

RECEIVED**Jun 15 2026****SC Court of Appeals****FAX****Date:** 06/15/2026

Pages including cover sheet: 14

To:	8037341839@rcfax.com
Phone	
Fax Phone	+18037341839

From:	Lola Richey
	Richey and Richey, PA
	33 Market Point Drive
	Greenville
	SC 29607
Phone	+18642528769X101
Fax Phone	+18642528769

NOTE:

Re: Stephanie Levette Cason, et al vs. Greenville City Police
Department, et al Date of Loss: May 11, 2023

**Richey and
Richey, P.A.
Attorneys at Law**

33 Market Point Drive
Greenville, South Carolina 29607

(864) 467-0503 Office
(888) 882-4878 Toll-Free
(864) 467-0646 Facsimile
lawfirm@richeyandrichey.com Email

Workers' Compensation
Personal Injury
Criminal Law

June 15, 2026

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: *Stephanie Levette Cason, et al vs. Greenville City Police
Department, et al*

Date of Loss:	May 11, 2023
Civil Action Number:	2024CP2304676
Location of Accident:	Greenville County
Appellate Case Number:	2026-001327

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Enclosed for filing is an Amended Notice of Appeal in the above case. Also enclosed are the following:

- (1) Proof of service of the Notice of Appeal on the Respondent;
- (2) Copy of the June 5, 2026, Order from the Court of Common Pleas which is to be challenged on appeal;
- (3) Proof of service of hearing transcript request; and
- (4) A filing fee of \$250.

If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

With best wishes and warmest regards, I am

Truly yours,

Lola S. Richey

Lola S. Richey
Attorney at Law
Enclosures

Copy:

Other Counsels of Record:

V. Clark Price, Attorney

Roni Payne, Attorney

Cassidy Coates Price

1052 North Church Street

Post Office Box 10529

Greenville, South Carolina 29603

EMAIL FOR SERVICE:

Clark Price cprice@cassidycoates.com

Roni Payne rpayne@cassidycoates.com

Traci Larkins tlarkins@cassidycoates.com

Judge G.D. Morgan, Jr.

gmorgansc@sccourts.org

Greenville County Court of Common Pleas

kwatford@greenvillecounty.org

LCoker@greenvillecounty.org

AMENDED
NOTICE OF APPEAL IN A CIVIL CASE

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

G.D. Morgan, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Civil Action No. 2024-CP-23-04676

Appellate Case No. 2026-001327

Stephanie Levette Cason, Individually and as
Personal Representative of the Estate of
J. D. Pitts-Johnson, a Deceased Minor, and
Rakeem Rashaud Johnson, father of
J.D. Pitts-Johnson, the Deceased Minor, Appellants,

vs.

Greenville City Police Department, Respondent.

AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Appellants, Stephanie Levette Cason, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate of J. D. Pitts-Johnson, a Deceased Minor, Rakeem Rashaud Johnson, father of J.D. Pitts-Johnson, the Deceased Minor, hereby file this appeal to appeal the improper ORDER

GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT of Judge

G.D. Morgan, Jr. dated June 5, 2026 on a legal issue and question of law.

Date: Monday, June 15, 2026

Respectfully submitted,
s/ Lola Stradford Richey
Lola Stradford Richey
South Carolina Bar Number: 8931
RICHEY and RICHEY, PA
Post Office Box 10916
Greenville, South Carolina 29603
(864) 467-0503 (Office)
(864) 467-0646 (Facsimile)
lawfirm@richeyandrichey.com
Attorney for the Plaintiff / Appellant

Other Counsels of Record:

V. Clark Price, Attorney

Roni Payne, Attorney

Cassidy Coates Price

1052 North Church Street

Post Office Box 10529

Greenville, South Carolina 29603

EMAIL FOR SERVICE:

Clark Price cprice@cassidycoates.com

Roni Payne rpayne@cassidycoates.com

Traci Larkins tlarkins@cassidycoates.com

Judge G.D. Morgan, Jr.

gmorgansc@sccourts.org

Greenville County Court of Common Pleas

kwatford@greenvillecounty.org

LCoker@greenvillecounty.org

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2024-CP-23-04676
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE	)	
	)	
Stephanie Levette Cason, Individually	)	
And as Personal Representative of the	)	
Estate of J.D. Pitts-Johnson, a deceased	)	
Minor and Rakeem Rashaud Johnson,	)	
Father of J.D. Pitts-Johnson, the	)	
Deceased Minor,	)	
	)	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT’S
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	MOTION FOR PARTIAL
	)	
v.	)	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	)	
Greenville City Police Department,	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

This matter was before the Court on Defendant’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. The motion was heard on June 1, 2026. Appearing on behalf of the Defendant were V. Clark Price and Roni Payne of Cassidy Coates Price, P.A. Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs was Lola S. Richey.

The Defendant has moved for summary judgment as to the Fifth and Sixth causes of action. The motion is made pursuant to Rule 56, South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Plaintiffs oppose the motion and contend that there are genuine issues of material fact that require the Defendant’s motion be denied. Counsel for both parties have fully briefed their respective positions and argued those positions at the hearing. Counsel are commended for their arguments presented in support of their respective positions. Based on a review of the file, pleadings, submissions of the parties and oral argument, the Court finds that the Defendant’s motion for summary judgment as to the Fifth and Sixth causes of action should be granted.

The Defendant argues that the Fifth Cause of Action, which alleges negligent infliction of emotional distress, should be dismissed because neither of the Plaintiffs personally witnessed the pursuit and the crash that occurred after the pursuit was terminated by the Defendant's police officers. The Court agrees with the City's position.

There are five elements of the cause of action for negligent infliction of emotional distress:

- (1) the negligence of the defendant must cause death or serious physical injury to another;
- (2) the plaintiff bystander must be in close proximity to the accident;
- (3) the plaintiff and the victim must be closely related;
- (4) the plaintiff must contemporaneously perceive the accident; and
- (5) the emotional distress must both manifest itself by physical symptoms capable of objective diagnosis and be established by expert testimony.

Recovery for this type of direct claim for emotional and physical upset is limited to bystander recovery. Kinard v. Augusta Sash & Door Co., 286 S.C. 579, 336 S.E.2d 465 (1985).

There is no evidence that either Plaintiff was a bystander and present when the stolen vehicle in which Plaintiff's decedent J. D. Pitts-Johnson was a passenger crashed. Neither witnessed the crash. The bystander requirement is not met, and the Defendant is therefore entitled to summary judgment as to the Fifth Cause of Action.

The Defendant contends that it is entitled to summary judgment as to the Sixth cause of action which the Plaintiffs describe in their Amended Complaint as "Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment under 42 U.S.C. §1983 (Deprivation of life without due process)." The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendant is liable for the actions of their officers under the doctrine of Respondeat Superior, and for failing to adequately train, supervise, and discipline their officers. The Defendant has moved for summary judgment on this cause of action because the Plaintiffs

have not alleged nor presented evidence showing that the Defendant or its officers acted during the pursuit with an intent to harm the occupants of the stolen vehicle. The Defendant contends that a showing of intent to harm is required to establish a Monell-type claim against the City arising from the police pursuit. The Court agrees with the Defendant's position.

In Monell v. Dep't of Social Services., 436 U.S. 658 (1978), The United States Supreme Court established that "a local government may not be sued under Section 1983 for an injury inflicted solely by its employees or agents. Instead, it is when execution of a government's policy or custom, whether made by its lawmakers or by those whose edicts or acts may fairly be said to represent official policy, inflicts the injury that the government as an entity is responsible for under Section 1983."

A Monell claim is dependent on the establishment of a constitutional injury inflicted by the officers in order to trigger potential liability under Section 1983. The claim against the Defendant requires a showing that the actions of the Defendant's officers during the course of the pursuit rose to the level of a denial of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. See Waybright v. Frederick County, MD., 528 F.3d 199, at 209, citing City of Los Angeles v. Heller, 475 U.S. 796, 106 S.Ct. 1571, 89 L.Ed.2d 806 (1986).

In a police pursuit case brought under Section 1983, the proof required to survive summary judgment must be more than evidence of negligence, gross negligence, recklessness, or even deliberate indifference on the part of the officers involved in the pursuit. Evidence that the officers intended to harm the Plaintiffs' decedent must be shown in order to establish an issue of fact as to whether a constitutional injury was inflicted. The Plaintiffs do not have evidence of intent to harm here.

Police officers who engage in vehicular pursuits of criminals attempting to avoid capture are not liable for injuries sustained during the pursuit in the absence of a specific intent on the part of the officers to harm the person suffering injury. This standard was established by the United States Supreme Court in the case of County of Sacramento v. Lewis, 523 U.S. 833, at 841 n.5, 118 S.Ct. 1708, 140 L.Ed.2d 1043 (1998). In Lewis, the Supreme Court examined whether a police officer violates the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantee of substantive due process by causing death through deliberate indifference or reckless indifference to life in a high-speed automobile chase aimed at apprehending a suspected criminal offender. The Court rejected the plaintiff's substantive due process claim where police engaged in a high-speed chase of a motorcycle on which the plaintiff's minor decedent was riding as a passenger. The motorcycle crashed, and the decedent was struck by one of the pursuing patrol cars. The decedent's parents brought a Section 1983 action against the County of Sacramento.

The Supreme Court held that the Fourth Amendment's reasonableness standard did not apply; that an allegation that the pursuit was undertaken with deliberate indifference to the passenger's survival was insufficient to state a substantive due process claim; and that high-speed police pursuits with no intent to physically harm suspects do not give rise to liability under the Fourteenth Amendment.

The Fourth Circuit, following the holding in Lewis, has established that "regarding police actions, 'high-speed chases with no intent to harm suspects physically or to worsen their legal plight do not give rise to liability under the Fourteenth Amendment [that is] redressible by an action under Section 1983'". Dean for and on behalf of Harkness v. McKinney, 976 F.3d 407, at 418 (4th Cir. 2020), quoting Lewis, 523 U.S. 833, at 854, 118 S.Ct. 1708, 140 L.Ed.2d 1043 (1998).

Because there is no evidence that the Defendant's police officers intended to harm the Plaintiff's decedent, the Defendant is not liable as a matter of law as to the Monell cause of action. See Waybright, supra, 528 F.3d 199, at 209.

For the reasons set forth herein, the Court finds that the Defendant is entitled to summary judgment as to both the Fifth and Sixth Causes of Action.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

G.D. Morgan, Jr., Judge
Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Greenville, South Carolina

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Stephanie Levette Cason , plaintiff, et al vs. Police Department
Greenville City , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2024CP2304676

Type: Order/Summary Judgment

So Ordered

G.D. Morgan Jr.

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF AMENDED
NOTICE OF APPEAL**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

G.D. Morgan, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Civil Action No. 2024-CP-23-04676

Appellate Case No. 2026-001327

Stephanie Levette Cason, Individually and as
Personal Representative of the Estate of
J. D. Pitts-Johnson, a Deceased Minor, and
Rakeem Rashaud Johnson, father of
J.D. Pitts-Johnson, the Deceased Minor, Appellants,

vs.

Greenville City Police Department, Respondent.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that our law office has served the Amended Notice of Appeal on the counsels of
record via email on June 15, 2026, addressed to the legal counsel of record as follows:

Clark Price cprice@cassidycoates.com
Roni Payne rpayne@cassidycoates.com
Traci Larkins tlarkins@cassidycoates.com

Judge G.D. Morgan, Jr.
gmorgansc@sccourts.org

Greenville County Court of Common Pleas
kwatford@greenvillecounty.org
LCoker@greenvillecounty.org

Respectfully submitted,

By: s/ *Lola Stradford Richey*

Lola Stradford Richey
South Carolina Bar Number: 8931
RICHEY and RICHEY, PA
Attorney for the Appellant
Post Office Box 10916
Greenville, South Carolina 29603
(864) 467-0503 (Office)
(864) 467-0646 (Facsimile)
lawfirm@richeyandrichey.com
South Carolina Bar Number: 8931

Dated: Monday, June 15, 2026



Transcript Request for Docket Number 2024CP2304676

1 message

Transcripts@sccourts.org <Transcripts@sccourts.org>
To: lawfirm@richeyandrichey.com

Thu, Jun 11, 2026 at 1:13 PM

Greetings,

Please accept this email and the **summarized Transcript Request shown below** as confirmation that the online transcript request that you submitted has been received by our office. You should receive communication from a transcriptionist within five (5) business days with an estimated cost for production.

If you need further assistance, please contact us at transcripts@sccourts.org.

Regards,

Court Administration

Summarized Transcript Request ID(s): **1261103908**

Requester Information:

Name: Lola Richey
Entity: Law Firm Richey and Richey PA
Phone Number: (864) 467-0503
Email: lawfirm@richeyandrichey.com
Address: Post Office Box 10916 Greenville, SC 29603
Is the requester a party on the case? Yes Stephanie Levette Cason, et al vs. Greenville City Police Department, et al Civil Action Number: 2024CP2304676
Does the requester represent a party? No

Transcript Information:

Docket Number: 2024CP2304676
Court Type: Circuit
County: Greenville
Case Caption: Cason, Stephanie Levette vs Police Department Greenv
Appeal Pending? Court of Appeals
Death Penalty? No
Start Date of Proceeding: 6/1/2026
End Date of Proceeding: 6/1/2026
Presiding Judge: Morgan Jr., G. D.
Court reporter daily assignments:
6/1/2026 (Monday): WebEx, Circuit Court
Opposing Counsel and/or other parties information:
(Attorney) Vincent Clark Price
(email): cprice@cassidycoates.com
(Defendant) Police Department Greenv
(email): cprice@cassidycoates.com
(Plaintiff) Stephanie Levette Cason
(email): scason42@gmail.com
(Plaintiff Attorney) Lola Stradford Richey
(email): lawfirm@richeyandrichey.com
Next hearing date: *Date not entered*
Portion of proceeding to be transcribed: Entire Hearing

Delivery Information:

Delivery Timeframe: Regular Delivery (60 days)
Delivery Method: PDF/Email
~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.