

RECEIVED

Jun 22 2026

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Cynthia Veronese](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Keith Mccarty](#)
Subject: Veronese v. Jarrel Wigger, et al. - 2025-002132
Date: Monday, June 22, 2026 12:33:48 AM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. *******

Good morning Ms. Khee'Asia,

I submitted an Amended Motion to Augment the Record on June 16, 2026, and it was filed in connection with the original motion to augment, which had been submitted on May 18, 2026.

Unaware that the amended motion was already visible in your 'Case View', I sent the same motion again via email on June 19, 2026, and requested clarification. Subsequently, I spoke with you by phone, and you showed me where the amended motion had been filed.

I want to make it clear that the amended motion sent via email on June 19, 2026, is identical to the one previously filed on June 16, 2026. The original motion was amended only once -on June 16, 2026- and has already been properly served on the opposing counsel. I wish to clarify that the motion sent via email on June 19, 2026, is merely a copy of the one filed on June 16, 2026, and not a second amendment.

I apologize for the confusion.

Thanks,

Cynthia Veronese