

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Marion Wade Frye, #375354, Appellant,

v.

United States; State of South Carolina; Prisma Health Midlands Hospital; Prisma Health Midlands Hospital Chief Executive Officer No. One; Prisma Health Midlands Hospital Chief Executive Officer No. Two; South Carolina Department of Corrections; South Carolina Attorney General's Office; South Carolina Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson; South Carolina Department of Corrections; Director Bryan Sterling; Alan Howard Brill, MD; Nicholas D. Papadea, MD; George Shealy, RN; Colby Bounds, RN; Gabrielle Jenkins, RN; Linda Heatwole, RN; Victoria, RN; Larence Smith, RN; Samantha Robinson, RPA; Trisha Ann Jones, CRNA; South Carolina Department of Corrections; Carolina Governor Henry McMaster; Emmeline Lafitte, NP, Defendants,

RECEIVED

JUL 14 2026

SC Court of Appeals

of which the United States; Prisma Health Midlands Hospital; Gabrielle Jenkins, RN; Linda Heatwole, RN; Victoria, RN; Larence Smith, RN; Samantha Robinson, RPA; Trisha Ann Jones, CRNA; Nicholas D. Papadea, MD; South Carolina Department of Corrections, Director Bryan Sterling; Henry McMaster; Emmeline Laffitte, NP are the Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2025-002450

The Honorable Daniel Coble
- Richland County
Trial Court Case No. 2025CP4000025

TO REINSTATE MOTION APPEAL

JUL 14 2026

SC Court of Appeals

(1): Petitioner moves the Court Pursuant to Rule 240 (d): In Extraordinary Cases the Appellant Court may Relieve the Filing Fee, S.C.A.C.R., Rule 260; Reinstate, S.C.A.C.R. and Rule 41: The Court may Stay Proceeding Until Petitioner has Complied with the order, S.C.R.C.P., Rule 5 (d): the Court to Permit Filing and Proceed as though the same had not been Served, (e): The Judge to Permit Filing, S.C.R.C.P. Pursuant to Rule 4 (c): Service of Process by any Person Designated by the Court, S.C.R.C.P. Pursuant to S.C. Const. Art. XII, § 9: Control of Convicts; S.C. Const. Art. IV, § 1: Chief Magistrate; and S.C. Code 1976 Annotated § 1-3-440 (2), and other Laws and Constitutional Rights Secured to Appellant, that Appellant's Rights are being Violated of the Equal Protection of the Law Pursuant to *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266 (1988) (Appellant's Petition was Filed at the Moment of Delivery to Prison Authorities). Appellant Shows the Following to the Court:

(2): Petitioner is an inmate Pursuant to the Code of Laws of South Carolina 1976 Annotated and S.C. Constitution.

(3): Petitioner Does Not have Restaurant's addresses available of Lost Property by the South Carolina Department of Corrections (hereafter S.C.D.C.) and Appellant is constantly being obstructed Appellant's Access to the Courts: See: Exhibits 30 and Exhibits 31 Enclosed.

(4): Appellant has Requested this Court to Provide Appellant the Restaurant's current addresses on file to Service and Process all Documents and orders, and am Not being Provided No Response!

(5): S.C.D.C. is constantly obstructing and hindering Appellant's Access to the Courts and also committing MAIL Fraud Pursuant to title 18 U.S.C.A. § 1346 Pursuant to title 42 U.S.C.A. § 1985 (2) and (3) in Appellant's Actions:

(6): Appellant is Receiving the Courts orders after the Deadline Dates Provided of ten (10) days and of being

Appellant Case No.: 2025-002450

(6): Transferred From Institution to Institution Within S.C.D.C. to obstruct Appellant of the Courts orders and Courts Actions;

(7): Appellant is Being intimidated, Retaliated against, Physically assaulted, and mistreated of endeavoring to Pursue Appellant's Courts Actions and Appellant's Life, Safety, and Wellbeing is in imminent danger and S.C.D.C. Refuses Petitioner's Request for Protective Custody Programming;

(8): S.C.D.C. is Not Enabling Petitioner Sufficient Access to the Courts, mail Box of Extended lock downs, and Legal Supplies Requested to Litigate Appellant's Actions or Requested to PAY FOR:

RELIEF:

(9): Appellant Request the Court to Grant Appellant's motions and What the Court Finds Just and Proper, Exhibits in this Action and Exhibits 30 and 31 Enclosed:

DECLARATION

I am an inmate Confined in an Institution and Deposited my motion to Reinstate in the Institutional mail, Postage Paid by me or the institution;

I declare under the penalty of perjury the following is true:

DATE Deposited in MAIL: July 7, 2026

DATE: July 7, 2026

Marion Wade Frye #375354

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I MARION Wade FRYE #375354 do hereby Certify that I have Served Appellant's motion(s) to Reinstate by Depositing a COPY of the Same U.S. MAIL addressed as follows:

South Carolina Court of Appeals
Attn: Chief Justice and Clerk
P.O. Box 11629 Columbia, SC 29211

Marion Wade Frye

July 7, 2026

MARION Wade FRYE #375354
P.O. Box 2039
Ridgeland, SC 29936

MARION WILKIE FRYE #375354

Ridgeland C.I. BA #28

P.O. Box ~~11629~~ 2039

~~████████████████████~~

Ridgeland, S.C. 29936

#375354

LEGAL MAIL

RIDGELAND CORRECTIONAL
INSTITUTION

CHARLESTON SC 294

JUL 08 2026

10 JUL 2026 AM 3 L

Mailroom



US POSTAGE PAID PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 29936 \$ 001.03⁰
02 4W
0000378436 JUL 08 2026

South Carolina Court of Appeals

~~MARION WILKIE FRYE~~

RECEIVED

JUL 14 2026

Attn: Chief Justice and Clerk ~~SC~~ Court of Appeals

P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

29211-162929



Notice

July 7, 2026

Dear Chief Justice

Please clock, date, file, and
stamp these following

motions in your Court

and Notice the Change of

addresses

RECEIVED

Thank You!

JUL 14 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Murron Lige
#378359

P.O. Box 2039

Ridgeland, S.C. 29936