the Court is holding Surplus Funds in the amount of $23,136.43 in the above referenced action. A hearing to determine the order of priorities has been scheduled for Wednesday, September 3, 2025 @ 9:00am, in Courtroom 2-A at the Lexington County Judicial Center, Lexington SC. Pursuant to Rule 71C of the South Carolina rules of Civil Procedure, any party to the action, or any person who had a lien on the mortgaged premises at the time of the sale, may have a hearing to determine a claim of entitlement to the Surplus Funds.1-For Lienholder/Creditor: AAll such claims must be verified or supported by affidavit and must be filled with the Clerk of Court within forty-five (45) days from the filing of the Report on Sale. 2-The Homeowner/Defendant doses not need an attorney or collection service to claim the funds. The Homeowner/Defendant should contact the Master in Equity Court (information above) for instructions. If a claim is not filed within the said forty-five (45) day period, the same shall be considered abandoned and waived as to such surplus…*

This Defendant's April 29, 2026 inquiry regarding the status of the surplus funds and why not disbursed was not to mislead this Court, as this Defendant expressed unwillingness to accept any surplus funds due to fraud:

> *Where is the $23,136.43 surplus funds and was Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union, Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission, and Sunrun paid? If not,*

why not? Of note, the Petitioner declined all surplus funds due to fraud (the June 2, 2025 sale occurred with stays in place).

Again, since the notice of surplus funds were issued on July 16, 2025 and July 23, 2025, this Defendant has consistently declined any disbursements due to the funds being obtained 12 unlawfully. Hence, pursuant to law and procedure, the April 30, 2026 Final Order issuing the remaining surplus funds creates manifest injustice for several reasons aforementioned, including the unlawful June 2, 2025 sale of the property with multiple stays pending ruling in place. Secondly, the Court issuance of the $4,248.16 check addressed to the Lexington Clerk of Court in care of this Defendant—due to several mailing and tracking concerns filed—is also void due to claim not submitted within the 45-day timeframe ordered by this Court. In summary, to not address the timely-submitted motions and to not void the disbursements of property and surplus funds results in manifest injustice, as the results are clearly and undeniably unfair2, also possibly producing a criminal element if the unlawful funds are claimed and the property not rescission. In resolving the manifest injustice, each motion is requested to be processed and the requested relief provided.

<u>Docket Tampering at Trial Court Continues</u>

As indicated in the May 5, 2026 obtained List of Case Parties Appended to Motion for Additional Defendants, Lexington County Courthouse added Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission as a defendant on September 16, 2025. However, the docket obtained by the Court of Appeals just 13 days later indicated Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission has now been removed, which is unlawful and against standard procedure. This is docket, attorney, and party tampering.

Additionally, the May 18, 2026 Lexington County Courthouse docket clearly indicates the Appellants home was sold on June 2, 2025 in which a $9,050.00 payment was recorded. The transaction is unlawful due to federal stay in place. For this cause, the Appellants home was **stolen** by US Bank National Association, being aided by state officials, specifically by the interference of Court Clerks. South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure 60 provides the remedy:

Rule 60. RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER

__(a) Clerical Mistakes.__ Clerical mistakes in judgments, orders or other parts of the record and errors therein arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time of its own initiative or on the motion of any party and after such notice, if any, as the court orders. During the pendency of an appeal, leave to correct the mistake must be obtained from the appellate court. The ending of a term of court or departure from the circuit shall not operate to deprive the trial judge of jurisdiction to correct such mistakes. A party filing a written motion under this rule shall provide a copy of the motion to the judge within ten (10) days after the filing of the motion.

__(b) Mistakes; Inadvertence; Excusable Neglect; Newly Discovered Evidence; Fraud, etc.__ On motion and upon such terms as are just, the court may relieve a party or his legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons:

__(1)__ mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect;

__(2)__ newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b);

__(3)__ fraud, misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party;

__(4)__ the judgment is void;

__(5)__ the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged, or a prior judgment upon which it is based has been reversed or otherwise vacated, or it is no longer equitable that the judgment should have prospective application.

The motion shall be made within a reasonable time, and for reasons (1), (2), and (3) not more than one year after the judgment, order or proceeding was entered or taken. A motion under this subdivision (b) does not affect the finality of a judgment or suspend its operation. This rule does not limit the power of a court to entertain an independent action to relieve a party from a judgment, order, or proceeding, or to set aside a judgment for fraud upon the court. During the pendency of an appeal, leave to make the motion must be obtained from the appellate court. Writs of coram nobis, coram vobis, audita querela, and bills of review and bills in the nature of a bill of review, are abolished, and the procedure for obtaining any relief from a judgment shall be by motion as prescribed in these rules or by an independent action.

Documents submitted to the Court of Appeals

Due to an ongoing docket discrepancy in which all Appellant documents are not seen on the docket and/or incongruence with submission date verses Court receipt date, (which includes respondent US Bank National Association Motion to Dismiss not docketed with the Court as of the construction of this motion), the following documents have been submitted in this case:

Date submitted	Document	SC Court	Court Received/Issued Date	Docketed?
May 14, 2026	Case initiation documents mailed (including but not limited to Notice of Appeal)	Supreme Court	Receipt not acknowledged by Court. Police report filed.	Not located
May 17, 2026	Case initiation documents emailed		May 17, 2026	Notlocated
	Notice of Appeal 1 of 5 (Part 1) Letter Notice of Appeal, Certificate of Service)	Supreme Court		Not located
	Notice of Appeal 1 of 5 (Part 2) Certificate of Service, Letter, Amended Notice of Appeal,	Supreme Court	May 17, 2026 (Amended Notice of Appeal)	Not located
	Notice of Appeal 2 of 5 Orders from Trial Court	Supreme Court	May 17, 2026	Not located
	Notice of Appeal 3 of 5 Orders from Trial Court	Supreme Court	May 17, 2026	Not located
	Notice of Appeal 4 of 5 Orders from Trial Court	Supreme Court	May 18, 2026 (documents combined with Notice of Appeal 3 of 5)	Not located
	Notice of Appeal 5 of 5 Informa Pauperis Application	Supreme Court	May 17, 2026	Not located
	Clarification of Addendum and Attachment to Notarized Motion and Affidavit to Proceed Informa Pauperis (Motion for Waiver of Costs and Fees)	Supreme Court	May 17, 2026	Not located

	Motion to Extend Time to file Initial Brief Notice of Appellant Not Ordering Transcript Motion by ProSe, Informa Pauperis Applicate for Litigant to Participate in Electronic Filing	Supreme Court Supreme Court Supreme Court		Not located Not located Not located
May 18, 2026	Redacted Notice of Appeal Part 5 of 5 (redaction on page 19)	Supreme Court	Receipt not provided	Not located
May 19, 2026	Motion for Stay and Freezing Assets Pending Appeal Lis Pendens	Supreme Court Supreme Court	May 19, 2026 May 19, 2026	Not located Not located
May 20, 2026	Notice of Available Funds	Supreme Court	May 20, 2026	Not located
May 22, 2026	Received—Transfer Order for Appellate Case No. 2026-001149 to Court of Appeals	Supreme Court	May 21, 2026	Not located
May 22, 2026	Motion to Include Additional Defendants with Appendix (May 6, 2026 Relief from Judgement, includes appendix)	Court of Appeals	May 22, 2026	Not located
May 26, 2026	Emergency Motion to Correct Docket (date discrepancies, case initiation May 14, 2026; May 17, 2026 Court stamped verifications provided)	Court of Appeals	Not provided	Not located

May 27, 2026	Appellant Court of Appeals Docket Review **Full Case Title:** US Bank National Association, Respondent v. Tracie L. Green, Cardinal Pines Homeowners' Association, Inc., Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union, Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission, Defendants, Of Which Tracie L. Green is the Appellant. Attorneys: (US Bank National Association) Kenneth Gregory Wooten, III, Alan Martin Stewart, Sarah Oliver Leonard, Ashley Zarrett Stanley (Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union) S. Nelson Weston, Jr. Descriptions on Docket: “Notice of Appeal (Civil) – Initial” “Motion - Participate in Electronic Filing” “Transcript Documents - No Transcript to be Ordered”	Court of Appeals	May 18, 2026 May 18, 2026 May 18, 2026 May 18, 2026	

	"Motion - Extension of Time (1st)(30 days)(Appellant)" "Correspondence - Incoming (Notice of Funds)" "Motion - Stay / Freeze Assets" "Correspondence – Incoming (Lis Pendens)" "Non-Dispositional Decision - Order Transferring to Court of Appeals" Transfer - To Court of Appeals		May 20, 2026 May 20, 2026 May 20, 2026 May 21, 2026 May 21, 2026 May 21, 2026	
May 29, 2026	Letter to Appellant Court Clerk (request to correct docket) Case initiation date May 14, 2026 mailed, May 17, 2026 emailed. <u>Missing documents:</u> May 18, 2026 email, Redacted Informa Pauperis Application [file name, 5 18 26 Redacted IFPemail.pdf] May 22, 2026 email, Motion to Include Additional Defendants [file name, 5 22 26 Motion to Include Additional Defendants with Appendix.pdf]	Court of Appeals	May 29, 2026	Not located Not located Not located

	May 26, 2026 Emergency Motion to Correct the Docket [5 26 26 email ER Correct Docket.pdf]			Not located
June 4, 2026	Motion to Vacate Orders, Remove Court Official, and Grant a New Trial Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Notice of Appeal Second Amended Notice of Appeal Motion for Determination of Domestic Terrorism and to Re-establish South Carolina Residency with Appendix)	Court of Appeals	June 5, 2026 June 4, 2026 June 4, 2026 June 4, 2026	Yes (6/10) Not located Not located Yes (6/10)
June 5, 2026	Letter to Clerk, Motion To Correct Filing Order, Certificate of Service Initial Brief--Appellant Appellant Tracie L. Green Designation of Matter to be Included in Record on Appeal		June 5, 2026 June 5, 2026 June 5, 2026	Not Located Not Located Not Located
June 12, 2026	Docket Review: Attorneys: (US Bank National Association)			

	Kenneth Gregory Wooten, III Sarah Oliver Leonard Ashley Zarrett Stanley John Sanford Kay			

Motion for Determination of Domestic Terrorism and to Re-establish South Carolina Residency

Respondents analysis of the Motion for Determination of Domestic Terrorism and to Re-establish South Carolina Residency appears no more than a smoke screen to cover its fraudulent and terroristic activities since before the unlawful foreclosure proceedings were initiated on March 4, 2022. The aforementioned motion—including other submitted motions such as Motion to Vacate Orders, Remove Court Officials, and Grant a New Trial; Motion to Vacate Orders, Remove Court Officials, and Grant a New Trial; and Motion To Correct Filing Order—all outline the coordinated terroristic activity the Appellant has been subjected due, under the umbrella of "simple foreclosure."

Right to Appeal

South Carolina law, specifically Rule 60, clearly provides legal remedy for fraud, including clerks interference.

Dismissal with Prejudice Would Produce Manifest Injustice and Harm to Public

Evidence shows Respondent US Bank National Association is and has been fully aware of its fraudulent pursuit. Moreover, the respondent shows not remorse for damning so much by their participation in US Bank National Association's unlawful pursuit of Petitioner's home, just merely to cause harm. Evidence shows US Bank National Association is a danger to society that needs immediate resolution. To dismiss a case of this magnitude—with issues of whether the final judgement order (which also encompasses preceding orders) should be overturned due to unparallelled tampering (perjury; and interfering with the docket, attorneys, and other parties) by state official(s), specifically the Clerks of Court at Lexington County Courthouse, as well as evidence of colluded activity with other parties to secure a favored verdict for US Bank National Association; and a legal principle of major importance as to whether it is presumptively prejudicial for state officials to secretly promote the advancement of certain parties during a civil trial, through acts of perjury and tampering aforementioned ultimately twisting justice for the unfavored party—would create an unprecedented manifest injustice and gravely harm to public. According to the facts, US Bank National Association and Clerks Lisa Cromer and/or Mona Huggins are certainly in need of accountability, not securement of additions funds to which none are entitled. For the Court of Appeals to dismiss this case in favor for US Bank National Association would counteract the appropriate decision this Superior Court made in State of South Carolina v. Richard Alexander Murdaugh, in which this Court overturned the murder verdict and remanded the case for a new trial due to clerk interference. In similitude, this civil case is due to the same.

In conclusion, for the foregoing reasons, Appellant humbly and sincerely requests the Supreme Court of South Carolina to certify this case for review before the Court of Appeals rules.

Respectfully,

Tracie L. Mitchem-Green
608 SE Defender Drive
Lake City, Florida 32025
Appellant
(803) 361-0602

June 12, 2026

APPENDIX TO
Appellant Tracie L. Green
MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 204(b), SCACR

A. September 1, 2022-filed, Notice of Home Acquisition, dated and submitted August 22, 2022 (95 pages)
B. February 22, 2025 07:12 PM chain email Appellant to Court of Appeals, Lexington County Courthouse, and Parties regarding state removal and active federal jurisdiction (14 pages).
C. Clerk Interference documents (29 pages)
D. May 17, 2026 957pm chain email Appellant to Lexington County Courthouse, and Parties regarding missing mail and missing documents (14 pages)
E. May 18, 2026 Lexington County Courthouse Public Docket (18 pages, [shows removal of Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission and Attorney James Randall from the docket, having been added September 16, 2025].
F. May 19, 2026-stamped Supreme Court of South Carolina Lis Pendens (4 pages)
G. May 19, 2026-stamped Supreme Court of South Carolina Motion for Stay and Freezing Assets Pending Appeal (10 pages)
H. May 22, 2026-filed Court of Appeals Motion to Include Additional Defendants (9 pages, include additional related documents), with Appendix [May 6, 2026 Motion Relief from Judgement submitted to Lexington County Courthouse (78 pages)]
I. June 4, 2026-stamped, Court of Appeals copy, Motion to Vacate Orders, Remove Court Officials, and Grant a New Trial (20 pages with appendix)
J. June 4, 2026-stamped, Court of Appeals copy, Motion for Determination of Domestic Terrorism and to Re-establish South Carolina Residency (23 pages, excludes motion coversheet)
K. June 5, 2026-postmarked, US Bank National Association Motion to Dismiss received after June 9, 2026 request for status update (10 pages), not located on Court of Appeals docket

State of South Carolina	In the Court of Common Pleas
	Court of Appeals
County of ______________________	____________________ Judicial Circuit

US Bank National Association, Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union, Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission, Lexington County Assessor's Office, Sunrun, Incorporated, Worldwide

Plaintiff(s): ______________________	Case No. 2026-001149
vs. Tracie L. Green, Cardinal Pines Homeowners' Association, Inc, Defendants Of which Tracie L. Green is the	
Defendant(s): Appellant.	

Motion and Order Information Form and Coversheet

Plaintiff's Attorney: John Kay Sanford (Bar No. 7914)
Sarah Oliver Leonard (Bar No. 80165)
Ashley Zarrett Stanley (Bar No. 74854)
Kenneth Gregory Wooten III (Bar No. 73586)

Bar Number: ______________________

Address: 240 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 400
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Phone Number: (803) 726-2700

Email: john.kay@hutchenslawfirm.com
greg.wooten@thefoundationlegalgroup.com
ashley.stanley@thefoundationlegalgroup,com
sarah.leonard@thefoundationlegalgroup.com

Fax: ______________________

Other: ______________________

Defendant's Attorney:

Bar Number:

Address:

Phone Number:

Email:

Fax:

Other: ____________________

South Carolina Attorney General Alan Wilson
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519, Columbia, SC 29201
Attorney for Lexington County Assessor's Office
(803) 734-3970

Sunrun, Incorporated
1800 Ashton Boulevard, Lehi, UT 84043
(855) 557-8020

Worldwide Enterprises 803LLC
2903 Sandalwood Drive, West Columbia, SC 29170

Nayeli Gomez
2903 Sandalwood Drive, West Columbia, SC 29170

Corey and Emily Short/Corey Short/Emily Short
123 Cardinal Pines Drive, Lexington, SC 29073

Tracie L. Green ("Tracie Mitchem-Green"), Prose Appellant
[out-of-town address] 608 SE Defender Drive
Lake City, Florida 32025
iloveamerica20242024@outlook.com; SCMail12310@pm.me

S. Nelson Weston Jr. (Bar No. 12902)
Post Office Drawer 7788
Columbia, South Carolina 29202
Attorney for Palmetto Citizens Federal Credit Union
(803)771-4400, nweston@richardsonplowden.com

James Davis Randall (Bar No. 1580)
140 East Main Street
Old Courthouse Place
Post Office Box 489
Lexington, South Carolina 29071
Attorney for Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission
(803) 359-2512
(803)359-7478 fax, randy@oldcourthouse.com

Select Applicable Checkbox:

☐ MOTION HEARING REQUESTED (attach written motion and complete SECTIONS I and III)

☐X FORM MOTION, NO HEARING REQUESTED (complete SECTIONS II and III)

☐ PROPOSED ORDER/CONSENT ORDER (complete SECTIONS II and III)

SECTION I: Hearing Information

Nature of Motion: ____________________

Estimated Time Needed: ____________________

Court Reporter Needed: ____________________

SECTION II: Motion / Order Type

Select Applicable Checkbox:

☒ Written motion attached
☐ Form Motion/Order

[Appellant Tracie L. Green's Motion for Certification Under Rule 204(b), SCACR

I hereby move for relief or action by the court as set forth in the attached proposed order.

T Mitchell Green

June 12, 2026

Signature of Attorney for (Check One): Date Submitted
☐ Plaintiff(s)
☒ Defendant(s)

SECTION III: Motion Fee

Check Applicable Checkbox:

☐ Paid
Amount Paid: $ ____________________

☐ Exempt:
Check Reason:
☐ Rule to Show Cause in Child or Spousal Support
☒ Domestic Abuse or Abuse and Neglect
☒ Indigent Status
☐ State Agency v. Indigent Status
☐ Sexually Violent Predator Act
☐ Post-Conviction Relief
☐ Motion for Stay in Bankruptcy
☐ Motion for Execution (Rule 69, SCRCP)
☐ Motion for Publication
☐ Proposed Order Submitted at Request of the Court; or, Reduced to Writing from Motion Make in Open Court Per Judge's Instructions
Name of Court Reporter: ____________________

☐ Other ____________________

Judge's Section

Check Reason: ☐ Motion Fee to be paid upon filing of the attached order.

☐ Other ____

__________________________ __________ _____________
Judge's Signature Judge's Code Date of Signature

Clerk's Verification

Collected By: __________________ Date Filed: ___________

☐ Motion Fee Collected: $________

☐ Contested: $ ______

Custodial Parent (if applicable):____________