

RECEIVED

Jun 24 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Message to the Appellate Panel

Fairness-The role of the court is to ensure neutrality and promote fairness that includes consistently in upholding the laws.

I urge this court to take into account the unnecessary travel and time has passed for this case. While the Supreme Court has ruled that motions to vacate a judgment for being void is restrained by the “reasonable time” requirement, the Court did not define what a reasonable time entails. In *Coney Island Auto Parts v. Burton*, the Supreme Court ruled a motion to set aside a void judgment must be made within a reasonable time. The court has always favored litigants that have not sat on their rights to pursue justice. I went through the judicial system as required and asked the courts to vacate these void judgments. When the courts did not act, I then proceeded to seek enforcement of the judgment. Strangely, the courts refused to enforce the judgment. Therefore, I am stuck in a system that refuses to honor judgment that no court will void or enforce. This Court needs to restore the fundamental component of the justice system which provides a structured and impartial forum for resolving disputes, upholding the law, and protecting individual rights. Just as Bankers Life and Casualty has the right to be served properly, I also have the right to be given the legal amount of time to serve them properly. I ask that the court seriously take into consideration the route that this matter has traveled for the past 12 years.

1. Case 2014CP2300815 was in the South Carolina Court of Appeals for nearly 2 years before a ruling was made on the case
2. When I filed a motion to vacate in 2018, the Common Pleas Court issued a judgment that was false.

3. The case was presented to the South Carolina Supreme Court. This Court failed to make a ruling on the matter.
4. The case was presented to the US Supreme Court. This Court failed to make a ruling on the matter.
5. The case was then presented to the district and the appeals court. Both courts invoked the Rooker-Feldman doctrine.
6. The matter was presented to the US Supreme Court. Cert. denied
7. The matter was presented to the district court for enforcement of the judgment. The district court has refused to acknowledge that a prior case was filed before 2016(case# 2016CP232306804 removed became case# 6:16-cv-03100). While the case against Bankers Life and Casualty Company was identical and refiled. Case 2014CP2300815 was filed and dismissed in state court. Therefore any issues pertaining to case 2014CP2300815 must be addressed in the court that issued the dismissal. The district court has no say in case 2014CP2300815. Which also means that although the district court has ruled the matter as closed, the district court cannot close case 2014CP2300815 because this case was never in its jurisdiction and never satisfied the requirements for removal (note amount-in-controversy for Case 2014CP2300815 was \$40,000 not the required \$75,000).
8. The matter was appealed arguing the Full Faith and Credit Clause. Matter was affirmed.

9. Davis submitted an application for assistance to the Greenville Clerk of Court to get the judgment enforced. I was accused of submitting fraudulent documents to the court.
10. A mandamus was submitted to get the judgment enforced and was refused by the appeals court.
11. The matter was submitted to the US Supreme Court. Cert. denied

This judgment has been presented to the entire judicial system and to date not one court will vacate or enforce this judgment. This was a simple matter about a due process violation that was addressed to the courts. Instead, I have been treated like an individual without any rights. Laws, court procedure, binding precedent, and court rules have been disregarded and tossed aside. The courts are supposed to be a place for resolution but for some we are not welcome and laws and rules can be ignored. Eric Murdaugh got a new trial because a Clerk of Court interfered with the jury. His right to a fair trial was impacted. My right to an impartial judiciary is impacted in this matter. I cannot get two judgments vacated for ignoring the law. I am begging this court to apply the laws and binding precedent in this case.