

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COURT OF APPEALS

Isaac Jr Smith
Plaintiff

Vs.

Dax Lewis and Doren Lewis
Defendant

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ISAAC
SMITH, JR. DAX LEWIS AND DOREN LEWIS

APPEALANT CASE NO. 2026-000683

REQUEST THE APPELLANT COURT, DENY, |
REMOVE, RELEASE, SET ASIDE, VACATE,
ABANDON, AND DISMISSAL ANY RULING
OF THE OF ANY JUDGE FRANK
R, ADDY, JR.

RECEIVED

JUN 12 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THIS MATTER CAME BEFORE THE COURT on March 2, 2026 for a hearing. Upon consideration of Defendant's motion to dismiss, the Court grants Defendant's motions to dismiss the Plaintiff's action with addressing any consideration of the granting constitutional rights to the Plaintiff. The Court rules the defendants this case is one of several which have been filed concerning exactly the same issue. In each issue as the defendant, Dax Lewis and Doren Lewis, presented actions to the court before the ruling judge Frank R. Addy, Jr. without submitting an accompanied written explanation of the legal proceeding attaching the Defendant, Dax Lewis and Doren Lewis' constitutional alienations.

The court ruled Defendant's motion is well-founded despite never addressing the Plaintiff's motion is ill-founded and the Plaintiff was never served with court papers from the Defendant. The Defendant fail to make replies to the papers filed before the court. Specifically, the Plaintiff acknowledged all action to which the Defendant refiled to and any ruling to which the Court ruled in favor of the Defendant was void and of no authority be for the court as to the patters in default by the Defendant, Dax Lewis and Doren Lewis.

The Court said the Plaintiff lost in trial and appellate courts of this state. Despite the accusation of the Plaintiff's having loss, the Defendant never produced any replies to the substantial pleading submitted to the Court by the Plaintiff. The grievances of the Plaintiff well known and completely established because the activities of the Defendant, Dax Lewis and Doren Lewis continues to ignore the court recorded evidence. The new and excessive actions litigating exactly the actions which the courts have ruled without resolving the well-established information the Court is a denial of constitutional protections.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Isaac Smith, hereby request the order dismissing the above orders of the circuit court. This motion is supported by the statutory and common laws of the State of South Carolina, as well as such legal memoranda and arguments which may be presented to the court at or prior to the hearing on this motion. If the schedule motion hearing is not continued, the Plaintiff request that he be re-instated to his constitutional right to a jury trial.

Respectfully Submitted

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Isaac Smith, Jr.', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Isaac Smith, Jr. Pro Se, Plaintiff
4726 4th Avenue, Suite 16
Los Angeles CA 90043

Columbia, South Carolina
June 10. 2026