

FORM 11

LETTER ORDERING TRANSCRIPTS FROM COURT REPORTER(s)

RECEIVED

JUN 18 2026

SC Court of Appeals

15, 2026 (attn.: Court Reporters)

CLERK OF COURT 100 Broad Street Charleston, S C 29401

RE: State of South Carolina, v Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith Criminal Charging Document Case No. 20241841058248 Appellant Case No: 2026-001225

Dear Court Reporter:

1. I. Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith in the case of State of South Carolina versus Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith Criminal Charging Document Case No. 20241841058248 request every and all STATE prosecuting substantive material evidence (legal and procedurally) that was allegedly submitted to this court clerks and or reporters for the lower court of Charleston County Ninth Judicial Circuit Court in downtown Charleston, South Carolina 29401

2. This requested is related to my claims for being denied rights of notice to appeal the state or person judgments and as denied legal and procedural due process rights as conferred by the State Constitution, rule of discovery (right to be fully informed of any and all illegal and or unlawful law, ordinance and or local policy violation that were committed by this person for which the charges in this order in bail proceeding against me.

3. As the State of South Carolina enforced such laws against me, it lacks material data and sufficiency of evidence as the State or state delegated law enforcing official and officer affirmations are alleged. This request is prevent me from self incrimination (defense) afforded under South Carolina Constitution in criminal trial of Article 1 SECTION 12 against myself (asserted a defense) were denied, as rights are secured by Maranda V Arizona 1966 were denied A right to a trial by a jury was denied in violation of Article 1 SECTION 14 and right to appeal by service a Notice to Appeal any court decision under SCACR rule 203 were violated was also denied.

4. This request is based on the understanding of self-incrimination rights in South Carolina, Article 1 SECTION 3 in considering the following points such as:

- a. as required of following the Fifth Amendment, Article 1 SECTION 3 protects individuals from self-incrimination in criminal cases.
- b. South Carolina law upholds the right to remain silent during police interrogations.
- c. Defendants cannot be compelled to testify against themselves in court.
- d. Statements made under duress or coercion are inadmissible.
- e. The right to counsel ensures legal representation during questioning.
- f. The Waiving the right to remain silent must be done knowingly and voluntarily

5. Article 1 SECTION 14. Trial by jury; witnesses; defense. The right of trial by jury shall be preserved inviolate. Any person charged with an offense shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury; to be fully informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses (also S C Code 17-23-60 (et seg) against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to be fully heard in his defense by himself or by his counsel or by both. This request is to support appellant case 2026-001225 (see Attachment for references), that you provide me with the complete copy of all prior and relevant transcript of the State proceedings. Please transcribe the entire record, especially the following parts: (1) Selection and swearing of the jury; (2) Opening statements of counsel for defendant and respondent and (3) include the stenographers recorded closing arguments and counsel present for State of South Carolina or as a legal entity was delegated, related to as stated on the appeal case naming Alan McCorey Wilson, ESQ , Mark Reynolds ESQ and Kasey Eaman Rose Knox, ESQ)

6. I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith III claim the State of South Carolina (et al) have not allowed me to confront these my accusers as the State of South Carolina Constitution and the State statues S C Code 17-23-60 required of the State or person delegated as the State acting government officers or official, as the Respondent(s) who failed to follow rule 203 (primary claim) or which as of this date has still not been disclosed (Discovery Procedural due process denied) for which Post Conviction relief (herein refer to as "P C R") for such depravation of rights while acting under the color of law and authority for the misuse and abuse of governmental power.

7. All the prior records related all State of South Carolina versus Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith Orders, Conviction, Arrest, Executions of Judgment(s) tickets, citation or local ordinance violation that this organization has stored in your database files, be produced according to rule of law, which finality of judgment (any undenounced pending civil or criminal actions) that coincide with this case action as to be in strict compliance with S C Code 17-25-10 and the rule of law under S C Rule of Criminal Procedures SCACR Rule 5.

8. If transcripts will not be afforded by law at the government expense (as herein being requested), as I Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith could agrees to paying affordable printing cost per page charges for these transcripts as provided by Rule 607, SCACR.

Sincerely,

Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston, S C 29406
email: rafaelsmith2332@gmail.com Appellant/petitioner

In the State of South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent

Appellant Case No: 2026-001225

v.

Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith, Appellant

NOTICE TO APPEAL (RESPONDENTS)

1. I Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith pursuant rule 267 submits this Notice to Appeal to the State, as this serves as your "right to appeal notice", of the decision of this appellant court, of which my counterclaim was that the "State" (which appeal court to have YOU listed as respondent), did not afford me this same common courtesy opportunity to appeal an earlier determination made on behalf of the State, for which I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith "petitions" this court for Post-Conviction relief (herein refer to as P C R), for, as the State of South Carolina had rights denied but law enforced against me, it lacks legal and procedural acts required providing of material data and sufficiency of evidence, as the State or state delegated law enforcing official and officer court order affirms actions as validated are alleged. This PCR request is prevent me from self-incrimination (defense) afforded under South Carolina Constitution in criminal trial of Article 1 SECTION 12 against myself (asserted a defense) were denied, as rights are secured by Maranda V Arizona 1966 were denied A right to a trial by a jury was denied in violation of Article 1 SECTION 14 and right to appeal by service a Notice to Appeal any court decision under SCACR rule 203 were violated as also denied.

2. This PCR request is based on the understanding of self-incrimination rights in South Carolina, Article 1 SECTION 3 in considering the following points such as:

- a. as required of following the Fifth Amendment, Article 1 SECTION 3 protects individuals from self-incrimination in criminal cases.
- b. South Carolina law upholds the right to remain silent during police interrogations.
- c. Defendants cannot be compelled to testify against themselves in court.
- d. Statements made under duress or coercion are inadmissible.
- e. The right to counsel ensures legal representation during questioning.
- f. The Waiving the right to remain silent must be done knowingly and voluntarily

g. Article 1 SECTION 14. Trial by jury; witnesses; defense. The right of trial by jury shall be preserved inviolate. Any person charged with an offense shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury; to be fully informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses (also S C Code 17-23-60 (et seg) against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to be fully heard in his defense by himself or by his counsel or by both

3. In the alternate seeking relief by declaratory Affirmative Judgment by this court on dismissal of charges, reversal of orders and judgments, this serves dually as "PCR" for the "State" violating the rule of law of SCACR rule 203. Rule 203 in each category or every descriptive term, this rule of law states requirement to send parties and person of their rights to appeal, by submitting a "notice to appeal" from any court, submitted on behalf of a organization, person or a professional judgments, as herein requesting the willful compliance by this court of origination to provided the assurance that such laws were followed by the persons and the Court orders which affirms the validity of the lower state court case services were in fact rendered, without any inference of a reason of remaining in doubt this rule of law rule 203 was not violated, that a jury trial was afforded as required under Article 1 SECTION 14 of the State of South Carolina Constitution

4. As a result of the State (et al) lack of following the rule 203, insufficiency of data and the lack of substantive material evidence to submit such required data, as I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith seek all charges be dropped and be afforded substantive financial compensation under punitive damages for the erroneous arrest, harassment, conviction, public embarrassment, physical abuse by law enforcement officers and officials under oaths and emotional distress as endured, and as legally harmed and personally injured by this State (et al) lack of following legal and procedural due process, who without establishing probable cause or presenting any preponderance of evidence, for the unconsented used my name, images and likeness, as to have the State law enforced against me, without providing the necessary complaint, legal reasoning's or lawful authority to obtain a conviction and arrest against me.

II. NOTICE TO APPEAL COURT ON CORRECTING DEFICEINCIES REQUIRED BY THIS COURT
DEFICEINCY for Criminal Charging Document Case No. 20241841058248 (no discovery allowed or tickets issued involved prior to attacks, arrest, abuse and harassments endured by City of Charleston law enforcing officers, Charleston County Sheriffs and patrolling private agents who all were involved)

5. DEFIECENY I. I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith III was not afforded rule 5 discovery for which any information has not been provided of which attached is what I am able to produce as a direct result of the State legal and procedural violation of which I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith is seeking receive per from 11, each every evidence from the lower court that has on file, thus to prevent me from violating rights under Article I Section 12 (I alleged the court officials, law enforcing officers and legal entities relies on hearsay that an illegal and unlawful act was committed my this person)

6. DEFICIENCY 2, I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith in compliance with SCACR rule 267(b) sign this notice of appeal to meet such requirements

Questions Presented to the Court Panel

1. What illegal or unlawful act did the "State" or any other person that State delegated proved, or who provided showings of establishing probable cause or by submission of prior preponderance of evidence (Burdon of proof) that such case orders warranted supporting officers personal judgments, of which

Proof required to show causes of such judgments in Criminal Charging Document Case No. 20241841058248 in totality did not lack data or sufficiency showing of material evidences (As being requested on form 11 from the court of general session, as I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith was denied to discovery (SCRCrimP rule 5) such information and as denied such rights to be fully informed which this in the alternate for affirmative declaratory judgment that PCR grants reliefs) or to arrest and convict this person Rafeel Santiago Miquel Smith for violating an unidentified local ordinance, local code or local traffic code law, previously to this judgment ? (proof being requested as demanded by law rule 5)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith certify that on June 15, 2026 sent FORM 11 request, Notice to appeal and in the alternate as a petition for PCR relief by affirmative declaratory Judgment, and as required under rule 267 corrected such deficiency and made changes to title page as required by the Court of Appeals was sent via United States First class mail Court of Appeal 1220 Senate Street Columbia S C 29201

State of South Carolina Governor
Governor Henry McMaster
110 Gervais Street
Columbia S C 29201

Attorney general of South Carolina
Attorney General Alan McCorey Wilson
P O Box 11549
Columbia S C 29211

Chareilton County Judicial Court
(Att: Clerk of Court General Session)
100 Broad Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29401

Mark Reynolds ESQ
37 Broad Street
Charleston S C 29401

Ninth Judicial Solicitors Office
Kasey Eaman Rose Knox
101 Meeting Street Ste 400
Charleston S C 29401

June 15, 2026

RafeelSmith


Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston, S C 29406
email: rafaelsmith2332@gmail.com
Appellant/petitioner (Pro Se)



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

June 3, 2026

Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston SC 29406

Re: The State v. Rafeel S. M. Smith
Appellate Case No. 2026-001225

Dear Mr. Smith:

Upon reviewing your notice of appeal, the following deficiency or deficiencies have been noted under the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), and any deficiency must be corrected within ten (10) days of the date of this letter or this matter will be dismissed:

- The notice of appeal is not accompanied by the order(s) and/or judgment(s) challenged on appeal.
- The notice of appeal must be signed pursuant to Rule 267(b), SCACR.

Very truly yours,

Catherine Harrison, deputy
CLERK

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Kasey Eaman Rose Knox, Esquire



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

June 3, 2026

Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston SC 29406

Re: The State v. Rafeel S. M. Smith
Appellate Case No. 2026-001225

Dear Mr. Smith:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at www.sccourts.org/courtreg. Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2014-04-15-02. Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will *not* review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

This is to advise that the title in the above matter has been changed to read as follows:


The State, Respondent,

v.

Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith, Appellant.

All future records in this matter should be changed to reflect this title. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Very truly yours,

 Catherine J. Ammin, deputy
CLERK

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire
Kasey Eaman Rose Knox, Esquire

BAIL PROCEEDING
FORM ISTATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ORDER SPECIFYING METHODS AND CONDITIONS OF RELEASE

v.

RAFEEL SMITH
NAME OF DEFENDANT

Offense Charged: FAIL TO STOP FOR BLUE LIGHTS

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

I

That the above named defendant be released from custody on his own recognizance without surety on the condition that he will personally appear before the designated court at the place, date and time required to answer the charge made against him and do what shall be ordered by the court, and not depart the State without permission of the court and be of good behavior.

II

That the above named defendant be released from custody upon a recognizance without surety executed by him.

Appearance Recognizance Without Surety

On the 27 May 2024, personally appeared before the undersigned judge the defendant named above who acknowledged himself indebted to the State of South Carolina, in the sum of \$5,000.00 dollars, to be levied on his real and personal property for the use of the State, if the defendant shall fail in performing the conditions of the Order.

III

That the defendant will notify the court promptly if he changes his address from the one contained in this Order and will comply with the following other conditions of release:

IV

That the defendant shall appear at (check one):

- ☒ the term of COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS (FIRST APPEARANCE) beginning on Friday, July 12, 2024 at 2:00 o'clock, P.M. at CHARLESTON COUNTY OFFICE BLDG., 101 MEETING ST, ROOM 130 CHARLESTON and remain there throughout that term of court. If no disposition is made during that term, the defendant shall appear and remain throughout each succeeding term of court until final disposition is made of his case, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

SECOND APPEARANCE DATE: Monday, November 04, 2024 at 9:30am

- ☐ the session of _____ beginning on _____ at _____ o'clock, _____ If no final disposition is made during that session, the defendant shall appear at such other times and places as ordered by the court.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY DEFENDANT

I understand that if I violate any condition of this Order, a warrant for my arrest will be issued.

I understand and have been informed that I have a right and obligation to be present at trial and should I fail to attend the court, the trial will proceed in my absence.

It has been explained to me that if I fail to appear before the court as required, a warrant for my arrest will be issued.

No Attorney on Record

ATTORNEY REPRESENTING ACCUSED (IF KNOWN)

SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT: RAHEEL SMITH

ADDRESS

CITY/STATE/ZIP

TELEPHONE

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DRIVER'S LICENSE OR ID NUMBER

SIGNATURE OF JUDGE: Gosnell

May 27, 2024

DATE

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY OF THIS FORM ARE TO BE COMPLETED IN EVERY BAIL PROCEEDING IN WHICH IT IS USED
Original Copy For The Trial Court - Copy For The Defendant

Rafeel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston SC 29406

Retail



29201

RDC 99

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
MONCK'S CORNER, SC
JUN 15, 2026

\$1.07

S2324E500170-7

South Carolina Court Appeals
Attn Clerk of Court
1220 Senate Street
Columbia SC 29201

RECEIVED

JUN 18 2026

SC Court of Appeals

29201-376333

