

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON

Jerome Curry

VS

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE SUPREME COURT

Brief In Support of Notice of Appeal
Based upon sham judgment & order
Entered In PCR Proceedings
2022-CD-10-2017

Appellate Case No. 2026-061386

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2026

JUL 07 2026

SC Court of Appeals S.C. SUPREME COURT

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Now comes the petitioner in this above entitled matter being presented herein
this petition. I move Court to compel lower court to turn over all (B)
PCR hearings and orders transcripts in connection with PCR hearing as
well as all exhibits & evidence filed within PCR case to not
provide these documents would make it impossible for the
petitioner to present his case thereof so I move Court to compel
STATEMENT OF FACTS

Lower court to turn over all (B) PCR transcripts, the petitioner further
states that PCR judgment were based upon fraud and conspiring
with State to have PCR denied and grant in favor of the
State without evidence further in error of law & justice,
denial of due process, justice, fairness, and equal
protection of the laws. judgment entered is void & unconstitutional
a void judgment is void and remains void for all time
cannot be affirmed, supported, enforced, nor upheld but must
be vacated only invalid waiver indictment due process violation

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The petitioner states that the state within their sham order stated that the petitioner was not entitled to a State v Blair Hearing after being found incompetent prior to guilty plea is invalid and further in violation of SC Code Sections 44-23-430, 44-23-460 and the Supreme Court case of State v. Blair, The [redacted] petitioner was in fact found incompetent therefore entitled to a Blair Hearing thus mandatory not discretionary. The petitioner was denied of this hearing and counsel

STATEMENT OF FACTS

at preliminary hearing. ^{State not} [redacted] mental health specialist nor State mental health specialist could not state upon medical reasons of certainty that the petitioner was competent at [redacted] hearing knowing all defendants that are found incompetent pursuant to Blair v State are entitled to a Blair Hearing for findings of the

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Petitioner is competent to go before judge and judge cannot accept [redacted] guilty plea without State v Blair hearing. Due to these stated facts counsel was in fact ineffective in that matter and had petitioner known that he was entitled to this hearing he would have never pled guilty but would have went to trial instead had he known these stated facts stated in his [redacted] pro se motions and by his testimony at hearing due to these stated fact counsel was ineffective thereof.

3
STATEMENT OF FACTS

The petitioner states that the state stated within their sham order before the PCA Judge that petitioner never stated how state violated Brady violation § Rule 5 disclosure of evidence by withholding evidence police reports, doctor statements, 911 phone records, in which as well as mental health records, from mental health specialist stating that petitioner was manic, crazy, and out of his mind at time of his arrest, and that would not be [REDACTED] hard to present to the judge as well as police statements stating that

STATEMENT OF FACTS

[REDACTED] petitioner was very manic out of his mind and crazy at time time of arrest in which there evidence withheld had not been withheld out come of the proceedings would have been different and petitioner would have never [REDACTED] relied upon

STATEMENT OF FACTS

plea counsel to plea guilty I move court to review entire record of lower court all plea transcripts, all PCA transcripts all (8), all evidence exhibits, supported with all pro se filings, to show and prove that the judgment entered was based upon fraud upon court as well as sham, perjury, and fraud legal defense. In which I move the court to strike from record as sham and unlawful due process violations.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
County of Charleston
Serene Curry

VS

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

5
IN THE SUPREME COURT
BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF APPEAL
Based upon sham judgment & order entered
in PCJ Proceedings
2022-CP-10-2617

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Appellate Case no: 2026-001386

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2026

SC Court of Appeals

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MAIL

1. I am the above entitled petitioner in this action before this court herein

2. I certify and declare that all parties listed below have been given notice of this petition on this 29 day of June 2026

By placing in the hands of officers to mail to all addresses listed below;


Respectfully submitted BY US

Supreme Court of South Carolina

Clerk of Court

P.O. Box 11330

Columbia SC 29211



South Carolina Appellate Office

P.O. Box 11549

Columbia SC 29211

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Supreme Court of South Carolina

June 29, 2026

Clerk of Court

P.O. Box 11330

Columbia SC 29211

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Re: Jerome Curry v. State of South Carolina

Appellate Case No 2026-001386

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2026


SC Court of Appeals

Dear: Clerk of Court;

Enclosed is petition to be stamp clock and filed with a copy sent back to me as evidence that court received all docketments filed at all and place it on docket due to mailroom [redacted] fraud. Still not mailing out legal documents. The petitioner further has no access to court website or email making it impossible for petitioner to know if court received [redacted] documents therefore I move for court to send me [redacted] back a stamp, clock and [redacted] filed copy to me for my records. Thank you for your time and faithful assistance. May you have a blessed and peaceful day.

Very

Truly,
151



Jerome Cury #67289

3841 Leeds Ave

North Charleston SC 29405

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

CHARLESTON SC 294

2 JUL 2026 AM 3 L

RECEIVED

JUL 07 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Supreme Court of South Carolina

Clerk of Court

P.O. Box 11330

Columbia SC 29211

29211-133030



Must Be posted
and mailed no later
than 6/29/25
per court J.

