

**RECEIVED**

**Jul 07 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals  
Appellate Case No. 2025-001651

---

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas  
The Honorable Dale E. Van Slambrook  
Case No. 2025CP1001379

---

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas  
The Honorable Maite Murphy  
Appellate Case No. 2025-001651

---

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Magistrates Court  
The Honorable Cheryl Perry  
Court Judge Case No: 2025CV1011200030

---

Hill Family 2008 Trust and Yvonne Herold, Trustee, Respondents,  
v.  
Teresa Hill, Appellant.

---

**MOTION TO RECONSIDER  
ASSESSMENT OF SECOND FILING  
and  
MOTION TO ALLOW TERESA HILL TO OBTAIN ALL LEGAL FILES AND  
EQUIPMENT FROM THE HILL LAW FIRM**

---

Appellant respectfully moves this Court to reconsider its assessment of a second \$250.00 filing fee in connection with the Notice of Appeal filed in the above-captioned matter and treated

by the Court as a second appeal. In support of this Motion, Appellant would show the Court as follows:

**I. THE ADDITIONAL NOTICE OF APPEAL IS A CONTINUATION OF THE EXISTING APPEAL**

1. The additional Notice of Appeal was necessitated by this Court's decision permitting the Hill Family Trust of Arizona 2008 (the "Trust") to proceed with additional hearings outside the ordinary course of process allowing the initial appellate order of Judge Maite Murphy, Order of June 26, 2025 to be reconsidered by Judge Dale Van Slambrook adding a bond which was not granted by Judge Murphy in the original appellate hearing.

2. The Notice of Appeal of Judge Van Slambrooks order is not a separate or independent appeal. The appeal is a continuation of the existing appeal in Appellate Case No. 2025-001651 and encompasses the additional proceedings the Court permitted the Trust to pursue. The issues, parties, and underlying matter are the same as those already before this Court service of process, tenancy, ejectment, and bond.

3. Because the additional filing arises from and is inseparable from the pending appeal, Appellant respectfully submits that the assessment of a second filing fee is not warranted, and requests that the Court review the file and withdraw the requirement of the additional \$250.00 fee.

4. Appellant does not have the financial resources necessary to pay a second filing fee at this time.

5. Appellant, together with her law firm, which law firm is not and has never been a party to this eviction litigation. Hill Law Firm, Hill & Hill, and Hill, Hill, and Hill were never named as Defendants to the eviction proceedings in this case. However, the law firm has been wrongfully evicted from the Hill Law Firm office. As a result of that wrongful eviction, Appellant's case files, law firm documents, equipment, and other business assets necessary to

conduct business remain inaccessible to her and in the possession or control of the Hill Family Trust of 2008 of Arizona, Trustee Yvonne Herold (nonlawyer) and Charleston County Sheriff's Deputies, Charleston County Constable and Charleston County Magistrate Sheryl Perry. Signs prohibiting trespass have been posted around the premises by Bubba Hill deterring business and the locks to the law office have been changed by the Hill Family Trust for 2008 of Arizona (Foreign Trust) and are being enforced by all the legal entities supporting the trust including three attorneys .

6. These circumstances have severely disrupted the operation of Appellant's law practice, her ability to practice law, and earn income, and have placed her law business in jeopardy. Charleston County Sheriff's Deputies' and Ravenel Magistrate Court's Constable, the Trustee Yvonne Herold, Bubba Hill, and the Trust attorneys refused to allow Appellant to remove all law firm operational items from the office despite the fact there were two (2) moving trucks present in which to load the items. None of these people are members of the law firm but they were allowed to argue what should be allowed to be removed from Hill & Hill and Hill, Hill, and Hill and Hill Law Firm. The Charleston County Deputies kept referring to the Attorney for the TRUST as the Judge in charge at the eviction.

Appellant, who is 62 years of age had been working in extreme heat and with traffic construction outside on Hiway 17 delaying her progress in removing the 37 years of items from the building. She asked the court and the attorneys for the trust for additional time to remove items. There was no response from the Attorneys. There were two trucks available to load business assets into but Charleston County, Hill Family Trust of 2008 of Arizona, Trustee Yvonne Herold (nonlawyer) and its attorneys refused to allow that to occur. Appellant worked tirelessly for 10 days straight to remove items. Charleston County Sheriff's Deputies allow the Trustee Yvonne

Herold, Bubba Hill, and Trust attorneys to designate what Appellant could remove from her office of 37 years the day of the eviction. Appellant further submits that the actions described above are inconsistent with the court orders as the law firm was not named in the eviction filings or in any court order. Hill Family Trust of 2008 of Arizona, Trustee Yvonne Herold (non-lawyer) is wrongfully obstructing Appellant's business and contact with clients and files. The Trust is wrongfully withholding law firm's assets, office items, and equipment. The Trust has terminated Appellant's only source of income from which she has to pay costs of this action.

### **III. RELIEF REQUESTED**

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court: (a) reconsider and withdraw the assessment of the second \$250.00 filing fee; or, in the alternative, (b) grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper including requiring Respondents to rent a rental truck so that Appellant can remove all belongs of Hill Law Firm, Hill & Hill and Hill, Hill, and Hill.

Respectfully submitted,

Teresa Hill

Teresa Hill  
Hill Law Firm  
P.O. Box 369  
6209 Savannah Hwy.  
Ravenel, SC 29470  
Tel: (843) 889-2261  
Tel: (843) 889-2262  
HillLawFirm@pm.me

RECEIVED

Jul 07 2026

SC Court of Appeals

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 7, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Return was served via electronic mail upon counsel for Respondents — Jesse Sanchez, Esq. (jesse@jessesanchezlaw.com); Daniel S. Slotchiver, Esq. (dan@slotchiverlaw.com); and Anna E. Richter, Esq. (arichter@slotchiverlaw.com) — and filed with the Court via email to ctappfilings@sccourts.org.

Respectfully submitted,

Teresa Hill

Teresa Hill  
Hill Law Firm  
P.O. Box 369  
6209 Savannah Hwy.  
Ravenel, SC 29470  
Tel: (843) 889-2261  
Tel: (843) 889-2262  
HillLawFirm@pm.me

T (843) 889-2262  
T (843)889-2261

**HILL LAW FIRM**  
Teresa Hill  
6209 Savannah Highway  
Ravenel, SC 29470  
HillLawFirm@pm.me

P.O. Drawer 369  
Ravenel, SC 29470

**RECEIVED**

**Jul 07 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

July 7, 2026

Via Email [ctappfilings@sccourts.org](mailto:ctappfilings@sccourts.org)  
The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: Motion and Proof of Service  
Case: Hill Family Trust of Arizona 2008 vs Teresa Hill  
Case No.: 2025-001651

Ms. Kitchings:

In the above-referenced case enclosed you will find for filing a Motion for Reconsideration and Proof of Service for Filing along with Appellant's Return to Respondents' Second Motion to Dismiss and Proof of Service.

If you have any questions regarding this filing, I am available to address those questions.

Respectfully submitted,

*Teresa Zachry Hill*

Teresa Zachry Hill

CC: Via email only

Jesse Sanchez  
Jesse@Jessesanchezlaw.com  
751 Jonnie Dodds Blvd., Suite 200  
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

Daniel Scott Slotchiver  
[dan@slotchiverlaw.com](mailto:dan@slotchiverlaw.com)  
Slotchiver & Slorchiver  
751 Johnnie Dodds Blvd. Ste. 100  
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

Anna Richter  
[Anna@slotchiverlaw.com](mailto:Anna@slotchiverlaw.com)  
Slotchiver & Slorchiver  
751 Johnnie Dodds Blvd. Ste. 100  
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464