

FORM 12
NOTICE THAT TRANSCRIPT HAVE NOT
BEEN TIMELY RECEIVED

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLIN

In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED
JUL 06 2026
SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM Charleston S C CIRCUIT COURT

James Benjamin Gosnell Jr Judge

Appellate Case No. 2026-001225

The State, Respondent

v.

Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith, Petitioner/ Appellant

**PETITION FOR ORDER DIRECTING DISCLOSURE FROM LOWER CIRCUIT COURT CHARLESTON
SOUTH CAROLINA (OF ABSENT SUBMISSION OF A RIGHT BY NOTICE TO APPEAL FROM
RESPONDENTS) OR IN THE ALTERNATE PETITIONS COURT FOR DECLARATORY AFFIRMING COURT
JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS (CLAIMS DENIED) ON PETITION FOR DECLARATORY AFFIRMING
COURT JUDGMENT ON PLEADINGS (CLAIMS DENIED) FOR REFUSAL TO TURN OVER
DISCOVERAL SUBSTANTIVE EVIDENCES AND RETATED MATERIAL AS REQUIRED BY LAW**

1. Herein Petitioner Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith am without sufficient court procedural data, lack of personnel substantive material evidence jurisdictional knowledge knowing totality of authorized personal judgment, alleged unlawfully lawless activities which is speculated to have occurred in violation of rule 5 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Proceedings
2. I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith simultaneously the notified per FORM 11 to serve as motion for discovery under rule 5 and believes with valid data of video evidences released, by the officers body cam conjured of enforcing the law by exciting their constitutional due process rights as freemen and rights to freedom of speech, but applied the freedoms of unwarranted touch with speech in an untimely manner for which I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith believes is a violation of law involving law enforcement misconduct and procedural mistakes.

3. I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith am without sufficient evidence and based arbitrary and capricious use of various languages terminologies regarding procedural and legal rights, am unable to understand the officers procedural rights and this court procedural reasoning in affirming officers personal judgments, or legal standing for interactions in totality without notices of serving a prior notices

4. My understanding regarding discovery is to allow cross examining rights warranting of a fair and equal due process, as not to infer or wrongly accused any person as a wrongdoing without substantiated facts and material evidences, of which such enters a comparison of data by reasonable and impartial se of person called a grand Jury, and rights of Miranda was denied prior to the arrest, as this convictions, as being listed by the State as the defendant or as a STATE OR LOCAL LAW enforcer) violated rights as based on common sense knowledge and understating how the law is explained by the General Assembly of South Carolina

As such , 1) In South Carolina, specific rules govern the filing of civil and criminal complaints.

1) Regarding Civil Complaints: The South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure (SCRCP) rule 4 outlined the process for filing civil complaints. This includes drafting the complaint, determining the appropriate court, and adhering to filing deadlines. The Plaintiff (therein referred to THE STATE of South Carolina) who could have also file a motion to proceed without paying filing fees if they met certain criteria's.

2) Regarding Criminal Complaints (as herein referring to the State of South Carolina) as Criminal complaints are typically initiated by law enforcement (herein as delegated to intercede on behalf of the State as person acting under this law alleged of violating THIS LAW) or the prosecutor's office. Absent as this process involved was the filing of charges in the appropriate court (as this Ninth Judicial Circuit Court) intervened in the United States of America Private resident and citizen rights, as the court of law and procedural circuit for hearing pleas of the accused.

3) Regarding rule 203, according to rule 203 of the SCACR In South Carolina, a defendant must be served with a notice of appeal within ten days after the sentence is imposed of which I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith claims herein was denied, as this applies specifically to cases involving a plea or trial resulting in a conviction or a proceeding that results in the revocation of probation. Additionally, the notice of appeal must be filed with both the clerk of the lower court and the clerk of the appellate court within ten days after it is served (for discovering such

information be released as proof of compliance by law enforcement official and officer that agreed with exercising the laws as applied without notices of a prior complaint, summons nor notice to appeal any State determination, prior to apprehension, subsequent arrest and forfeiture of these protected activity rights with the all-knowing knowledge unbridled clarity (clarification based on proven records disclosed as show cause proofs that hearings were not to infer such claims) that such state or local South Carolina laws were wronged or violated by this person under the color of law, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith, and that as with these laws, withholding such evidences is sanctioned and can lead to criminal charges for bad faith acts as Civil complaint under SCRCivPro rule 4, 2) denied Discovery under civil rule 26.01, 3) as denied discovery under SCRCP (crim process) rule 5, and DENIED a notice to appeal under rule 203 in the applicable subsections listed.

4. As understood by and under S C Code 17-23-30 which are the procedural guidelines that I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith believes that were violated by person which enforced rights and executed the rule of this law against me, such violations involved dealt with this criminal proceedings governing the accused rights under Title 17 Chapter 23 Section 30 of the South Carolina Code which addresses Criminal Procedures that I, Rafeel Santiago Migule Smith points out per outlining various aspects of criminal law, including the prosecution of criminal actions, bail procedures, and the rights of defendants which has not been fully disclosed to this person Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith for which a legal remedy is sought in the court of appeal for reversal, voiding personal judgments and court orders affirming judgments which fails to provide showing of allowing fair and equal reviewing of materials and witness statements made, vice accepting in a open court of opinions hearsay concept and opinionated ideas based on a known cultural system for unmarked vehicles closely tailing, detaining and making erroneous arrest, against this person of color under the color of law based on my Blackness of skin, amid being targeted by the white patrolmen and women after the stop, later abused and attacked me, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith who was seeking a more safer spot then in the dark.

5. I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith exercises invoking my statutory rights under S C Code 17-23-60 which was my right denied to meet my accusers as should have been allotted before, during and after the Ninth Judicial Circuit Court written Judgments made against this person in an untimely manner. As **Chapter 1: General Provisions** Establishes the manner of prosecuting criminal actions, emphasizing that the state prosecutes on behalf of public offense

6. With the use of the termed laws, seeks a for court to compel (Petitioning the Court of Appeals) to write such a request to this same effect according to the rule of discovery to leave no inferences remaining about were investigation completed and services of the notice to

appeal the investigating agency determinations, file and serve under SCACR rule 203 according to these rules of laws and assessments by seek legal remedy in the State of South Carolina for the misconduct conducted by the officers in violation of my procedural and legal rights under of the state laws used against me, this without any person providing a clear and concise argument for relief by submitting a complaint which listed this person Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith name, and substantive material evidence as to all the effects this state of South Carolina has allowed others as were allegedly delated to act as administrative enforcing authority under the color of law descriptive person as herein listed involved, or as to what procedural degree or legal format upon which charges and or civil claims for judgments and order were assessed by the adjudicating court official and or officers that ensured laws were enforced against me (denied constitutional right to be informed, denied constitutional right to confront accusers and appear before a reasonable and impartial grand jury and denied due process to confront any and all accusers procedurally under S C Code 17-23-60 (et seg) and the Witness Subpoenas afforded by the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure (Rule 13) allow defendants to compel individuals who have accused them to appear in court or testify on their behalf as such petition herein request the court of appeal to direct presentation by submission of a Order of subpoena.

II. FUTHERMORE without clear understandings based on the lack of discovery (rule 5 criminal proceedings or as required of rule 26.01 in civil matters) that due to the complexities as state and local circuit and magistrates of the municipal courts are known (Facts or Mere Conjecture) interweaves accused court rights, the answer is based on Offensive Defensive claims as a mistake, inadvertence and excusable neglect under rule 12(b)(1) as violations occurred based South Carolina Rule 203 being allegedly violated. By South Carolina own recognizing, rule 203 governs the timing and service of notices of appeal in South Carolina, which required appeals to be filed within specific deadlines after receipt of written notice of entry of an order or judgment for which this right was denied at no fault of Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith not knowing (not informed or read rights of such afforded protections)

7. This also serves as split in determination by the Court of Appeals requiring specified Order upon release or not of the required discoverable information. This Petition serves for a Rehearing Overview based on Rule 203 to contest and challenged Sate of South Carolina and delegated personnel actions, as Rule 203 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR) sets the procedures and deadlines for filing a notice of appeal from various courts, including the

Court of Common Pleas, Family Court, Masters, Probate Court, and administrative tribunals, as these rule ensures that parties (State of South Carolina and or its delegated administration administrators as private personnel) who sought seeking appellate review were to **serve and file a notice of appeal within a defined period** after receiving written notice of the judgment or order of which Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith has not received as of this date which under rule 12(b)(1) inadvertence, mistake and excusable neglect as too asserted as offense defense not allowed to assert for Summary Judgment cross claim against the State of South Carolina or persons who were delegated (designated as a government entity) as third parties who enforced the laws and exercised its (persons for the State) due process rights in error of judging's and concurring order writing's. **Excusable neglect refers to a legal concept where a party fails to meet a deadline or follow a required procedure due to circumstances beyond their control.** This can include inadvertence, mistakes, or carelessness that the court considers justifiable reasons for the failure to act in a timely manner. It is often invoked in civil litigation, particularly in cases involving default judgments (as I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith alleges this is what occurred for which this action should have allowed a party to request that a court reopen a case or set aside a judgment if they could demonstrate their failure was due to excusable neglect. as onus (ownership) was/is on the State to have proven guilt based on the proofs required of reasonable doubt in the criminal case or in other civil matters referred above by the preponderance of evidence which the State and persons refuse to disclose prior to detaining, arrest, convictions, assaults, abuses and attacks

8. Inadvertence refers to **the quality or condition of being inattentive or careless which is** signified by actions or mistakes made unintentionally by this person Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith that is based on the State and person delegated lack of services as required I Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith was aware of such proceedings or complaints previously filed in the circuit court offices

9. Mistake refers to the personnel actions or court decreeing judgment that was misguided or wrongly determined due to such neglect or would the court continually culturally assess that the issues thereupon where accusation were made and the law enforced were my fault for me Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith not serving, arresting and convicting myself as a non-law enforcing official, officer or agent on government duties as a private civilian?

10. As herein without factual data or not to conjecture such having knowledge, as issues may have stemmed (results of cases) from or related to law enforcing activities of the circuit **Court of Common Pleas which a** notice of appeal must be served (which tjos circuit court judgment failed to address submitting to this person rights of Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith) as on all respondents **within 30 days** after receipt of written notice of entry of the order or judgment hereon Court of Appeal assessing proceedings and legality rights denied or not based on the submitted evidence

11. The detainment, arrest and conviction and bonds posted were finalized but prior to this fact no notice of right to appeal was served as this was the requirement that ensured that the appealing party Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith would not miss the deadline to appeal while waiting any other circuit court's subject matters regarding Probate Court, Family Court or any administrative decision of which could have been made but unknown, as to refute any other pending motions of an untimely as post-trial motion were denied by default judgments without proper services concerning a cross claim on the basis of such Motion for Summary judgment under rule 12(b)(1) right as DENIED, motion for judgment n.o.v rights as DENIED., and or motion to alter/amend or rleif form judgments and order relief under rule 60(b)(1) as rights were DENIED is filed, of which THEN the appeal processing period would have been **stayed** until such Order was disclosed granting or showing the denying the aforementioned motions were entered, of which the Ninth Judicial Circuit Court fails to provided showing by releasing disclosing filings of these actions, that followed the requirements of law, thus SIMULTANEOUSLY, as this petition is filed for a Directing court Order compelling these State and or its delegated as person in governmental duty to show not overarched into private citizens issue domains, but in fact has conclusive irrefutable convincing substantive material evidence of guilt without a grand jury determination (J N O V) and in fact the State of South Carolina has shown had proven grounds with clear and convincing evidence to combat Rafeel Santiago Miguel offence of "innocent" as a law breaker, by having make by Order that State of South Carolina and person acting on behalf as also private persons with any claims to disprove beyond reasonable doubt on Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith claim of innocence and denial of rights under S C A C R 203, by Order directing that such rights were not violated and that the laws were followed with no remaining inferences, or allow time for others to assert unrelated

non-facilitatable (facilities not for entertaining any other hypothesis or gendering a hypocritical thought process of an idea, as an outsider) for personal ideas.

a. Court of General Sessions: For criminal cases, a notice of appeal must be served **within 10 days** after sentencing or receipt of written notice of the order. Post-trial motions under Rule 29, SCRCrimP, also stay the appeal period

b. Family Court on Domestic relations appeals follow the 30-day rule, while juvenile actions follow the 10-day rule

c. Masters and Special Referees Appeals follow the same 30-day rule as the Court of Common Pleas

d. Administrative Tribunals is when a statute allows direct appeal to the Supreme Court or Court of Appeals, the notice of appeal must be served **within 30 days** after receipt of the decision. Filing a timely petition for rehearing stays the appeal period

e. Cross-Appeals Rule 203 also addresses cross-appeals, ensuring that parties responding to an appeal have a defined period to file their own notice of appeal in response to the original appeal, as these appeals process were not followed as discovery is not afforded by circuit court

III. RELIEF AS REQUESTED BY COURT OF APPEAL UPON FURTHER REVIEW ABSENT DISCOVERY Y ALLOWED BY THE RULE OF LAW

THEREFORE In South Carolina, the Court of Appeals provides various legal remedies for legal wrongs. These remedies can include as sought seeking: **Legal Remedies in Monetary Damages which would be the ripe and just** compensation for losses (loss of legal and procedural rights and privileges from such protected actively statutes aforesaid protections) incurred due to wrongful acts which are to include both substantive financial compensatory and punitive damages.

WHEREAS herein seeking **Injunctive reliefs under rule 11 / S C Code 15-36-10** as Court written Orders expressly details the parties to do or refrain from doing specific acts.

WHEREAS discovery is a Specific Performance as relief for remedy that compels a party to fulfill their duties a contracted government employee or as private citizen which were to serves by law as obligations were not met.

WHEREAS seeking affirmative Declaratory Judgments from court of appeals to declare (affirm by court writings stating based on the laws) the rights and obligations of parties under a government contract or statute.

WHEREAS challenging and contesting the wrongly applied acts involved in totality of Court Procedures, awhile seeking the Court of Appeals reviewing decisions from lower courts and ensuring that legal standards and procedures were correctly applied, as of which this appeal (petition for disclosure) which may have arisen from various state and local lower court cases, including civil, family, and administrative law has not being disclosed in helping secure this person. Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith protected activity rights from self incriminating are protected as well. Having the right to be fully informed was denied as not supported by any writing material and not judged based on what another person heard or as the organization may place (assert) it's own opinions (cult ideas) based on studying past history and actions

WHEREAS further Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith **alleged that the State of South Carolina exceeded the expected limitations and considerations of required services denoted by aforesaid laws, of which these** legal actions had required been initiated within a specified time frames as the State of South Carolina (et al) has violated these prescribed as by law, as this circuit court which intervened as the court must allow evidence presentation unless it infringes on constitutional rights

THEREFORE as Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith understanding is based on these law, as these rules are understood for criminal procedures, that are distinct and are focused on the rights of the accused and the prosecution's (solicitors contracted obligations) to ensure all person exercising rights or enforced executing the law against another, that credibility, reliance's in the law that ensures safeguarding the accused rights with a liberal view, as obligated to fairness and equableness under these applied laws. As such this amounts to the unreasonableness (lawlessness which person acted with malicious abusive intent and blatant disregard as not governed by or obedient to laws or a characterized by a lack of civic order) of prosecution, before (prior to) allowing being made such prosecutions made by persons who having accusations, cause of actions were arrest and conviction which by law ensuring protecting the due process rights of the accused (herein Defendant/petitioner Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith)

of both this rule of law and statutory rights (constitutional precept assurances) so that any rights would be safeguarded, as well. (Solicitors lack showing in details not violating its Duty of candor and or Code of ethics) as discovery is called in for such questioning on allegations that genuine issues and such exceptionable questions of importance remains, as Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith understanding of these applied rules is critical for ensuring rule and law compliance and protecting legal rights of the courts limited integrity not to be jeopardized by other waywardness, or by any person acting as a consultant (long termed employed influencer) of and on the courts behalf (as dual integrity measure were required but denied, and as such a reversal, dismissal of any and all charges (acquitted), voiding of judgment and expunging of records and video stored as later materials to be used against this petitioner as the request for allowing discovery was denied and as such Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith is seeking declaratory reliefs by law and under such finding that the circuit courts acted in "Bad Faith" only to delay person by protecting from justice determination trials and processing's, that by and under the South Carolina Frivolous Proceedings Sanctions Act, courts can sanction parties or officials if a reasonable person in the same circumstances would determine that a proceeding was brought merely for delay or for an improper purposes, should be respectfully by the use of these laws, be respectfully granted

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith certify that on June 29, 2026 sent FORM 12 request, ON Notice that timely transcript have not been received or in the Alternate by Petitioning Court for legal relief per Declaratory Affirmed Judgment Written Orders release on claims for denials and reliefs was sent via United States First class mail

TO: Court of Appeal 1220 Senate Street Columbia S C 29201

State of South Carolina Governor
Governor Henry McMaster
1100 Gervais Street
Columbia S C 29201


Attorney general of South Carolina
Attorney General Alan McCorey Wilson
P O Box 11549
Columbia S C 29211

Charleston County Judicial Court
(Att: Clerk of Court)
100 Broad Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29401

Mark Reynolds ESQ
37 Broad Street
Charleston S C 29401

Ninth Judicial Solicitors Office
(attn: Kasey Eaman Rose Knox)
101 Meeting Street Ste 400
Charleston S C 29401

June 29, 2026


Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston, S C 29406
email: rafaelsmith2332@gmail.com
Appellant/petitioner (Pro Se)

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
AND GENERAL SESSIONS
100 BROAD STREET, SUITE 106
CHARLESTON, S.C. 29401-2258
(843) 958-5000
(843) 958-5020 FAX
clerkofcourt.charlestoncounty.org



FAMILY COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
CHARLESTON COUNTY
100 BROAD STREET, SUITE 143
CHARLESTON, S.C. 29401-2265
(843) 958-4400
(843) 958-4434 FAX
clerkofcourt.charlestoncounty.org

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG
CLERK OF COURT
CHARLESTON COUNTY

6/17/2026

RE: Transcript Request

TO: Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith

This office has received your request.

- ☐ More information is needed to direct your request. Please resubmit with a case number.
- ☐ The Clerk of Court's staff is not permitted to give legal advice, nor can we assist you in preparing court filings. If you need help, you should consult an attorney.
- ☐ Requested contact information attached.
- ☐ You can find docket and case information, including filings and events, online at www.courtplus.org. Notice of hearings provided by the Clerk of Court's Office are provided to defense counsel by email, and to the self-represented defendant by regular mail.
- ☐ Please refer to www.sccourts.org for additional resources, including court forms, court rules, contact information and more.
- ☐ Jail time should be requested through your caseworker.
- ☐ The Clerk of Court's Office does not handle or manage the discovery process. Please refer to Rule 5 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure.
- ☒ You need to contact the court reporter for your transcript. You can find detailed instructions on how to obtain a transcript at www.sccourts.org
- ☐ This office does not place or release detainers. You will need to contact the appropriate arresting agency. This is usually the Sheriff or Prosecuting Attorney.

JJA/Initials

AH (1)

Alert

Important Notice: Technical Communication Issues Affecting Spartanburg County Courts

Spartanburg County Courts are currently experiencing significant communication disruptions due to unforeseen technical difficulties. The following courts are affected:

- Spartanburg County Family Court
- Spartanburg County General Sessions
- Spartanburg County Common Pleas
- All County Magistrates
- Spartanburg County Probate Court
- Spartanburg County Master-in-Equity

At this time, we are unable to receive phone calls or access state-hosted applications. A limited number of staff members can access email. For assistance, contact Amy Cox at acox@spartanburgcounty.gov.

Attorneys may continue to use the e-filing system. Documents will be considered filed on the date they are uploaded. We apologize for the inconvenience and are working to resolve the issue as quickly as possible.



OFFICE OF
CLERK OF COURT
JUDICIAL BRANCH

[HOME](#) > [APPS](#) > [TRAFFIC TICKET SEARCH](#)

Traffic Ticket Search

Pay a Traffic Ticket

Pay a traffic ticket which has been issued in a Summary Court in the State of South Carolina. When entering search criteria to find your ticket, you may search by citation number, driver's license or name. Some Municipal Court traffic ticket records are available in the Traffic Ticket Search. Please click here to see the available Municipal Courts.

SEARCH BY CITATION

* A citation number is required.

Att 2

Citation Number

20241841058248

Search

OR SEARCH BY DRIVER'S LICENSE

* Both a driver's license number and state are required.

Driver's License

State

Select State

Search

OR SEARCH BY NAME

* A first name, last name and date of birth are required.

First Name

Last Name

Date of Birth

mm/dd/yyyy

Search

No Results Were Found

CONTACT US

CAREERS

PRIVACY POLICY

ACCESSIBILITY

RELEASE NOTES

STAY INFORMED

Att 3

Sign up for email notifications when items of interest to you are posted to our site.

EMAIL NOTIFICATION SIGN-UP

OUR MISSION

To provide a fair and efficient forum for the just resolution of civil, family and criminal matters.



©2026 South Carolina Judicial Branch | Website by 87 Gears & SO JB

ACCESSIBILITY RELEASE NOTES

STAY INFORMED

Sign up for email notifications when items of interest to you are posted to our site.

EMAIL NOTIFICATION SIGN-UP

OUR MISSION

To provide a fair and efficient forum for the just resolution of civil, family, and criminal matters.



©2026 South Carolina Judicial Branch | Website by 52 Gears & HOJ8

PH4

Alert

Important Notice: Technical Communication Issues Affecting Spartanburg County Courts

Spartanburg County Courts are currently experiencing significant communication disruptions due to unforeseen technical difficulties. The following courts are affected:

- Spartanburg County Family Court
- Spartanburg County General Sessions
- Spartanburg County Common Pleas
- All County Magistrates
- Spartanburg County Probate Court
- Spartanburg County Master-in-Equity

At this time, we are unable to receive phone calls or access state-hosted applications. A limited number of staff members can access email. For assistance, contact Amy Cox at acox@spartanburgcounty.gov.

Attorneys may continue to use the e-filing system. Documents will be considered filed on the date they are uploaded. We apologize for the inconvenience and are working to resolve the issue as quickly as possible.



©2025
All rights reserved.
06/29/26

[HOME](#) > [APPS](#) > [TRAFFIC TICKET SEARCH](#)

Traffic Ticket Search

Pay a Traffic Ticket

Pay a traffic ticket which has been issued in a Summary Court in the State of South Carolina. When entering search criteria to find your ticket, you may search by citation number, driver's license or name. Some Municipal Court traffic ticket records are available in the Traffic Ticket Search. Please click [here](#) to see the available Municipal Courts.

SEARCH BY CITATION

* A citation number is required.

Citation Number

Search

OR SEARCH BY DRIVER'S LICENSE

* Both a driver's license number and state are required.

Driver's License

State

Select State

Search

OR SEARCH BY NAME

* A first name, last name and date of birth are required.

First Name

Last Name

rafeel

smith

Date of Birth

09/06/2001

Search

RESULTS (2)

Name	Citation Number	County	File Date	Balance	Status
				Due	
Rafeel Smith	20190420138341	Charleston	11/14/2019	0.00	Disposed
Rafeel Smith	8102P0781201	Charleston	07/03/2024	0.00	Disposed

CONTACT US

CAREERS

PRIVACY POLICY

AA5

RECEIVED

JUL 06 2026

SC Court of Appeals

FORM 12 NOTICE THAT TRANSCRIPT HAS NOT BEEN TIMELY RECEIVED

June 29, 2026

The Honorable Julie J Armstrong
Clerk of Court Charleston County
100 Broad Street, Suite 106
Charleston, South Carolina 29401-2258

REF: The State, Respondent vs Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith (Petitioner) case 2026-001225

Dear Ms. Armstrong;

On June 15, 2026 , the transcript of the proceedings in this case was ordered. On letter dated June 17, 2001, the clerk from the Circuit Court of Administrations sent a reply that I, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith needed to contact the court reporter. (See FORM 11 of items requested) , As of this time this is discoverable information under rule 5 which I Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith seek any and all such solicitors, assistant solicitors and prosecutions court related as court filed stamped and recorded information regarding Criminal Charging Document Case No. 20241841058248 (See attached docs).

As of this date, as the letter in reference is attached, is all that I Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith has received. Attached is FORM 12 as petitioner seeks this request in helping expedite this critical matter, dually as being sent in the same format to the South Carolina Court of Appeals for a Court written Order directing such productions as was required under rule 5 in criminal matter and other related information as may have been applicable to likewise civil cases under rule 26.01, shall it be concerned with civil action (ie Probate Court, Family Court, Administrations, or Civil Court action of which I Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith has been denied from all of these departments, of my right to be informed by notice to appeal earlier determinations prior to being arrested, attacked and abused by person working under this government umbrella regarding legal and procedural rights not being time honored,

To me, Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith, this is a time sensitive subject matter regarding my rights and your sworn duties to protect me from being infringed upon in my absence, by over steppers of law acting under the color of law of these South Carolina enforcing laws, which protective activities prohibits violations as inferred, as this case is in the South Carolina Court of Appeals, and as of this day, appellant/petitioner has not received the request transcripts from this Circuit Court Clerk or as a delegated a organization delegated duty of responsibility to that of an assistant, to have retrieved all required information (any and all) on a ASAP basis, as from any and all court reporters, which activities involved[s] the name, images and likeness of this person Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith as being used throughout this circuit court facilitating administrative agency, by such was recorded required FORM 11.

Sincerely,

Rafeel Santiago Miguel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston, S C 29406
email: rafaelsmith2332@gmail.com Appellant/petitioner

Rafeel Smith
8528 Chloe Lane
Charleston SC 29406

Retail



RDC 99



29211

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LETTER
MONCKS CORNET,
JUN 29, 2026

\$1.36

S2324E500170-7

Court of Appeal
1220 Senate Street
Columbia SC 29211

RECEIVED
JUL 06 2026
SC Court of Appeals

2920133769 C076

