

RECEIVED

JUN 29 2026

SC Court of Appeals

#384121
Charles Anderson
Turbeville C. I (TB169)
1578 Clarence Coker Hwy
Turbeville, SC 29162

Honorable, Chief Judge
of THE SOUTH CAROLINA
Courts of Appeals.

Bruce Williams

Appellate C/A #NO: 2025-002231

2025-001011

Your honor, I am enclosing this letter, on the issue that I'm being forced to answer for a capital crime without a official Indictment; Even though my solicitor have the court believe it's a True Bill Indictment that suppose to been handled down 8-19-19. I explain this to my attorney's (All of them) (1) Timothy Murphy, (2) Jason Bridges, (3) Justin Katta (4) Timothy Griffin (5) Jessica Saxon; Per say the South Carolina criminal law, state(s) that NO ONE CAN Be held to answer for a Capital crime without A valid Indictment, (Correct)? So when the 16-23 individual of my peers VOTE ON each count of any Indictment (Right); When A True Bill is reach, the clerk of Court of that District is contact, as well as the Judge. (A AUDIO + Transcript) MUST Be sent to the JUDGE (CHIEF); Please correct me when possible; Now I wrote the clerk of Court from District Three (James C. Campbell) asking for all Transcripts, that the clerk of Court has: I was told that

To get the transcript for my 9-28-20 post trial hearing and was told to contact the Court reporter. (OK) Now The Grand Jury transcripts goes to the Clerk of Court and then whatever Judge that is over that case. Mr. James C. Campbell telling me that It isn't any Grand jury Transcripts. Even during my 9-28-2020 pre-trial hearing, (i) of The motion(s) I submitted on Record was the Indictment, without any physical evidence, it's ~~rarely~~ rarely that the grand jury hand down a Indictment on Circumstantial evidence. But Honorable Judge Cothran stated that it wasn't a True Bill indictment in front of him; (which I'm enclosing the transcript page 51 to 57 page: Just for proof and I'm enclosing the Clerk of Court letter as well. Where James C. Campbell clearly tells me that it's No Grand jury transcript (STOP ME) But whenever A indictment is handed down, it's always a transcript (Grand jury Minutes); that's Lawful; it's unlawful to sentence anyone without one. Even if I had the original copy, when you copy it, it will still show the seal and True Bill on any form of copied made. It's so bad that My Lawyer-ex Lawyer got up on the PCR hearing stand and said I told him I did the crime, which I never Done. Please Respond Back on the above Matter. Mr. Andersen



SUMTER COUNTY CLERK OF COURT

JAMES C. CAMPBELL

Sumter County Judicial Center ■ 215 North Harvin Street ■ Sumter, South Carolina 29150

Clerk's Office
(803) 436-2227
Fax (803) 436-2223

Family Court
(803) 436-2366
Fax (803) 436-2396

Common Pleas
(803) 436-2228
(803) 436-2231

Jury Information
(803) 436-2233

Fines & Restitution
(803) 436-2230

General Sessions
(803) 436-2264
(803) 436-2265

March 9, 2021

Charles Anderson #384121

Evans Correctional Inst.

610 Hwy. 9, West

Bennettsville, SC 29512

Dear Mr. Anderson;

Please see the enclosed form for requesting copies from the Clerk's Office. Transcripts of cases must be requested from the court reporter. Therefore, you must provide the correct and complete warrant or indictment number. There isn't a Grand Jury transcript.

Sincerely,

Clerk of Court Office

RECEIVED
JUN 29 2026
SC Court of Appeals

1 MS. MCELVEEN: Great.

2 THE COURT: --- that's great. If we
3 can't, we may have to have the next group. We
4 need to have them callback after 6 and then to
5 have, then have the computer select another 33 or
6 35 for the next day to come in and then we'll see
7 how many we got filled. Okay.

8 MS. MCELVEEN: Okay. Call back after
9 6 if we don't seat 14?

10 THE COURT: Right. We'll have
11 everybody call ---

12 (Off-the-record discussion.)

13 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, it's a
14 three page for the defects of a grand jury process
15 in tackling the indictment ---

16 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

17 THE DEFENDANT: --- where the
18 indictment was handed down for insignificant
19 circumstantial evidence where the State didn't
20 have no prior order to produce to the grand jury
21 for the grand jury to indict, as well as no
22 medical records that show any of the victims had
23 went to the hospital or received any type of
24 medical treatment for the charge of, to charge Mr.
25 Anderson with attempted murder. And on the

1 defects the face of the indictment that was never
 2 signed by a foreman. It have something which
 3 almost feel like my name is on it. Somebody had
 4 like written Charles on it in cursive and did a;
 5 and the form of the verdict, the true bill, the
 6 true bill or no bill that supposed to come back
 7 and the process for to indict, to get indictment
 8 on me with no physical evidence is have to be some
 9 type of deception to the grand jury having the
 10 grand jury believe in that the State of South
 11 Carolina or the county of Sumter do have physical
 12 evidence to bring forth indictment on me.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 THE DEFENDANT: The second one motion
 15 I ask, Your Honor —

16 THE COURT: Wait, let me rule on that
 17 one first.

18 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

19 THE COURT: First of all, you're
 20 explaining as far as the indictment possession of
 21 a weapon during a violent crime, the State informs
 22 me they don't actually have any gun or a deadly
 23 weapon. Whether they — I assume they're gonna
 24 have testimony they're going to present by
 25 witnesses who are going to say that you had one.

IF EARLIER
 McElveen
 STATED SHE
 HAD NO FORM
 OF EVIDENCE
 BUT VIDEO'S OF
 INVESTIGATION
 NO EVIDENCE
 JUST ZIPTIES
 SO WHAT WAS
 TAKEN TO THE
 GRAND JURY
 NO PHYSICAL
 EVIDENCE FOR
 AH: MURDER
 NOR ROBBERY
 NOR DNA

How when their
 statements was
 just thrown out.

1 If that does not happen, then I will dismiss those
 2 charges at that time. They have a right to
 3 present circumstantial evidence, you know, and
 4 whether the jury believes that or doesn't believe
 5 that circumstantial evidence will be up to them.
 6 So just the fact that they did not have a gun
 7 doesn't in and of itself cause the indictment to
 8 fail. They have a right to circumstantial
 9 evidence. If they don't produce any
 10 circumstantial evidence throughout the trial, then
 11 I'll entertain your motion for dismissal at that
 12 time.

13 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

14 THE COURT: Now as far as the
 15 indictment being signed by the foreperson and true
 16 billed, I haven't seen one. If they can't produce
 17 an indictment signed and true billed by the grand
 18 jury, I don't have jurisdiction, this case will
 19 not go forward. And we'll — and I'll have one
 20 this afternoon as soon as the Clerk's office
 21 brings it up here.

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, 'cause I had
 23 one — I had sent to Judge Blanding. I had
 24 received two from Mr. Campbell, my 2018 one where
 25 it came back with true bill and the one that was

WHY THIS
 INDICTMENT
 IS NOT IN
 THE JUDGES
 POSSESSION I
 CAN'T UNDER
 STAND WHY
 IT WASN'T
 WHEN IT
 SUPPOSE TO
 NOW
 CLERK OF COURT

No pictures
 No Finger prints
 No evidence of
 me pointin a gun
 havin a gun
 nothing showin

I wrote AND ASK
 AND HAVE RETURN
 Let With Him Givin
 Me These, So what
 copied is that
 TRUE BILL

1 sent to me for on the 2019.

2 THE COURT: And that's what I'm trying
3 you on is the 2019. That's what they call it.

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I have -- it was
5 no true bill on the face of it. I had sent it to
6 a judge to -- just to complain about the situation
7 and show him the difference 'cause I was informed
8 I had to go through magistrate court. I know that
9 I didn't have to go through the magistrate court,
10 I couldn't have sent it to a magistrate court
11 judge.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 THE DEFENDANT: But the indictment
14 Mr. Campbell had sent me copy of it, it had no
15 markings on the face of it, --

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 THE DEFENDANT: -- of the indictment.

18 THE COURT: I will look at it. The
19 copy that the solicitor has to present is just a
20 copy. The Clerk has the original, the one that's
21 been true billed, assuming. So I will look at
22 that as soon as they can get it up here and rule
23 on that motion at that time, okay, because I
24 haven't seen it. So once I see it, I'll rule on
25 whether we can go forward on it or not. Okay?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Can I ask one
 2 more, one more motion. I have -- it's a conflict
 3 of interest, Your Honor. I did in 2019, July 1st
 4 of 2019, did file a COL form on Ms. McElveen for
 5 conspiracy against my rights, Title 18-241,
 6 conspiracy against rights. And it raised, it
 7 raised a conflict of interest because I did file a
 8 1983 civil suit as well. I have copies of it.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 THE DEFENDANT: And she's
 11 prosecution -- she's prosecuting my case and it's
 12 gonna be personal. She went about taking the case
 13 for very personal where it's to the point where I
 14 know I state on record to you before, before
 15 relieving my counsel of duty, that on the hearing
 16 to relieve my counsel as a duty on August 18th of
 17 2020, Solicitor Ms. McElveen did weigh in and
 18 explain it to Judge ^{Gurtis} Cristi why she felt that
 19 Justin Kata shouldn't be relieved off my case and
 20 how he did a great job. So it shows right there
 21 that she's personalizing my case. It was a
 22 hearing that was supposed to be for just me and my
 23 lawyer. It wasn't for Ms. McElveen to either
 24 intervene in or state her opinion on why Justin
 25 Kata should be stayed, should be kept on my case.

Conflict
of
Interest

Counsel loyalty
with 3rd party
reason why
I asked for
Counsel to
release him
self from

Because he
would have intervene
and explain that
she's violating my
Due Process and Equal Protection
And he can be disbarred
I did file a disciplinary
Report!

Question
Is
WHY!!

THEY HAVE
Some
CF
Relation
Ship

Duty, Because
His Loyalty no longer
Lye with me anymore
it's with the state
of South Carolina
Mrs Brawyn
McElveen

1 And I felt that that was — that was
2 unconstitutional. I felt my civil rights had been
3 violated, as well as my equal protection, because
4 she don't have no right to intervene in that and
5 I'm fearing — and I just want to put on record
6 the conflict of interest. It's a strong conflict
7 of interest because I did file a 1983 42 USA civil
8 suit against her and which you see is her name is
9 on here with Justin Kata.

10 THE COURT: Where did you file that?

11 THE DEFENDANT: I filed this August,
12 August 31st of 2020, sir.

13 THE COURT: Where? What court did you
14 file it in?

15 THE DEFENDANT: United District Court.

16 THE COURT: Is it currently in the
17 District Court?

18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, sir.

19 THE COURT: Okay. And that will be,
20 you know, that's a civil action to be ruled on by
21 the federal judge. She doesn't have a conflict of
22 interest in that she doesn't represent you, nor
23 has she ever represented you. She represents the
24 State of South Carolina and then part of her
25 duties is to prosecute crime, and so she's

1 presenting evidence on behalf of the State against
2 you and so she doesn't have a conflict of interest
3 as far as that's concerned. The civil action you
4 filed against her will be taken up in federal
5 court.

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It's a COL form
7 which is called color of law. I have filed that
8 too. I had also sent that to the Clerk of Court,
9 to Mr. Campbell can file it. I did seek federal
10 charges on it due to the fact that I did try to
11 file charges, and she can take it personal. She
12 can be selective at it where she'll do for another
13 case she'll go extremely beyond just to convict
14 me, you know. It's harsh as it is. With Justin,
15 it was — she's willing to give me 25 years, which
16 is show that I never seen nothing in writing, but
17 it shows how to save the taxpayers their money.
18 If I wanted to plead out, she's willing to give me
19 25 years instead of —

20 THE COURT: It's not up to her. It is
21 up to me as to what time you get. You asked for a
22 plea deal. My understanding you have a number of
23 charges —

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

25 THE COURT: — that you got, at least

Mr. Charles S. Anderson #384121

Turbeville. Corr. Inst.
1578 Clarence Coker Hwy

Turbeville, SC 29162
(T13 169)

RECEIVED

JUN 23 2026

MAILROOM
TURBEVILLE CI

Honorable Judge Bruce Williams
"CHIEF JUDGE" SOUTH CAROLINA
Courts OF Appeal.
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, SC 29201



US POSTAGE PAID BY BOWES
ZIP 29162 \$000.29⁰
02 40 0003784 JUN 23 2026



FOREVER / USA

RECEIVED

JUN 29 2026

SC Court of Appeals

252013G769 0076

