

Jul 01 2026

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Honorable Patrick C. Fant, III, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2025-000367

THE STATE,RESPONDENT

v.

ZACHARY DAVID HUGHES,APPELLANT.

**RESPONDENT’S RETURN IN OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT’S MOTION TO STAY
AND REMAND**

Appellant has moved this Court, pursuant to Rule 29(b), SCCrimP, for leave to stay further appellate proceedings and allow remand to the circuit court so that Appellant can file a motion for a new trial based on newly discovered evidence. Appellant argues that such relief is necessary in order for the trial court to consider what Appellant describes as “exculpatory evidence.” (Motion to Stay at 6). The “exculpatory evidence” in question, Appellant argues, is the State’s alleged change in position vis-à-vis its description of the victim’s, Christina Parcell’s, participation in the production of CSAM material involving her minor child between Appellant’s trial and Bradley Post’s guilty plea. Appellant argues that a remand is necessary in order to determine whether this change in position is based on actual “new evidence” discovered with respect to Victim (by the State) or whether the State “deliberately misrepresented the existence and/or nature of this exculpatory evidence to the trial court to obtain its exclusion . . .” *Id.* at 4–5.

Respondent opposes Appellant's requested relief on the basis that allowing a remand for a new trial motion based on newly discovered evidence would ultimately be futile and duplicative of the other issues raised on appeal.¹ Fundamentally, Appellant's entire defense has been clouded from the beginning by only one conclusion—Appellant lacked any legal defense for breaking into Victim's home and brutally stabbing her to death, despite his noble assertions that he did so on behalf of Victim's minor child. As argued in the brief, Respondent contends that regardless of whether the CSAM/sexual abuse allegations against Post and Victim were ultimately true or not, Appellant could never show relevance of such to any legal defense to excuse Victim's murder where he testified in excruciating detail to planning, executing, and thereafter attempting to conceal Victim's murder—and it was uncontested that Victim's minor child was not at the home when Victim was killed. (*See* Tr. 379–382, 993–994, 1013–1032, 1038–1039) (excerpts attached as Exhibit 1).

Self-defense is not applicable because Appellant forcibly broke into Victim's home and stabbed her to death. Defense of others is not applicable for the simple fact that Victim's minor child was safely at school at the time of Victim's death and thus could not have been in danger of great bodily injury and/or death. Finally, Appellant cannot undercut malice or prejudice generally when he directly admitted to the planning, execution, and cover-up of Victim's murder. (*See generally* Exhibit 1).

Said another way, *any evidence*, new or old, showing that Victim sexually abused her minor child was not relevant to any possible legal defense then, is not relevant now, and will not be relevant on remand when considering a possible new trial motion. What Appellant considers a

¹ Respondent also notes that briefing in this matter is already substantially complete, with only Appellant's reply brief being anticipated before proceeding to the creation of the Record on Appeal and final briefs.

“concession” by the State as to Victim’s conduct before her murder does not change the relevancy of such conduct to any legal defense. Respondent again echoes the apt characterization of Appellant’s defense by the trial court in its ruling excluding the defense of others defense:

Basically, defense is asking of this court, which is not the law of South Carolina, that essentially, *a defendant can hear something, make assumptions on learning something about someone the defendant has no relationship and then go murder them. A defendant in this state cannot take the law into their own hands.* I fully understand your argument, but it’s not the law of South Carolina.

You can certainly not justify homicide based on belief or assumption. And under this theory he went to the house of a person he did not know and killed her to then try and avail himself of defense of others when A.M.P. was not there is simply not justified under any laws of our state. It’s undisputed that she was at school. *This defeats any arguments of self-defense and thus defense of others.*

(Tr. 832, ln. 24—Tr. 833, ln. 14) (emphasis added) (excerpt attached as Exhibit 2).

Alternatively, Appellant’s motion to remand for a new trial motion would be futile because the same matters and issues here would be the same matters and issues already determined at trial and on a possible remand, meaning that if Appellant were correct, he would get the same relief of a new trial. Either this Court or the trial court is left with the fundamental question of whether Appellant has *any* legal defense for his murder of Victim, and thus whether any of Appellant’s evidentiary issues even matter. This Court should proceed to answer that question for itself, as the trial court has already clearly answered that question to be no. (*See* Exhibit 2). Additional evidence regarding Victim’s participation in sexual abuse or additional evidence regarding the State’s knowledge of Victim’s conduct will not change that answer. Even assuming the State was mistaken regarding evidence of Victim’s conduct or that it was unaware of additional evidence of Victim’s conduct until recently, such evidence was not, is not, and never will be exculpatory. Abuser or not, Appellant was not entitled to be Victim’s judge, jury, and executioner.

WHEREFORE, Respondent asks this Court to deny the Motion for Stay & Remand and to proceed with the present appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General

DONALD J. ZELENKA
Deputy Attorney General

MELODY J. BROWN
Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General
S.C. Bar No. 14244

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Melody J. Brown", written over a horizontal line.

P. SANDERS LINKER
Assistant Attorney General
S.C. Bar No. 107688

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "P. Sanders Linker", written over a horizontal line.

CYNTHIA SMITH CRICK
Solicitor, Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Office of the Attorney General
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211-1549
(803) 734-0918

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

Columbia, South Carolina
July 1, 2026

EXHIBIT 1

1 THE COURT: Next witness.

2 MR. WILKINS: Thank you, Your Honor. The State
3 calls Eric Turner.

4 THE CLERK: Please stop at the end of the bench,
5 sir. Please place your left hand on the Bible and raise
6 your right hand.

7 WHEREUPON,

8 ERIC TURNER

9 After having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

10 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Please
11 state your name for the record.

12 THE WITNESS: Eric Turner.

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. WILKINS:

15 Q And what is your rank?

16 A I'm a deputy.

17 Q Deputy?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q And who do you work with?

20 A The Greenville County Sheriff's Office.

21 Q And what do you do for Greenville County Sheriff's
22 Office?

23 A I work in the School Enforcement Unit. I'm a school
24 resource officer for several elementary schools in
25 Greenville County on the east side.

1 Q And is that typically what we call an SRO?

2 A It is, yes, sir.

3 Q A school resource officer?

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q Deputy Turner, I'm going to refer you back to
6 October 13, 2021. Were you working that day?

7 A I was.

8 Q And were you called out to 122 Canebrake?

9 A I responded out there, yes, sir.

10 Q Can you tell this jury how you responded?

11 A Sure. I was in between schools. I had just left
12 one -- let me go back. At the time, I had four
13 elementary schools. So I had just left a school and was
14 actually headed to Buena Vista right near the -- where
15 the scene was. And so on my way, the call came out. I
16 was close by, said, hey, I'll run by there and check on
17 it. It's right there at my school. I just want to make
18 sure there wasn't any threat towards my school. So I
19 responded there and notified dispatch that I was on the
20 way.

21 Q About what time did you arrive to the location?

22 A Within -- I don't know the exact time. Within just
23 a few minutes of the call coming out. I was right behind
24 the first arriving deputy. He was already out of his
25 car.

1 Q And once you got there, what did you do?

2 A I stood by with a gentleman later identified as
3 Mr. Post and spoke with him while Deputy Robinson and his
4 trainee went inside to check the residence.

5 Q And did you learn that the victim, Christina
6 Parcell, had a daughter?

7 A I did.

8 Q And what was your role in regards to her daughter?

9 A So as soon as I found out that she had a daughter, I
10 was like -- I asked, you know, how old she is, where she
11 goes to school.

12 And he goes, actually, she goes to Buena Vista right
13 there.

14 That being my school, I immediately switched roles
15 and went to I've got to get to the school to make sure
16 that the school is safe. He gave me just a little bit of
17 background, family background, so I was under the
18 impression, hey, there may be an issue with this child.

19 So I stood by for just a few more minutes, and then
20 I left and went to the school to make sure that
21 everything was okay.

22 Prior to me leaving, I actually called our front
23 office at the school and asked was this student there.

24 And she said, yes, she's here.

25 I said do not let her leave with anybody. I want

1 the school locked down until I get there. I'll be there
2 in just a minute.

3 So the school handled their protocol, do what they
4 were supposed to do. And then I arrived and made
5 confirmation that she was there, she was in a classroom
6 and she was safe.

7 Q So as soon as you found out about Christina
8 Parcell's daughter, you arrived at the school?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 Q And when you arrived there, did you talk to anybody?

11 A Just the administration. And they confirmed back to
12 me, hey, she's in the classroom. She's good.

13 I'm like let's leave her there. I don't want -- I
14 don't want anything to disturb her normal day until we
15 figure out what's going on.

16 Q And then how did you all decide when and how
17 Christina Parcell's daughter was going to leave?

18 A We kind of discussed what was going on. I told them
19 as far as I was concerned, just let her have a regular
20 day. There's no need to take her out of the class. And
21 let's just let her proceed with her day, leave as normal.

22 I spoke with a couple of the investigators, and it
23 was determined just she can leave with a family member
24 like she normally does. They walk them up to it's called
25 the front path, goes out of the front of the school. And

1 you to do?

2 A Yes. Given his concerns, John asked me that if I
3 believed his daughter was in grave danger, would I be
4 willing to kill Christina Parcell for \$5,000.

5 Q What did you say when he asked you that?

6 A I was shocked and insulted by the request, and I
7 gave him an immediate and unequivocal no.

8 Q So he offered you \$5,000 if you would kill Christina
9 Parcell, and you said no.

10 A That's correct.

11 Q At some point, did he revisit that subject?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Did he make you another offer?

14 A Yes, he did.

15 Q How long after the first offer did he make the
16 second offer?

17 A I'm not certain, but I would say maybe two or three
18 weeks later.

19 Q Tell this jury about that.

20 A He was becoming increasingly more worried and
21 distressed about the safety of his daughter. I was as
22 well. The more I learned about the situation and
23 everything and a lot more than what I've told you, I was
24 also ---

25 MR. WILKINS: Objection, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

2 BY MR. MOORMAN:

3 Q What was the second offer?

4 A The second offer, he asked me again if I became
5 convinced that his daughter was in danger, would I be
6 willing to kill Christina Parcell for \$10,000.

7 Q What did you -- or how did you respond to that
8 offer?

9 A I told him, John, there's no amount of money that
10 would ever tempt me to do something like this. And I
11 told him I was insulted that he even thought that would
12 be something I would consider. So I told him I would
13 never do something like this for money. I told him the
14 only way I would ever consider taking action like this is
15 if I was absolutely convinced that his daughter was not
16 only in danger, but that the only way to rescue her from
17 that danger would be to take Christina Parcell's life.

18 Q Let's talk about what else is going on in your life
19 in May of 2021. Tell this jury what you're doing with
20 the music project with Tom Strange, how that's going.

21 A We'd been at the project recording the sonatas in
22 the museum like I talked about for about five months.
23 This, of course, I was doing in connection with all the
24 help I was trying to provide John and [REDACTED] so the music
25 project on its own would have been incredibly stressful,

1 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, as I stated
2 at the outset of this trial, I am the sole judge of the
3 law in the case while you the jury sit as the judge of
4 the facts. And part of my role is to make determinations
5 about what evidence can and cannot be admitted and
6 presented to you the jury. The gatekeeping role rests
7 solely with me, the judge on the law, and I want to
8 instruct you that you are not to consider any testimony
9 that I have instructed you to disregard. Furthermore,
10 you should not even mention or discuss any testimony that
11 I've instructed you to disregard, and I instruct you not
12 to discuss any topics that have been ruled improper when
13 you go to your deliberation room, okay?

14 All right. Please continue, Mr. Moorman.

15 MR. MOORMAN: May it please the Court.

16 BY MR. MOORMAN:

17 Q Zack, before we broke for lunch, we were in the time
18 period of end of September/beginning of October of 2021.
19 Do you remember that?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And, Zack, you testified before lunch about two
22 offers John had made you to kill Christina Parcell. Do
23 you remember that?

24 A I remember.

25 Q And do you remember after the second offer telling

1 John something to the effect of you would never do that
2 for money, you would only do that if you believed it was
3 necessary to rescue [REDACTED]

4 A And if I believed there was no other way.

5 Q At the end of September/beginning of October 2021,
6 did you conclude that you had to rescue [REDACTED] and there
7 was no other way?

8 A Yes, I did.

9 Q Let's talk about what's happening in your life in
10 the beginning of October 2021. And specifically, I want
11 to talk about the status of the music project you had
12 been engaged with Tom Strange and the Sigal Museum. I
13 also want to talk about other employment opportunities.
14 So tell the jury what was going on in your life on that
15 front beginning of October.

16 A At the beginning of October, I was just coming off
17 finishing a project at the museum. We finished in
18 September at some point. And as I mentioned before, my
19 birthday is October 2nd so I had arranged to play a
20 private home recital at the Winns' home where I would
21 play the last three piano sonatas of Beethoven on my
22 birthday.

23 So these are very, very difficult pieces of music,
24 and some of the greatest pieces of music ever written so
25 I was very preoccupied trying to give my best in these

1 pieces. And shortly after that, a friend of mine reached
2 out who had done some work performing on cruise ships.
3 There's an organization called Lincoln Center Stage that
4 recruits conservatory-trained musicians to perform either
5 a piano quartet or a piano quintet to play classical
6 concerts on cruise ships. And they had had a pianist
7 drop out at the last minute, and long story short, I
8 interviewed for this job and got this job I think on
9 October 12th. But it was about that time, maybe a little
10 earlier.

11 Q Where were the Winns on October 12th and 13th?

12 A They were in Europe.

13 Q And ultimately, did you take Christina Parcell's
14 life on October the 13th?

15 A Yes. Because I believed it was absolutely necessary
16 to save [REDACTED] And I was right.

17 MR. WILKINS: Objection, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Sustained. Ask you to disregard the
19 testimony that you've just heard.

20 BY MR. MOORMAN:

21 Q I want to talk about -- I want to talk about the
22 timing. You've alluded to it a little bit, but I want to
23 be more specific. October the 13th, describe for the
24 jury why you felt that was the time or why it was
25 necessary to do it on October the 13th specifically or in

1 this period of time.

2 A I had been wrestling with this since June. As I
3 previously stated, since the end of June, I believed [REDACTED]
4 was in complete and grave danger every moment of her life
5 that she was living with her mother. And the other
6 things that I had tried to do to save her from that were
7 not working. So I was having this incredible wrestling
8 match within myself for these months feeling that I
9 needed to do something to save her and yet feeling this
10 incredible reluctance to do the one thing that I knew
11 would save her because of how terrible I knew it would be
12 to do it even though I believed it would be right.

13 So by the time October 13th rolled around, I had
14 been grappling with this for a long time and I was
15 experiencing a tremendous amount of guilt that I had
16 waited as long as I did because I should have acted
17 sooner and I didn't do that. So I had come to the
18 realization that I had gotten this offer to go on this
19 cruise ship and I would have been leaving the country for
20 a long time. Essentially, it was now or never. I had an
21 opportunity to save her from a danger that I knew she was
22 in and that I knew was not going to cease. And I just
23 couldn't go on with my life and leave her to drown. I
24 mean, I had a great life. I have everything. I -- I
25 made money doing what I loved. I was going to be going

1 to the South Pacific to play concerts for rich people on
2 cruise ships. I mean, but how could I -- how could I go
3 and enjoy that and know that I was leaving a kid to her
4 fate, a kid who thought that I was her friend? I would
5 never have been able to look at myself in the mirror
6 again if I had done that.

7 So specific to October 13th, I believed that there
8 would be a period of time at the house when Christina
9 Parcell would be alone, and I wanted to make sure that
10 absolutely no one was there, not [REDACTED] not Lutina, not
11 Brad Post. Because, again, this -- this was not about
12 revenge or retribution or anything. I wasn't angry. The
13 only thing I was feeling that day was pity, and I wanted
14 to make sure that no bystander or no one else would be
15 involved in this which could have happened if someone
16 else was there.

17 Q Zack, let's talk about that. So you -- once you
18 concluded, believed absolutely this was what you had to
19 do, did you go about planning?

20 A Yes. I planned very carefully to make sure, one,
21 like I said, that no one else would be involved in this,
22 and two, I knew that if I tried to do this and failed, it
23 would only be worse for [REDACTED]

24 Q And consistent with the Court's instructions, why
25 did you believe it would be worse for [REDACTED]

1 A Because I believed that if I was discovered doing
2 this, it would connect me to John Mello, and I believed
3 and I still believe that he's a great father and he is
4 ██████ best shot at having a happy and safe childhood.

5 Q Let's talk about the planning. Did you get a gun?

6 A Yes.

7 Q At the time, were you also carrying your own
8 firearm?

9 A Yes. I had a concealed weapons permit at the time
10 so I generally would always be carrying a nine millimeter
11 concealed weapon on my person for personal protection.

12 Q Did you get another firearm for this?

13 A Yes, I did.

14 Q What type of firearm did you get?

15 A It was a .22 caliber revolver.

16 Q Where did you get it?

17 A At a gun show.

18 Q Where was the gun show?

19 A It was here in Greenville.

20 Q How long before October 13th did you get the .22?

21 A Maybe a month or a month and a half earlier.

22 Q And did you also get a knife?

23 A Yes, I did.

24 Q Where did you get the knife?

25 A At the gun show.

1 Q At about the same time?

2 A It was the same day.

3 Q Let's talk about what else -- what other planning
4 you did. Did you obtain gloves?

5 A Yes, I did.

6 Q Do you remember where you got the gloves?

7 A No, I don't.

8 Q Did you obtain any chemicals?

9 A Yes. I bought some paint thinner because, again, I
10 didn't want to be connected to this because I wanted to
11 make sure this would actually do something to save [REDACTED]
12 So in case a glove had broken or I had left a fingerprint
13 or something, I wanted to be able to clean it with the
14 paint thinner.

15 Q Zack, let's talk about -- let's talk about your bike
16 and what you did to become familiar with the area of
17 Canebrake leading up to October the 13th.

18 A I had looked at a route I would be able to take from
19 the mountain house that I was staying at on back roads to
20 avoid traffic cameras. So maybe a few days beforehand I
21 looked at Google maps and I figured out how this route
22 would lead.

23 Q Let's talk about October the 12th. Did you
24 originally plan to do this on October the 12th?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Zack, consistent with the Court's instructions,
2 describe to the jury why you didn't do it on October the
3 12th.

4 A I woke up that day and I just felt such reluctance
5 and something in my gut told me it wasn't the right day.
6 And I waited one more day.

7 Q Let's go to October the 13th. You testified earlier
8 that you wanted to plan in part to prevent or minimize
9 danger to others. Do you remember that?

10 A Yes, I do.

11 Q Did you have information about where people would be
12 on October the 13th?

13 A To a degree, yes.

14 Q How did you get that information?

15 A From John Mello.

16 Q What did he tell you?

17 A He told me when [REDACTED] would be in school, and he told
18 me that he believed Christina had a job interview at some
19 point that morning.

20 Q So let's talk about that morning. The evening of
21 October the 12th, where did you spend the night?

22 A At the mountain house.

23 Q You woke up on the morning of October 13th and you
24 left the mountain house; is that correct?

25 A Yes, it is.

1 Q Do you remember approximately when you left the
2 mountain house?

3 A It was much later than I meant to. I think it was
4 about eight or 8:15 in the morning.

5 Q When you left the mountain house, in which vehicle
6 did you leave?

7 A In my Ford Ranger.

8 Q What was in the back of the Ford Ranger?

9 A My bike.

10 Q That bike right there?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And just for the clarity of the record, this is
13 State's Exhibit -- I don't have the number.

14 What else did you put in your pickup truck in
15 addition to the bike?

16 A I brought the gloves, and I put this in a backpack.
17 I brought the paint thinner and gun I described, the .22.
18 I brought a knife, and I brought a box that was made to
19 look like a gift wrapped box and a bunch of roses that I
20 had bought the day before.

21 Q Talk about the roses in a minute.

22 When you left the mountain house, where did you go?

23 A I drove to a road that runs parallel very close to
24 Canebrake.

25 Q When you got to that location -- well, before we go

1 further, describe for this jury the ride in your truck
2 from the mountain house to this location, what you were
3 thinking, what you were feeling, what was going through
4 your mind.

5 A Like I said, I had wrestled with this for months. I
6 had come to the point where rationally I knew that the
7 only way [REDACTED] was going to be safe from what she was
8 enduring was for me to take this route. I knew that.
9 But there's another element, the human element which is
10 just so reluctant and revulsed by the idea of taking a
11 human life.

12 So I had -- I had prayed a great deal about this. I
13 had prayed like I'd never prayed before because even
14 knowing that logically this was the right thing to do, it
15 didn't -- it didn't satisfy that emotional and human
16 aspect where I felt that I needed permission to do this.
17 And I was so terrified that somehow I might be doing the
18 wrong thing, like I knew that it was right logically, but
19 the emotional aspect was just reeling against this idea
20 and more so because she was a woman. I was raised to
21 always protect my sisters and mother, and I open the
22 doors for them when I was growing up. So this idea was
23 just repugnant to me even though I believed it was
24 necessary to save a child.

25 So on that morning, I had prayed earlier -- a few

1 days earlier, and I had felt that this was the right
2 thing to do no matter how terrible and difficult it was
3 going to be.

4 So like I said, I didn't feel anger. I felt pity
5 because I realized that this was just the only way to
6 save a child, and it -- essentially, it felt like a
7 foregone conclusion because I knew that I had a duty to
8 do this to save a child.

9 So physically driving my truck there, I was
10 experiencing a level of anxiety and physical illness that
11 I had just never experienced due to stress before. My
12 hands were shaking. I was in a cold sweat. And I was
13 fighting the urge to vomit before I even got close there.
14 A few times I thought I was going to have to pull off to
15 the side of the road because I could hardly keep my -- my
16 truck straight my hands were shaking so badly.
17 But the whole time I was just thinking if I don't do
18 this, if I turn back, then I'm consigning [REDACTED] to her
19 fate when I know that I could have done something to stop
20 what was happening. So I just tried to take my mind off
21 of it and I tried to just go and drive a little bit
22 farther and a little bit farther and not think about what
23 I was going to have to do when I got there.

24 And when I finally got to the point where I parked
25 my truck, this feeling only increased. I -- I knew the

1 plan, but I was having so much difficulty going through
2 with it. So I took my bike out of the truck and I had a
3 mask on and clothing that's been described already. And
4 I just tried to focus on putting one foot in front of the
5 other on my bike. And it -- it felt like some runs or
6 rucks I had been on where I just -- all I could do was
7 focus on putting one foot in front of the other because
8 it was so difficult to keep going. And I just kept
9 seeing [REDACTED] face and reminding myself that if I don't
10 do this, no one's going to come to save her. And I kept
11 pedaling, and eventually I arrived in front of
12 122 Canebrake.

13 Q Zack, let's -- I want to talk about what happened
14 when you got to 122 Canebrake in just a second.

15 You've described having a gun and having a knife.
16 Initially, were you going to use the gun?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Did you ultimately decide to use the gun?

19 A No.

20 Q Why not?

21 A I was very concerned about using the gun because of
22 how loud it would be, and I didn't want to alert a
23 neighbor or a bystander who might come to investigate and
24 get involved. So I tried to suppress the gun by making a
25 makeshift suppressor. And in movies, these things are

1 made out to be like it's very easy and a suppressed gun
2 is just very silent, and that's not the case. So
3 ultimately, I test fired the gun, and I had bought some
4 subsonic ammunition which was supposed to be quieter.
5 But I didn't realize that on a revolver, the suppressor
6 doesn't work because the end of the cylinder is open, and
7 I realized just a day or two beforehand that I couldn't
8 use a gun because it could potentially involve someone
9 else in this if someone heard a gunshot and came to
10 investigate.

11 Q If you had a choice, would you have rather used a
12 gun?

13 A I would have rather not to have had to have done it
14 at all. But if I had to, yes, I would have chosen a gun.

15 Q Why is that?

16 A Because it would have been quicker and more humane.

17 Q All right. Let's talk about you arrive at the
18 house, 122 Canebrake. Do you remember about what time
19 you arrived there?

20 A I think it was a little after nine in the morning.

21 Q And do you arrive there on your bike?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And you testified about this a little bit, but tell
24 the jury what you're wearing.

25 A I had on black pants and a black thermal and a gray

1 shirt over that. I had on a black beanie and a white
2 medical mask. And I had on black gloves and a black
3 backpack.

4 Q Do you remember how many vehicles were parked in the
5 driveway when you got there?

6 A One.

7 Q When you arrived at the house and you got off your
8 bike, what did you do?

9 A I laid my bike down in the driveway and I took my
10 backpack off and I took out the box that had been gift
11 wrapped that had an end open. And I put the .22 revolver
12 in that box. And I put the backpack back on my back.
13 And I took a bunch of roses, and I walked up to the door
14 and I rang the doorbell. And I was shaking and freaking
15 out at this point because there was no where I wanted to
16 be less than at that house on that morning.

17 And when I rang the doorbell, the door opened and a
18 woman came to the front. And I thought it was Christina
19 Parcell, but I wanted to make sure. And I also wanted to
20 make sure that no one else was home. So I told her that
21 while I was holding the box and the roses, that I had a
22 delivery for Lutina Parcell, her sister. And she told me
23 that Lutina wasn't home.

24 So at this point, I realized that Christina was at
25 the house alone. And I handed her the roses, and I took

1 the revolver out of the box and I pointed it at her and I
2 told her to be quiet and to go back into the house, which
3 she did. And I followed her into the house and I shut
4 the door.

5 And I was -- I was experiencing such anxiety that my
6 body wasn't really doing what I was telling it to do
7 anymore. Like when I realized that I couldn't use the
8 gun which was unloaded at this point, my plan had been to
9 try to knock her unconscious because I didn't want to
10 cause her or anyone any pain. That wasn't my intention.
11 I just wanted to save [REDACTED] and to make sure that no one
12 else who was a bystander would be involved in this or
13 could be in danger in any way.

14 So I tried while she was holding the roses to strike
15 her on the head and knock her unconscious. But my body
16 was fighting me because even though I knew who she was
17 and what she was doing and the danger that it was putting
18 [REDACTED] in, I was still looking at her and I was seeing this
19 sympathetic figure. I was seeing a woman and I had
20 always been taught never to hurt a woman, to always
21 protect women and children.

22 So when I went to strike her with the gun, my hand
23 didn't really do what I was trying to tell it to. I
24 essentially pulled my strike. It felt like the time I
25 had been cliff jumping with friends. I have a big fear

1 of heights and there was a 30-foot face that you could
2 jump off and jump into a deep pool of water. And when I
3 would run up to the edge, I would try to get a running
4 start and jump off the edge and I just couldn't. Like my
5 body would stop me against my will. And I had to try to
6 do this ten or 15 or 20 times before I could actually get
7 myself to go over the edge.

8 So when I struck her on the head with the pistol,
9 that's what happened. It didn't knock her unconscious
10 and it -- I hardly struck her at all, to be honest. So I
11 tried again, and the same thing happened. I just
12 couldn't bring myself to strike her. And at this point,
13 she realized that I was hesitating and she began to try
14 to move herself and to get away and to fight back. And
15 during this period of time, the roses had dropped and the
16 pedals and stems had scattered.

17 And as I was hesitating, I realized that this
18 situation was quickly getting out of control, and if I
19 didn't pull myself together and act soon, this was all
20 going to end up terribly for [REDACTED] because what was
21 happening to her would never be uncovered.

22 Q And, Zack, I'm sorry. A quick question. You heard
23 testimony that at this time, Christina weighed
24 163 pounds. How much did you weigh on October the 13th?

25 A About 160.

1 Q Go ahead.

2 A So I -- I realized that I was going to have to
3 somehow force myself to do this at any cost. So I pulled
4 out the knife I was wearing, and even though I knew I
5 wasn't going to be able to strike her with any amount of
6 force, I still tried. So I started striking her, though
7 my strikes were pulled. And I think the knife made
8 contact with her, but it wasn't -- it wasn't stopping.
9 It wasn't inflicting anything that could be a fatal blow.
10 And she started to fight back. She realized I was
11 hesitating and it became a struggle. And in the
12 struggle, all I could do was try to overcome this
13 resistance I had towards doing this thing. Even though I
14 knew it was right, I still had this physical and
15 emotional resistance to doing it.

16 So I just kept striking her and striking her until
17 finally we fell on the floor. And at this point, I had
18 just tried to take my mind out of it and just tried to
19 get through this and finish it as quickly as possible
20 because I realized that it was only becoming prolonged
21 and I -- I had to do this in order to save [REDACTED]

22 So I don't remember how many times I struck her. I
23 just remember that when it finished, we were on the
24 ground and I stopped striking her when she stopped
25 struggling.

1 And at this point, I -- I stood up and I was -- I
2 was still freaking out and I was in kind of a daze. And
3 I was just trying to pull myself together and figure out
4 what I needed to do to leave the house. And, again, I
5 wanted to make sure that I didn't leave anything behind
6 that would connect me to it because then I believe John
7 would be connected to it and it would only further put
8 [REDACTED] in danger because I didn't know if Christina Parcell
9 was the only person involved in what was happening to
10 [REDACTED] And she wasn't.

11 So I quickly looked around to make sure I hadn't
12 left a mask or a glove. And I started to pick up the
13 rose petals and realized that I just didn't have time to
14 do that. Again, I was concerned that a neighbor might
15 have heard the commotion and that a neighbor might come
16 by to investigate and I -- I didn't want that. So I drew
17 the blinds and I moved her body away from the door which
18 had windows because I didn't want somebody to come to the
19 door and see her.

20 And I put my things back in the backpack, and I -- I
21 looked in a mirror before I left to make sure everything
22 was in order, and I saw that she had scratched my face in
23 the struggle. And, of course, I realized that this could
24 leave DNA. So I used the paint thinner to try to clean
25 her hands. And then I changed my mask and I took off my

1 outer shirt because there was blood on it and I put it in
2 the backpack. And at this point, I was really worried
3 that someone that -- Lutina might come back to the house
4 or Brad Post might come to the house or a neighbor might
5 come over, so I was trying to leave the house as quickly
6 as possible.

7 And before I left, when I realized that I had
8 probably left my DNA there despite my effort not to, I
9 prayed again and just said that I believe that what I've
10 done is the right thing and I -- I believe that I did it
11 for the right reason. But if it's your will that I be
12 connected to this, then I will turn myself in and I will
13 own up to what I've done and I'll take responsibility for
14 it because I believed that it was the right thing.

15 And then I left. I exited out through the door.
16 And I got back on my bike, and I pedaled my bike back to
17 the truck and I drove back to the mountain house. And I
18 was still completely freaking out, and it felt entirely
19 surreal. But there's a big difference in how I felt in
20 that as soon as I left the house, I felt the most
21 enormous wave of relief wash over me because I knew from
22 that moment on, [REDACTED] would be safe. [REDACTED] would be safe
23 from the sexual abuse that her mother was perpetrating on
24 her, and there is proof to that that the State is hiding
25 from you.

1 MR. WILKINS: Objection, Your Honor. Okay. Come
2 on. Your Honor, instruct him to be quiet.

3 THE COURT: Okay. You need to stop talking.
4 The jury, please take them away.

5 (WHEREUPON, the jury exits the courtroom at 2:48 PM.)

6 THE COURT: Mr. Wilkins?

7 MR. WILKINS: I'm not sure I know what to say, Your
8 Honor. He's violated your instructions again. So I
9 think at this juncture, I think he is -- he's waived his
10 right to continue testifying by continuing -- just by
11 continuing violating your particular order. He did it in
12 your face, in this court's face, and he disgraced the
13 rules of this court right here to this jury. And I know
14 he has a Fifth Amendment right to testify, Your Honor,
15 but what he did right there was intentional after he'd
16 already been warned three times. He'd been warned by his
17 lawyer. He has no intent to follow the rules in this
18 courtroom. And by doing so, he's waiving certain rights.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Moorman?

20 MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not aware
21 of any authority for the proposition that he is not
22 allowed to continue to testify.

23 THE COURT: We're going to look at that.

24 MR. WILKINS: And, Your Honor, I also want to look
25 at maybe holding him in contempt in front of this jury as

1 BY MR. MOORMAN:

2 Q Zack, I've just got a few more questions.

3 So after you left Canebrake, isn't it true that you
4 rode your bike back to your truck which was parked within
5 the vicinity? Isn't that true?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And then you drove your truck back to the mountain
8 house; isn't that true?

9 A That's true.

10 Q After you got to the mountain house, isn't it true
11 that you disposed of the gun and the knife in a nearby
12 lake? Isn't that true?

13 A Yes. That is true.

14 Q And isn't it true that the clothes you were wearing,
15 that you burned those clothes in a fire pit at the
16 mountain house? Isn't that true?

17 A Yes.

18 Q I want to talk about towards the end of
19 October/beginning of November. Isn't it true that at the
20 very beginning of November 2021, you had obtained a plane
21 ticket to fly overseas? Isn't that true?

22 A Yes. That's true.

23 Q And you were going to go overseas departing from
24 Detroit; is that correct?

25 A Yes, it is.

1 Q And while you were in Detroit, isn't it true that
2 you received a phone call making you aware that there
3 were warrants pending for your arrest?

4 A That is true.

5 Q And after you were notified of the pending warrants,
6 isn't it true that you rented a car, drove back in the
7 middle of the night to Greenville and ultimately turned
8 yourself in? Isn't that true?

9 A Yes. That is true.

10 MR. MOORMAN: One second, Your Honor.

11 Your Honor, I don't have anything else.

12 THE COURT: Okay. At this moment -- well, can I see
13 counsel real quick?

14 (WHEREUPON, a bench conference was held off the record.)

15 THE COURT: Mr. Hughes, you can step down.

16 THE WITNESS: I understand.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we are
18 going to break for the day and I'm going to ask that
19 y'all return at 10:30 tomorrow morning. I'm hoping that
20 we're not going to have bad weather, but just potentially
21 that will give a little extra time and also for me to
22 take up a few things that I need to do with the
23 attorneys.

24 I want to, again, thank you on behalf of the State
25 of South Carolina and Greenville County for your service.

EXHIBIT 2

1 break.

2 (WHEREUPON, a recess is taken at 10:17 AM; proceedings
3 reconvened at 10:42 AM.)

4 THE COURT: Okay. As I have expressed to counsel, I
5 have spent an inordinate amount of time not this morning
6 but because I did have briefs previously, well-written
7 briefs from defense and the State. So I just want it
8 noted that I have thoroughly looked at everything, spent
9 a lot of time on it.

10 Under the case of State vs. Cottrell, a state court,
11 Circuit Court can limit defendant's testimony without,
12 you know, violating the constitution. And that is
13 exactly what I'm going to do as far as excluding defense
14 of others. I have looked at -- I know there's multiple
15 cases on self-defense, State vs. Davis, the four elements
16 that y'all have gone through that an individual is
17 without fault in bringing on the difficulty, that there
18 was an actual belief of imminent danger of losing life,
19 sustaining bodily injury, that a reasonably prudent
20 person of ordinary firmness and courage would have
21 entertained the same belief, and then lastly, that there
22 was no other probable means of avoiding the danger.

23 A.M.P. was at school. She was at Buena Vista during
24 the murder. There was no imminency -- I understand your
25 argument, Mr. Moorman -- or imminent danger to that

1 moment to A.M.P. There was not a reasonable belief on
2 October 13th of '21 that there was an immediate need to
3 act. There were other means of notifying law enforcement
4 and -- if the defendant had proof of that danger or
5 imminent harm. I've looked at State v. Long, a defense
6 of others where the defendant stands in the place and has
7 the right to kill.

8 In this case, the defendant entered Parcell's home.
9 A.M.P. was at school and the defendant did not know
10 Parcell. Mr. Hughes brought about the difficulty. I've
11 also looked at State v. Sales which you also just
12 quoted.

13 But, again, the defendant traveled to the house,
14 sought Parcell out who he does not know to murder her.
15 State v. Bryant, one who provokes or initiates an assault
16 cannot escape from a liability by invoking self-defense.
17 State v. Otts, the defendant bars himself from invoking
18 defense of others by the notable act of availing himself
19 to the killing of Parcell. Specifically, a defendant may
20 not bring on the difficulty, in this case riding his bike
21 and unlawfully entering the house and home of Parcell,
22 and then offensively use the defense of others as a
23 shield to his action.

24 Basically, defense is asking of this court, which is
25 not the law of South Carolina, that essentially, a

1 defendant can hear something, make assumptions on
2 learning something about someone the defendant has no
3 relationship and then go murder them. A defendant in
4 this state cannot take the law into their own hands. I
5 fully understand your argument, but it's not the law of
6 South Carolina.

7 You can certainly not justify homicide based on
8 belief or assumption. And under this theory he went to
9 the house of a person he did not know and killed her to
10 then try and avail himself of a defense of others when
11 A.M.P. was not there is simply not justified under any
12 laws of our state. It's undisputed that she was at
13 school. This defeats any arguments of self-defense and
14 thus defense of others. Again, I have discretion under
15 State v. Cottrel and other cases to limit testimony.

16 I will allow defense, for purposes of protecting the
17 record, to proffer or at least to summarize what the
18 proffer of testimony would be as far as on this issue of
19 self-defense of others. Okay.

20 MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, we understand your ruling
21 and obviously we made our objections to Your Honor's
22 rule.

23 One component to this that I would raise with Your
24 Honor is in the brief we also talk about the
25 admissibility of the evidence of the sexual abuse being

1 the child pornography in the context of malice. As Your
2 Honor knows, murder requires the State to prove the
3 unlawful killing of another with malice aforethought.
4 Malice is defined, as Your Honor knows, as the
5 intentional doing of a wrongful act without just cause or
6 excuse.

7 We believe -- well, and let me back up. Over the
8 weekend, I ordered a transcript and Madam Court Reporter
9 was very kind to provide it. And I think some of the
10 statements that have been made thus far do a great job of
11 illustrating the importance of malice. And specifically,
12 Mr. Hofferth, on behalf of the State, when last week, if
13 Your Honor recalls, there was a photograph of Ms. Parcell
14 that the State sought to introduce which we objected to.
15 And, Your Honor, when we objected, Mr. Hofferth, in
16 trying to justify its admission, said, quote, I will say
17 that malice is an element the State is charged with
18 proving, and evidence to that effect, obviously, is
19 extremely valuable. He went on to say that the actions
20 of the State is alleging that Mr. Hughes took obviously
21 during this incident but also afterwards are highly
22 probative to show his state of mind, end quote.

23 After our objection, Your Honor came in and
24 overruled the objection. Your Honor said, "Clearly,
25 these pictures are relevant to the issue of malice. They

1 establish circumstances of the crime scene and I know
2 they're going to be corroborated. I think they are
3 highly relevant, particularly to the crime scene and also
4 the issue of malice. So that's my ruling."

5 Your Honor, we totally agree with the State's
6 representation, Your Honor's representation as to how
7 important malice is. We believe this evidence is
8 admissible to show that -- obviously, defense of other's
9 Your Honor has ruled, but also to show that Mr. Hughes
10 did not have what Mr. Wilkins referred to in his opening
11 statement as an angry heart, that he was mad. We believe
12 that this evidence is entirely appropriate to show that
13 he did not have malice. He went in there for the purpose
14 of protecting [REDACTED] And so for that reason, we do
15 believe, despite Your Honor's ruling on the defense of
16 others, this evidence is still highly relevant, highly
17 probative for the purpose of establishing that Zack did
18 not have malice.

19 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Moorman.

20 Anything from the State?

21 MR. HOFFERTH: Judge, I think that the response
22 there mirrors a lot of the analysis that the State went
23 through on the defense of others. But understanding
24 where Mr. Moorman is pointing this to the element of
25 malice, reading through State v. Sellers, which is the

1 case he cited, it is on the State to prove the element of
2 malice and that in conjunction with that is proving that
3 the killing was without just cause or excuse. And I
4 believe the court in Sellers goes on to say, "As specific
5 examples of just cause or excuse, self-defense or a legal
6 justification for committing a killing, defense of others
7 being one of those avenues."

8 I don't believe any other avenues as to a legitimate
9 means of presenting a defense are present in this case.
10 And I think to malice specifically, it's inconsequential
11 whether the underlying basis or what was going on in
12 Mr. Hughes's mind to get him to the point of actually
13 committing this murder, the element of malice being that
14 he had hostility, that he had that hatred in committing
15 the physical act of killing Christina Parcell, I would
16 just differentiate here between what a legitimate just
17 cause and a legitimate excuse would be in the context of
18 that case. So the State stands by its arguments and
19 believes that it's, again, improper to get that evidence
20 in through that angle.

21 MR. MOORMAN: And, Your Honor, I would just respond
22 that Mr. Hofferth's argument basically says unless a
23 defendant can establish self-defense or defense of
24 others, it's almost presumed that malice exists. There
25 any many, many cases in which a defendant's intent, his

1 motive may not give -- although, again, we believe in
2 this case defense of others clearly applies, but where a
3 defendant's intent, his thought process why he did what
4 he did in killing another person, it may not give rise to
5 a defense that defeats the charge, but it totally
6 undercuts the elements of malice.

7 And, again, Zack's motivation was to save [REDACTED] He
8 did not have that angry heart that Mr. Wilkins described.
9 He was not mad. He went there solely for the purpose of
10 trying to save [REDACTED] And so we believe that totally
11 undercuts their ability to prove malice. And what's good
12 for the goose is good for the gander. If evidence that
13 goes to prove malice is highly probative and relevant,
14 then evidence that defeats malice is equally as highly
15 probative and relevant.

16 THE COURT: Anything in response?

17 MR. HOFFERTH: No, Your Honor. I believe I laid it
18 out.

19 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to take another break.
20 Thank you.

21 (WHEREUPON, a recess is taken at 10:53 AM; proceedings
22 reconvened at 11:23 AM.)

23 THE COURT: Okay. I wanted to take a moment to
24 review State v. Sellers. And I'm going to deny your
25 request, defendant's request. I've looked at the

1 definition of malice and, essentially, I mean,
2 defendant's testimony would not give him just cause or
3 legal excuse, and it would be also inconsistent with the
4 ruling that I just made on defense of others. I feel
5 like you're protected on the record.

6 MR. MOORMAN: Your Honor, I appreciate that. You
7 just said something I want to make -- I had talked about
8 the child pornography evidence for the purpose of
9 establishing malice. Your Honor had just said something
10 about Zack's testimony, Mr. Hughes's testimony.

11 THE COURT: Well, or what you would put up on his
12 behalf ---

13 MR. MOORMAN: Right.

14 THE COURT: --- in that regard. Maybe I misspoke.

15 MR. MOORMAN: But I want to make sure should -- and
16 he -- obviously, he doesn't need to make a decision yet
17 as to what he's going to do. But should he testify,
18 obviously, he'd be entitled to testify as to why he did
19 what he did or -- I mean, right? I just want to make
20 sure I'm understanding Your Honor's -- surely he'd be
21 able to testify to that, correct?

22 THE COURT: State?

23 MR. HOFFERTH: Judge, the State's position is he
24 would not be entitled to testify and essentially
25 circumvent the Court's ruling. I direct the State to --

1 or, excuse me. I direct the Court specifically to State
2 v. Hamilton at 344 S.C. 359 and Chambers, which is the
3 United States Supreme Court, 410 U.S. 295. And in both
4 those cases and in subsequent cases affirm that any of
5 the defendant's testimony or evidence that they present
6 must comply with evidentiary rulings put forth by the
7 trial judge.

8 The defendant's right to present a defense is not
9 unlimited. He can't testify to anything he wants. He
10 can't testify to his justification in his mind of
11 committing this killing and thereby work around, in the
12 defense's theory, Your Honor's ruling. His testimony
13 will have to be restricted to Your Honor's rulings. It's
14 the State's opinion that this testimony is mitigation.
15 It is not a defense as Your Honor has ruled, so he can't
16 get on the stand and just sidestep what Your Honor has
17 ruled.

18 MR. MOORMAN: And I just want to make sure I
19 understand this.

20 THE COURT: And that's exactly what my intent is.
21 And I'll also reference State v. Cottrell -- or Cottrell,
22 Cottrell -- earlier, too, on limiting the testimony.

23 MR. MOORMAN: So just so I understand, and so the
24 State is arguing and Your Honor is ruling that Mr. Hughes
25 cannot even explain to the jury why he did what he did if

1 he testifies.

2 THE COURT: Essentially, within the limitations of
3 State v. Cottrell, that's exactly what I'm saying.

4 MR. MOORMAN: So he can't explain to the jury what
5 his motive was, what his intent was. Can't do any of
6 that.

7 THE COURT: I'll hear from the State.

8 MR. HOFFERTH: Your Honor, if his testimony is along
9 the lines of I didn't intend to kill her or I intended to
10 hurt her essentially takes away from the element of --
11 it's limited to what's in the record in the sense that he
12 can't offer new information as to what would be evidence
13 under defense of others by virtue of his testimony. He
14 can't get on the stand and just because he is testifying
15 he's not exempt from the Court's rulings. He is still --
16 it's still the defense's evidence that they're putting
17 forward. It's the defense's testimony that they are
18 putting forward. So he is not excluded from Your Honor's
19 ruling. And that's what the case law provides.

20 MR. MOORMAN: So -- and, Your Honor, I just -- this
21 is so important. So he's on trial for his freedom, for
22 his life and he can't tell this jury why he did what he
23 did. He can't tell them the full truth as to what
24 happened. That is just -- that's astonishing, Your
25 Honor. I can't believe that that would be consistent

1 with the law and his rights of due process. He's on
2 trial. I mean ---

3 THE COURT: It gets into limiting on this whole
4 issue that I've ruled on on the defense of others. And
5 it is limiting his testimony with regard to that issue.

6 MR. MOORMAN: And I would just argue it goes
7 directly to his intent as it relates to the elements the
8 State must prove on the murder and the other charges.
9 So, I mean, I just think that is so critical that he be
10 allowed to explain to this jury why -- if he decides to
11 testify why he did what he did. It totally undercuts
12 malice, totally undercuts the State's theory of the case.
13 In fact, again, Mr. Wilkins, in his opening statement,
14 said he had an angry heart, quote, angry heart. He was
15 mad. He wasn't mad. He's going to testify I wasn't mad
16 if he decides to take the stand. So, I mean, that goes
17 -- goes directly to malice. That completely ---

18 THE COURT: He wasn't mad when he stabbed 35 times?

19 MR. MOORMAN: And that's for the jury to decide.
20 That's not -- with all due respect, that's not for Your
21 Honor to decide. That's for the jury to decide. And
22 so ---

23 THE COURT: I've made my ruling, Mr. Moorman.

24 MR. MOORMAN: Okay. Your Honor, can we have just a
25 minute?

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Jul 01 2026

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Honorable Patrick C. Fant, III, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2025-000367

THE STATE, RESPONDENT

v.

ZACHARY DAVID HUGHES, APPELLANT.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that pursuant to Rule 262(c)(3), SCACR and the Supreme Court order of April 24, 2024, the Respondent's Return in Opposition to Appellant's Motion to Stay and Remand has been forwarded to Petitioner's counsel, Andy Mooreman, Esquire via email today, July 1, 2026, to andy@andymoormanlaw.com, and to his assistant, Mark Moyer, at mark@markmoyerlaw.com.

I further certify that all parties required by Rule to be served have been served. This 1st day of July 2026.

s/P. Sanders Linker
P. Sanders Linker
Assistant Attorney General
Office of Attorney General
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
(803) 734-6305

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

RECEIVED

Jul 01 2026

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Brittany Kilgore](#)
To: andy@andymoormanlaw.com; mark@markmoyerlaw.com
Cc: [Sanders Linker](#)
Subject: The State v. Zachary D. Hughes
Date: Wednesday, July 1, 2026 3:07:00 PM
Attachments: [Return to Motion to Stay or Remand with Exhibits -Hughes.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)

Counsel, please find attached, the State's Return in Opposition to Appellant's Motion to Stay and Remand in the above reference appeal. The motion will be electronically filed with the South Carolina Court of Appeals on today's date.

Thank you,



Brittany Kilgore

Brittany Kilgore, Legal Assistant | 803-734-1494|
Office of the South Carolina Attorney General
P.O. Box 11549 | Columbia, SC 29211