

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Victoria Joy Stevens, Appellant,

v.

Charles Ard, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2025-001237

ORDER

On February 20, 2026, Appellant filed a "motion to correct the record," in which she requested this court provide her with an "order to show to the lower court that judicial statements were erroneously and inadvertently omitted from the record on appeal." Respondent did not file a return. On April 10, 2026, Appellant filed a "motion to request professional verification [of] transcript accuracy and to disclose abuses of process," in which she asks this court to (1) order the court reporter to produce the original audio recording; (2) order an independent verification of the transcript by a certified forensic court reporter; (3) verify the frequency with which Respondent's counsel schedules his cases to be heard before the Honorable Alex Hyman; and (4) verify the frequency with which Judge Hyman utilizes the court reporter who transcribed the proceedings at issue here. Respondent did not file a return.

After careful consideration, we deny Appellant's motions. *See* Court Reporter Manual State of South Carolina, South Carolina Court Administration (Updated May 1, 2018) ("Court reporters shall not grant any request to listen to audio recordings or to read steno notes unless the requestor has received written authorization from the presiding judge or, in his/her absence, the chief judge for administrative purposes in that circuit."); *id.* (explaining that upon receipt of a challenge to the accuracy of a transcript, the court reporter will review the record and report the findings in writing to the challenger and "[f]urther review of the record may be permitted by the presiding judge upon written request with good cause shown").



J.

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Victoria Joy Stevens

Lawrence Bradley Smith, Esquire

Charles Ard

FILED
Jun 23 2026
