

RECEIVED

JUL 13 2026

SC Court of Appeals APPEAL OF ORDER

The petitioner now comes before this honorable court appealing an ORDER on June 22, 2026, Order to Relieve Counsel. Petitioner received this Order via. US Postal Services on or about June 26, 2026 by the Clerk of Court of Greenville South Carolina General Sessions.

DOCUMENTS	TABLE OF CONTENTS	SECTION
(1) ORDER APPEALED		C
(2) [REDACTED] Petitioners "Motion to Relieve Counsel"		A-1, 2
(3) [REDACTED] Counsels "Motion to Be Relieved as Counsel"		B-1, 2

ARGUMENTS

- During the hearing held on June 19th, 2026 did the Court error in:
- (1) NOT allowing the petitioners verbal statement to be heard in open court once granted pro-se rights of moving to dismiss for lack of evidence;
 - (2) NOT admonishing Douglas Richardson (Solicitor) for not complying with Rule 5 and Brady pursuant to our new (Gen. Sessions Docket Mgmt. Order 2023-000806 (S.C. April 29th, 2026) in providing the petitioner with all discovery and continued discovery up to date; Note: The discovery spoken of during this proceeding was acquired March 23rd, 2026, (Suppressed).
 - (3) NOT hearing the petitioners motion filed (4-27-26) and instead heard his counsels motion filed (5-11-26). Petitioners motion was filed weeks earlier, before counsels motion was filed to relieve his-self;
 - (4) NOT dismissing the indictments knowing there is no probable cause and the solicitor continues to go on "fishing expeditions" with "Forensics".

P-1

NARRATIVE

On 6-19-24 at approx. 11:20 AM in the Greenville County Courthouse for a "Motion to relieve as counsel" with Judge R. Lawton McIntosh presiding (RLM).

During this hearing it was stated by William Varborough (V) among other words "Mr. Reeves has a great case to win"; "this case is solely based on circumstantial evidence"; "there are major discrepancies with the coroner's office"; and "there is more evidence the State has come forward with that has not been presented to Reeves". (RLM) asked the solicitor, over the case,

(Douglas Richardson (DR)) "What's going on with this case" and (DR) replied, "Well your honor we are still waiting on forensics"; among other excuses.

Reeves looked at (DR) baffled and confused, as to, where was due process, that he (Reeves) has been seized and detained and accused for murdering his friend on theories and beliefs since (Sept 18th, 2024). Furthermore the Medical Examiners determination of her manner of death as "undetermined" and not a homicide or murder.

(RLM) begins to ask Reeves questions for his Pro-se rights. Once Reeves regained his rights to be pro-se Reeves thanked (RLM) and immediately moved in open court to "dismiss the indictments for lack of evidence." (RLM)

told Reeves "we are not here today for that." Reeves asked (RLM) among other words "none of the charges are indicted by a constituted Grand Jury" after a short pause and no rebuttal by (DR), Reeves stated "this case is improper before this court";

"how are you (RLM) allowing this case to move forward"; and "the state (DR) is not complying with Rule 5 and Brady in the new Order by C.J. John W. Kittredge." (RLM) continued stonewalling Reeves at every angle and ignoring his (Reeves) concerns. Reeves further stated "I have not been afforded one

pretrial setting to establish probable cause, but nothing from (RLM). P-2

*Under 28 U.S.C § 1746 I Phillip Reeves declare that the

following is TRUE and VALID under the penalty of perjury. 7-6-24 *Plus C*

4/27/24

RECEIVED

MAY 04 2026

PHILIP C RIVES,) "Please Return Clockstamp Copy"

S.C. SUPREME COURT

) Warrant No: 2024A233020 (8875, 8984, 8898, 9744, and 7696)

V.

)

) Motion to Relieve Counsel

RECEIVED

JUL 13 2026

State of South Carolina,)

SC Court of Appeals

The defendant moves before this court by the way of this motion to relieve William G. Yarborough.

Since the hiring of counsel, the defendant has repeatedly asked counsel to fight his case in a certain manner and has gotten nowhere. The defendant hired counsel around June of 2025 and is in the same place he was in before hiring him. There are several major discrepancies that is now out in the open:

- (1) The medical examiners determination in the manner of death is undetermined and is not a homicide but the defendant is charged with murder;
- (2) All forensics (DNA, Latent Fingerprints, and Gunshot Residue Kits) excludes the defendant and places another on scene;
- (3) The defendant has not had one pretrial setting to show the prerequisite standard of probable cause;
- (4) The prosecutor has suppressed ample Brady materials and fabricated evidence, along with utilizing false and perjured testimony to acquire indictments "allegedly" returned by our County Grand Jury OR has fabricated the entire process; and
- (5) the defendant can show prima facie that law enforcement falsely arrested him at the hospital accusing him of Murder to the hospital staff, absent any probable cause or questioning, days prior to, as to this day is only bare suspicions; and
- (6) can show prima facie of several investigators conflicting and perjured statements and the same officials corrupting, staging, and tampering every scene by destroying

evidence on scene exposing there guilt and conspiracy.

The defendant has repetively told counsel to file motions to Quash, suppress, impeach, Brady, Franks, Denna, Gentry, Speedy Trial, and other procedural collateral attacks of the prosecutors illegal and unethical misconduct to be exposed, as the indictments never being filed with the Clerk of Court in pursuant to Rule 3 of the S.C.R.Crim.P., ect...

Nevertheless the counsel has not persued anything creditable to advance this case from its standstill and only excersizes ditatory practices. All counsel wishes to do is keep the defendant "quiet until trial" and not file anything. This is not how our Criminal Justice system works in unity with the Constitution and The Supreme Court of the United States.

The defendant harbors clear and convercing loashes of vindictiveness in the Fourth Amendment Rights to be free from governmental intrusion of privacy absent warrants supported by more than mere suspicions; Rights to effective assistance of counsel and Speedy trial in the Sixth Amendment; and the Fourteenth Amendments Due Process and Equal Protection of Laws as well as a sloe of U.S. Code Ann and S.C. Code Ann, as (U.S. 18 U.S.C. §1623) and (S.C. 23-23-150).

Furthermore the defendant has informed All of the tribunal and actors of this Court of the violations only to be put off as if the defendant is not a live breathing soul. He fully retaines his right of Life, Liberty, and Property, therefore demands the thirteenth Circuit to act now in this matter, efficiently, without unreasonable delay! Nonetheless the defendant wishes to ~~ask~~ through this motion to relieve his ineffective counsel,

RE: 20 McGee vs Greenville SC 29601 4-27-26 *FLY CTS*

Proof of Service of a Notice of Appeal

The State of South Carolina
in the Court of Appeals
in the Supreme Court

Appeal from Greenville County Court of Common Pleas

R. Lawton McIntosh Circuit Court Judge

C/A NO: 2024A233020 (7696, 8876, 8898, 8984, 9744)

The State of South Carolina,
respondent

v.

PHILIP C REEVES,
Plaintiff [REDACTED]

RECEIVED

JUL 13 2026

SC Court of Appeals

PROOF OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have served this notice of appeal on R. Lawton McIntosh by depositing a copy in the U.S. Postal Service on this 1st day of July, 2026. *Philip C Reeves*

CC To Address: [REDACTED]

- (1) 1220 Senate St Columbia SC 29201 Ct. of Appeals
- (2) 305 E. North St Greenville SC 29601 Clerk of Court

REC. 7-6-26
OFC. ANDRAZ
#13626



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

7 DAYS LATE → June 29, 2026

Phillip Charles Reeves, 0137
20 McGee Street
Greenville SC 29601

Re: The State v. Phillip C. Reeves
Appellate Case No. 2026-001424

Dear Mr. Reeves:

Upon reviewing your notice of appeal, the following deficiency or deficiencies have been noted under the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), and any deficiency must be corrected within ten (10) days of the date of this letter or this matter will be dismissed:

- ✗ The notice of appeal is not accompanied by a redacted copy of the order(s) and/or sentencing sheet(s) challenged on appeal.
- You must serve and file a notice of appeal that is substantially in the format shown by Form 1 in Appendix C to Part II of the SCACR.
- ✗ You must provide proof of filing a copy of your notice of appeal with the Greenville County Clerk of Court.
- The notice of appeal fails to include a statement of when you received written notice of entry of the order or judgment from which this appeal is taken.

Very truly yours,

Catherine Harrison, deputy
CLERK

Phillip Reeves 137
20 Mc Gee St
Greenville SC 29601
(LEGAL MAIL)

RECEIVED

JUL 13 2026

SC Court of Appeals

GREENVILLE SC 296

9 JUL 2026 AM 4 L



FOREVER / USA

Clerks Office of
The Court of Appeals of S.C.
1220 Senate St
Columbia SC 29201

29201-376999

