

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Rhonda Meisner, Appellant,

v.

Grant Meisner; Grant Meisner, MD, LLC; Sheila Robinson; Erwin Mangubat, MD; Moore, Taylor, & Thomas, P.A.; Moore Taylor Law Firm, P.A.; Moore Bradley Myers Law Firm, PA; Tricia L. Flowers; Flowers Consulting, LLC; Richard G. Whiting, Esquire; Law Offices of Richard G. Whiting, P.A.; John Doe (1-10), a fictional name assigned to identify parties that are not yet known or not yet determined, Defendants,

of which Tricia L. Flowers; Flowers Consulting, LLC; and John Doe (1-10), a fictional name assigned to identify parties that are not yet known or not yet determined, are Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2024-001626

ORDER

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.¹

¹ Appellant's petition for rehearing also seeks rehearing as to this court's order denying her motion to consolidate her appeals. We take no action on this portion of the petition for rehearing. See Rule 221(c), SCACR ("The appellate court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal.").


In her petition for rehearing, Appellant requests leave to file a motion pursuant to Rule 60(b) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure in the circuit court. *See* Rule 60(b), SCRCP ("During the pendency of an appeal, leave to make the motion must be obtained from the appellate court."). After careful consideration, we deny Appellant's request.



J.



J.



J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Rhonda Meisner

Michael C. Tanner, Esquire

James Edward Bradley, Esquire

Stephanie Holmes Burton, Esquire

Emre Ersoy, Esquire

FILED

